NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Academic Success

SL-UY 1020: ACADEMIC SKILLS SEMINAR

Class Number: 2588

Section: B

Response Rate: 1/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%						1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1138

PH-GY 5553: Physics of Quantum Computing

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 16/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1139

PH-GY 5663: Physics of Alternative Energy

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward** **Response Rate: 17/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%	94.1%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	70.6%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		11.8%		41.2%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%		35.3%	52.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		11.8%	5.9%	52.9%	29.4%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1120

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael** **Response Rate: 5/86**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**			80.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1122

Section: A2LC | **Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael** | **Response Rate: 5/86**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**			80.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1520

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael** **Response Rate: 5/86**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**			80.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 25029

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: A4LC **Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael** **Response Rate: 5/86**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**			80.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1124

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** **Response Rate: 4/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1126

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: B2LC | **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** | **Response Rate: 4/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1128

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: B3LC | **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** | **Response Rate: 4/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 25031

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: B4LC | **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** | **Response Rate: 4/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1130

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 46/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.2%	97.8%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.2%	95.7%	2.2%	46

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.2%	8.7%	89.1%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.2%		26.1%	23.9%	47.8%		46

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	2.2%		15.2%	19.6%	63.0%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.7%	10.9%	30.4%	47.8%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.9%	2.2%	26.1%	60.9%		46

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.2%	4.3%	6.5%	41.3%	45.7%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.3%	6.5%	15.2%	28.3%	43.5%	2.2%	46

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.9%	2.2%	45.7%	41.3%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	43.5%	50.0%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	21.7%	67.4%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%		6.5%	34.8%	52.2%	2.2%	46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1132

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: C2LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 46/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.2%			97.8%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.2%			95.7%	2.2%	46

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.2%	8.7%	89.1%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.2%		26.1%	23.9%	47.8%		46

B2. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	2.2%		15.2%	19.6%	63.0%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.7%	10.9%	30.4%	47.8%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.9%	2.2%	26.1%	60.9%		46

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.2%	4.3%	6.5%	41.3%	45.7%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.3%	6.5%	15.2%	28.3%	43.5%	2.2%	46

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.9%	2.2%	45.7%	41.3%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	43.5%	50.0%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	21.7%	67.4%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%		6.5%	34.8%	52.2%	2.2%	46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1134

Section: C3LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 46/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.2%	97.8%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.2%	95.7%	2.2%	46

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.2%	8.7%	89.1%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.2%		26.1%	23.9%	47.8%		46

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	2.2%		15.2%	19.6%	63.0%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.7%	10.9%	30.4%	47.8%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.9%	2.2%	26.1%	60.9%		46

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.2%	4.3%	6.5%	41.3%	45.7%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.3%	6.5%	15.2%	28.3%	43.5%	2.2%	46

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.9%	2.2%	45.7%	41.3%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	43.5%	50.0%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	21.7%	67.4%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%		6.5%	34.8%	52.2%	2.2%	46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 25033

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: C4LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 46/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.2%	97.8%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.2%	95.7%	2.2%	46

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.2%	8.7%	89.1%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.2%		26.1%	23.9%	47.8%		46

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	2.2%		15.2%	19.6%	63.0%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.7%	10.9%	30.4%	47.8%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.9%	2.2%	26.1%	60.9%		46

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.2%	4.3%	6.5%	41.3%	45.7%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.3%	6.5%	15.2%	28.3%	43.5%	2.2%	46

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.9%	2.2%	45.7%	41.3%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	43.5%	50.0%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	21.7%	67.4%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%		6.5%	34.8%	52.2%	2.2%	46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23840

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: D1LC | **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** | **Response Rate: 5/82**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.6**	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%				5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23842

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: D2LC | **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** | **Response Rate: 5/82**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.6**	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%				5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23844

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Section: D3LC | **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** | **Response Rate: 5/82**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.6**	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%				5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 1492

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 2/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 25199

PH-UY 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** | **Response Rate: 5/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%	80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.2**	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%				5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	40.0%		20.0%		40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.2**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**		40.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.8**	60.0%	20.0%		20.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.2**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.6**	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%				5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1530

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir** **Response Rate: 5/56**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.8**		40.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1938

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: A2LC | **Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 5/56**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.8**		40.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1532

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** **Response Rate: 6/79**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	16.7%		33.3%	50.0%			6

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1534

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: B2LC | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 6/79**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	16.7%		33.3%	50.0%			6

B2. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1536

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: B3LC **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** **Response Rate: 6/79**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	16.7%		33.3%	50.0%			6

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1547

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** **Response Rate: 7/53**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1549

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A2LC **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** **Response Rate: 7/53**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23858

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** **Response Rate: 7/53**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1551

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 10/77**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1553

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: B2LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 10/77**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2361

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: B3LC **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 10/77**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1555

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** **Response Rate: 4/68**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1557

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: C2LC **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** **Response Rate: 4/68**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1559

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: C3LC **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** **Response Rate: 4/68**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23848

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** **Response Rate: 2/33**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23850

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander** **Response Rate: 3/33**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23852

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander** **Response Rate: 5/33**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			5

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.5**		20.0%		60.0%		20.0%	5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.5**			40.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23856

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: E **Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra** **Response Rate: 5/33**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.4**	40.0%		40.0%	20.0%			5

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23860

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter** **Response Rate: 4/28**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23862

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Sheverev,Valery A** **Response Rate: 3/31**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23864

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Shakya,Naresh M** **Response Rate: 5/33**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.5**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23866

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: D **Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga** **Response Rate: 2/23**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23868

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: E **Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E** **Response Rate: 2/29**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23872

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: G **Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter** **Response Rate: 3/31**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.3**		66.7%	33.3%				3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23874

PH-UY 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: H **Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga** **Response Rate: 6/32**

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1136

PH-UY 2344: INTRODUCTION TO MODERN AND SOLID STATE PHYSICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** **Response Rate: 1/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2064

PH-UY 2813: Astronomy and Astrophysics

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael** **Response Rate: 2/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1944

PH-UY 3054: Introduction to Polymer Physics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1137

PH-UY 3103: Fundamentals of Applied Nuclear Physics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sanchez,John** | **Response Rate: 4/16**

A. Procedures and Policies									
				No			*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			25.0%			75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			25.0%			75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2121

PH-UY 3234: Electricity and Magnetism

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 1/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23876

PH-UY 3474: Introduction to Modern Optics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Arnold,Stephen** | **Response Rate: 2/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 23877

PH-UY 3614: COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** **Response Rate: 2/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2108

PH-UY 4124: Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 2/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1224

BE-GY 6113: ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY, & BIOPHYSICS II

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert** **Response Rate: 1/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2889

BE-GY 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.** | **Response Rate: 28/99**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	82.1%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.8%	14.8%	70.4%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	81.5%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	82.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	3.7%			7.4%	88.9%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2890

BE-GY 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna** | **Response Rate: 20/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%	95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.0%		45.0%	50.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		5.0%	15.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1227

BE-GY 6753: Orthopaedic Biomechanics and Biomaterials

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert** **Response Rate: 1/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2347

BE-GY 9443: Tissue Engineering

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Levon,Kalle** | **Response Rate: 9/56**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24717

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: A1LB **Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W** **Response Rate: 2/16**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		2
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**5.0**					100.0%		2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24719

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: A3LB **Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong** **Response Rate: 2/14**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			2
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24716

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Choy,Brenda Gee** | **Response Rate: 4/45**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24722

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: B1LB **Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W** **Response Rate: 3/20**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24724

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: B3LB **Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong** **Response Rate: 2/19**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24721

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather** | **Response Rate: 8/46**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		28.6%	14.3%	57.1%			7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**		28.6%	28.6%	42.9%			7
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	28.6%		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.1**	25.0%		37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.0**	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%		8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.1**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24713

BMS-UY 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Section: A1LB **Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W** **Response Rate: 1/18**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24712

BMS-UY 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** | **Response Rate: 1/35**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2009

BMS-UY 3214: Microbiology

Section: ALAB

Response Rate: 2/15

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2008

BMS-UY 3214: Microbiology

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 2/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2090

BMS-UY 3314: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology I

Section: ALAB **Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather** **Response Rate: 3/22**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1239

BMS-UY 3314: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology I

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 3/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1264

BMS-UY 3514: ORGANISMAL PHYSIOLOGY

Section: ILAB **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** **Response Rate: 4/17**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 3514: ORGANISMAL PHYSIOLOGY

Class Number: 1263

Section: ILEC **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** **Response Rate: 4/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		75.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BTE-GY 6043: Biocatalysis in Industry

Class Number: 1245

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny** **Response Rate: 5/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1242

BT-GY 6013: Biotechnology and the Pharmaceutical Industry

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny** | **Response Rate: 5/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 3154

BT-GY 6053: Introduction to Neuroscience for Biotechnologists

Section: BKLN | **Primary Instructor: Stelzer,Armin** | **Response Rate: 4/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 3153

BT-GY 6063: Immunology: Concepts, Mechanisms and Applications in Biotechnology

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Sumen,Cenk** | **Response Rate: 5/36**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 3151

BT-GY 6073: Genetic Engineering

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M** **Response Rate: 2/7**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 3152

BT-GY 6073: Genetic Engineering

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M** | **Response Rate: 2/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1366

CBE-GY 6813: Chemical Reactor Analysis and Design

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Kim,Jin Ryoun** **Response Rate: 1/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1455

CBE-UY 1002: INTRODUCTION TO CHEMICAL & BIOMOLECULAR ENGINEERING

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan** **Response Rate: 4/67**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have a better understanding of my chosen major	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I have a fundamental understanding of many processes used in chem and bio engineering.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1463

CBE-UY 3223: KINETICS AND REACTOR DESIGN

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Zurawsky,Walter** | **Response Rate: 9/56**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%	66.7%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	11.1%	22.2%		33.3%	33.3%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	22.2%	11.1%		44.4%	22.2%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have learned to make mole balances on batch reactors, CSTRs, PFRs and PBRs.	**3.8**	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9
Q28	I can use design equations, rate laws and stoichiometric relations to design isothermal reactors.	**3.8**	12.5%		12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8
Q29	I can apply the first law to develop conversion, temperature and rate profiles for non-isothermal reactors.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q30	I have a fundamental understanding of catalytic processes including adsorption and desorption, surface reactions and effectiveness factors.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%		44.4%	33.3%		9
Q31	I understand the basic dynamics of CSTR's and PFR's	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1464

CBE-UY 3233: CHEM & BIOMOLECULAR ENG SEPARATIONS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Song,Qing** | **Response Rate: 11/54**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	9.1%			54.5%	36.4%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the fundamentals of diffusive and convective mass transfer.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q28	I can apply rate-based methods to the design of packed tower absorption columns.	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q29	I can design absorption, distillation and extraction columns using equilibrium stage methods	**3.9**		9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q30	I understand the fundamentals of multi-component distillation systems	**3.8**	9.1%		18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q31	I am familiar with the design principles used for membrane separation processes.	**3.6**		18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		9.1%	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1349

CBE-UY 3323: Transport II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Gallaway,Joshua** | **Response Rate: 9/54**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4173: Polymeric Materials

Class Number: 1361

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan** **Response Rate: 17/56**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		5.9%	11.8%	52.9%	29.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%	41.2%	17.6%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		17.6%		35.3%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	11.8%	11.8%		41.2%	35.3%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Through this course I developed a better understanding of my professional and ethical responsibilities.	**3.5**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	17.6%		17
Q28	My ability to prepare and present an oral report was enhanced through this course.	**2.4**	29.4%	23.5%	29.4%	11.8%	5.9%		17
Q29	I am aware of current trends and contemporary issues with polymeric materials.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q30	I have a greater understanding of the fundamental principles of the science and engineering of macromolecules.	**4.5**				52.9%	47.1%		17
Q31	I have an understanding of the physical, electrical and optical properties of polymers and polymer blends.	**4.1**		5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	35.3%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	41.2%	17.6%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1362

CBE-UY 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Song,Qing** **Response Rate: 5/14**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**1.6**	40.0%	60.0%					5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.4**	40.0%	20.0%		40.0%			5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1363

CBE-UY 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Pierre,Brennal Atiba Diallo** **Response Rate: 1/8**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2295

CBE-UY 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Song,Qing** **Response Rate: 8/14**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.0**	37.5%		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		12.5%		75.0%	12.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		12.5%		75.0%	12.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		8
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2788

CBE-UY 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Pierre,Brennal Atiba Diallo** | **Response Rate: 2/16**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1364

CBE-UY 4263: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design II

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N** **Response Rate: 12/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%		50.0%	33.3%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		33.3%		50.0%	16.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member.	**4.1**	8.3%			58.3%	33.3%		12
Q28	I am more confident in my ability to prepare and present oral and written reports on technical topics.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q29	I have improved my understanding and skills in process design, including the use of advanced tools such as ASPEN.	**4.1**		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q30	I have learned to assess the profitability of a process including safety and environmental constraints.	**3.9**			8.3%	91.7%			12
Q31	Based on my experience in this course I am ready to address more complex design problems.	**3.3**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%			12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%		66.7%	8.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2296

CBE-UY 4263: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design II

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N** **Response Rate: 4/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q28	I am more confident in my ability to prepare and present oral and written reports on technical topics.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
Q29	I have improved my understanding and skills in process design, including the use of advanced tools such as ASPEN.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	I have learned to assess the profitability of a process including safety and environmental constraints.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q31	Based on my experience in this course I am ready to address more complex design problems.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1257

CM-GY 5040: Chemical Laboratory Safety

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.** **Response Rate: 1/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24541

CM-GY 7723: Synthesis of Macromolecules

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M** | **Response Rate: 1/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1258

CM-GY 8073: Organic Spectroscopy

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ulman,Abraham** | **Response Rate: 1/12**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2011

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: A1LB

Response Rate: 1/15

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	B2. The Recitation Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2017

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** | **Response Rate: 2/50**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	2
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2017

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: ALEC **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** **Response Rate: 2/50**

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2018

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: B1LB

Response Rate: 4/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

B2. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**5.0**					100.0%		3
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2020

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: B2LB

Response Rate: 1/17

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	B2. The Recitation Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2022

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: B3LB

Response Rate: 5/18

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**			25.0%	50.0%		25.0%	4

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

	B2. The Recitation Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			75.0%			25.0%	4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2024

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.** | **Response Rate: 9/54**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		87.5%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		87.5%	12.5%	8

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**				50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			12.5%		75.0%	12.5%	8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	8
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			12.5%		75.0%	12.5%	8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**			25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	8
B2. The Recitation Instructor									
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			12.5%		75.0%	12.5%	8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.6**				37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.3**		12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	8
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	8
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2024

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: BLEC **Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.** **Response Rate: 9/54**

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 23907

CM-UY 1024: General Chemistry II

Section: A4LB

Response Rate: 1/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2025

CM-UY 1024: General Chemistry II

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.** | **Response Rate: 3/61**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1247

CM-UY 1032: CHEMISTRY, THE CENTRAL SCIENCE

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Ulman,Abraham** | **Response Rate: 3/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%	33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	66.7%	33.3%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1248

CM-UY 2102: MOLECULAR MODELING IN CHEMISTRY

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** **Response Rate: 1/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 2213: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 2078

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Montclare,Jin Kim** | **Response Rate: 1/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1249

CM-UY 2221: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY II

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor** **Response Rate: 1/10**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1250

CM-UY 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M** | **Response Rate: 9/58**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	55.6%	44.4%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	33.3%	22.2%		22.2%	22.2%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	11.1%		22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1251

CM-UY 2614: Physical Chemistry I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Garetz,Bruce A** | **Response Rate: 7/50**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2033

CM-UY 2614: Physical Chemistry I

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.** **Response Rate: 1/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1252

CM-UY 3324: Biochemistry II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.** | **Response Rate: 1/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1254

CM-UY 3514: Analytical Chemistry

Section: A1LB **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** **Response Rate: 1/18**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1253

CM-UY 3514: Analytical Chemistry

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** | **Response Rate: 1/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1256

CM-UY 4011: INFORMATION SOURCES FOR THE CHEMICAL SCIENCES

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M** **Response Rate: 4/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	75.0%	25.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1230

CE-GY 6023: Materials for Civil Engineers

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** | **Response Rate: 19/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		5.3%		5.3%	89.5%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	10.5%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.3%		10.5%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.1%	73.7%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			15.8%	15.8%	68.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	5.3%			26.3%	68.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	73.7%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24225

CE-GY 6053: MONITORING CITIES

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo** | **Response Rate: 7/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24225

CE-GY 6053: MONITORING CITIES

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ghandehari,Masoud** | **Response Rate: 7/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24225

CE-GY 6053: MONITORING CITIES

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Thomas,Micah K** **Response Rate: 7/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24221

CE-GY 6073: Instrumentation, Monitoring and Condition Assessment of Civil Infrastructure

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Iskander,Magued G** **Response Rate: 40/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.0%		40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%		40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	65.0%	5.0%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	25.0%	15.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		40

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	45.0%	5.0%	40

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24222

CE-GY 6133: Stability of Structures

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Elsherif,Omar** | **Response Rate: 12/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%			12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%			12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%	12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**		50.0%	33.3%		16.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.8**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.5**	50.0%		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24223

CE-GY 6193: Wind and Earthquake Engineering

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lou,Jong J** | **Response Rate: 14/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%			14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	14.3%	7.1%		28.6%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7223: HYDROLOGY

Class Number: 24224

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Vasiliadis,Haralambos V.** **Response Rate: 20/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%	95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	5.0%	20.0%	5.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the principles of ground water hydrology.	**4.5**			5.0%	45.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	I have developed the introduction to frequency analysis of floods and droughts.	**4.4**		5.0%		45.0%	50.0%		20
Q29	I can understand analysis of precipitation, runoff, unit hydrographs, flood routing and reservoir storage.	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20
Q30	I can understand the concept of hydraulic cycle and meteorological considerations.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%		45.0%	45.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.0%		25.0%	70.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24227

CE-GY 7473: Modeling Fate and Transport of Surface Water Pollution

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Messaros,Roy C** | **Response Rate: 21/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%			21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		9.5%		42.9%	47.6%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.8%		4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	4.8%			9.5%	85.7%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.5%		23.8%	66.7%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	42.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		9.5%		33.3%	57.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	9.5%			33.3%	57.1%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%		28.6%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24227

CE-GY 7473: Modeling Fate and Transport of Surface Water Pollution

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 21/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%			21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		9.5%		42.9%	47.6%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.8%		4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	4.8%			9.5%	85.7%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.5%		23.8%	66.7%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	42.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		9.5%		33.3%	57.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	9.5%			33.3%	57.1%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%		28.6%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1865

CE-GY 7843: Introduction to Urban Systems Engineering

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan** | **Response Rate: 18/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%						18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%						18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	16.7%	22.2%		27.8%	33.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.6%		33.3%	5.6%	55.6%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		11.1%	11.1%	5.6%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.6%	16.7%	11.1%	61.1%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	44.4%	38.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	11.1%	61.1%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24228

CE-GY 7983: SPEC TOP IN INFRA SYST & CONSTRUCTION I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Patel,Raman** | **Response Rate: 7/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%			7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			28.6%		71.4%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24229

CE-GY 8243: Construction Modeling Techniques

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Aslani,Pooyan** | **Response Rate: 22/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%	90.9%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			13.6%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		9.1%	13.6%	27.3%	50.0%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	4.5%	18.2%	13.6%	36.4%	27.3%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			13.6%	27.3%	59.1%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	50.0%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		4.5%	22.7%	36.4%	36.4%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the concept of BIM and their associated database, using state-of-the-art design and management systems.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		22
Q28	I can successfully develop a 3D model with all information in the model.	**4.5**			4.5%	40.9%	54.5%		22
Q29	Using the Building Information Model I can successfully extract the design Documents.	**4.4**			13.6%	31.8%	54.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		13.6%	18.2%	27.3%	40.9%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	22.7%	59.1%	4.5%	22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2532

CE-GY 8253: Project Management for Construction

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Rozenberg,Salvador Julius** | **Response Rate: 22/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.2%	9.1%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.5%	9.5%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.5%	4.5%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	4.5%			13.6%	81.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	4.5%			13.6%	81.8%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	9.1%	9.1%		4.5%	77.3%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.5%		9.1%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	4.5%		9.1%	18.2%	68.2%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.5%		9.1%	45.5%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	22.7%	59.1%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	54.5%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2185

CE-GY 8263: Construction Cost Estimating

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Meehan,Michael Patrick** | **Response Rate: 25/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			8.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		8.0%		36.0%	52.0%	4.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	52.0%	8.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		8.0%		32.0%	60.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		8.0%	4.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1238

CE-GY 8283: Risk Analysis

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.** | **Response Rate: 29/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.4%	17.2%		34.5%	44.8%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.7%	10.7%	78.6%		28

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	3.4%			27.6%	69.0%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		10.3%	3.4%	13.8%	72.4%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.4%	3.4%	37.9%	55.2%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.4%	3.4%	27.6%	65.5%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			6.9%	17.2%	75.9%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		6.9%	3.4%	17.2%	72.4%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1226

CE-GY 8293: Construction Operations Analysis

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher** | **Response Rate: 9/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	28.6%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	11.1%			44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1226

CE-GY 8293: Construction Operations Analysis

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Shen,Zhigang** | **Response Rate: 9/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	28.6%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	11.1%			44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24230

CE-GY 8313: Engineering for Construction I: Methods and Technologies

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Shen,Zhigang** | **Response Rate: 10/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	10.0%			50.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1632

CE-GY 8353: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Otruba,Robert** | **Response Rate: 25/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.0%			25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	84.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.0%	12.0%	8.0%	32.0%	40.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	76.0%		25

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	80.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	84.0%	4.0%	25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 25233

CE-GY 8353: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Ergan,Semiha** | **Response Rate: 17/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.1%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.1%	5.9%	17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	88.2%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.9%	88.2%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.5%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					94.1%	5.9%	17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.8%	82.4%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					94.1%	5.9%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.9%	88.2%	5.9%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					94.1%	5.9%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2696

CE-GY 8363: BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING PROJECT CONTROLS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Aslani,Pooyan** | **Response Rate: 6/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1866

CE-GY 8493: Environmental Geotechnology

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen** | **Response Rate: 11/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		27.3%		45.5%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		27.3%		18.2%	54.5%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	9.1%			45.5%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24231

CE-GY 8773: Dispute Avoidance and Resolution

Section: EXEC | **Primary Instructor: Osborn,John E** | **Response Rate: 8/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24232

CE-GY 8803: Infrastructure Planning for Public Works

Section: EXEC | **Primary Instructor: Venech,Louis P** | **Response Rate: 15/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					92.9%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			13.3%	6.7%	80.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1153

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: ALAB | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 21/22**

		Avg Of Results							# Resp
A33		**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
A34		**4.1**			26.3%	42.1%	31.6%		19
A39		**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	36.8%		19
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		4.8%		66.7%	28.6%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		4.8%	9.5%	57.1%	28.6%		21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		9.5%	4.8%	71.4%	14.3%		21
D. Instruction		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.8%		19.0%	42.9%	28.6%	4.8%	21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%		52.6%	36.8%		19
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		***Avg Of Results***	***Strongly Disagree***	***Moderately Disagree***	***No Opinion***	***Moderately Agree***	***Strongly Agree***	***Decline To Answer***	***# Resp***
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1153

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: ALAB | **Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel** | **Response Rate: 21/22**

		Avg Of Results							# Resp
A33		**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
A34		**4.1**			26.3%	42.1%	31.6%		19
A39		**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	36.8%		19
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		4.8%		66.7%	28.6%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		4.8%	9.5%	57.1%	28.6%		21
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		9.5%	4.8%	71.4%	14.3%		21
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.8%		19.0%	42.9%	28.6%	4.8%	21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%		52.6%	36.8%		19
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1518

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: BLAB | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 10/12**

		Avg Of Results							# Resp
A33		**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
A34		**3.7**	10.0%		20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
A39		**3.7**		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%		10
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	10.0%			60.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**3.9**		10.0%		80.0%	10.0%		10
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.0**		10.0%		70.0%	20.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1518

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: BLAB | **Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel** | **Response Rate: 10/12**

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33		**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
A34		**3.7**	10.0%		20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
A39		**3.7**		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%		10
A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	10.0%			60.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**3.9**		10.0%		80.0%	10.0%		10
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.0**		10.0%		70.0%	20.0%		10
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1152

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 29/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			10.3%	41.4%	48.3%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		6.9%	13.8%	58.6%	20.7%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.3%	13.8%	44.8%	31.0%		29

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		3.6%	7.1%	46.4%	42.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		3.4%	20.7%	55.2%	20.7%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		28
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.4**			3.6%	50.0%	46.4%		28
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.2**		3.6%	3.6%	64.3%	28.6%		28
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**3.7**		10.7%	25.0%	46.4%	17.9%		28
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.3**			3.6%	60.7%	35.7%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		6.9%	13.8%	55.2%	24.1%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		3.4%	13.8%	27.6%	55.2%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		6.9%	17.2%	17.2%	58.6%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	3.4%	10.3%	13.8%	34.5%	37.9%		29

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.3**			7.1%	60.7%	32.1%		28
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.0**			21.4%	53.6%	25.0%		28
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	64.3%	21.4%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1442

CE-UY 1502: LEADERSHIP & FOUNDATIONS OF CONST MGMT

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Pennella,Ronald J.** | **Response Rate: 11/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the construction management profession.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q28	I understand the roles of the construction process participants.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q29	Know the basic terminology of the construction industry.	**4.2**			9.1%	63.6%	27.3%		11
Q30	Recognize the importance of leadership in construction.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.0**			27.3%	45.5%	27.3%		11
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2174

CE-UY 2113: Statics

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.** | **Response Rate: 14/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		85.7%	14.3%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%		50.0%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.3**		15.4%		23.1%	61.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	14.3%	28.6%	7.1%	28.6%	21.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Class Number: 23948

Section: ALAB **Primary Instructor: Sidelev,Oleksiy V** **Response Rate: 10/21**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.5**		30.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**		50.0%		20.0%	30.0%		10

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Class Number: 23947

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sidelev,Oleksiy V

Response Rate: 10/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	30.0%	10.0%		10.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23950

CE-UY 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Section: BLAB **Primary Instructor: Morgan,Troy** **Response Rate: 14/17**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**		21.4%	28.6%	35.7%	14.3%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		21.4%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		7.1%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.1**			18.2%	54.5%	27.3%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**			28.6%	50.0%	21.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23949

CE-UY 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Morgan,Troy** | **Response Rate: 14/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	85.7%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		21.4%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1858

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Section: ALAB | **Primary Instructor: Mahmood,Tahsina** | **Response Rate: 10/13**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	20.0%	30.0%		30.0%	20.0%		10

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1860

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 12/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1859

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Section: BLAB **Primary Instructor: Mahmood,Tahsina** **Response Rate: 10/11**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.0**	10.0%	50.0%		10.0%	30.0%		10

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.9**	10.0%	20.0%		10.0%	60.0%		10

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			30.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	30.0%	10.0%			60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	10.0%	10.0%		30.0%	50.0%		10

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1857

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 18/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	50.0%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.1%		27.8%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1861

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Section: CLAB **Primary Instructor: Mahmood,Tahsina** **Response Rate: 10/11**

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		20.0%		30.0%	50.0%		10
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23951

CE-UY 2343: Transportation Engineering

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel** | **Response Rate: 22/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	4.5%			9.1%	86.4%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	4.5%		4.5%	4.5%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	4.5%		4.5%	9.1%	81.8%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.5%			40.9%	54.5%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	4.5%		9.1%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	31.8%	59.1%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced by ability to apply mathematics, science and engineering techniques to solve transportation related problems in transportation planning and highway design.	**4.4**	4.8%			42.9%	52.4%		21
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic freeway segments capacity analysis.	**4.3**	4.8%		4.8%	38.1%	52.4%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the transportation planning process.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q30	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic engineering economic analysis.	**4.4**		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q31	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues.	**4.3**		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	47.6%		21
Q32	I learned to use Autodesk Civil 3D to perform parking layout design, as well as horizontal and vertical alignments	**4.0**	4.8%		9.5%	57.1%	28.6%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	40.9%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**	4.5%			9.1%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.5%		9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1445

CE-UY 2523: CONTRACTS & CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence** | **Response Rate: 28/31**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.5%	81.5%		27

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			7.1%	39.3%	53.6%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	57.1%		28
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		3.7%	18.5%	14.8%	55.6%	7.4%	27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I understand the functions and contents of construction documents	**4.5**			3.6%	42.9%	53.6%		28
Q28	I understand the various project delivery systems and document requirements for each	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		28
Q29	I know how specifications are composed	**4.5**			7.1%	39.3%	53.6%		28
Q30	I understand the relationship of construction documents to construction quality	**4.5**			3.6%	42.9%	53.6%		28
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.6%	14.3%	35.7%	46.4%		28
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.1%	39.3%	53.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	53.6%		28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**			3.6%	42.9%	53.6%		28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**		3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	53.6%		28
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**			10.7%	32.1%	57.1%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1519

CE-UY 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Shleykov,Ilya Borisovich** | **Response Rate: 13/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		15.4%		53.8%	30.8%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	38.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**		15.4%	23.1%	46.2%	15.4%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.4**	16.7%		16.7%	58.3%	8.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.5**	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3133: Structural Analysis

Class Number: 2176

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.** **Response Rate: 28/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	92.9%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.1%	17.9%	75.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	7.1%	32.1%	25.0%	14.3%	21.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	11.1%	25.9%	11.1%	33.3%	18.5%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	7.1%	21.4%	10.7%	39.3%	21.4%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	32.1%	39.3%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	**3.9**		11.1%	14.8%	51.9%	22.2%		27
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	**2.5**	29.6%	29.6%	14.8%	14.8%	11.1%		27
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	**4.0**		7.4%	11.1%	51.9%	29.6%		27
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	**3.0**	14.8%	14.8%	37.0%	25.9%	7.4%		27
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	**3.6**	3.8%	23.1%	11.5%	30.8%	30.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.7%	17.9%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.6%		14.3%	32.1%	50.0%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	17.9%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	25.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	14.3%	17.9%	21.4%	28.6%	17.9%		28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			7.4%	44.4%	48.1%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			14.8%	63.0%	22.2%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1472

CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Section: ALAB **Primary Instructor: Chen,Zhibo** **Response Rate: 12/14**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%		12

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	8.3%		16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	8.3%		8.3%	75.0%	8.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		10
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**			27.3%	54.5%	18.2%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**			10.0%	70.0%	20.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 1473

Section: BLAB **Primary Instructor: Chen,Zhibo** **Response Rate: 13/13**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.2**	30.8%	46.2%		15.4%	7.7%		13

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.7**		23.1%		61.5%	15.4%		13

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		23.1%	7.7%	46.2%	23.1%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%		61.5%	30.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.3**				69.2%	30.8%		13
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.3**				69.2%	30.8%		13

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.7%		61.5%	30.8%		13

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		15.4%		61.5%	23.1%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**				69.2%	30.8%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**	7.7%			53.8%	38.5%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 2179

Section: CLAB **Primary Instructor: Chen,Zhibo** **Response Rate: 9/14**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.0**	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	9

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.1**			12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.4**				50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.1**			12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	11.1%		22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**			37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.1**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 1471

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen** | **Response Rate: 31/41**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.7%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	80.6%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	9.7%		19.4%	16.1%	54.8%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			12.9%	29.0%	58.1%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.1%	25.8%	6.5%	29.0%	22.6%		31

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	3.2%	3.2%	6.5%	58.1%	29.0%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		9.7%	12.9%	48.4%	29.0%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.2**		3.7%	7.4%	51.9%	37.0%		27
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.3**		3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.1**		3.7%	11.1%	55.6%	29.6%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	3.2%	16.1%	16.1%	41.9%	19.4%	3.2%	31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.5%	6.5%	25.8%	61.3%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	6.5%	3.2%	3.2%	25.8%	61.3%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		9.7%	6.5%	35.5%	48.4%		31

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		3.7%	18.5%	40.7%	33.3%	3.7%	27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		3.7%	7.4%	48.1%	37.0%	3.7%	27
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		3.7%	14.8%	55.6%	25.9%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23952

CE-UY 3161: Materials Engineering Laboratory

Section: ALAB **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** **Response Rate: 10/12**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.6**		30.0%		50.0%	20.0%		10

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23953

CE-UY 3161: Materials Engineering Laboratory

Section: BLAB **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** **Response Rate: 8/11**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		25.0%		12.5%	62.5%		8

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23954

CE-UY 3161: Materials Engineering Laboratory

Section: CLAB | **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** | **Response Rate: 12/14**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%		33.3%	50.0%		12

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23955

CE-UY 3162: Materials Engineering

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** | **Response Rate: 13/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning								13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.								13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	7.7%		7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	61.5%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%	30.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	7.7%			46.2%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3162: Materials Engineering

Class Number: 23956

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** | **Response Rate: 14/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2687

CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.** | **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	60.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	13.3%	20.0%		26.7%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	20.0%	33.3%		40.0%	6.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%	26.7%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	6.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%	13.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	13.3%		26.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.9**	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%	13.3%	13.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	13.3%	26.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2687

CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso** | **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%			15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	60.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	13.3%	20.0%		26.7%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	20.0%	33.3%		40.0%	6.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%	26.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	6.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%	13.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	13.3%		26.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.9**	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%	13.3%	13.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	13.3%	26.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 2688

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 13/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning								13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.								13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	38.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.7%	15.4%		53.8%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		38.5%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	7.7%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	30.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2688

CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso** | **Response Rate: 13/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	38.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.7%	15.4%		53.8%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		38.5%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	7.7%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	30.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1168

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: ALAB | **Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun** | **Response Rate: 9/9**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	11.1%		22.2%		66.7%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1173

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: BLAB | **Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun** | **Response Rate: 8/9**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			12.5%	75.0%	12.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**3.9**			37.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23959

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: CLAB | **Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun** | **Response Rate: 7/10**

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		28.6%	14.3%		57.1%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1172

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Ahmed,Mahmoud Abdelrahim** | **Response Rate: 11/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**			27.3%	54.5%	18.2%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3243: WATER RESOURCE ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1157

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** **Response Rate: 31/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.9%	87.1%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	6.5%		3.2%	12.9%	77.4%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.5%	3.2%	41.9%	48.4%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.5%	6.5%	3.2%	35.5%	48.4%		31

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.2%	3.2%	29.0%	64.5%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.5%	12.9%	19.4%	32.3%	29.0%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I continued and extended fluid mechanics, with a focus on applications of hydraulic and hydrologic engineering.	**4.6**	3.3%		3.3%	23.3%	70.0%		30
Q28	I have developed the fundamental principles of surface and ground-water hydrology.	**4.6**	3.3%		3.3%	23.3%	70.0%		30
Q29	I know how to analyze pipe flows, pipe networks, open channel flow with elementary design applications.	**4.5**	3.3%		3.3%	26.7%	66.7%		30
Q30	I have explored water resources engineering professional challenges and ethical issues.	**4.4**	3.3%		10.0%	26.7%	60.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.7%		48.4%	41.9%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.2%	3.2%		19.4%	74.2%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.2%	3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	80.6%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	6.5%		3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.4**	3.3%		6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**	3.3%			30.0%	66.7%		30
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**	3.3%			40.0%	56.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1488

CE-UY 3553: Non-Structural Building Systems

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Babur,Nejat** | **Response Rate: 21/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	57.1%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.0%	25.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the design, specification and procurement processes for non-structural building systems.	**4.3**			5.0%	60.0%	35.0%		20
Q28	I understand the relationship between building codes and standards.	**4.5**		5.0%		40.0%	55.0%		20
Q29	I can plan and execute the installation of mechanical and electrical work.	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	47.6%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	9.5%		4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			10.0%	55.0%	35.0%		20
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.3**			10.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	40.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1488

CE-UY 3553: Non-Structural Building Systems

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Tamayo,Fred** | **Response Rate: 21/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	57.1%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.0%	25.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the design, specification and procurement processes for non-structural building systems.	**4.3**			5.0%	60.0%	35.0%		20
Q28	I understand the relationship between building codes and standards.	**4.5**		5.0%		40.0%	55.0%		20
Q29	I can plan and execute the installation of mechanical and electrical work.	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	47.6%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	9.5%		4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			10.0%	55.0%	35.0%		20
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.3**			10.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	40.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1863

CE-UY 3563: CONSTRUCTION MODELING AND DATA STRUCTURES II

Section: LAB | **Primary Instructor: Rowshanrad,Ashkan** | **Response Rate: 17/19**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.8**	17.6%		17.6%	17.6%	47.1%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%		17

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.9%		17.6%	11.8%	64.7%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%		16

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		18.8%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%		16

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%	16

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	6.3%			31.3%	62.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1864

CE-UY 3563: CONSTRUCTION MODELING AND DATA STRUCTURES II

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Rowshanrad,Ashkan** **Response Rate: 15/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%			14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			35.7%	28.6%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%		35.7%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%		71.4%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1158

CE-UY 4043: Sustainable Cities

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan** **Response Rate: 17/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			94.1%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	5.9%	29.4%		41.2%	23.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		17.6%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		17.6%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	5.9%		35.3%	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the multiple aspects of sustainability and their interaction.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q28	I understand the relation of urban sustainability to global sustainability.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q29	I understand the concept of a smart city, the relevant technologies and the technology's interaction with urban policies and management.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q30	I understand the ethical dilemmas posed by urban sustainability globally, nationally and in cities.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q31	I can investigate specific aspects of sustainability within their immediate environment.	**4.4**		6.3%		43.8%	50.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%	6.3%	16
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A30	I have enhanced my ability to understand the potentially global implications of engineering solutions	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1159

CE-UY 4092: Leadership, Business Principles, Policy and Ethics in Civil Engineering

Section: ALEC **Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher** **Response Rate: 23/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	95.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	4.3%			17.4%	78.3%		23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	4.3%			26.1%	69.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	4.3%		13.0%	13.0%	60.9%	8.7%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	**4.8**			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	**4.6**			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%		21.7%	69.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.6**	4.5%			18.2%	77.3%		22
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**	4.5%		4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**	4.5%			18.2%	77.3%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2690

CE-UY 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso** **Response Rate: 8/13**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.5**			50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**			50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	12.5%		37.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Class Number: 2689

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso** **Response Rate: 8/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	12.5%		12.5%	62.5%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	12.5%		37.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 25028

CE-UY 4193: Timber and Masonry Structures

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Patricia A** | **Response Rate: 11/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1164

CE-UY 4503: Construction Engineering

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Prancl,Patrick J** | **Response Rate: 17/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.9%			29.4%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		17.6%		35.3%	47.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		17.6%		29.4%	52.9%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I was exposed to various types of construction machinery.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		18.8%	68.8%		16
Q28	I understand the concepts related to construction planning and productivity.	**4.4**	6.3%			31.3%	62.5%		16
Q29	I am now familiar with engineering aspects of construction.	**4.4**	6.3%		6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.9%			47.1%	47.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%		16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4503: Construction Engineering

Class Number: 25589

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Prancl,Patrick J** | **Response Rate: 16/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			37.5%	18.8%	43.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			37.5%	12.5%	43.8%	6.3%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I was exposed to various types of construction machinery.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
Q28	I understand the concepts related to construction planning and productivity.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q29	I am now familiar with engineering aspects of construction.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1165

CE-UY 4533: Construction Law

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence** **Response Rate: 12/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	91.7%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the operation of the legal system on construction.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	I can identify relevant legal issues.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I can address and solve problems encountered in construction using the law.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q30	I can avoid mistakes commonly made in construction.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q31	I understand parties' responsibilities and liabilities.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	25.0%			16.7%	58.3%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2181

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 6/37**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			50.0%	33.3%		16.7%	6

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2181

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 6/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2181

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 6/37**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			50.0%	33.3%		16.7%	6

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2181

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 6/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Class Number: 1489

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** | **Response Rate: 21/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.0%	81.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	23.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.3**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%		21
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%		19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		28.6%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1489

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 21/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			14.3%	47.6%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1489

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** | **Response Rate: 21/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.0%	81.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	23.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.3**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%		21
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%		19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		28.6%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1489

CE-UY 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 21/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			14.3%	47.6%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23960

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LAB | **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** | **Response Rate: 6/37**

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			50.0%	33.3%		16.7%	6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23960

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 6/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23960

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 6/37**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			50.0%	33.3%		16.7%	6

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23960

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 6/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23961

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** | **Response Rate: 21/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.0%	81.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	23.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.3**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%		21
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%		19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		28.6%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23961

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 21/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			14.3%	47.6%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23961

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** | **Response Rate: 21/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.0%	81.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	23.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.3**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%		21
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%		19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		28.6%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23961

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 21/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			14.3%	47.6%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23962

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LAB | **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** | **Response Rate: 6/37**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			50.0%	33.3%		16.7%	6

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23962

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 6/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23962

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 6/37**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			50.0%	33.3%		16.7%	6

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23962

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LAB **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 6/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23963

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** | **Response Rate: 21/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.0%				81.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%				66.7%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	23.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.3**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%		21
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%		19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		28.6%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23963

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin** **Response Rate: 21/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			14.3%	47.6%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23963

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** | **Response Rate: 21/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.0%	81.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	23.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**3.3**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%		21
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%		19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		28.6%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.4**			4.8%	52.4%	42.9%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	28.6%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23963

CE-UY 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph** **Response Rate: 21/37**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			14.3%	47.6%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2698

TR-GY 6011: FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS IN TRANSPORTATION

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Falcocchio,John Carlo** | **Response Rate: 16/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%			16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				56.3%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.3%		6.3%	56.3%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	56.3%	12.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		12.5%	12.5%	56.3%	18.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	31.3%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	31.3%	12.5%	12.5%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	25.0%	12.5%	16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24892

TR-GY 6211: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Prassas,Elena S.** **Response Rate: 8/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2699

TR-GY 6231: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PRINCIPLES & PRACTICE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Falcocchio,John Carlo** | **Response Rate: 13/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%			13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		7.7%	23.1%	46.2%	23.1%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		7.7%	38.5%	23.1%	30.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2700

TR-GY 6343: TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & CONTROL

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Prassas,Elena S.** | **Response Rate: 17/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.8%						17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		17.6%		35.3%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2701

TR-GY 6403: TRANSPORTATION & TRAFFIC PROJECT

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Talas,Mohamad** | **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning							11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2186

TR-GY 7123: MANAGEMENT OF URBAN TRAFFIC CONGESTION

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Falcocchio,John Carlo** | **Response Rate: 9/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24891

TR-GY 7223: Management of Transit Maintenance and Operations

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Sansone,Gennaro** | **Response Rate: 9/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 24893

TR-GY 8013: Selected Topics in Transportation I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Jabari,Saif Eddin Ghazi** | **Response Rate: 7/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can articulate the needs of shippers, carriers and receivers.	**4.3**			14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	7
Q28	I can outline the major steps within the logistics process.	**4.8**				14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	7
Q29	I can assess the characteristics of each major mode of transportation.	**4.7**				16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	6
Q30	I can evaluate national, regional and local freight needs.	**4.3**			16.7%		33.3%	50.0%	6
Q31	I can outline the needs stated in the previous objectives superimposed on community and environmental needs.	**4.7**				16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25844

CS-GY 5403: Data Structures and Algorithms

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Vaisman,Joseph** | **Response Rate: 8/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1179

CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay** **Response Rate: 42/47**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.4%	97.6%		42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.4%	19.0%	78.6%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.8%	2.4%	21.4%	71.4%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%	7.1%	14.3%	69.0%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.4%	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	64.3%		42

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.4%			28.6%	69.0%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**	2.4%			14.3%	83.3%		42

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.8%	7.1%	11.9%	76.2%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		2.4%	7.1%	11.9%	78.6%		42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	16.7%	76.2%		42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 1850

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur** | **Response Rate: 2/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2551

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M** **Response Rate: 27/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	91.7%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	7.7%	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	7.7%			42.3%	50.0%		26

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	7.7%	3.8%		34.6%	50.0%	3.8%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		19.2%	7.7%	19.2%	53.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	8.0%	52.0%	36.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	8.0%		8.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	7.4%	3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		7.4%	3.7%	33.3%	55.6%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2882

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: I3 | **Primary Instructor: Santhanakrishnan,Anand** | **Response Rate: 45/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	24.4%	75.6%		45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	75.6%	4.4%	45

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	6.8%	13.6%	6.8%	29.5%	43.2%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	9.3%	7.0%		32.6%	51.2%		43

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	6.8%	9.1%	4.5%	20.5%	59.1%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	17.8%	6.7%	8.9%	22.2%	44.4%		45

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	20.5%	18.2%	2.3%	31.8%	25.0%	2.3%	44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	11.1%	22.2%	4.4%	22.2%	37.8%	2.2%	45

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	9.3%	18.6%	11.6%	32.6%	27.9%		43

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	40.9%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	18.6%	9.3%	7.0%	27.9%	32.6%	4.7%	43
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	9.1%	6.8%	9.1%	27.3%	43.2%	4.5%	44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1851

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Davoodi,Pooya** | **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1181

CS-GY 6043: Design and Analysis of Algorithms II

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Iacono,John** **Response Rate: 14/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		7.1%	7.1%		85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6063: Software Engineering I

Class Number: 2883

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.** **Response Rate: 35/59**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.1%	24.2%	69.7%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	5.7%	5.7%		31.4%	57.1%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.7%	8.6%		17.1%	68.6%		35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			8.6%	40.0%	51.4%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		2.9%	8.6%	25.7%	62.9%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.9%	5.7%	31.4%	60.0%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.9%		2.9%	31.4%	62.9%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			8.6%	25.7%	65.7%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		2.9%	5.7%	25.7%	65.7%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1183

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.** **Response Rate: 18/43**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		5.6%	22.2%	33.3%	38.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		11.1%	16.7%	44.4%	22.2%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		5.6%	22.2%	44.4%	27.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		5.9%	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		5.6%	50.0%	33.3%	11.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		5.6%	16.7%	55.6%	22.2%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		11.1%	27.8%	33.3%	27.8%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		5.6%	11.1%	55.6%	27.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		5.6%	44.4%	22.2%	27.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		5.6%	16.7%	33.3%	44.4%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2884

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman** | **Response Rate: 34/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.9%	3.1%	32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.8%	8.8%	32.4%	47.1%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	3.0%	6.1%		21.2%	69.7%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.8%	14.7%	20.6%	52.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.9%	20.6%	14.7%	26.5%	29.4%	2.9%	34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	5.9%	14.7%	17.6%	26.5%	35.3%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	29.4%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	8.8%	23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	26.5%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	8.8%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	26.5%	20.6%	35.3%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	2.9%	11.8%	14.7%	20.6%	47.1%	2.9%	34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25231

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Pradhan,Shekhar S** | **Response Rate: 24/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%	91.7%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		16.7%	4.2%	33.3%	45.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.2%	12.5%	29.2%	54.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	4.2%		4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	37.5%	41.7%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	33.3%	41.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	4.2%	41.7%	41.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		4.2%	8.3%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	25.0%	45.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	58.3%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24857

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Giura,Paul** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Class Number: 1184

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Chung,Ping-Tsai** | **Response Rate: 60/65**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.0%	85.0%		60
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.0%	93.0%		57

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	18.3%	11.7%	11.7%	21.7%	33.3%	3.3%	60
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	16.9%	13.6%	8.5%	16.9%	39.0%	5.1%	59

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	13.3%	1.7%	8.3%	25.0%	51.7%		60
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.9%	3.4%	12.1%	27.6%	48.3%	1.7%	58

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	23.3%	8.3%	18.3%	21.7%	25.0%	3.3%	60
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	22.0%	18.6%	8.5%	16.9%	32.2%	1.7%	59

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	16.7%	5.0%	21.7%	35.0%	21.7%		60

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	22.0%	8.5%	25.4%	18.6%	23.7%	1.7%	59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	20.0%	16.7%	21.7%	13.3%	25.0%	3.3%	60
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	20.3%	11.9%	22.0%	11.9%	32.2%	1.7%	59

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1852

CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Padman,Vikram** | **Response Rate: 2/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1185

CS-GY 6143: Computer Architecture II

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun** **Response Rate: 8/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1186

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel** | **Response Rate: 41/58**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.9%	95.1%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	92.5%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		2.4%	2.4%	34.1%	61.0%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.5%	7.5%	12.5%	27.5%	50.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	24.4%	31.7%	7.3%	24.4%	12.2%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	5.0%	2.5%	32.5%	25.0%	35.0%		40

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	7.3%	31.7%	48.8%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	2.5%	15.0%	10.0%	32.5%	40.0%		40

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	4.9%	17.1%	9.8%	26.8%	41.5%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	2.5%	5.0%	7.5%	42.5%	42.5%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	19.5%	53.7%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	4.9%	7.3%	4.9%	29.3%	53.7%		41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2885

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Terrill,John** | **Response Rate: 35/50**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.4%	85.7%	2.9%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%	94.3%	2.9%	35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	3.0%			42.4%	51.5%	3.0%	33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			17.6%	38.2%	41.2%	2.9%	34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		17.6%	8.8%	32.4%	38.2%	2.9%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		2.9%	2.9%	44.1%	47.1%	2.9%	34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	2.9%		14.7%	29.4%	50.0%	2.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.9%	8.8%	26.5%	55.9%	2.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			8.8%	38.2%	50.0%	2.9%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	47.1%	44.1%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			5.9%	38.2%	55.9%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1636

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati** | **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24537

CS-GY 6313: INFORMATION VISUALIZATION

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico** **Response Rate: 32/42**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.9%	3.1%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%	93.5%	3.2%	31

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.1%	3.1%	93.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	81.3%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.3%		43.8%	50.0%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.4%	25.0%	65.6%		32

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.3%	15.6%	18.8%	56.3%	3.1%	32

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	34.4%	53.1%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	81.3%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	81.3%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	65.6%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Class Number: 1187

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Viega,John Timothy** **Response Rate: 12/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	25.0%		66.7%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	8.3%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	8.3%		16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	8.3%		16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Class Number: 2152

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 26/50

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%	96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%	96.2%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	3.8%			38.5%	57.7%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	46.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	38.5%	19.2%	3.8%	23.1%	11.5%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	19.2%	23.1%	42.3%		26

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		11.5%	3.8%	38.5%	46.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		4.0%	16.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		3.8%	15.4%	42.3%	38.5%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		15.4%		53.8%	30.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	7.7%	3.8%	11.5%	34.6%	42.3%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	3.8%		11.5%	50.0%	34.6%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1188

CS-GY 6413: Compiler Design and Construction

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Siegfried,Robert** | **Response Rate: 45/47**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.5%	4.5%	44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.7%	2.3%	44

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.2%			11.1%	86.7%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	4.4%	2.2%	4.4%	15.6%	73.3%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	6.7%	4.4%	22.2%	17.8%	44.4%	4.4%	45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	2.2%		11.1%	6.7%	77.8%	2.2%	45

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.2%		8.9%	8.9%	80.0%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	6.7%	15.6%	73.3%		45

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%	6.7%	20.0%	68.9%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	31.1%	60.0%	2.2%	45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	2.2%		2.2%	8.9%	86.7%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	2.2%		2.2%	8.9%	86.7%		45

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1189

CS-GY 6533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Chiang,Yi-jen** | **Response Rate: 20/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		10.0%	15.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		30.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24142

CS-GY 6553: Game Design

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 15/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	71.4%	21.4%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	66.7%	13.3%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.7%	20.0%	66.7%	6.7%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**			40.0%	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	26.7%	20.0%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1190

CS-GY 6613: Artificial Intelligence I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming** | **Response Rate: 46/49**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%	95.7%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	95.7%		46

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.2%	8.7%	2.2%	26.1%	60.9%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	15.2%	65.2%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%		26.1%	65.2%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	63.0%		46

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		13.0%	6.5%	28.3%	52.2%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		2.2%	8.7%	28.3%	60.9%		46

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.2%	15.2%	2.2%	34.8%	45.7%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	23.9%	56.5%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	6.5%	6.5%	10.9%	19.6%	56.5%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.5%	2.2%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6703: Computational Geometry

Class Number: 1191

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Aronov,Boris** **Response Rate: 13/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%		15.4%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	7.7%		38.5%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%		53.8%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 2903

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Rathie,Ian Leighton** | **Response Rate: 21/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			4.8%	47.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			19.0%	23.8%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		9.5%		52.4%	38.1%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		9.5%		28.6%	61.9%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			9.5%	57.1%	33.3%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.5%	52.4%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			4.8%	42.9%	52.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		4.8%		47.6%	47.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 1290

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Yan,Lok K** | **Response Rate: 2/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2236

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Mak,Phillip** | **Response Rate: 25/52**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%		25

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 2959

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 20/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.0%	20.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		25.0%	10.0%	15.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	5.0%		10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 2344

Section: INT2 **Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B** **Response Rate: 1/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1193

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Sullivan,George A.** | **Response Rate: 21/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	90.5%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	4.8%		4.8%	14.3%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.8%		9.5%	14.3%	61.9%	9.5%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.8%			33.3%	57.1%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%		47.6%	33.3%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	9.5%	4.8%		23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		9.5%	14.3%	52.4%	19.0%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	9.5%		14.3%	19.0%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	47.6%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 2666

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 27/43

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.4%	18.5%	74.1%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	7.4%	7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	51.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	7.4%	3.7%		14.8%	74.1%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.7%		18.5%	77.8%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 2667

Section: I3 **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue** **Response Rate: 33/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.0%	3.0%	33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.2%	84.8%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	33.3%	48.5%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	72.7%		33

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.0%	3.0%	30.3%	63.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	30.3%	60.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	27.3%	63.6%	3.0%	33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	15.2%	75.8%	3.0%	33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	69.7%	3.0%	33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 1291

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail** | **Response Rate: 1/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2248

CS-GY 6883: PRACTICES IN INFORMATION SECURITY MANAGEMENT

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Vaidya,Mehul J.** **Response Rate: 2/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6903: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 1194

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 35/40

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.7%	2.9%	40.0%	51.4%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		2.9%	14.3%	34.3%	48.6%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		5.7%	8.6%	22.9%	62.9%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	8.6%		11.4%	22.9%	57.1%		35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.9%	2.9%	20.0%	34.3%	37.1%	2.9%	35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.9%		20.0%	31.4%	45.7%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.7%	11.4%	14.3%	45.7%	22.9%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	5.9%		17.6%	38.2%	38.2%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	2.9%	8.8%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	5.9%	8.8%	29.4%	26.5%	29.4%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6903: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 1855

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni** | **Response Rate: 1/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6913: Web Search Engines

Class Number: 24986

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Chunara,Rumi** **Response Rate: 18/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%	88.9%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				52.9%	41.2%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	50.0%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				27.8%	66.7%	5.6%	18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	61.1%	5.6%	18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	50.0%	5.6%	18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%	5.6%	18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				33.3%	61.1%	5.6%	18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**				55.6%	38.9%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	77.8%	5.6%	18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6923: Machine Learning

Class Number: 1196

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hellerstein,Lisa

Response Rate: 36/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		36

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			2.8%	2.8%	94.4%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		2.8%		22.2%	75.0%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		36

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				19.4%	80.6%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				19.4%	80.6%		36

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.8%	2.8%	33.3%	61.1%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				2.8%	97.2%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				19.4%	80.6%		36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2886

CS-GY 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bickford,Jeffrey** | **Response Rate: 31/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%	96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.1%	83.9%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.1%	6.5%	77.4%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		16.1%	6.5%	22.6%	54.8%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.2%		9.7%	32.3%	54.8%		31

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			3.2%	38.7%	58.1%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.2%	6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	61.3%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	3.2%			22.6%	74.2%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.2%	3.2%	16.1%	77.4%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**	3.2%			12.9%	83.9%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	3.2%			22.6%	74.2%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2887

CS-GY 9033: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Kadiu,Ardis** **Response Rate: 22/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	59.1%	4.5%	22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.5%		13.6%	27.3%	54.5%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	22.7%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		4.5%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	4.5%	22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	13.6%	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	63.6%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.5%		18.2%	4.5%	72.7%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	13.6%	4.5%		13.6%	68.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24868

CS-GY 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: I3 | **Primary Instructor: Iacono,John** | **Response Rate: 29/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.9%	93.1%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.4%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	65.5%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	17.9%	64.3%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.4%	13.8%	20.7%	55.2%	6.9%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	65.5%		29

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.4%			34.5%	62.1%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	10.3%	72.4%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	3.4%	3.4%		27.6%	65.5%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	3.4%			13.8%	82.8%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	3.4%		6.9%	20.7%	69.0%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	3.4%			13.8%	82.8%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24869

CS-GY 9053: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Langel,Brian** | **Response Rate: 32/34**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.1%	96.9%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.1%	96.9%		32

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		32
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		3.1%	3.1%		93.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		6.3%	9.4%	3.1%	81.3%		32
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**		3.1%			96.9%		32
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	15.6%	71.9%		32
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**		3.1%			96.9%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24870

CS-GY 9163: Application Security

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A** | **Response Rate: 18/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%	94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%	94.4%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%		38.9%	50.0%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%		22.2%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	16.7%	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	50.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	50.0%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%	27.8%	38.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	11.1%	5.6%		38.9%	44.4%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.6%		11.1%	27.8%	50.0%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 9163: Application Security

Class Number: 2225

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A** **Response Rate: 1/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2315

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Sahu,Sambit** **Response Rate: 19/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.3%		10.5%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		5.3%		5.3%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	5.3%			15.8%	78.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		5.3%			94.7%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	5.3%				94.7%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	5.3%				94.7%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2482

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: McIntosh,Suzanne** | **Response Rate: 35/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				2.9%	97.1%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				2.9%	97.1%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				5.7%	94.3%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.7%	94.3%		35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	85.7%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**			2.9%	5.7%	91.4%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		8.6%		20.0%	71.4%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.4%	88.6%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**		2.9%		2.9%	94.3%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		2.9%		11.4%	85.7%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 3159

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: F **Primary Instructor: Heron,Alexandra** **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 3159

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: F | **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Lucas** | **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24731

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 11/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		27.3%		27.3%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**			45.5%	18.2%	36.4%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		36.4%		45.5%	9.1%	9.1%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24859

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: INT2

Response Rate: 2/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25768

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: J | **Primary Instructor: Togelius,Julian** | **Response Rate: 21/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.2%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					95.2%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			4.8%	14.3%	66.7%	14.3%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		9.5%		38.1%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	23.8%	52.4%	9.5%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	23.8%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	76.2%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1151

CS-UY 394X: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: BK01 **Primary Instructor: Kadiu,Ardis** **Response Rate: 22/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	59.1%	4.5%	22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.5%		13.6%	27.3%	54.5%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	22.7%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		4.5%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	4.5%	22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	13.6%	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	63.6%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.5%		18.2%	4.5%	72.7%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	13.6%	4.5%		13.6%	68.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2544

CS-UY 394X: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: BK05 | **Primary Instructor: Togelius,Julian** | **Response Rate: 21/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.2%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					95.2%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			4.8%	14.3%	66.7%	14.3%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		9.5%		38.1%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	23.8%	52.4%	9.5%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	47.6%	23.8%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	76.2%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 3194

CS-UY 394X: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: BK09 **Primary Instructor: Heron,Alexandra** **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 3194

CS-UY 394X: SELECTED/SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: BK09 | **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Lucas** | **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1154

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Evan** | **Response Rate: 6/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**		50.0%	33.3%	16.7%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.7**	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		16.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	16.7%	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	50.0%			16.7%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		6
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.5**		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.5**		16.7%	50.0%		33.3%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.2**	33.3%		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1155

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: BLEC **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Evan** **Response Rate: 2/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1160

CS-UY 1122: Introduction to Computer Science

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Memon,Nasir** **Response Rate: 109/157**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.0%	87.2%	1.8%	109
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	93.5%	2.8%	107

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	0.9%	0.9%	11.9%	30.3%	53.2%	2.8%	109
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	1.9%	2.8%	17.6%	21.3%	53.7%	2.8%	108

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	3.7%	19.3%	10.1%	43.1%	22.0%	1.8%	109
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.9%	9.3%	6.5%	39.3%	41.1%	1.9%	107

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.8%	4.6%	8.3%	36.1%	48.1%		108
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	5.6%	12.0%	33.3%	17.6%	24.1%	7.4%	108

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	3.7%	8.3%	17.4%	36.7%	33.0%	0.9%	109

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	0.9%	1.8%	7.3%	38.5%	50.5%	0.9%	109
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	1.8%	2.8%	14.7%	31.2%	47.7%	1.8%	109
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	2.8%	5.5%	18.3%	32.1%	38.5%	2.8%	109

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1161

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** | **Response Rate: 30/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.3%	96.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%	96.6%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.7%	10.0%	83.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.3%	10.0%	33.3%	53.3%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.3%	3.4%	31.0%	55.2%		29

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.3%		16.7%	80.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		3.3%	26.7%	16.7%	50.0%	3.3%	30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.8**				24.1%	75.9%		29
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.8**				17.2%	79.3%	3.4%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	33.3%	43.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			6.9%	41.4%	51.7%		29
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		3.4%	3.4%	44.8%	48.3%		29
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	27.6%	31.0%		29
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			3.4%	51.7%	44.8%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24154

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Section: BLEC **Primary Instructor: Kletenik,Devorah** **Response Rate: 27/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.3%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.7%		96.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.4%	14.8%	77.8%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.4%	7.4%	44.4%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	40.7%	44.4%	3.7%	27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.4%	3.7%	25.9%	63.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	22.2%	48.1%	3.7%	27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.5**			15.4%	19.2%	65.4%		26
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	37.0%	40.7%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.7%			29.6%	66.7%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			7.7%	34.6%	57.7%		26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			11.5%	30.8%	57.7%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			15.4%	26.9%	57.7%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			7.7%	26.9%	65.4%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24154

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** | **Response Rate: 27/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.3%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.7%		96.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.4%	14.8%	77.8%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.4%	7.4%	44.4%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	40.7%	44.4%	3.7%	27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.4%	3.7%	25.9%	63.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	22.2%	48.1%	3.7%	27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.5**			15.4%	19.2%	65.4%		26
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	37.0%	40.7%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.7%			29.6%	66.7%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			7.7%	34.6%	57.7%		26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			11.5%	30.8%	57.7%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			15.4%	26.9%	57.7%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			7.7%	26.9%	65.4%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1162

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Section: CLEC **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** **Response Rate: 28/46**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	57.1%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.1%		32.1%	60.7%		28

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		7.1%	3.6%	25.0%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	3.6%	25.0%	57.1%	7.1%	28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		28
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	60.7%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.7%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	82.1%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	71.4%	3.6%	28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	57.1%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			3.6%	39.3%	57.1%		28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**			17.9%	42.9%	35.7%	3.6%	28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	32.1%	57.1%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 1918

Section: ELEC **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** **Response Rate: 19/42**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	36.8%	42.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			31.6%	21.1%	47.4%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			22.2%	27.8%	44.4%	5.6%	18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%		41.2%	47.1%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**			17.6%	41.2%	41.2%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**				52.9%	47.1%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24156

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Section: FLEC | **Primary Instructor: Kletenik,Devorah** | **Response Rate: 14/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		7.1%		64.3%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.1%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	7.1%			64.3%	28.6%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	7.1%		28.6%	28.6%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24156

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Section: FLEC | **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** | **Response Rate: 14/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		7.1%		64.3%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.1%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	7.1%			64.3%	28.6%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	7.1%		28.6%	28.6%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1169

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming** | **Response Rate: 16/43**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		12.5%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.3%		50.0%	37.5%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	18.8%	37.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**4.7**				30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	6.3%		12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		6.3%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	6.3%	16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1170

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming** | **Response Rate: 12/58**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	8.3%			58.3%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	8.3%		25.0%	41.7%	25.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.1**		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%		12
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		12
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**		16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		8.3%		58.3%	33.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1171

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Section: CLEC | **Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming** | **Response Rate: 14/50**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	92.9%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	50.0%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		14
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%		35.7%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	7.1%		14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	50.0%	21.4%		14
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		7.1%		71.4%	21.4%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1174

CS-UY 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M** | **Response Rate: 29/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.4%	93.1%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%	93.1%	3.4%	29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.4%		96.6%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		17.2%	3.4%	34.5%	41.4%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.4%	10.3%	37.9%	48.3%		29

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		3.4%	34.5%	17.2%	31.0%	13.8%	29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.5**			6.9%	31.0%	58.6%	3.4%	29
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.6**		3.4%		34.5%	62.1%		29
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.6**		3.4%		31.0%	65.5%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			24.1%	37.9%	37.9%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.4%	10.3%	27.6%	58.6%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	82.8%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.4%	10.3%	31.0%	55.2%		29

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.3**			13.8%	41.4%	44.8%		29
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.1**			31.0%	24.1%	41.4%	3.4%	29
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			13.8%	41.4%	44.8%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24199

CS-UY 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M** | **Response Rate: 20/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.0%	80.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	30.0%	40.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		10.0%	15.0%	30.0%	30.0%	15.0%	20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		5.0%		25.0%	70.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.0**		5.0%	25.0%	40.0%	30.0%		20
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.1**		5.0%	10.0%	55.0%	30.0%		20
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			5.0%	55.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1835

CS-UY 2164: INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMING IN C

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Evan** **Response Rate: 41/68**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.8%	78.0%	12.2%	41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.2%	82.9%	4.9%	41

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	9.8%	9.8%	14.6%	26.8%	34.1%	4.9%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	12.2%	19.5%	19.5%	39.0%	4.9%	4.9%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	22.0%	22.0%	19.5%	17.1%	14.6%	4.9%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	4.9%	26.8%	19.5%	36.6%	4.9%	7.3%	41

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	17.1%	26.8%	17.1%	19.5%	14.6%	4.9%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	22.0%	7.3%	24.4%	19.5%	19.5%	7.3%	41

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	12.2%	17.1%	24.4%	26.8%	14.6%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	26.8%	7.3%	9.8%	36.6%	14.6%	4.9%	41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.1**	45.0%	12.5%	17.5%	7.5%	7.5%	10.0%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	29.3%	14.6%	7.3%	26.8%	17.1%	4.9%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1919

CS-UY 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun** **Response Rate: 39/47**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		38

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.6%	7.7%	89.7%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.1%	12.8%	82.1%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.1%	20.5%	74.4%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.1%	5.1%	7.7%	82.1%		39

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.7%	25.6%	66.7%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.1%	5.1%	12.8%	30.8%	46.2%		39

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding binary and hexadecimal number systems.	**4.9**			2.6%	7.7%	87.2%	2.6%	39
Q28	Ability to design and analyze combinational circuits by using switching algebra and Karnaugh maps.	**4.7**			2.6%	20.5%	74.4%	2.6%	39
Q29	Understanding sequential circuits and their analysis.	**4.6**			2.6%	35.9%	59.0%	2.6%	39
Q30	Ability to use the finite-state machine technique to design and analyze digital systems	**4.4**			2.6%	53.8%	38.5%	5.1%	39
Q31	Ability to use programmable logic components and to analyze circuits with programmable components.	**4.5**			7.7%	35.9%	51.3%	5.1%	39

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	2.6%		10.3%	28.2%	59.0%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.1%	20.5%	71.8%	2.6%	39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			2.6%	7.7%	87.2%	2.6%	39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			5.1%	25.6%	66.7%	2.6%	39

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.5**			5.1%	35.9%	53.8%	5.1%	39
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.5**			5.1%	38.5%	51.3%	5.1%	39
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**		2.6%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%	5.1%	39
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.4**			12.8%	33.3%	46.2%	7.7%	39
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			5.1%	38.5%	51.3%	5.1%	39
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			5.1%	41.0%	48.7%	5.1%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1919

CS-UY 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun** **Response Rate: 39/47**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			7.7%	38.5%	48.7%	5.1%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1924

CS-UY 2214: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND ORGANIZATION

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun** **Response Rate: 49/74**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		47
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		45

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	87.5%		48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		2.1%	2.1%	16.7%	79.2%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	79.2%		48

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		4.2%	2.1%	6.3%	87.5%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.2%	6.3%	8.3%	14.6%	66.7%		48

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to design and analyze computer architecture.	**4.7**		2.0%		28.6%	69.4%		49
Q28	Ability to design and analyze an unpipelined central processing unit.	**4.6**		2.0%	2.0%	26.5%	69.4%		49
Q29	Understanding measuring computer performance.	**4.7**				34.7%	65.3%		49
Q30	Understanding pipelined central processing units.	**4.5**	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	30.6%	63.3%		49
Q31	Understanding a computer memory hierarchy.	**4.7**				30.6%	69.4%		49

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.1%		4.3%	27.7%	66.0%		47

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		4.2%		6.3%	89.6%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**		2.1%	2.1%	4.2%	91.7%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		4.2%		16.7%	79.2%		48

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A04	I have enhanced my ability to identify and formulate engineering problems.	**4.4**		4.1%	6.1%	32.7%	57.1%		49
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.4**		4.1%	6.1%	32.7%	57.1%		49
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	62.5%		48
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			6.1%	30.6%	63.3%		49
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		4.2%	6.3%	22.9%	66.7%		48

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1594

CS-UY 2413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Hellerstein,Lisa** | **Response Rate: 40/56**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.0%	5.0%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%	92.5%	5.0%	40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.5%	7.5%	90.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	20.0%	67.5%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		2.5%	7.5%	32.5%	57.5%		40

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.0%	7.5%	40.0%	47.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		2.5%	5.0%	32.5%	60.0%		40

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		15.0%	12.5%	32.5%	40.0%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			5.0%	45.0%	50.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		7.5%	2.5%	17.5%	72.5%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			15.0%	32.5%	52.5%		40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2923

CS-UY 2413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Kletenik,Devorah** **Response Rate: 45/55**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.8%	2.2%	45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.6%	4.4%	45

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.4%	22.2%	73.3%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.2%	4.4%	17.8%	20.0%	53.3%	2.2%	45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.4%	2.2%	6.7%	42.2%	44.4%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		6.8%	9.1%	40.9%	43.2%		44

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.2%	2.2%	13.3%	35.6%	46.7%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	2.3%	4.5%	6.8%	29.5%	54.5%	2.3%	44

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	2.2%	6.7%	20.0%	37.8%	33.3%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.2%	4.4%	6.7%	37.8%	48.9%		45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	6.7%	4.4%	11.1%	28.9%	48.9%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	2.2%	6.7%	13.3%	31.1%	44.4%	2.2%	45

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1148

CS-UY 3083: Introduction to Databases

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis** **Response Rate: 34/46**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.9%	3.1%	32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.9%	5.9%	91.2%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.7%	5.9%	76.5%	2.9%	34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%		38.2%	55.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				32.4%	67.6%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			17.6%	26.5%	52.9%	2.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		2.9%	5.9%	47.1%	44.1%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.7%	85.3%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.8%	91.2%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.8%	91.2%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1917

CS-UY 3113: INTRO TO GAME PROGRAMMING

Section: BKLN | **Primary Instructor: Safrin,Ivan** | **Response Rate: 19/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%	94.4%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	10.5%	26.3%	15.8%	42.1%	5.3%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.3%		15.8%	21.1%	42.1%	15.8%	19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1175

CS-UY 3224: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTM

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel** **Response Rate: 38/55**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.6%	94.7%	2.6%	38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%	94.7%	2.6%	38

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.8%	81.6%	2.6%	38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.6%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	2.6%	18.4%	13.2%	26.3%	36.8%	2.6%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.6%	7.9%	7.9%	21.1%	57.9%	2.6%	38

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			10.5%	31.6%	55.3%	2.6%	38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	2.6%	2.6%	36.8%	26.3%	28.9%	2.6%	38

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	2.6%	10.5%	10.5%	34.2%	39.5%	2.6%	38

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.4%	27.0%	64.9%	2.7%	37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			7.9%	31.6%	57.9%	2.6%	38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.9%	7.9%	31.6%	50.0%	2.6%	38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24872

CS-UY 3254: Introduction to Parallel and Distributed Systems

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** | **Response Rate: 24/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.2**	37.5%	12.5%	33.3%	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**			58.3%	4.2%	12.5%	25.0%	24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		8.3%	12.5%	37.5%	41.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	20.8%	45.8%	8.3%	24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.2%		12.5%	45.8%	33.3%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			12.5%	16.7%	70.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		4.2%		4.2%	91.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		8.3%	8.3%	20.8%	62.5%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 3913: JAVA AND WEB DESIGN

Class Number: 1149

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel** **Response Rate: 45/62**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.8%	2.2%	45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		44

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.4%		15.6%	80.0%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	2.2%		8.9%	17.8%	66.7%	4.4%	45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	2.2%	17.8%	4.4%	31.1%	44.4%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		45

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	4.4%	2.2%		35.6%	57.8%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.7%		17.8%	17.8%	48.9%	8.9%	45

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.2%	6.7%	33.3%	57.8%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.2%	4.4%		28.9%	64.4%		45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		4.4%	2.2%	20.0%	71.1%	2.2%	45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%		28.9%	66.7%		45

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 3923: COMPUTER SECURITY

Class Number: 24863

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rathie,Ian Leighton

Response Rate: 21/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			4.8%	47.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			19.0%	23.8%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		9.5%		52.4%	38.1%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		9.5%		28.6%	61.9%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			9.5%	57.1%	33.3%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.5%	52.4%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			4.8%	42.9%	52.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		4.8%		47.6%	47.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Class Number: 1150

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mak,Phillip

Response Rate: 25/52

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%		25

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Class Number: 24864

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 20/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.0%	20.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		25.0%	10.0%	15.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	5.0%		10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4523: Design Project

Class Number: 1176

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 37/54

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				29.7%	70.3%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				19.4%	80.6%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		2.7%	5.4%	16.2%	75.7%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	2.7%		5.4%	21.6%	67.6%	2.7%	37

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		2.7%	10.8%	37.8%	48.6%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		5.4%	29.7%	24.3%	37.8%	2.7%	37

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.4%	2.7%	32.4%	59.5%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.7%		27.0%	70.3%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			8.1%	21.6%	70.3%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			10.8%	29.7%	59.5%		37

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.5**			9.4%	31.3%	59.4%		32
A12	I have enhanced my ability to design a digital system that meets the desired speed, space and cost constraints.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		32
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.5**	3.1%		3.1%	28.1%	65.6%		32
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			12.5%	21.9%	65.6%		32
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			6.3%	28.1%	65.6%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24134

CS-UY 4533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Chiang,Yi-jen** **Response Rate: 20/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		10.0%	15.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		30.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24143

CS-UY 4553: Game Design

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 15/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	71.4%	21.4%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	66.7%	13.3%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.7%	20.0%	66.7%	6.7%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**			40.0%	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	26.7%	20.0%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4613: Artificial Intelligence

Class Number: 24861

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming

Response Rate: 46/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%	95.7%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	95.7%		46

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.2%	8.7%	2.2%	26.1%	60.9%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	15.2%	65.2%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%		26.1%	65.2%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	63.0%		46

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		13.0%	6.5%	28.3%	52.2%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		2.2%	8.7%	28.3%	60.9%		46

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.2%	15.2%	2.2%	34.8%	45.7%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	23.9%	56.5%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	6.5%	6.5%	10.9%	19.6%	56.5%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.5%	2.2%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4753: Application Security

Class Number: 24871

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A** **Response Rate: 18/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%	94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%	94.4%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%		38.9%	50.0%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%		22.2%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	16.7%	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	50.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	50.0%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%	27.8%	38.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	11.1%	5.6%		38.9%	44.4%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.6%		11.1%	27.8%	50.0%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4783: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 24145

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 35/40

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.7%	2.9%	40.0%	51.4%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		2.9%	14.3%	34.3%	48.6%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		5.7%	8.6%	22.9%	62.9%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	8.6%		11.4%	22.9%	57.1%		35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.9%	2.9%	20.0%	34.3%	37.1%	2.9%	35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.9%		20.0%	31.4%	45.7%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.7%	11.4%	14.3%	45.7%	22.9%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	5.9%		17.6%	38.2%	38.2%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	2.9%	8.8%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	5.9%	8.8%	29.4%	26.5%	29.4%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24865

CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sullivan,George A.** | **Response Rate: 21/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	90.5%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	4.8%		4.8%	14.3%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.8%		9.5%	14.3%	61.9%	9.5%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.8%			33.3%	57.1%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%		47.6%	33.3%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	9.5%	4.8%		23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		9.5%	14.3%	52.4%	19.0%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	9.5%		14.3%	19.0%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	47.6%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 24866

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 27/43

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.4%	18.5%	74.1%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	7.4%	7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	51.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	7.4%	3.7%		14.8%	74.1%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.7%		18.5%	77.8%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24867

CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue** | **Response Rate: 33/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.0%	3.0%	33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.2%	84.8%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	33.3%	48.5%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	72.7%		33

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.0%	3.0%	30.3%	63.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	30.3%	60.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	27.3%	63.6%	3.0%	33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	15.2%	75.8%	3.0%	33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	69.7%	3.0%	33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1353

EE-UY 136: Communication Networks

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong** | **Response Rate: 29/62**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%	92.9%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.2%	79.3%	3.4%	29
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.7%	17.2%	58.6%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.4%	6.9%	27.6%	58.6%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		3.4%	17.2%	31.0%	44.8%	3.4%	29

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.9%		31.0%	58.6%	3.4%	29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.4%		24.1%	17.2%	51.7%	3.4%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	31.0%	34.5%	3.4%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			6.9%	48.3%	41.4%	3.4%	29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	65.5%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		3.4%	6.9%	41.4%	44.8%	3.4%	29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1358

EE-UY 1002: INTRODUCTION TO ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.** **Response Rate: 2/51**

C01-TA - The Teacher Assistants should be more organized with the labs- the labs, especially the one involving the Capture software, felt disorganized and unplanned - In addition, the Teacher Assistants should have the materials needed to complete the lab in abundance. There were many instances during which many students including myself had to skip a certain experiment or were stalled on a certain experiment because there were not enough welders or tools to go around. - Even so, the Teacher Assistants did provide a good amount of help to students when they were confused or stuck on an experiment or a problem.

C01-TA TA/qs weren/qt bad but course itself was very disorganized and the professor was seldom available.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.8%			90.2%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.3%			90.2%	2.4%	41

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.9%	7.3%	19.5%	68.3%		41
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	4.9%	7.3%	24.4%	19.5%	43.9%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	7.3%	24.4%	14.6%	39.0%	14.6%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	2.4%	9.8%	9.8%	51.2%	26.8%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.4%	7.3%	17.1%	43.9%	29.3%		41
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.9%	9.8%	4.9%	29.3%	51.2%		41

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		4.9%	22.0%	26.8%	46.3%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	4.9%	4.9%	14.6%	36.6%	39.0%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	2.4%	7.3%	9.8%	24.4%	56.1%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	7.3%	7.3%	14.6%	26.8%	41.5%	2.4%	41

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**3.3**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		7
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1470

EE-UY 1012: INTRO TO COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina** | **Response Rate: 34/51**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%	91.2%	5.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%	91.2%	2.9%	34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.1**	11.8%	26.5%	8.8%	41.2%	11.8%		34
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	8.8%	8.8%	29.4%	26.5%	26.5%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		5.9%	8.8%	50.0%	35.3%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	2.9%	2.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	14.7%	23.5%	29.4%	26.5%	5.9%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	8.8%	14.7%	17.6%	38.2%	20.6%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	20.6%	26.5%	17.6%	32.4%	2.9%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	14.7%	17.6%	29.4%	23.5%	11.8%	2.9%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.4**	29.4%	20.6%	23.5%	23.5%		2.9%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.6**	23.5%	20.6%	32.4%	20.6%	2.9%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Class Number: 1359

Section: ALEC **Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan** **Response Rate: 1/44**

C01-TA HE WAS ABSOLUTELY TERRIBLE. Fire him. HE does not help at all.

C01-TA TA had no interest in helping students. He was very unorganized which made 50min recitation inefficient.

C01-TA The structure the TA used for grading was absurd. For exams to only be composed of 2 questions, with no partial credit, is unreasonable and does not appropriately represent the students/q comprehension of the material. The TA needlessly overemphasized minor faults to take off full credit on questions, such as if we did not write the answer in engineering notation.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		15.4%			76.9%	7.7%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		15.4%			73.1%	11.5%	26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.8%		3.8%	19.2%	73.1%		26
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	34.6%	7.7%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	11.5%	26.9%	3.8%	26.9%	30.8%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	11.5%	11.5%	3.8%	46.2%	26.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	42.3%	26.9%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	11.5%	3.8%	23.1%	38.5%	19.2%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	7.7%		3.8%	30.8%	53.8%	3.8%	26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	7.7%	3.8%	3.8%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	15.4%		7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	7.7%	26

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%		6
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**1.8**	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%			16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1385

EE-UY 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Section: ALEC **Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.** **Response Rate: 2/68**

C01-TA The TA showed very little understanding of the material. Occasionally, during lab, while asking questions, he would just look at us then walk away without answering.

C01-TA There were two TAs but I only ever saw one.

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	92.9%	3.6%	56
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.8%	92.7%	5.5%	55

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			1.8%	7.1%	89.3%	1.8%	56
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		1.8%	5.4%	25.0%	64.3%	3.6%	56

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	12.5%	37.5%	7.1%	28.6%	12.5%	1.8%	56
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.8%	5.4%	17.9%	28.6%	44.6%	1.8%	56

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	1.8%		5.4%	32.1%	58.9%	1.8%	56
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	8.9%	8.9%	10.7%	35.7%	33.9%	1.8%	56

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.4%	8.9%	5.4%	46.4%	32.1%	1.8%	56

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	26.8%	64.3%	1.8%	56
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				19.6%	76.8%	3.6%	56
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%	33.9%	55.4%	3.6%	56

H1. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**3.3**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%		12
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**3.1**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%		12
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1502

EE-UY 2613: FUND OF ELEC PWR ENG FOR NON EE STUDENTS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Kalsi,Swarn** | **Response Rate: 7/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	57.1%	42.9%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		42.9%	14.3%	42.9%			7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.4**	57.1%	42.9%					7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%			7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.1**	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%			7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.1**	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%			7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1388

EE-UY 3054: Signals and Systems

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

C01-TA The TA seemed knowledgeable in the course material, but he spoke very softly and it was hard to hear him even in the front row.

C01-TA Very knowledgeable and understanding.

C01-TA Very helpful during lab.

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		89.5%	10.5%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		89.5%	10.5%	19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	78.9%	5.3%	19
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			21.1%	21.1%	47.4%	10.5%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	52.6%	10.5%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			21.1%	31.6%	42.1%	5.3%	19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	57.9%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.3%		15.8%	36.8%	36.8%	5.3%	19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	42.1%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%	10.5%	19

H1. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3064: Feedback Control

Class Number: 1905

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad** **Response Rate: 2/29**

C01-TA Hamed was really helpful and friendly, he helped me have a practical understanding of the course content.

C01-TA Give TAs a raise.

C01-TA Hamed was a great TA, but I wish that he would have been a bit more involved in helping all the groups.

C01-TA Hossein was a fair TA and was very understanding. The other TA was very rude and dismissive.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%	95.5%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	27.3%	22.7%	4.5%	22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	27.3%	18.2%	22.7%	18.2%	9.1%	4.5%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	22.7%	27.3%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	31.8%	36.4%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	50.0%	27.3%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	18.2%	13.6%	22.7%	31.8%	13.6%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	18.2%	4.5%	27.3%	18.2%	31.8%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	18.2%	4.5%	13.6%	36.4%	27.3%		22

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Class Number: 1390

Section: ALEC **Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.** **Response Rate: 1/26**

C01-TA Probably the best TA I/qve had in any EE class so far.

C01-TA Erdam is one of the best TA/qs I/qve had for any subject at poly, extremely knowledgeable, helpful, understanding, and friendly

C01-TA Overall a kind and friendly person. Always came to class with a quote to inspire us. Never rushed the learning process, always taught in a timely manner and would go back to explain if he saw that the class was confused or did not completely understand something. Always attempted to answer any and all questions in an easy going and interactive way. Which made it easy to learn and made going to class at 8am on Fridays more desirable.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1915

EE-UY 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.** | **Response Rate: 2/28**

C01-TA Give TAs a raise.

C01-TA Ashkan was the greatest TA I have had at Poly so far. He was so helpful and knowledgable and made the lab really fun.

C01-TA Very good TA. Made the class enjoyable and easier.

C01-TA Ashkam Aghdai is AWESOME !!!

C01-TA Ashkan was an awesome TA and deserves any and all praise/recognition. He definitely aided my learning experience!

C01-TA Ashkan is a great TA. He was very knowledgeable of the subject area and was always willing to help. He was there on time and solved every problem asked.

C01-TA great guy

C01-TA Ashkan is a great TA. Extremely helpful during the lab and has a great sense of humor.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2318

EE-UY 3193: Introduction to Very Large Scale Integrated Circuits

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth** | **Response Rate: 7/49**

C01-TA Great TA,

C01-TA Maria is a fantastic TA

C01-TA The TA was extremely nice and always helpful. One of the best TAs I/qve had so far in my studies.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.7%			90.7%	4.7%	43
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.7%	2.3%	43

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.3%	11.4%	86.4%		44
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		2.3%	15.9%	15.9%	65.9%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	2.3%	25.0%	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		2.3%	13.6%	31.8%	52.3%		44

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		44
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.1%	6.8%	20.5%	31.8%	29.5%	2.3%	44

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.5%	11.4%	38.6%	45.5%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.3%			31.8%	65.9%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	9.1%	81.8%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	2.3%	4.5%	9.1%	25.0%	59.1%		44

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1393

EE-UY 3404: Fundamentals of Communication Theory

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Erkip,Elza** **Response Rate: 3/22**

C01-TA Farideh was an incredible TA and a master of her subject/craft. I would definitely love to have a class with her again!

C01-TA Farideh was rough with our class in the beginning of the semester, but eventually she loosened up and became really cool. Extremely knowledgeable in this topic and great at teaching us convolutional coding.

C01-TA great TA.

C01-TA TA was not very welcoming, difficult to approach

C01-TA awesome TA! Thanks a lot for all the help!

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					94.4%	5.6%	18
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	5.6%	61.1%	16.7%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		5.6%		66.7%	27.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			22.2%	27.8%	50.0%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	44.4%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		16.7%	11.1%	38.9%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18

H1. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 25580

EE-UY 3474: Introduction to Modern Optics

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Arnold,Stephen** **Response Rate: 2/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 1396

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping** **Response Rate: 2/38**

C01-TA The lecture TA Huei Jie Jhang was available outside the class whenever I needed. She tries her best to help. However, she heavily relies on the book and solution manual.

C01-TA TA should be more accessible

C01-TA Lab and Class TA/qs were very helpful.

C01-TA Give TAs a raise.

C01-TA This TA did not seems to know any more than just the procedure. He could not answer any questions from the lab manual.

C01-TA I don/qt know much about this TA/these TAs because they didn/qt help too much. Although I did not reach out to them individually, this course was just not taught or designed well to promote any learning objectives. Maybe the TAs were good, but I would never know with this class/this professor.

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%		14
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**3.3**		30.8%	30.8%	15.4%	23.1%		13
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1397

EE-UY 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Section: A1LL | **Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping** | **Response Rate: 25/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	24.0%	68.0%	8.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	32.0%	60.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		4.0%	12.0%	28.0%	52.0%	4.0%	25
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**	12.0%	32.0%	24.0%	20.0%	4.0%	8.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	4.0%	12.0%	12.0%	48.0%	16.0%	8.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	12.0%	52.0%	16.0%	16.0%	4.0%		25

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.4**	20.0%	36.0%	32.0%	12.0%			25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	16.0%	20.0%	32.0%	28.0%	4.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	16.7%	41.7%	20.8%	12.5%	8.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	24.0%	16.0%	20.0%	36.0%	4.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.5**	24.0%	24.0%	36.0%	12.0%	4.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.7**	28.0%	12.0%	32.0%	20.0%	8.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Class Number: 24198

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

C01-TA Kuwang and Ashrar both were pretty knowledgeable of the subject matter. However, I felt Kuwang was unnecessarily strict sometimes.

C01-TA One of the TAs was habitually late by an hour to the head.

C01-TA A little vague on due dates, very knowledgable but too strict

C01-TA Very good TAs

C01-TA TA/qs were very knowledgeable but were not very accepting of asking questions. Even though they said it themselves that everyone should have questions, they were met with attitudes at times. Cool guys, knew a lot of material, but were not very nice in terms of questions. Questions about calculations were met with responses such as /qqThis is part of the grade for your paper, we can/qt answer it until after you submit it/qq or /qqYou should know the answer to that so move on/qq.

C01-TA Give TAs a raise.

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

H1. TA Evaluation		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 25627

EE-UY 4283: Wireless Information Systems Laboratory II

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.** | **Response Rate: 1/9**

C01-TA Helpful and cooperative

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H1. TA Evaluation		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R21	The TA was knowledgeable in the subject matter.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
R22	The TA was helpful in answering questions and improving understanding.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
R23	The TA was sufficiently accessible and available.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24852

EL-GY 5213: Introduction to Systems Engineering

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Hayel,Yezekael** | **Response Rate: 3/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1373

EL-GY 5223: Sensor Based Robotics

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Krishnamurthy,Prashanth** **Response Rate: 23/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%	95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.7%	87.0%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		17.4%		34.8%	47.8%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		17.4%		26.1%	56.5%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		17.4%		30.4%	52.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1381

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Section: ILEC | **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue** | **Response Rate: 48/75**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.8%	2.2%	46

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.1%	8.3%	4.2%	25.0%	60.4%		48
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	2.1%		12.8%	17.0%	68.1%		47

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.3%	17.0%	14.9%	63.8%		47
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	2.1%	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	68.8%		48

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.1%	10.6%	6.4%	27.7%	53.2%		47
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.4%	6.4%		21.3%	66.0%		47

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.1%	6.3%	8.3%	29.2%	52.1%	2.1%	48

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.1%	2.1%	8.3%	27.1%	60.4%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	2.1%	6.3%	14.6%	18.8%	56.3%	2.1%	48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	2.1%	2.1%	8.3%	27.1%	58.3%	2.1%	48

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1401

EL-GY 5463: Introduction to RF/Microwave Integrated Circuits

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K** | **Response Rate: 9/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1403

EL-GY 5553: Physics of Quantum Computing

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 16/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1140

EL-GY 5663: Physics of Alternative Energy

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward** | **Response Rate: 17/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%	94.1%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	70.6%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	76.5%	5.9%	17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		11.8%		41.2%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%		35.3%	52.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		11.8%	5.9%	52.9%	29.4%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6013: Digital Communications

Class Number: 2891

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai** **Response Rate: 21/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	42.9%	38.1%		21
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	28.6%	23.8%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.0%		10.0%	40.0%	45.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	28.6%	9.5%	23.8%	19.0%	19.0%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.3%	9.5%	4.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	9.5%	33.3%	14.3%	4.8%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	19.0%	9.5%	28.6%	33.3%	9.5%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	19.0%		9.5%	52.4%	14.3%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2893

EL-GY 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.** | **Response Rate: 28/99**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	82.1%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.8%	14.8%	70.4%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	81.5%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	82.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	3.7%			7.4%	88.9%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2894

EL-GY 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.** | **Response Rate: 3/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1417

EL-GY 6123: Image and Video Processing

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao** | **Response Rate: 20/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	94.7%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.8%	73.7%	10.5%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	45.0%	10.0%	20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.3%		47.4%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20.0%	35.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.0%		5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1418

EL-GY 6233: System Optimization Method

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan** | **Response Rate: 17/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		23.5%	17.6%	17.6%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1634

EL-GY 6253: Linear Systems

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad** **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2895

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna** | **Response Rate: 20/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.0%			95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.3%			89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.0%		45.0%	50.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		5.0%	15.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2896

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang** | **Response Rate: 1/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2851

EL-GY 6333: Detection and Estimation Theory

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep** **Response Rate: 19/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	10.5%			15.8%	73.7%		19
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	10.5%		5.3%	10.5%	73.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	10.5%	5.3%		10.5%	73.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	10.5%			15.8%	73.7%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	10.5%			21.1%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	10.5%	10.5%	5.3%	26.3%	47.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	5.3%	5.3%		15.8%	73.7%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%		26.3%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1422

EL-GY 6383: High-Speed Networks

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra** | **Response Rate: 59/86**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.7%	98.3%		59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%	93.2%	1.7%	59

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		3.4%	3.4%	42.4%	50.8%		59
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		3.4%	8.5%	39.0%	49.2%		59

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	1.7%	3.4%	3.4%	20.3%	71.2%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.4%	6.8%	20.3%	69.5%		59

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	1.7%	1.7%	6.8%	44.1%	45.8%		59
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	1.7%	1.7%	8.5%	40.7%	47.5%		59

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.1%	5.1%	42.4%	47.5%		59

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	1.7%	1.7%	5.1%	32.2%	59.3%		59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	40.7%	54.2%		59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		1.7%	3.4%	44.1%	50.8%		59

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24973

EL-GY 6403: Fundamentals of Analog Integrated Circuit Design

Section: I **Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD** **Response Rate: 6/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		50.0%	16.7%		33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24107

EL-GY 6463: ADVANCED HARDWARE DESIGN

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Stern,Richard** **Response Rate: 2/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24190

EL-GY 6483: Real Time Embedded Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Fund,Fraida** | **Response Rate: 60/65**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	90.0%	1.7%	60
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		60

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	3.3%	10.0%	8.3%	31.7%	46.7%		60
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			3.3%	28.3%	68.3%		60

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.3%	5.0%	5.0%	46.7%	40.0%		60
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		60

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	3.4%	11.9%	8.5%	32.2%	44.1%		59
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.3%	8.3%	11.7%	30.0%	46.7%		60

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.7%	18.3%	15.0%	23.3%	36.7%		60

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	1.7%	6.7%	5.0%	33.3%	53.3%		60
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	3.3%	10.0%	8.3%	40.0%	38.3%		60
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	11.7%	30.0%	43.3%		60

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24108

EL-GY 6653: Power System Stability

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: De Leon,Francisco** | **Response Rate: 24/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	95.7%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			12.5%	16.7%	70.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		16.7%	4.2%	12.5%	62.5%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		12.5%		16.7%	70.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	33.3%	58.3%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2461

EL-GY 6673: RESONANT POWER CONVERTERS

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz** | **Response Rate: 1/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2854

EL-GY 6683: Electric Drives

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan** | **Response Rate: 16/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%	25.0%		43.8%	25.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	37.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1423

EL-GY 6723: ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION AND ANTENNAS

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K** **Response Rate: 6/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2897

EL-GY 7133: Digital Signal Processing II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W** | **Response Rate: 16/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				31.3%	62.5%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1425

EL-GY 7253: State Space Design for Linear Control Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad** | **Response Rate: 10/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	10.0%		10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**		10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24111

EL-GY 7363: Network Design and Algorithms

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong** | **Response Rate: 50/52**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.0%				92.0%		50
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.2%				87.8%		49

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	10.0%		2.0%	24.0%	64.0%		50
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	10.0%	2.0%	14.0%	18.0%	56.0%		50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	6.0%	8.0%	4.0%	24.0%	58.0%		50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.0%		4.0%	34.0%	54.0%		50

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	10.0%	12.0%	4.0%	22.0%	52.0%		50
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	16.0%	6.0%	2.0%	20.0%	56.0%		50

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	24.0%	8.0%	10.0%	24.0%	34.0%		50

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	16.0%	8.0%	10.0%	20.0%	46.0%		50
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	12.0%		2.0%	26.0%	60.0%		50
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	26.0%	10.0%	6.0%	22.0%	36.0%		50

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 25232

EL-GY 9173: SEL TOPCS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bello,Juan** | **Response Rate: 8/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		25.0%		12.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	12.5%		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 25753

EL-GY 9223: SEL TOP: CTRL ENGRNG

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Hayel,Yezekael** **Response Rate: 10/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		10.0%	20.0%		60.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 25753

EL-GY 9223: SEL TOP: CTRL ENGRNG

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan** | **Response Rate: 10/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		10.0%	20.0%		60.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24112

EL-GY 9333: SEL TPCS IN TELECOMM & NTWK FROM INFO SYS

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan** **Response Rate: 1/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2057

EL-GY 9423: ST: COMP ELEC DEVICES & SYSTEM

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Sinanoglu,Ozgur** **Response Rate: 31/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%	96.8%		31

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.5%	25.8%	67.7%		31
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		6.5%	3.2%	22.6%	64.5%	3.2%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	3.2%	9.7%	3.2%	19.4%	64.5%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.2%	12.9%	6.5%	12.9%	64.5%		31

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.9%	9.7%	3.2%	29.0%	45.2%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.5%	6.5%	9.7%	25.8%	48.4%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	12.9%	19.4%		32.3%	35.5%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.2%	12.9%	3.2%	9.7%	71.0%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	9.7%	6.5%	6.5%	12.9%	64.5%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	22.6%	58.1%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24113

EL-GY 9643: SELECTED TOPICS IN POWER ENGINEERING

Section: A

Response Rate: 8/8

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1325

FIN-UY 2003: Economic Foundations of Finance

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nyambuu,Unurjargal** | **Response Rate: 3/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1326

FIN-UY 2203: Corporate Finance and Financial Markets

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Cheng,Jierong** **Response Rate: 5/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 24325

FIN-UY 3503: OPERATIONAL RISK MODELING & ANALYTICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Cheng,Jierong** **Response Rate: 1/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1327

FRE-GY 6003: Financial Accounting

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M** **Response Rate: 1/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2782

FRE-GY 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S** | **Response Rate: 3/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2806

FRE-GY 6031: Money, Banking and Financial Markets

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul** | **Response Rate: 1/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1328

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes** | **Response Rate: 1/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE-GY 6091: Financial Econometrics

Class Number: 2807

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Novomestky,Frederick** **Response Rate: 1/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Class Number: 2784

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Bernard,Lucas

Response Rate: 2/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1330

FRE-GY 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Section: I1 | **Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele** | **Response Rate: 1/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1331

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Galy,Sebastien** | **Response Rate: 2/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2088

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Daniel** **Response Rate: 1/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 24386

FRE-GY 6153: FOUNDATIONS OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy** **Response Rate: 2/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2812

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald** **Response Rate: 1/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2813

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald** **Response Rate: 1/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2814

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Section: I3 **Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald** **Response Rate: 1/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 25066

FRE-GY 6303: Dynamic Assets and Option Pricing

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes** **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2816

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Alizadeh,Sassan** **Response Rate: 1/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2261

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo** **Response Rate: 2/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%	50.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2817

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Section: I3 | **Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo** | **Response Rate: 1/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE-GY 6451: BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

Class Number: 2340

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Maymin,Philip Z** | **Response Rate: 1/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2098

FRE-GY 6811: FINANCIAL SOFTWARE LABORATORY

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Yarmish,Jason Andrew** **Response Rate: 1/20**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2075

FRE-GY 6831: COMPUTATIONAL FINANCE LABORATORY

Section: I1 **Primary Instructor: Rubinraut,Moise** **Response Rate: 1/18**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1838

FRE-GY 6831: COMPUTATIONAL FINANCE LABORATORY

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Rubinraut,Moise** **Response Rate: 1/16**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 24405

FRE-GY 6883: Financial Computing

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Tang,Song** **Response Rate: 2/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1987

FRE-GY 7221: BIG DATA IN FINANCE

Section: I1 **Primary Instructor: Golde,Saar D** **Response Rate: 1/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2827

FRE-GY 7251: ALGORITHMIC TRADING & HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCE

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Schmidt,Anatoly** **Response Rate: 1/5**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2828

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Section: J1 **Primary Instructor: Matthei,Warren D.** **Response Rate: 1/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 24410

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Section: J4 **Primary Instructor: Paul,Asha** **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1001: Engineering and Technology Forum

Class Number: 1620

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Lefer,David G** **Response Rate: 290/313**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.3%	76.4%	7.3%	288
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.6%	81.1%	5.2%	286

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	0.7%	3.8%	15.2%	29.0%	49.3%	2.1%	290
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.8%	24.6%	25.3%	42.6%	3.1%	289

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	8.6%	10.7%	22.1%	24.1%	32.4%	2.1%	290
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.0%	2.1%	24.2%	27.0%	43.6%	2.1%	289

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.1%	7.6%	14.8%	32.1%	39.0%	2.4%	290
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	7.9%	8.6%	26.6%	24.1%	25.5%	7.2%	290

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	After taking this course I have a better understanding of what invention, innovation, and entrepreneurship mean.	**4.2**	1.8%	2.9%	9.7%	39.7%	43.0%	2.9%	277
Q28	The guest lectures exposed me to new concepts and ideas I would not have encountered elsewhere.	**4.2**	0.7%	4.0%	10.5%	37.5%	44.8%	2.5%	277
Q29	After taking this course, I am more likely to tackle problems in an innovative way.	**3.9**	2.5%	8.3%	19.1%	34.7%	32.5%	2.9%	277
Q30	This course has influenced my long-term educational or career goals.	**3.6**	6.9%	10.8%	22.0%	32.1%	26.0%	2.2%	277
Q31	After taking this course, I am more interested in starting my own venture.	**3.7**	7.6%	9.0%	19.9%	33.2%	27.8%	2.5%	277

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	2.1%	6.9%	21.0%	29.7%	36.6%	3.8%	290

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.2%	6.9%	13.4%	36.9%	33.4%	3.1%	290
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	2.1%	5.5%	15.2%	31.8%	42.9%	2.4%	289
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	9.7%	9.7%	16.0%	29.5%	32.6%	2.4%	288

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1868

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1868

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1868

Section: A1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1868

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1868

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1868

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1299

Section: A2LC | **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1299

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1299

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1299

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1299

Section: A2LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1299

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1871

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1871

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1871

Section: A3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1871

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1871

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1871

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: A3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1874

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1874

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1874

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1874

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1874

Section: B1LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1874

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24234

Section: B2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24234

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24234

Section: B2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24234

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24234

Section: B2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24234

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24238

Section: B3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24238

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24238

Section: B3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24238

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24238

Section: B3LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24238

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: B3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1877

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1877

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1877

Section: C1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			0.7%			96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			9.7%			89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1877

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1877

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1877

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1302

Section: C2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1302

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1302

Section: C2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1302

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1302

Section: C2LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1302

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1880

Section: C3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1880

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1880

Section: C3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1880

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1880

Section: C3LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1880

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: C3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1305

Section: D1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1305

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1305

Section: D1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1305

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1305

Section: D1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1305

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1308

Section: D2LC | **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1308

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1308

Section: D2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1308

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1308

Section: D2LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1308

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24241

Section: D3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24241

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24241

Section: D3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24241

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24241

Section: D3LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24241

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: D3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1311

Section: E1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1311

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1311

Section: E1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1311

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1311

Section: E1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1311

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1314

Section: E2LC | **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1314

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1314

Section: E2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1314

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1314

Section: E2LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1314

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1317

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E3LC | **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1317

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1317

Section: E3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1317

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1317

Section: E3LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 1317

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: E3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 2562

Section: G1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 2562

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 2562

Section: G1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 2562

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 2562

Section: G1LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 2562

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24244

Section: G2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24244

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24244

Section: G2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24244

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24244

Section: G2LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24244

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24247

Section: G3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24247

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24247

Section: G3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24247

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24247

Section: G3LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24247

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: G3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24250

Section: K1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24250

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K1LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24250

Section: K1LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24250

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K1LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24250

Section: K1LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24250

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K1LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24253

Section: K2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24253

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K2LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24253

Section: K2LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24253

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K2LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24253

Section: K2LC | **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** | **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24253

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K2LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24256

Section: K3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24256

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K3LC **Primary Instructor: Bringardner,Jack** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24256

Section: K3LC

Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%				96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%				89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24256

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K3LC **Primary Instructor: Cordista,James Dimitrie** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24256

Section: K3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	0.7%	96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.7%	89.6%	0.7%	134

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

Class Number: 24256

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Section: K3LC **Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W** **Response Rate: 139/216**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

Class Number: 1287

BI-GY 7553: Bioinformatics III: Functional Prediction

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Seto,Jeremy** **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

Class Number: 3205

BI-GY 7643: COMPUTATIONAL TOOLS PERL & BIOPERL

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Brathwaite,Mgavi Elombe** | **Response Rate: 2/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1104

MA-GY 6223: Elements of Real Analysis II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong** | **Response Rate: 2/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1103

MA-GY 7043: Linear Algebra II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lutwak,Erwin** | **Response Rate: 2/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24455

MA-GY 7763: Topics in Statistics I

Section: I

Response Rate: 1/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2063

MA-UY 914: Pre-calculus

Section: L **Primary Instructor: Feklistova,Mariya** **Response Rate: 2/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1052

MA-UY 1054: Calculus I with Pre-calculus

Section: K | **Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex** | **Response Rate: 3/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1048

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T

Response Rate: 2/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1049

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: B2 **Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina** **Response Rate: 1/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1050

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: K **Primary Instructor: Esposito,Joseph F** **Response Rate: 1/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25049

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: K3

Response Rate: 2/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2160

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: L **Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken** **Response Rate: 1/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25050

MA-UY 1154: Calculus II with Pre-calculus

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe** | **Response Rate: 1/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1054

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken** **Response Rate: 5/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	20.0%		60.0%			20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1055

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: K | **Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna** | **Response Rate: 1/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1056

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: L | **Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel** | **Response Rate: 1/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1059

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel** **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24430

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: B2 **Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna** **Response Rate: 2/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1061

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: K2 | **Primary Instructor: Lo, Tsz Chung** | **Response Rate: 2/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24434

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey** **Response Rate: 4/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.3**	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%				4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24435

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: C2 | **Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel** | **Response Rate: 3/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24436

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: C3 | **Primary Instructor: Parekh,Ankit** | **Response Rate: 2/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24437

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: D **Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey** **Response Rate: 3/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24438

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: D2 | **Primary Instructor: Parekh,Ankit** | **Response Rate: 1/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24433

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: G2 | **Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel** | **Response Rate: 3/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**		66.7%	33.3%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1067

MA-UY 2054: Applied Business Data Analysis I

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang** | **Response Rate: 3/25**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
P. AACSB-As a result of taking tthis course:									
A47	I have learned foundation knowledge for business in Math & Statistics	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24439

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Pranayanuntana,Poramate** | **Response Rate: 2/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24440

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: B2 **Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh** **Response Rate: 1/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24441

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: B3 **Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane** **Response Rate: 3/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	33.3%		66.7%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.3**	33.3%		66.7%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24443

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: Pranayanuntana,Poramate** | **Response Rate: 4/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24444

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: E2 **Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh** **Response Rate: 4/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	25.0%				50.0%	25.0%	4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	25.0%			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.3**	50.0%	25.0%				25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			25.0%	4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	25.0%				50.0%	25.0%	4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24447

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: B2 **Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam** **Response Rate: 3/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%		33.3%		33.3%	3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	33.3%	33.3%				33.3%	3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24448

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: B3 **Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua** **Response Rate: 4/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24449

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: E **Primary Instructor: Wang,Letao** **Response Rate: 3/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24450

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: E2 **Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex** **Response Rate: 2/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24451

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Section: E3 **Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua** **Response Rate: 3/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Class Number: 24128

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur** **Response Rate: 35/82**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.7%	91.4%	2.9%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.7%	82.4%	2.9%	34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		2.9%	8.6%	20.0%	65.7%	2.9%	35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		14.3%	17.1%	25.7%	37.1%	5.7%	35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	11.4%	34.3%	8.6%	25.7%	17.1%	2.9%	35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	5.7%	5.7%	22.9%	31.4%	31.4%	2.9%	35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	17.1%	11.4%	28.6%	34.3%	5.7%	2.9%	35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	8.8%	11.8%	11.8%	32.4%	29.4%	5.9%	34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.3**	6.9%	3.4%	51.7%	17.2%	13.8%	6.9%	29
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	20.7%	3.4%	58.6%	10.3%	6.9%		29
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	34.5%	41.4%	17.2%	3.4%	3.4%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	17.6%	26.5%	17.6%	20.6%	14.7%	2.9%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	5.7%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	17.1%	5.7%	35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	8.6%	5.7%	28.6%	20.0%	34.3%	2.9%	35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	11.4%	20.0%	25.7%	22.9%	17.1%	2.9%	35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2673

MA-UY 2414: Basic Practice of Statistics for Social Science

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Liu,Shizhu** | **Response Rate: 5/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.4**	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%				5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24457

MA-UY 3022: PROBABILITY II

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh** **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24453

MA-UY 3113: Advanced Linear Algebra and Complex Variables

Section: K | **Primary Instructor: Miller,Edward** | **Response Rate: 6/43**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.4**	50.0%	33.3%				16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	16.7%			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	16.7%		33.3%		33.3%	16.7%	6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	16.7%			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25480

MA-UY 4413: APPLIED PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Yang,Yisong** | **Response Rate: 3/10**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%	33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		3
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25480

MA-UY 4413: APPLIED PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Yang,Yisong** **Response Rate: 3/10**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1469

MA-UY 4423: Introductory Numerical Analysis

Section: A **Primary Instructor: O'Neil,Michael** **Response Rate: 1/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1102

MA-UY 4623: ANALYSIS II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong** | **Response Rate: 6/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**			66.7%	16.7%		16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.6**		16.7%	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1265

AE-UY 4613: Aerodynamics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender** | **Response Rate: 15/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			6.7%		86.7%	6.7%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		26.7%		46.7%	26.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of potential flow theory	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q28	Know the characteristic parameters for airfoil and wing aerodynamics	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q29	Learned the fundamental of incompressible and compressible flow over wings, for both laminar and turbulent boundary layers	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q30	Learned how to design an airfoil to meet certain specification.	**4.1**		13.3%		53.3%	33.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		26.7%		53.3%	20.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			20.0%	46.7%	33.3%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

AE-UY 4633: Aerospace Propulsion

Class Number: 1266

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Kalkhoran,Iraj M** **Response Rate: 15/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		13.3%		26.7%	60.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of thermodynamics of breathing engines	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q28	Know about ramjet, turbojet and turbofan engines	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q29	Learned the fundamental of inlet and nozzle flows	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q30	Learned about the flow through compressors and turbines	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q31	Understand the performance of rocket vehicles	**5.0**					28.6%	71.4%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 23999

ME-GY 5103: BIOMEDICAL DYNAMIC FLUIDS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria** | **Response Rate: 7/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 3171

ME-GY 5813: RESEARCH & DESIGN METHODOLOGY & COMMUNICATION

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Walker,Peter** **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-GY 6153: THERMODYNAMICS OF HVAC SYSTEMS

Class Number: 1955

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali** | **Response Rate: 22/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.6%	86.4%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.7%	77.3%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	63.6%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			9.1%	13.6%	77.3%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	68.2%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%		27.3%	63.6%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	4.5%			18.2%	77.3%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Review of the thermodynamics principles	**4.5**		4.5%		40.9%	54.5%		22
Q28	In-Depth Psychometric analysis, air conditioning processes, HVAC systems, and indoor air quality	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q29	HVAC systems	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q30	Term Project	**4.4**	4.5%			40.9%	54.5%		22
Q31	Energy analysis and Bin Method. Analysis of absorption and direct expansion cooling systems	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	31.8%	54.5%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	77.3%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1956

ME-GY 6163: FLUID MECHANICS FOR HVAC SYSTEMS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali** | **Response Rate: 20/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Review of the fluid mechanics principles including fully developed internal flow	**4.7**				26.3%	63.2%	10.5%	19
Q28	Piping design: 1) pipe sizing methods, 2) pump performance analysis and selection, and 3) hydronic system design	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q29	Air distribution design: 1) air duct sizing methods, 2) fan performance analysis, elections and sizing, and 3) air variable volume systems	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q30	Term Project	**5.0**					100.0%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 24002

ME-GY 6513: Advanced Dynamics

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Kim,Joo Hyun** **Response Rate: 14/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.1%		57.1%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2708

ME-GY 7613: NON-LINEAR SYSTEMS: ANALYSIS & CONTROL

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Rizzo,Alessandro** **Response Rate: 5/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 24007

ME-GY 7863: SPECIAL TOPICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Kapila,Vikram** **Response Rate: 6/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2705

ME-GY 7863: SPECIAL TOPICS

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Kapila,Vikram** **Response Rate: 9/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			22.2%		77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1267

ME-UY 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said** | **Response Rate: 22/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	4.5%		9.1%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	45.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.5%	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		18.2%	13.6%	31.8%	36.4%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	9.1%	13.6%	4.5%	50.0%	22.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	9.1%		9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned consistent units; forces and moments.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q28	Learned about the materials response to application of force and engineering materials.	**4.5**			4.5%	36.4%	59.1%		22
Q29	Learned about fluids engineering and thermal & energy systems.	**4.2**		9.1%		50.0%	40.9%		22
Q30	Learned about motion and transmission.	**4.0**		9.1%	13.6%	40.9%	36.4%		22
Q31	Learned about ethical responsibilities of engineers.	**4.2**		4.5%	13.6%	40.9%	40.9%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	13.6%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%	4.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	40.9%	40.9%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	13.6%	9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	40.9%		22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	40.9%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.4**	13.6%	13.6%	9.1%	50.0%	13.6%		22
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**	4.5%		13.6%	50.0%	31.8%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1268

ME-UY 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said** | **Response Rate: 26/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%	95.8%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				54.2%	45.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	54.2%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned consistent units; forces and moments.	**4.7**				34.6%	65.4%		26
Q28	Learned about the materials response to application of force and engineering materials.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		26
Q29	Learned about fluids engineering and thermal & energy systems.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		26
Q30	Learned about motion and transmission.	**4.6**				42.3%	53.8%	3.8%	26
Q31	Learned about ethical responsibilities of engineers.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		16.7%	12.5%	33.3%	37.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	70.8%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	58.3%	4.2%	24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			3.8%	46.2%	50.0%		26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		26
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.6**			3.8%	34.6%	61.5%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1269

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Section: ALAB **Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.** **Response Rate: 16/24**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				37.5%	56.3%	6.3%	16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				37.5%	56.3%	6.3%	16

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	37.5%	6.3%	16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	31.3%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%	16

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				43.8%	50.0%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			31.3%	43.8%	25.0%		16

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		16
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 1842

Section: BLAB **Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.** **Response Rate: 12/20**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%		12

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			33.3%	8.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 1270

Section: LEC **Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.** **Response Rate: 28/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	64.3%	10.7%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.4%	71.4%	7.1%	28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		3.6%	17.9%	39.3%	39.3%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	17.9%	17.9%	25.0%	17.9%	17.9%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		3.6%	14.3%	42.9%	39.3%		28

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	71.4%	3.6%	28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			39.3%	32.1%	28.6%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	**4.7**				29.6%	70.4%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			10.7%	53.6%	35.7%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	3.6%		7.1%	32.1%	53.6%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				25.0%	71.4%	3.6%	28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			14.8%	48.1%	37.0%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1271

ME-UY 2211: Statics Laboratory

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** **Response Rate: 32/35**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%	15.6%	68.8%		32
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	6.3%	9.4%	3.1%	50.0%	31.3%		32

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.1%		6.3%	12.5%	78.1%		32
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**		3.1%	6.3%	12.5%	78.1%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	25.0%	65.6%		32
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	25.0%	56.3%		32

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	6.3%	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	65.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	21.9%	56.3%	3.1%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems	**4.5**			11.5%	30.8%	57.7%		26
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	19.2%	65.4%		26
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in statics problems	**4.4**		3.8%	11.5%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.6**			15.4%	11.5%	69.2%	3.8%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.3%		9.4%	25.0%	59.4%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			9.4%	21.9%	68.8%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	9.4%	6.3%	6.3%	9.4%	68.8%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		7.7%	11.5%	26.9%	53.8%		26
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.2**	3.8%		26.9%	11.5%	53.8%	3.8%	26
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.3**		3.8%	19.2%	19.2%	57.7%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		7.7%	11.5%	19.2%	61.5%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1946

ME-UY 2211: Statics Laboratory

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** **Response Rate: 35/36**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			2.9%	42.9%	54.3%		35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**	5.7%	14.3%	11.4%	34.3%	31.4%	2.9%	35

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		35
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	54.3%	2.9%	35
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	2.9%	11.4%	17.1%	42.9%	25.7%		35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		5.7%	8.6%	57.1%	28.6%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.8%	14.7%	32.4%	44.1%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems	**4.3**			6.1%	54.5%	39.4%		33
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.4**		3.1%	3.1%	46.9%	46.9%		32
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in statics problems	**4.5**			3.1%	43.8%	53.1%		32
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.3**	3.0%		6.1%	45.5%	39.4%	6.1%	33

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.7%	2.9%	57.1%	34.3%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		2.9%	5.7%	57.1%	34.3%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			2.9%	40.0%	57.1%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		2.9%	8.6%	45.7%	42.9%		35

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		6.1%	9.1%	57.6%	27.3%		33
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.0**	3.0%		9.1%	63.6%	21.2%	3.0%	33
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.1**	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	48.5%	36.4%		33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**	3.0%		9.1%	54.5%	33.3%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1272

ME-UY 2213: Statics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Park,Kee Moon** | **Response Rate: 14/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q28	Learned about particle and rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q29	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures; trusses, frames, &beams	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q30	Learned about friction and the method of virtual work	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q31	Learned about the need for life-long learning	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		7.1%			92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1947

ME-UY 2213: Statics

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Kim,Joo Hyun** | **Response Rate: 27/52**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	7.7%	23.1%	19.2%	34.6%	15.4%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		3.8%	3.8%	46.2%	46.2%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			3.8%	38.5%	57.7%		26

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		3.8%		57.7%	38.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			7.7%	19.2%	73.1%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.6**			7.4%	25.9%	66.7%		27
Q28	Learned about particle and rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q29	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures; trusses, frames, &beams	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
Q30	Learned about friction and the method of virtual work	**4.2**			14.8%	29.6%	33.3%	22.2%	27
Q31	Learned about the need for life-long learning	**4.6**	3.7%		3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.7%		57.7%	34.6%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				34.6%	61.5%	3.8%	26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			11.5%	42.3%	46.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		26

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				33.3%	63.0%	3.7%	27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			3.7%	25.9%	66.7%	3.7%	27
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			7.4%	29.6%	63.0%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1273

ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** **Response Rate: 6/8**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Class Number: 1274

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Dressaire,Emilie** **Response Rate: 8/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			37.5%		62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the concept of Stress and Strain, Normal stress & strain, Shear stress & strain, General state of stress, Design considerations, design of simple corrections	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	Understood Stress analysis, Materials behavior, constitutive relationship, Hooke's law, stress concentration, Transformation equations, Mohr's circle	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q29	Learned about axially loaded members, Torsion, Change of length, angle of twist, transmission of power by shafts statically indeterminate structure	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q30	Understood bending, Shear and moment diagram, shear force, transverse loading relationship, flexure formula	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q31	Learned about Deflection of beams, Differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, Castigliano's theorem	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1275

ME-UY 3223: Dynamics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria** | **Response Rate: 14/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		21.4%	28.6%	14.3%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	50.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q28	Learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q29	Learned modeling of systems of particles	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q30	Learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3233: Machine Design

Class Number: 1276

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said** **Response Rate: 30/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.3%	96.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	6.7%		10.0%	13.3%	70.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		6.7%		23.3%	70.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	6.7%	26.7%	6.7%	16.7%	43.3%		30

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	43.3%	36.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.3%	10.0%	30.0%	56.7%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the concepts on stress, strain, elastic and plastic ranges of material behavior, stress-strain relationship, and engineering materials	**4.6**		3.3%		26.7%	70.0%		30
Q28	Learned static failure theories for ductile and brittle materials	**4.5**		3.3%		36.7%	60.0%		30
Q29	Learned about application of static and dynamics failure theories to mechanical design problems	**4.5**		6.7%		30.0%	63.3%		30
Q30	Learned about the fundamentals of machine elements, such as columns, shafts, gears, and bearings	**4.4**		6.7%		36.7%	56.7%		30
Q31	Learned how to design mechanical systems based on a set of requirements	**4.2**	3.3%	6.7%	3.3%	43.3%	43.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	33.3%	46.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.7%	3.3%	46.7%	43.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	30.0%	50.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%	13.3%	20.0%	56.7%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	36.7%	56.7%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	36.7%	56.7%		30
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.4**		6.7%		36.7%	56.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1948

ME-UY 3233: Machine Design

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Park,Kee Moon** **Response Rate: 34/43**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	26.5%	67.6%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.8%	17.6%	73.5%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.7%	85.3%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		2.9%	11.8%	17.6%	67.6%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the concepts on stress, strain, elastic and plastic ranges of material behavior, stress-strain relationship, and engineering materials	**4.6**			2.9%	29.4%	67.6%		34
Q28	Learned static failure theories for ductile and brittle materials	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		34
Q29	Learned about application of static and dynamics failure theories to mechanical design problems	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34
Q30	Learned about the fundamentals of machine elements, such as columns, shafts, gears, and bearings	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34
Q31	Learned how to design mechanical systems based on a set of requirements	**4.5**			11.8%	26.5%	61.8%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		2.9%	8.8%	23.5%	64.7%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		2.9%	11.8%	20.6%	64.7%		34

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		34
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3311: Fluid Mechanics Laboratory

Class Number: 1277

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio** **Response Rate: 30/42**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**	6.7%	6.7%	3.3%	6.7%	76.7%		30

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		10.0%	3.3%	13.3%	73.3%		30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	10.0%	6.7%	3.3%	13.3%	66.7%		30

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	23.3%	63.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.4%	13.8%	6.9%	72.4%	3.4%	29

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in fluid mechanics.	**4.8**			3.3%	13.3%	83.3%		30
Q28	Ability to write effective reports.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		30
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in fluid mechanics experiments	**4.6**			10.3%	20.7%	69.0%		29

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		10.0%	3.3%	20.0%	66.7%		30

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	16.7%	73.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		6.7%	3.3%	13.3%	76.7%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.3%	13.3%	3.3%	80.0%		30

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		30
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	26.7%	63.3%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**	3.3%		3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3311: Fluid Mechanics Laboratory

Class Number: 1949

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio** **Response Rate: 33/32**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.0%	6.1%	90.9%		33
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			6.1%	12.1%	81.8%		33

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.1%	15.2%	24.2%	54.5%		33
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	3.0%	15.2%	15.2%	21.2%	45.5%		33

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			6.1%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		6.1%	3.0%	12.1%	78.8%		33

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in fluid mechanics.	**4.6**			6.1%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q28	Ability to write effective reports.	**4.5**			3.0%	45.5%	51.5%		33
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in fluid mechanics experiments	**4.5**			3.0%	39.4%	57.6%		33

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.0%	9.1%	27.3%	60.6%		33

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			6.1%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			6.1%	15.2%	78.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			6.1%	18.2%	75.8%		33

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			3.0%	36.4%	60.6%		33
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	33.3%	57.6%		33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			6.1%	33.3%	60.6%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1278

ME-UY 3313: Fluid Mechanics

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender** **Response Rate: 34/47**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				2.9%	94.1%	2.9%	34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	85.3%	2.9%	34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.8%	85.3%	2.9%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	8.8%	2.9%		17.6%	67.6%	2.9%	34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			5.9%	14.7%	76.5%	2.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.6%	76.5%	2.9%	34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic concepts related to fluids and stresses in fluids, hydrostatics and conservation equations for a control volume.	**4.7**				26.5%	70.6%	2.9%	34
Q28	Learned about potential flows, stream function, and Euler's and Bernoulli's equations	**4.8**				23.5%	73.5%	2.9%	34
Q29	Learned about viscous flow in pipes and ducts, and flow over immersed bodies, and how to solve problems in piping systems	**4.8**				20.6%	76.5%	2.9%	34
Q30	Learned how to design piping systems	**4.4**		5.9%		38.2%	52.9%	2.9%	34
Q31	Learned about impact of hydropower in industrial and power production.	**4.1**	8.8%	5.9%		32.4%	50.0%	2.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.9%	8.8%	2.9%	23.5%	55.9%	2.9%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			8.8%	8.8%	76.5%	5.9%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		2.9%	8.8%	14.7%	73.5%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		2.9%	11.8%	8.8%	76.5%		34

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			8.8%	14.7%	76.5%		34
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			8.8%	20.6%	70.6%		34
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**	2.9%		8.8%	17.6%	70.6%		34
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.6**			8.8%	17.6%	73.5%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1950

ME-UY 3313: Fluid Mechanics

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.** **Response Rate: 34/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%	94.1%	2.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	24.2%	57.6%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.9%		8.8%	20.6%	67.6%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		8.8%	5.9%	20.6%	64.7%		34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	2.9%		2.9%	32.4%	61.8%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.9%	14.7%	20.6%	58.8%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic concepts related to fluids and stresses in fluids, hydrostatics and conservation equations for a control volume.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		33
Q28	Learned about potential flows, stream function, and Euler's and Bernoulli's equations	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		33
Q29	Learned about viscous flow in pipes and ducts, and flow over immersed bodies, and how to solve problems in piping systems	**4.6**		3.0%		27.3%	69.7%		33
Q30	Learned how to design piping systems	**4.3**		12.1%	3.0%	30.3%	54.5%		33
Q31	Learned about impact of hydropower in industrial and power production.	**4.2**	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	33.3%	48.5%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.9%	14.7%	26.5%	52.9%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.9%		20.6%	76.5%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		2.9%	5.9%	26.5%	64.7%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	14.7%	76.5%		34

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		3.0%		18.2%	78.8%		33
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**		3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	69.7%		33
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.6**			9.1%	24.2%	63.6%	3.0%	33
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.7**			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 1699

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang** **Response Rate: 16/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		6.3%		50.0%	43.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	26.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	**4.4**				56.3%	43.8%		16
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	**4.4**				56.3%	43.8%		16
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	**3.9**		6.3%	25.0%	43.8%	25.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	6.3%			56.3%	37.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			18.8%	31.3%	50.0%		16
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.0**		6.3%	12.5%	56.3%	25.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1699

ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang** **Response Rate: 16/20**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.9**			31.3%	43.8%	25.0%		16
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1279

ME-UY 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Section: A

Response Rate: 17/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	11.8%			5.9%	82.4%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	52.9%		17

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	11.8%			5.9%	82.4%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	11.8%			5.9%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	11.8%			5.9%	82.4%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems.	**4.5**	5.9%			29.4%	64.7%		17
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated their control designs on experimental test beds.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q29	Ability to write technical reports	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	82.4%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**	6.3%			18.8%	75.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1951

ME-UY 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Section: B

Response Rate: 42/47

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				11.9%	88.1%		42
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**	4.8%	9.5%		19.0%	64.3%	2.4%	42

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.4%	2.4%		21.4%	73.8%		42
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**		2.4%		11.9%	85.7%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		42
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		4.8%	2.4%	11.9%	81.0%		42

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		2.4%	7.3%	24.4%	63.4%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		42
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated their control designs on experimental test beds.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		42
Q29	Ability to write technical reports	**4.7**			2.4%	23.8%	73.8%		42

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			2.4%	40.5%	57.1%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.4%	2.4%	23.8%	71.4%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	2.4%		7.1%	16.7%	73.8%		42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		2.4%	7.1%	16.7%	73.8%		42

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.7**			4.8%	21.4%	71.4%	2.4%	42
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.7**			2.4%	28.6%	66.7%	2.4%	42
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**			2.4%	19.0%	78.6%		42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1280

ME-UY 3413: Automatic Control

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon** | **Response Rate: 42/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	90.5%	4.8%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	66.7%	4.8%	42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		42

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		4.8%	28.6%	23.8%	38.1%	4.8%	42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems (e.g., mechanical, aerospace, electrical, robotic, thermo-fluid, and vibratory systems).	**4.5**			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		42
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems and acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		42
Q29	Learned and utilized specific tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		42
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of automatic control.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		42

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	4.8%			38.1%	57.1%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		42

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		42
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		42
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		42
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1952

ME-UY 3413: Automatic Control

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Rizzo,Alessandro** **Response Rate: 66/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.0%	3.0%	66
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.0%	3.0%	66

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		66
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			9.1%	6.1%	81.8%	3.0%	66

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				24.2%	75.8%		66
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		66

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	84.8%		66
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.0%	12.1%	6.1%	15.2%	60.6%	3.0%	66

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems (e.g., mechanical, aerospace, electrical, robotic, thermo-fluid, and vibratory systems).	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		66
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems and acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations.	**4.9**				6.1%	93.9%		66
Q29	Learned and utilized specific tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	12.1%	81.8%		66
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of automatic control.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	15.2%	66.7%		66

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.1%	24.2%	66.7%		66

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		66
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		3.0%		9.1%	87.9%		66
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.0%	18.2%	78.8%		66

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				21.2%	78.8%		66
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		66
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**		6.1%	12.1%	18.2%	63.6%		66
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		66

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3513: Measurement Systems

Class Number: 1282

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon** **Response Rate: 27/36**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	81.5%	3.7%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			7.4%	25.9%	66.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.7%	7.4%	11.1%	25.9%	51.9%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Acquired and applied instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	**4.5**		3.7%		33.3%	55.6%	7.4%	27
Q28	Learned fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments, fundamentals of data acquisition hardware, and became able to appropriately calibrate any given measurement device.	**4.3**		7.4%	3.7%	33.3%	48.1%	7.4%	27
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design.	**4.3**		3.7%	11.1%	29.6%	48.1%	7.4%	27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.4%		37.0%	55.6%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			14.8%	22.2%	63.0%		27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				14.8%	81.5%	3.7%	27
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.6**		3.7%		29.6%	59.3%	7.4%	27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			7.4%	40.7%	48.1%	3.7%	27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.7%	55.6%	3.7%	27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2703

ME-UY 4113: Senior Design II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** | **Response Rate: 33/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.1%	87.9%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				24.2%	75.8%		33

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	84.8%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	15.6%	50.0%	6.3%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to apply numerical analysis via modern software tools to a design project	**4.4**			6.7%	43.3%	50.0%		30
Q28	Learn to put together a Test and Evaluation Plan and Report and about Design of Experiments	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30
Q29	Learn about designing for the environment	**4.5**			3.3%	46.7%	50.0%		30
Q30	Learn about the relevance of continuing education	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		30
Q31	Learn the process of building and testing to specifications an engineering product	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.2%	78.8%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.1%	93.9%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		33

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		30
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**			3.3%	43.3%	53.3%		30
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**		3.3%		13.3%	83.3%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1283

ME-UY 4113: Senior Design II

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** | **Response Rate: 28/36**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		3.6%	17.9%	21.4%	46.4%	10.7%	28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to apply numerical analysis via modern software tools to a design project	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%		37.0%	55.6%		27
Q28	Learn to put together a Test and Evaluation Plan and Report and about Design of Experiments	**4.6**	3.6%	3.6%		17.9%	75.0%		28
Q29	Learn about designing for the environment	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	50.0%		28
Q30	Learn about the relevance of continuing education	**4.5**	3.6%			32.1%	64.3%		28
Q31	Learn the process of building and testing to specifications an engineering product	**4.7**	3.6%	3.6%		7.1%	85.7%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	3.6%			21.4%	75.0%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				21.4%	75.0%	3.6%	28
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**		3.6%		35.7%	57.1%	3.6%	28
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.8**				17.9%	78.6%	3.6%	28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				21.4%	75.0%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2230

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Section: ALAB **Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh** **Response Rate: 10/18**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**4.0**	10.0%		20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2229

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria** | **Response Rate: 12/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.1**	8.3%		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.0**		8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**3.5**	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	25.0%	25.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 2231

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio** **Response Rate: 7/8**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**3.3**		42.9%		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2232

ME-UY 4313: Heat Transfer

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.** **Response Rate: 13/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	7.7%			53.8%	38.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the various mechanisms of heat transfer	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q28	Understood the fundamental laws governing transport, storage and conservation of thermal energy	**4.8**				23.1%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q29	Was able to analyze and solve well-posed heat transfer problems	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q30	Was able to design heat transfer systems such as fins and heat exchangers	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1284

ME-UY 4373: INTRO TO NUCLEAR ENGINEERING

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Speyer,Daniel M.** **Response Rate: 6/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		66.7%	33.3%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of nuclear reactions	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	Learned the fundamentals of reactor kinetics	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q29	Learned the different types of nuclear reactors and how they operate	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		6
Q30	Learned the basic design principles for nuclear reactors	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q31	I understand the issues pertaining to nuclear power	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1953

ME-UY 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Sutton,Paul J.** **Response Rate: 54/63**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		87.0%	13.0%	54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.6%	7.4%	54

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**	1.9%		1.9%	3.7%	92.6%		54
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	1.9%			9.3%	87.0%	1.9%	54

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	1.9%		7.4%	1.9%	83.3%	5.6%	54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**	1.9%		5.6%	5.6%	87.0%		54

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	14.8%	77.8%	1.9%	54
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			20.4%	9.3%	64.8%	5.6%	54

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property	**4.7**	1.9%			18.5%	75.9%	3.7%	54
Q28	Learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered by engineers.	**4.8**	1.9%			14.8%	79.6%	3.7%	54
Q29	Learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	**4.8**	1.9%			16.7%	77.8%	3.7%	54
Q30	Understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	**4.8**	1.9%			13.0%	81.5%	3.7%	54
Q31	Understood the value and benefits to be derived from past failures, and the use of failure in achieving success.	**4.7**	1.9%			18.5%	75.9%	3.7%	54
Q32	Learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality	**4.7**	1.9%			20.4%	74.1%	3.7%	54

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	81.5%		54

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.7%	1.9%	1.9%	11.1%	79.6%	1.9%	54
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	1.9%		3.7%	1.9%	92.6%		54
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	3.7%		1.9%	9.3%	85.2%		54

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1954

ME-UY 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Clarke,Anthony** **Response Rate: 17/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	16
Q28	Learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered by engineers.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	16
Q29	Learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%	12.5%	16
Q30	Understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	16
Q31	Understood the value and benefits to be derived from past failures, and the use of failure in achieving success.	**4.4**	6.3%		6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	12.5%	16
Q32	Learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality	**4.3**	6.3%		12.5%	12.5%	56.3%	12.5%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		6.3%		6.3%	87.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1324

MT-UY 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh** **Response Rate: 10/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2706

MT-UY 4853: Manufacturing Engineering and Processes

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 18/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.6%	22.2%	66.7%	5.6%	18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the history of manufacturing and the direction of current manufacturing	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q28	Learned the processing of bulk materials into desirable basic materials	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q29	Learned the relationship between product design and manufacturing processes	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q30	Learned about joining processes and mechanical joining processes	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q31	Learned about manufacturing automation and integrated manufacturing systems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2171

IE-GY 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian** | **Response Rate: 22/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.5%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	45.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	31.8%	36.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			4.5%	45.5%	50.0%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%	4.5%	45.5%	40.9%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		9.1%	4.5%	22.7%	63.6%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	23.8%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		14.3%		33.3%	52.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	40.9%	40.9%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.5%		40.9%	54.5%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	50.0%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1977

IE-GY 6203: Project Planning and Control (Project Management)

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip** | **Response Rate: 8/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	12.5%			12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1119

IE-GY 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Albano,Robert** | **Response Rate: 13/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.7%		15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**			23.1%	46.2%	30.8%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1978

IE-GY 6823: Factory Simulation

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian** | **Response Rate: 22/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24790

IE-GY 7653: Human Factors in Engineering Design

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Mark** | **Response Rate: 4/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 23965

IE-GY 7873: LEAN MANUFACTURING

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Albano,Robert** **Response Rate: 21/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	4.8%			23.8%	71.4%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	71.4%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	4.8%			28.6%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	4.8%			19.0%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 23966

IE-GY 7923: Design for Manufacturability

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 20/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		5.0%		5.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24789

IE-GY 9113: Selected Topics in IE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Walker,William T** | **Response Rate: 6/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1002

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Section: I1 **Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A** **Response Rate: 15/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	6.7%	6.7%		13.3%	73.3%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**		21.4%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		13.3%		40.0%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1003

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure** **Response Rate: 23/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%	95.5%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			8.7%	4.3%	78.3%	8.7%	23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**			4.5%	4.5%	90.9%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		4.3%		26.1%	65.2%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.3%		4.3%	91.3%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1840

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Section: I3 **Primary Instructor: Eisenhardt,Roger D.** **Response Rate: 17/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1585

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A** | **Response Rate: 35/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%	97.1%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%	97.1%		35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.6%	91.4%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.7%	20.0%	71.4%	2.9%	35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	20.6%	73.5%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.9%	17.1%	80.0%		35

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.0%	77.1%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		2.9%	2.9%	8.8%	85.3%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**		2.9%		20.0%	77.1%		35
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		2.9%		31.4%	65.7%		35
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	28.6%	65.7%		35
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**		2.9%	8.6%	14.3%	74.3%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	20.0%	71.4%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			2.9%	17.1%	80.0%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			2.9%	14.3%	82.9%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			2.9%	11.4%	85.7%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24854

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: LVL1 **Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A** **Response Rate: 1/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2112

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj** **Response Rate: 19/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	5.3%		10.5%	68.4%	15.8%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	36.8%	21.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	5.6%	22.2%	16.7%	22.2%	33.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	42.1%	26.3%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	42.1%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	31.6%	36.8%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**		22.2%		50.0%	27.8%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	42.1%	21.1%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**	10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	26.3%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	10.5%	26.3%	5.3%	42.1%	15.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	38.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	47.4%	26.3%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	42.1%	31.6%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 25556

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Section: MOT2 **Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A** **Response Rate: 24/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	4.3%			13.0%	82.6%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	68.2%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		12.5%	8.3%	16.7%	62.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	70.8%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	8.3%	4.2%		12.5%	75.0%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	4.2%	4.2%		12.5%	79.2%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		8.3%	4.2%	8.3%	79.2%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**	4.3%	8.7%		13.0%	73.9%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%		30.4%	60.9%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%		17.4%	73.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	8.3%	4.2%		20.8%	66.7%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	83.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	4.2%	8.3%		8.3%	79.2%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1005

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth** | **Response Rate: 17/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	5.9%		11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			18.8%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.8%		35.3%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			11.8%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			11.8%	11.8%	76.5%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2643

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth** | **Response Rate: 9/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24855

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: INET **Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos** **Response Rate: 2/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1587

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Section: MOT | **Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos** | **Response Rate: 31/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%	96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.7%	23.3%	70.0%		30

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.5%	32.3%	61.3%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	3.3%	6.7%	3.3%	13.3%	73.3%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			16.7%	26.7%	56.7%		30
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	36.7%	56.7%		30
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	30.0%	56.7%		30
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			3.3%	33.3%	63.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	6.5%	12.9%		16.1%	61.3%	3.2%	31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.2%	3.2%		16.1%	77.4%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			9.7%	16.1%	74.2%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.2%		9.7%	22.6%	64.5%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1006

MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Section: I1 **Primary Instructor: Watson,Edward G** **Response Rate: 24/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%	95.8%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			4.2%	33.3%	62.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	33.3%	54.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	4.2%		4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		4.2%	16.7%	29.2%	50.0%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		4.2%	16.7%	37.5%	41.7%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		12.5%	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	33.3%	54.2%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.2%		8.3%	37.5%	50.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	4.2%			25.0%	70.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	75.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 1007

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Apicella,Francis X** **Response Rate: 21/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 3169

MG-GY 6103: MANAGEMENT SCIENCE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Santhanakrishnan,Anand** | **Response Rate: 8/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1441

MG-GY 6123: Human Resource Management

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S** | **Response Rate: 16/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			12.5%	6.3%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		6.3%		37.5%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2838

MG-GY 6123: Human Resource Management

Section: LVL1 **Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S** **Response Rate: 1/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1639

MG-GY 6173: Performance Management and Reward Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew** | **Response Rate: 8/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1011

MG-GY 6243: Change Management Systems in Organizations

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Seppalainen,Johanna** | **Response Rate: 6/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24850

MG-GY 6243: Change Management Systems in Organizations

Section: LVL1 **Primary Instructor: Seppalainen,Johanna** **Response Rate: 2/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1012

MG-GY 6253: Seminar in Organization and Career Change

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G** | **Response Rate: 4/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24848

MG-GY 6253: Seminar in Organization and Career Change

Section: LVL1 **Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G** **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1013

MG-GY 6263: Human Resource Information Systems

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Reilly,John** **Response Rate: 3/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2843

MG-GY 6263: Human Resource Information Systems

Section: LVL1 **Primary Instructor: Reilly,John** **Response Rate: 1/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1014

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.** | **Response Rate: 23/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.5%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				26.1%	69.6%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			13.0%	39.1%	47.8%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	60.9%	4.3%	23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			13.0%	39.1%	47.8%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.3%		47.8%	47.8%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		5.6%	16.7%	27.8%	50.0%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		5.6%	16.7%	33.3%	44.4%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			16.7%	38.9%	44.4%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	4.3%	26.1%	8.7%	43.5%	17.4%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		8.7%	13.0%	30.4%	47.8%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	56.5%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	30.4%	52.2%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1894

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Fazio,James** | **Response Rate: 27/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.4%	7.4%	22.2%	63.0%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	3.7%			37.0%	59.3%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	81.5%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	7.4%		11.1%	18.5%	63.0%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	55.6%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**	3.7%		14.8%	14.8%	66.7%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.4%	18.5%	18.5%	55.6%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.4%	25.9%	66.7%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2312

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: Rebala,Aashrita Reddy** **Response Rate: 17/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		11.8%		5.9%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		11.8%		11.8%	76.5%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		6.3%		43.8%	50.0%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		5.9%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		11.8%	76.5%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2312

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek** **Response Rate: 17/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		11.8%		5.9%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		11.8%		11.8%	76.5%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		6.3%		43.8%	50.0%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		5.9%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		11.8%	76.5%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1015

MG-GY 6313: ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY & DESIGN

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Grant,Sara** **Response Rate: 5/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2644

MG-GY 6361: MANAGING BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent** | **Response Rate: 6/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	16.7%		33.3%	50.0%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 23980

MG-GY 6373: Human Capital Big Data, Predictive Analytics, & ROI

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul** | **Response Rate: 8/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1016

MG-GY 6463: Supply Chain Management

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael** | **Response Rate: 10/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1017

MG-GY 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Hyman,Alan Samuel** | **Response Rate: 34/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%	91.2%	5.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.7%	85.3%		34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	2.9%	11.8%	8.8%	35.3%	41.2%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	5.9%	14.7%	17.6%	8.8%	50.0%	2.9%	34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	11.8%	11.8%	8.8%	11.8%	55.9%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	20.6%	8.8%	11.8%	26.5%	32.4%		34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		20.6%	17.6%	29.4%	32.4%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	2.9%	11.8%	14.7%	29.4%	41.2%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.5**	8.8%	14.7%	20.6%	26.5%	29.4%		34
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**	2.9%	17.6%	20.6%	26.5%	32.4%		34
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	8.8%	8.8%	23.5%	32.4%	26.5%		34
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.7**	8.8%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	38.2%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	8.8%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	26.5%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	8.8%	17.6%	14.7%	20.6%	38.2%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	23.5%	17.6%	8.8%	14.7%	35.3%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	17.6%	14.7%	8.8%	23.5%	35.3%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24787

MG-GY 6563: Telecommunications Management II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bhattacharjee,Tushar** | **Response Rate: 12/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24788

MG-GY 6603: MGMT OF NEW & EMERG TECH

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bensedrine,Jabril** | **Response Rate: 5/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1508

MG-GY 7173: Enterprise Data Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman** | **Response Rate: 18/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.8%	88.2%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		22.2%		16.7%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	55.6%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	16.7%	38.9%	33.3%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			17.6%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	64.7%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			16.7%	55.6%	27.8%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	11.1%	5.6%		27.8%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		16.7%	16.7%	11.1%	55.6%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1018

MG-GY 7503: Electronic Business Management

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Currier,Matthew** | **Response Rate: 15/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			6.7%	60.0%	33.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**		14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			6.7%	60.0%	33.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1019

MG-GY 7703: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Kuyan,Vyacheslav** | **Response Rate: 13/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		23.1%		23.1%	53.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		30.8%	15.4%	46.2%	7.7%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	15.4%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		7.7%		30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			15.4%	53.8%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 25508

MG-GY 7953: Global Innovation

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.** **Response Rate: 18/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1020

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bracamonte,Denise A** | **Response Rate: 23/32**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.3%		4.3%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.3%		17.4%	17.4%	60.9%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	21.7%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	26.1%	43.5%		23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			13.0%	47.8%	39.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	56.5%	26.1%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		4.8%	28.6%	19.0%	47.6%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	38.1%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	38.1%	38.1%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	47.6%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.7%	13.0%	4.3%	34.8%	39.1%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.3%	8.7%	30.4%	56.5%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	39.1%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		8.7%	13.0%	34.8%	43.5%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1512

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 24/34

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.2%	20.8%	4.2%	41.7%	29.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	41.7%	25.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.2%	12.5%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.2%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	29.2%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	4.2%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	29.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	4.2%	20.8%	12.5%	29.2%	33.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	45.8%	12.5%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	16.7%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	16.7%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.5**	8.3%	20.8%	4.2%	50.0%	16.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%	12.5%	41.7%	20.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	29.2%	20.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	8.3%	16.7%	20.8%	33.3%	20.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	41.7%	29.2%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2111

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas** **Response Rate: 31/35**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	86.7%	3.3%	30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.9%	87.1%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	6.5%	25.8%	3.2%	45.2%	19.4%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			19.4%	29.0%	48.4%	3.2%	31

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				32.3%	67.7%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.2%	19.4%	77.4%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		6.5%	3.2%	32.3%	58.1%		31
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		3.2%		35.5%	61.3%		31
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			6.5%	29.0%	64.5%		31
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			3.2%	32.3%	64.5%		31

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.5%	3.2%	29.0%	61.3%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				35.5%	64.5%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.2%	9.7%	87.1%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				25.8%	74.2%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1021

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Nadan,Joseph Stanley** | **Response Rate: 12/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	8.3%	8.3%		25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%		50.0%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	8.3%			50.0%	41.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 3217

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: Gilmont,Ernest R** **Response Rate: 10/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	10.0%		10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG-GY 8673: Technology Strategy

Class Number: 1022

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 21/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				38.1%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				14.3%	81.0%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				19.0%	76.2%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				19.0%	76.2%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				14.3%	81.0%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1024

MG-GY 8713: ENTREPRENEURIAL FINANCE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary** | **Response Rate: 4/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1025

MG-GY 8741: INTRODUCTION TO ENTREPRENEURIAL MARKETING & SALES

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary** | **Response Rate: 4/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1026

MG-GY 8743: ENTREPRENEURIAL MARKETING & SALES

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary** | **Response Rate: 4/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2626

MG-GY 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

Section: MOT **Primary Instructor: LITTLE,ERIC** **Response Rate: 14/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	7.1%	71.4%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	7.1%	71.4%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2905

MG-GY 9013: Design Thinking for Creative Problem Solving

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure** | **Response Rate: 18/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	83.3%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1898

MG-GY 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Section: MOT | **Primary Instructor: Nadan,Joseph Stanley** | **Response Rate: 16/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	31.3%	56.3%	12.5%	16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	12.5%		6.3%	43.8%	37.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		6.3%	37.5%	31.3%	18.8%	6.3%	16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	18.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	12.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	31.3%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	6.3%	18.8%		56.3%	18.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%		50.0%	31.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	12.5%	12.5%		43.8%	31.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	6.3%	31.3%	6.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1028

MG-GY 9703: PROJECT IN STRATEGY & INNOVATION MGMT

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded** | **Response Rate: 41/49**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.2%	87.8%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	7.3%	14.6%	14.6%	34.1%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	10.0%	10.0%	22.5%	30.0%	27.5%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	51.2%	24.4%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	2.5%	7.5%	37.5%	27.5%	22.5%	2.5%	40

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	7.3%	14.6%	22.0%	36.6%	19.5%		41
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	20.0%	15.0%	30.0%	22.5%		40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.1**	5.0%	27.5%	30.0%	27.5%	10.0%		40
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.4**	5.1%	20.5%	23.1%	35.9%	15.4%		39
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.4**	7.7%	15.4%	20.5%	41.0%	15.4%		39
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.5**	5.1%	17.9%	20.5%	38.5%	17.9%		39

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	7.3%	9.8%	22.0%	39.0%	22.0%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	7.3%	14.6%	17.1%	48.8%	12.2%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	30.0%	17.5%	2.5%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	17.5%	15.0%	22.5%	32.5%	12.5%		40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2631

MG-GY 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Section: MOT2 | **Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas** | **Response Rate: 9/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	88.9%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					88.9%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1033

MG-UY 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Carl** **Response Rate: 26/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	38.5%	57.7%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	26.9%	69.2%	3.8%	26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.8%	3.8%	34.6%	57.7%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		16.0%	36.0%	28.0%	16.0%	4.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%		57.7%	30.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		11.5%	11.5%	38.5%	34.6%	3.8%	26

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		23.1%	15.4%	30.8%	26.9%	3.8%	26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	50.0%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	26.9%	42.3%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		3.8%	7.7%	69.2%	19.2%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		3.8%	3.8%	53.8%	38.5%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		3.8%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%	3.8%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		3.8%	23.1%	30.8%	42.3%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		19.2%	11.5%	30.8%	38.5%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	3.8%	19.2%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%	34.6%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2638

MG-UY 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Kupferman,Howard A.** **Response Rate: 8/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**			62.5%	12.5%		25.0%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**			25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**			37.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1034

MG-UY 2014: Operations Management

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Cohen,Martin J** | **Response Rate: 32/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%	96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%	96.7%		30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	3.1%		6.3%	34.4%	56.3%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	3.1%		12.5%	28.1%	56.3%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	3.2%	9.7%	3.2%	25.8%	58.1%		31

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		12.9%	12.9%	25.8%	45.2%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		12.5%	9.4%	28.1%	50.0%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**		9.7%	25.8%	25.8%	38.7%		31
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**		3.2%	22.6%	32.3%	41.9%		31
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			22.6%	25.8%	51.6%		31
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		3.2%	22.6%	29.0%	45.2%		31

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		6.3%	21.9%	37.5%	34.4%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		9.4%	18.8%	40.6%	31.3%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	3.1%	6.3%	12.5%	40.6%	37.5%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	34.4%	31.3%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 24568

MG-UY 2104: Organizational Behavior

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Landry,Dana J** **Response Rate: 10/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 2639

MG-UY 2204: Financial Accounting

Section: A **Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T** **Response Rate: 20/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	85.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	94.7%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	52.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	60.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.5**	10.5%	21.1%		42.1%	26.3%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	52.6%	26.3%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	47.4%	26.3%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**	5.3%	10.5%		52.6%	26.3%	5.3%	19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	15.0%	20.0%	10.0%	25.0%	30.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1035

MG-UY 2304: Marketing

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Kupferman,Howard A.** **Response Rate: 12/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			41.7%	8.3%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	8.3%		33.3%	33.3%	25.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	16.7%		25.0%	41.7%	16.7%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%		58.3%	8.3%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.3**	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%		50.0%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1036

MG-UY 3002: Project Management

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Carl** | **Response Rate: 7/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%	71.4%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1038

MG-UY 3214: Advanced Corporate Finance

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T** | **Response Rate: 9/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1039

MG-UY 3304: Introduction to Supply Chain Management

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael** | **Response Rate: 4/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1040

MG-UY 3404: Innovation Management

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Schmidt,Thomas M** **Response Rate: 17/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.1%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		76.5%	23.5%	17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			17.6%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			17.6%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1041

MG-UY 4014: Introduction to E-Business

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Bentahar,Abdellatif** **Response Rate: 18/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%	77.8%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%	77.8%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	38.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	16.7%	22.2%	27.8%	11.1%	22.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	5.9%	29.4%	11.8%	41.2%	11.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	5.9%	23.5%	17.6%	35.3%	17.6%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.9**	23.5%	23.5%	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.4**	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	35.3%	17.6%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.5**	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	17.6%	29.4%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.4**	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	35.3%	23.5%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		23.5%	41.2%	17.6%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	23.5%	17.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.6**	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%	11.8%	11.8%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	35.3%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG-UY 4204: Management Science

Class Number: 1046

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Brabazon,Kevin** **Response Rate: 10/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1047

MG-UY 4504: Global Perspectives on Technology Management: A Capstone Project Course

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.** | **Response Rate: 16/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 23977

MN-GY 7883: Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Soukup,David** **Response Rate: 5/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 1979

MN-GY 7893: Production Science

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi** | **Response Rate: 14/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	7.1%			42.9%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**			7.1%	64.3%	28.6%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		14.3%		50.0%	35.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 24544

AH-UY 2114: History of Art as Techné

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Tannenbaum,Sondra** **Response Rate: 19/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	94.7%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			5.3%		94.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		18
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.1**	5.6%		27.8%	16.7%	50.0%		18
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM-UY 2014W: STEM & THEATER

Class Number: 23723

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B** **Response Rate: 17/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.1%	5.9%	17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM-UY 2204: Disability Studies

Class Number: 23724

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 23/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		87.0%	13.0%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.2**		4.3%	13.0%	39.1%	43.5%		23
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM-UY 2204: Disability Studies

Class Number: 23725

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 27/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.3%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			7.4%	25.9%	66.7%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.6**			4.0%	28.0%	68.0%		25
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.6**			4.0%	36.0%	60.0%		25
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.6**			8.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 24727

CAM-UY 3004W: Special Topics in Culture, Arts and Media

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Jean** | **Response Rate: 13/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			15.4%		84.6%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23585

DM-GY 6053: Ideation & Prototyping

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure** | **Response Rate: 18/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	83.3%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 6063: Creative Coding

Class Number: 23578

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Siwoff,Kevin Jacob

Response Rate: 26/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%	92.3%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%	92.3%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	7.7%				92.3%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	7.7%				92.3%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	8.3%				91.7%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23579

DM-GY 7033: Media Law

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Rosenberg,Beth L** | **Response Rate: 20/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		11.1%		55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23580

DM-GY 7033: Media Law

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Rosenberg,Beth L** | **Response Rate: 18/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		88.9%	11.1%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			22.2%	55.6%	22.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				77.8%	22.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		33.3%		11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		22.2%	33.3%		33.3%	11.1%	18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23588

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Bennett,Katherine** | **Response Rate: 14/9**

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23589

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L** **Response Rate: 11/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23590

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Ricca,Chris** **Response Rate: 16/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 24846

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Section: W | **Primary Instructor: Krantz,Georgia Lynn** | **Response Rate: 7/6**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 24846

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Section: W **Primary Instructor: Leece,Christina** **Response Rate: 7/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23605

DM-GY 9990: Graduate Colloquium

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Macmillan,Brian** **Response Rate: 24/43**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	66.7%	25.0%	24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			25.0%	8.3%	58.3%	8.3%	24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23609

DM-UY 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Schumacher,Michael J** **Response Rate: 34/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	35.3%	41.2%	23.5%	34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	20.0%	6.7%	30

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	23.5%	11.8%	34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%	11.8%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	11.8%		17.6%	47.1%	23.5%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	11.8%		29.4%	23.5%	35.3%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23614

DM-UY 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Seegers,Jesse T** **Response Rate: 45/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.7%	7.3%	41

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	80.0%	6.7%	45

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	13.3%			6.7%	60.0%	20.0%	45

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	66.7%	13.3%	45

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			6.7%		86.7%	6.7%	45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	80.0%	6.7%	45

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23634

DM-UY 1143: Ideation & Prototyping

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Propati,Domenick G** | **Response Rate: 13/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					92.3%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23617

DM-UY 2113: Sound Design for Media

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Kato,HIdeki** **Response Rate: 16/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		25.0%		12.5%	62.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%	12.5%		62.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		12.5%	25.0%		62.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23615

DM-UY 2173: Motion Graphics Studio

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Rapetskaya,Maria** **Response Rate: 24/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		8.3%	8.3%		83.3%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23631

DM-UY 2193: Intro to Web Development

Section: A **Primary Instructor: John,Benson** **Response Rate: 30/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	85.7%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		30

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	53.3%	6.7%	30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%		53.3%	33.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23632

DM-UY 3133: 3D Animation

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L** **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	75.0%	16.7%	12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		8.3%	16.7%		75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 24635

DM-UY 3183: Photography and Words

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Toolin,Jack Craig** | **Response Rate: 30/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		93.3%	6.7%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		6.7%			93.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%	6.7%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		30

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			26.7%	6.7%	66.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23630

DM-UY 4123: Experimental Cinema

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Winer,Gabriel** | **Response Rate: 34/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.1%	5.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.8%	82.4%	5.9%	34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		6.1%		3.0%	90.9%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.9%	14.7%	79.4%		34

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	5.9%	5.9%	8.8%	5.9%	73.5%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.9%	26.5%	5.9%	55.9%	5.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		8.8%	2.9%	20.6%	67.6%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	82.4%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	76.5%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23726

EN-UY 2114W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I: POETRY AS DESIGN

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan** | **Response Rate: 12/17**

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		12

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Instructor									
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	27.3%		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.4**	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%		45.5%		11
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**3.5**		27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%		11
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	18.2%		9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23727

EN-UY 2204W: SCIENCE JOURNALISM

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Scheiner,Lowell** **Response Rate: 14/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.4%	71.4%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	21.4%		14.3%	21.4%	42.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		14.3%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	28.6%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	28.6%		21.4%	21.4%	28.6%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.4**	25.0%		16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**3.3**	25.0%		16.7%	33.3%	25.0%		12
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**3.3**	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	25.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	21.4%		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	28.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23728

EN-UY 2334W: THE INVENTION OF THE WORD

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan** **Response Rate: 12/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%	90.9%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		8.3%			91.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		16.7%		8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	8.3%		16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23729

EN-UY 2424W: MEDICINE AND LITERATURE

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia** **Response Rate: 8/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.4**	12.5%		37.5%	37.5%	12.5%		8
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23730

EN-UY 3144W: FORMAL APPROACHES TO ART & POETRY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan** | **Response Rate: 7/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23731

EN-UY 3434W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS II: DESIGNING POETRY

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Jean** **Response Rate: 11/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23746

HI-UY 2514W: INTRODUCTION TO NEW YORK CITY HISTORY

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Toler,Herbert** **Response Rate: 24/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	91.7%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	8.3%		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23732

MD-UY 2164: History and Social Impact of Mass Media Communications

Section: A

Response Rate: 11/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I enhanced my ability to analyze and position my work within cultural, historic, aesthetic, economic, and technological contexts.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23733

MD-UY 2314: Interactive Narrative

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Pitts,Bradley** **Response Rate: 18/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	44.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	27.8%	50.0%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23734

MD-UY 3164W: Critical Studies in Media

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Chuk,Natasha A** **Response Rate: 24/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23710

PL-UY 2004: Symbolic Logic

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Theunissen,Mark R** **Response Rate: 24/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%	95.8%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.2%		12.5%	83.3%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			4.2%	4.2%	91.7%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	4.2%	4.2%		29.2%	62.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	54.2%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	38.1%	23.8%	4.8%	21
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**3.6**	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	19.0%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**3.5**		23.8%	19.0%	23.8%	23.8%	9.5%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	50.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.7**		14.3%	33.3%	19.0%	28.6%	4.8%	21
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.2**		4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	42.9%		21
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.2**			30.0%	25.0%	45.0%		20
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.7**		4.8%	42.9%	28.6%	23.8%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			42.9%	19.0%	38.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL-UY 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 23689

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I** **Response Rate: 32/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.9%	3.1%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.2%	93.5%	3.2%	31

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.1%	9.4%	87.5%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		3.1%	25.0%	15.6%	53.1%	3.1%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			18.8%	31.3%	50.0%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	9.4%	31.3%	53.1%		32

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.1%		37.5%	56.3%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			9.4%	34.4%	50.0%	6.3%	32

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.1%	3.1%	43.8%	46.9%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	53.1%	3.1%	32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	28.1%	56.3%	3.1%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.1%	9.4%	25.0%	56.3%	6.3%	32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL-UY 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 23690

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 32/39

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	21.9%	71.9%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.1%		21.9%	25.0%	50.0%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.2%	3.2%	19.4%	35.5%	38.7%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.1%	3.1%	18.8%	18.8%	56.3%		32

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.1%			34.4%	62.5%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.1%		15.6%	25.0%	56.3%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.1%		12.5%	37.5%	46.9%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.1%			34.4%	62.5%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	3.1%		6.3%	21.9%	68.8%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	25.0%	68.8%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23703

PL-UY 2294: Quantum Mechanics and Information

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Bain,Jonathan** | **Response Rate: 19/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.3%		5.3%	10.5%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		5.3%	26.3%	10.5%	57.9%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	5.3%			15.8%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	5.3%			10.5%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%		36.8%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.3%		5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.2**	5.3%		10.5%	42.1%	42.1%		19
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**3.9**	5.3%		21.1%	42.1%	31.6%		19
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	47.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.3%		5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	5.3%		15.8%		78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	63.2%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.1**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%		19
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**	5.3%		10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.2**	5.3%		10.5%	42.1%	42.1%		19
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	47.4%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	5.3%		10.5%	52.6%	31.6%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23707

PL-UY 3254W: PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Stein,Jordan** **Response Rate: 17/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		5.9%		47.1%	47.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			11.8%	11.8%	76.5%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23701

PS-UY 2664: INTELLIGENCE: REAL & ARTIFICIAL

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P** **Response Rate: 24/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			4.2%	50.0%	45.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	65.2%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23736

PS-UY 2724: HUMAN FACTORS IN ENGINEERING DESIGN

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Puleio,Jonathan P** | **Response Rate: 27/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%	96.3%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.7%		96.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			14.8%	18.5%	63.0%	3.7%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	3.7%	7.4%	3.7%	48.1%	37.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	18.5%	70.4%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.7%	25.9%	70.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.7%		18.5%	18.5%	59.3%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27
Q28	Students learned to apply theoretical relations to solve practical problems.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		26
Q29	Students worked as team members to complete a comprehensive planning and design project.	**4.7**			3.8%	23.1%	73.1%		26
Q30	This course has challenged you to think more critically about your opinions and to support those opinions with evidence.	**4.7**			7.7%	19.2%	73.1%		26
Q31	There are aspects of this course I will find useful to apply to real life decisions.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.7%	7.4%	14.8%	33.3%	40.7%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	77.8%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS-UY 3694: HUMOR AND MODERN MEDIA

Class Number: 23735

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P** **Response Rate: 27/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.7%		48.1%	48.1%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			11.1%	7.4%	81.5%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23737

PS-UY 3724: Psychology of Sustainability

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Wener,Richard** **Response Rate: 21/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.5%	9.5%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		9.5%	4.8%	57.1%	28.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	33.3%	52.4%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.5%	47.6%	42.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23738

PS-UY 3814: Social Psychology of Virtual Worlds

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P** | **Response Rate: 22/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.5%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				13.6%	81.8%	4.5%	22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		9.1%	13.6%	18.2%	54.5%	4.5%	22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**4.4**			13.6%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**4.8**			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**4.0**			36.4%	22.7%	40.9%		22
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.8**			9.1%	4.5%	86.4%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.6**		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.5**			13.6%	27.3%	59.1%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23739

SEG-UY 2194W: WRITING ABOUT NATURE & THE ENVIRONMENT

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Cherry,Steven M** | **Response Rate: 12/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2214: MEDICAL ETHICS

Class Number: 23708

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Silberman,Jared H** **Response Rate: 24/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			4.2%		91.7%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.1**		4.3%	13.0%	43.5%	34.8%	4.3%	23
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.0**		4.3%	17.4%	43.5%	30.4%	4.3%	23
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.5**				52.2%	47.8%		23
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23709

STS-UY 2264W: Addressing Public Policy Issues in Sci, Eng, & Med

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Scheiner,Lowell** **Response Rate: 7/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	28.6%				57.1%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%				57.1%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%	28.6%		57.1%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	14.3%	28.6%		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2624W: THE RHETORIC OF SCIENCE

Class Number: 23711

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Holtzman,Geoffrey** **Response Rate: 17/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%	94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	64.7%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.2**			17.6%	41.2%	41.2%		17
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.5**				52.9%	47.1%		17
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			17.6%	35.3%	47.1%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23712

STS-UY 2724: DINOSAURS: RESURRECTING AN EXTINCT SPECIES

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S** | **Response Rate: 23/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			21.7%	21.7%	56.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%		23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				56.5%	43.5%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.3%			47.8%	47.8%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.7**	9.1%		36.4%	18.2%	36.4%		22
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.0**		4.5%	22.7%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.3**			4.5%	59.1%	36.4%		22
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.2**		4.5%	9.1%	50.0%	36.4%		22
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.5**			4.5%	40.9%	50.0%	4.5%	22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	34.8%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			13.0%	47.8%	39.1%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				26.1%	65.2%	8.7%	23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			8.7%	43.5%	47.8%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23714

STS-UY 2904: Special Topics in STS

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Benezra,Amber** | **Response Rate: 15/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%	93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			13.3%	6.7%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23715

STS-UY 2904W: Special Topics in STS

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Sviedrys,Romualdas** **Response Rate: 17/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	17.6%	70.6%	11.8%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	29.4%	64.7%	5.9%	17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 3004W: SEMINAR IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY STUDIES

Class Number: 23720

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher** **Response Rate: 16/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		93.8%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.3%	16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%		37.5%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course provided me with an understanding of the interactions among science, technology, and society.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q28	This course introduced me to major topics in the history of science and technology from a range of historical periods and genres.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q29	This course demonstrated different approaches to the field of STS and challenged my preconceptions about what STS could be and is.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q30	The writing component of this course was more challenging than introductory writing courses.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q31	This course contained topics and authors that I'd like to learn more about on my own.	**3.3**	20.0%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	20.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		18.8%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	6.3%	6.3%		31.3%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	26.7%		15
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.8**		6.7%	26.7%	46.7%	20.0%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23716

STS-UY 3204: SCIENCE AND DIFFERENCE

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Roane,James** **Response Rate: 42/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	76.2%	19.0%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	76.2%	19.0%	42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		42

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	52.4%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	9.5%	42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		32
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		32
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		32
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		32
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		32
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 3214: SCIENCE & FEMINISM

Class Number: 24975

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Maldonado-Salcedo,Melissa

Response Rate: 12/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23718

STS-UY 3354: Brain, Behavior, and the Mind: The History and Development of Neuroscience

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Matz,Brendan** **Response Rate: 24/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 25308

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Andersen,Barbara Anne** **Response Rate: 10/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB-UY 2024W: Design of Cities

Class Number: 23740

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schechtman,Judd

Response Rate: 13/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			23.1%	7.7%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q32	I developed an ability to work professionally in urban agencies.	**3.7**		18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.1**			18.2%	54.5%	27.3%		11
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.0**			27.3%	45.5%	27.3%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23740

URB-UY 2024W: Design of Cities

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Schechtman,Judd** **Response Rate: 13/18**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23741

URB-UY 2044: METHODS FOR STUDYING URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: RAE,RUTH** **Response Rate: 6/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB-UY 2064: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN PLANNING

Class Number: 23743

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Bloom,Nicholas D.** **Response Rate: 21/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.2%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			9.5%		90.5%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			28.6%	9.5%	61.9%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.8%		14.3%	23.8%	57.1%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.3**			19.0%	28.6%	52.4%		21
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.4**			14.3%	33.3%	52.4%		21
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		21
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q31	I developed an ability to work professionally in urban agencies.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	71.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB-UY 2114: GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Class Number: 23744

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Mistry,Himanshu** **Response Rate: 9/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.2**	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			33.3%		44.4%	22.2%	9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23745

URB-UY 2234: NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL CATASTROPHES & CITIES

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S** **Response Rate: 21/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.5%	90.5%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	4.8%			23.8%	71.4%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	42.9%	19.0%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	33.3%	38.1%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.8%		14.3%	52.4%	28.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%		38.1%	52.4%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.0**	5.0%	25.0%	45.0%	15.0%	10.0%		20
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.0**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	55.0%	30.0%		20
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.2**	5.0%		10.0%	45.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	4.8%	19.0%	9.5%	47.6%	19.0%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	47.6%	38.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	33.3%	33.3%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	23.8%	33.3%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23747

URB-UY 3214: CITIES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Gladstone,Susan** | **Response Rate: 27/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			7.4%	14.8%	77.8%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	18.5%	70.4%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.5**			13.0%	21.7%	65.2%		23
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.6**			8.7%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 23748

URB-UY 3314: HIST & DESIGN OF URBAN PARKS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S** **Response Rate: 21/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.2%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.5%	9.5%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		4.8%	28.6%	9.5%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	4.8%		4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		9.5%		42.9%	47.6%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			19.0%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.7**	4.8%		42.9%	23.8%	28.6%		21
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.1**		9.5%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%		21
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	52.4%		21
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.3**			19.0%	33.3%	47.6%		21
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.1**			19.0%	47.6%	33.3%		21
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21
Q33	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				38.1%	61.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			14.3%	38.1%	47.6%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			19.0%	14.3%	61.9%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			14.3%	23.8%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2015 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 24542

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Woods,David W** | **Response Rate: 28/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.4%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		28

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		28