NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Academic Success

SL 1020: ACADEMIC SKILLS SEMINAR

Response Rate: 1/13

Class Number: 2588

Section: B

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 40/100

Class Number: 1120

Section: A1LC

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.0%	2.5%	5.0%	10.0%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	25.0%	17.5%	50.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	40.0%	30.0%	5.0%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.5%	10.0%	12.5%	40.0%	32.5%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.5%	5.0%	7.5%	30.0%	47.5%	2.5%	40

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.5%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%	2.5%	40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.0%	2.5%	32.5%	30.0%	27.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.0%	17.5%	12.5%	42.5%	20.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	35.0%	37.5%	2.5%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 40/100

Class Number: 1122

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.0%	2.5%	5.0%	10.0%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	25.0%	17.5%	50.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	40.0%	30.0%	5.0%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.5%	10.0%	12.5%	40.0%	32.5%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.5%	5.0%	7.5%	30.0%	47.5%	2.5%	40

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.5%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%	2.5%	40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.0%	2.5%	32.5%	30.0%	27.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.0%	17.5%	12.5%	42.5%	20.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	35.0%	37.5%	2.5%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1520

Section: A3LC

Response Rate: 40/100

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.0%	2.5%	5.0%	10.0%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	25.0%	17.5%	50.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	40.0%	30.0%	5.0%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.5%	10.0%	12.5%	40.0%	32.5%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.5%	5.0%	7.5%	30.0%	47.5%	2.5%	40

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.5%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%	2.5%	40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.0%	2.5%	32.5%	30.0%	27.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.0%	17.5%	12.5%	42.5%	20.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	35.0%	37.5%	2.5%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 40/100

Class Number: 2368

Section: A4LC

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.0%	2.5%	5.0%	10.0%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	25.0%	17.5%	50.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	40.0%	30.0%	5.0%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.5%	10.0%	12.5%	40.0%	32.5%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.5%	5.0%	7.5%	30.0%	47.5%	2.5%	40

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.5%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%	2.5%	40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.0%	2.5%	32.5%	30.0%	27.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.0%	17.5%	12.5%	42.5%	20.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	35.0%	37.5%	2.5%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 28/79

Class Number: 1124

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Salvatore DiBartolo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.6%			89.3%	7.1%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.7%	14.3%	75.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		3.6%	32.1%	35.7%	28.6%		28

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		10.7%	14.3%	21.4%	53.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		10.7%	14.3%	46.4%	28.6%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	25.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	14.3%	3.6%	21.4%	39.3%	21.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	46.4%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1126

Section: B2LC

Response Rate: 28/79

Primary Instructor: Salvatore DiBartolo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.6%			89.3%	7.1%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.7%	14.3%	75.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		3.6%	32.1%	35.7%	28.6%		28

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		10.7%	14.3%	21.4%	53.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		10.7%	14.3%	46.4%	28.6%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	25.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	14.3%	3.6%	21.4%	39.3%	21.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	46.4%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 28/79

Class Number: 1128

Section: B3LC

Primary Instructor: Salvatore DiBartolo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.6%			89.3%	7.1%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.7%	14.3%	75.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		3.6%	32.1%	35.7%	28.6%		28

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		10.7%	14.3%	21.4%	53.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		10.7%	14.3%	46.4%	28.6%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	25.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	14.3%	3.6%	21.4%	39.3%	21.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	46.4%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 23/100

Class Number: 1130

Section: C1LC

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%	8.7%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	8.7%	17.4%	43.5%	26.1%		4.3%	23

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	47.8%	21.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	21.7%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	17.4%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		13.0%	30.4%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	39.1%	17.4%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	17.4%	30.4%	13.0%	21.7%	13.0%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 23/100

Class Number: 1132

Section: C2LC

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%	8.7%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	8.7%	17.4%	43.5%	26.1%		4.3%	23

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	47.8%	21.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	21.7%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	17.4%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		13.0%	30.4%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	39.1%	17.4%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	17.4%	30.4%	13.0%	21.7%	13.0%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 23/100

Class Number: 1134

Section: C3LC

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%	8.7%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	8.7%	17.4%	43.5%	26.1%		4.3%	23

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	47.8%	21.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	21.7%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	17.4%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		13.0%	30.4%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	39.1%	17.4%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	17.4%	30.4%	13.0%	21.7%	13.0%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Response Rate: 23/100

Class Number: 2652

Section: C4LC

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%	8.7%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	8.7%	17.4%	43.5%	26.1%		4.3%	23

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	47.8%	21.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	21.7%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	17.4%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		13.0%	30.4%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	39.1%	17.4%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	17.4%	30.4%	13.0%	21.7%	13.0%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Response Rate: 11/27

Class Number: 1492

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Alexander Raspopin

A. Procedures and Policies							*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			9.1%			81.8%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	27.3%	27.3%		27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 9/15

Class Number: 1523

Section: A1

Primary Instructor: Michael Brodsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%				77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	55.6%				44.4%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%		9

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.7**	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.9**	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		9

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.1**	22.2%		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	33.3%		22.2%	33.3%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.4**	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%			9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.1**	33.3%		11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.1**	33.3%		11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 9/17

Class Number: 1936

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Michael Brodsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			66.7%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		22.2%			66.7%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.8**		11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	22.2%	33.3%		22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**				77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	9

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				55.6%	22.2%	22.2%	9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%		44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	22.2%			44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	11.1%			55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	9
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 5/16

Class Number: 1525

Section: C1

Primary Instructor: Alexander Raspopin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.5**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.0**	40.0%			40.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.6**	80.0%			20.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**		40.0%		60.0%			5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 4/17

Class Number: 1526

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Alexander Raspopin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 2/17

Class Number: 1527

Section: D1

Primary Instructor: Michael Brodsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 1/16

Class Number: 2106

Section: D2

Primary Instructor: Michael Brodsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Response Rate: 5/15

Class Number: 1528

Section: E1

Primary Instructor: Alexander Raspopin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.3**		40.0%	20.0%			40.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		40.0%		40.0%		20.0%	5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		20.0%			40.0%	40.0%	5

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			20.0%		60.0%	20.0%	5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.8**	20.0%	60.0%				20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				80.0%		20.0%	5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				80.0%		20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Class Number: 2654

Section: F1

Response Rate: 7/17

Primary Instructor: Peter Fried

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		14.3%			71.4%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	14.3%	28.6%		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**	14.3%			28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2021: INTRO PHYSICS LABORATORY I

Class Number: 2655

Section: F2

Response Rate: 6/17

Primary Instructor: Peter Fried

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		33.3%			66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRIC, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Response Rate: 14/51

Class Number: 1530

Section: A1LC

Primary Instructor: Salvatore DiBartolo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				85.7%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				78.6%	14.3%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	14

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	64.3%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	14.3%	7.1%		35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	42.9%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	14.3%		7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRIC, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Response Rate: 14/51

Class Number: 1938

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Salvatore DiBartolo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				85.7%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				78.6%	14.3%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	14

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	64.3%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	14.3%	7.1%		35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	42.9%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	14.3%		7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRIC, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Response Rate: 39/100

Class Number: 1532

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					89.7%	10.3%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				82.1%	12.8%	39

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	7.7%	2.6%	15.4%	12.8%	53.8%	7.7%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	12.8%	5.1%	43.6%	12.8%	20.5%	5.1%	39

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.1%	5.1%	7.7%	12.8%	61.5%	7.7%	39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	15.4%	20.5%	53.8%	5.1%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	12.8%	7.7%	17.9%	17.9%	38.5%	5.1%	39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.8%	10.3%	20.5%	12.8%	35.9%	7.7%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.7%		25.6%	17.9%	43.6%	5.1%	39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	20.5%	20.5%	5.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.7%	5.1%	17.9%	33.3%	28.2%	7.7%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRIC, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Response Rate: 39/100

Class Number: 1534

Section: B2LC

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					89.7%	10.3%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				82.1%	12.8%	39

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	7.7%	2.6%	15.4%	12.8%	53.8%	7.7%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	12.8%	5.1%	43.6%	12.8%	20.5%	5.1%	39

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.1%	5.1%	7.7%	12.8%	61.5%	7.7%	39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	15.4%	20.5%	53.8%	5.1%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	12.8%	7.7%	17.9%	17.9%	38.5%	5.1%	39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.8%	10.3%	20.5%	12.8%	35.9%	7.7%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.7%		25.6%	17.9%	43.6%	5.1%	39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	20.5%	20.5%	5.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.7%	5.1%	17.9%	33.3%	28.2%	7.7%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRIC, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Response Rate: 39/100

Class Number: 1536

Section: B3LC

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					89.7%	10.3%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				82.1%	12.8%	39

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	7.7%	2.6%	15.4%	12.8%	53.8%	7.7%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	12.8%	5.1%	43.6%	12.8%	20.5%	5.1%	39

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.1%	5.1%	7.7%	12.8%	61.5%	7.7%	39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	15.4%	20.5%	53.8%	5.1%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	12.8%	7.7%	17.9%	17.9%	38.5%	5.1%	39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.8%	10.3%	20.5%	12.8%	35.9%	7.7%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.7%		25.6%	17.9%	43.6%	5.1%	39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	20.5%	20.5%	5.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.7%	5.1%	17.9%	33.3%	28.2%	7.7%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRIC, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Response Rate: 39/100

Class Number: 2366

Section: B4LC

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					89.7%	10.3%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				82.1%	12.8%	39

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	7.7%	2.6%	15.4%	12.8%	53.8%	7.7%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	12.8%	5.1%	43.6%	12.8%	20.5%	5.1%	39

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.1%	5.1%	7.7%	12.8%	61.5%	7.7%	39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	15.4%	20.5%	53.8%	5.1%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	12.8%	7.7%	17.9%	17.9%	38.5%	5.1%	39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.8%	10.3%	20.5%	12.8%	35.9%	7.7%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.7%		25.6%	17.9%	43.6%	5.1%	39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	20.5%	20.5%	5.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.7%	5.1%	17.9%	33.3%	28.2%	7.7%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Response Rate: 1/7

Class Number: 1538

Section: A1

Primary Instructor: Benjamin Nachumi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1539

Section: B1

Response Rate: 5/14

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		60.0%			40.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1940

Section: B2

Response Rate: 6/15

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.7**			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%			6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.7**			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.2**	16.7%		33.3%	50.0%			6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%			6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.3**		16.7%	33.3%	50.0%			6
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Response Rate: 3/7

Class Number: 1540

Section: C1

Primary Instructor: Valery Sheverev

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Response Rate: 3/9

Class Number: 1541

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Valery Sheverev

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1542

Section: D1

Response Rate: 4/16

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1543

Section: D2

Response Rate: 8/16

Primary Instructor: Siyka Shopova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				75.0%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%		37.5%	37.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%		37.5%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.9**	12.5%		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	12.5%			62.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	12.5%	25.0%		25.0%	37.5%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%		37.5%	25.0%		8
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.5**	12.5%	25.0%		25.0%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1941

Section: E1

Response Rate: 5/11

Primary Instructor: Olga Dulub

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					60.0%	40.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					60.0%	40.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.2**		20.0%	60.0%		20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**			60.0%	40.0%			5

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.8**			20.0%	60.0%		20.0%	5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1544

Section: F1

Response Rate: 10/15

Primary Instructor: Olga Dulub

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			10

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	10.0%	10.0%		10.0%	70.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%		40.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	10.0%			60.0%	30.0%		10
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.6**	10.0%	20.0%		40.0%	30.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 1943

Section: F2

Response Rate: 8/16

Primary Instructor: Olga Dulub

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%		37.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	25.0%			37.5%	37.5%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Response Rate: 6/16

Class Number: 1545

Section: G1

Primary Instructor: Benjamin Nachumi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%			6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Response Rate: 5/16

Class Number: 1546

Section: G2

Primary Instructor: Benjamin Nachumi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.4**	40.0%		40.0%	20.0%			5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.6**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.4**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 2420

Section: H1

Response Rate: 5/11

Primary Instructor: Valery Sheverev

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**			60.0%	40.0%			5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**			60.0%	40.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 2658

Section: H2

Response Rate: 3/7

Primary Instructor: Valery Sheverev

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Class Number: 2659

Section: I1

Response Rate: 6/16

Primary Instructor: Naresh Shakya

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2031: INTRODUCTORY PHYSICS LAB II

Response Rate: 9/16

Class Number: 2660

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Naresh Shakya

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**			55.6%		44.4%		9

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1547

Section: A1LC

Response Rate: 15/49

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.3%			86.7%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	20.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1549

Section: A2LC

Response Rate: 15/49

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.3%			86.7%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	20.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1551

Section: B1LC

Response Rate: 34/77

Primary Instructor: Stephen Arnold

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.1%				87.9%	6.1%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.1%				81.8%	6.1%	33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	9.1%		15.2%	24.2%	51.5%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	2.9%	32.4%	38.2%	14.7%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		3.0%	12.1%	57.6%	27.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.0%	15.2%	9.1%	48.5%	24.2%		33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	40.6%	28.1%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.1%	9.1%	45.5%	39.4%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.1%	9.1%	18.2%	48.5%	18.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		6.1%	6.1%	48.5%	39.4%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1553

Section: B2LC

Response Rate: 34/77

Primary Instructor: Stephen Arnold

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.1%				87.9%	6.1%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.1%				81.8%	6.1%	33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	9.1%		15.2%	24.2%	51.5%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	2.9%	32.4%	38.2%	14.7%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		3.0%	12.1%	57.6%	27.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.0%	15.2%	9.1%	48.5%	24.2%		33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	40.6%	28.1%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.1%	9.1%	45.5%	39.4%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.1%	9.1%	18.2%	48.5%	18.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		6.1%	6.1%	48.5%	39.4%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 2361

Section: B3LC

Response Rate: 34/77

Primary Instructor: Stephen Arnold

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.1%				87.9%	6.1%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.1%				81.8%	6.1%	33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	9.1%		15.2%	24.2%	51.5%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	2.9%	32.4%	38.2%	14.7%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		3.0%	12.1%	57.6%	27.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.0%	15.2%	9.1%	48.5%	24.2%		33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	40.6%	28.1%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.1%	9.1%	45.5%	39.4%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.1%	9.1%	18.2%	48.5%	18.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		6.1%	6.1%	48.5%	39.4%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 2661

Section: B4LC

Response Rate: 34/77

Primary Instructor: Stephen Arnold

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.1%			87.9%	6.1%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.1%			81.8%	6.1%	33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	9.1%		15.2%	24.2%	51.5%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	2.9%	32.4%	38.2%	14.7%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		3.0%	12.1%	57.6%	27.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.0%	15.2%	9.1%	48.5%	24.2%		33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	40.6%	28.1%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.1%	9.1%	45.5%	39.4%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.1%	9.1%	18.2%	48.5%	18.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		6.1%	6.1%	48.5%	39.4%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1555

Section: C1LC

Response Rate: 38/69

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%				94.7%	2.6%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.7%		13.5%	35.1%	45.9%	2.7%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	2.6%	10.5%	42.1%	23.7%	18.4%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			18.4%	34.2%	44.7%	2.6%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.6%		15.8%	26.3%	52.6%	2.6%	38

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		10.5%	21.1%	34.2%	31.6%	2.6%	38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			18.4%	36.8%	42.1%	2.6%	38

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		5.3%	10.5%	50.0%	31.6%	2.6%	38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		10.5%	15.8%	34.2%	36.8%	2.6%	38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1557

Section: C2LC

Response Rate: 38/69

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%				94.7%	2.6%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.7%		13.5%	35.1%	45.9%	2.7%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	2.6%	10.5%	42.1%	23.7%	18.4%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			18.4%	34.2%	44.7%	2.6%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.6%		15.8%	26.3%	52.6%	2.6%	38

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		10.5%	21.1%	34.2%	31.6%	2.6%	38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			18.4%	36.8%	42.1%	2.6%	38

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		5.3%	10.5%	50.0%	31.6%	2.6%	38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		10.5%	15.8%	34.2%	36.8%	2.6%	38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMIC

Class Number: 1559

Section: C3LC

Response Rate: 38/69

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%				94.7%	2.6%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.7%		13.5%	35.1%	45.9%	2.7%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	2.6%	10.5%	42.1%	23.7%	18.4%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			18.4%	34.2%	44.7%	2.6%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.6%		15.8%	26.3%	52.6%	2.6%	38

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		10.5%	21.1%	34.2%	31.6%	2.6%	38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			18.4%	36.8%	42.1%	2.6%	38

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		5.3%	10.5%	50.0%	31.6%	2.6%	38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		10.5%	15.8%	34.2%	36.8%	2.6%	38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2344: INTRO TO MODERN PHYSICS

Class Number: 1136

Section: A

Response Rate: 4/23

Primary Instructor: David Mugglin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we obtained understanding of concepts in modern physics.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	The basic concepts were illustrated with engineering applications and examples	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2813: ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

Response Rate: 4/9

Class Number: 2064

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lorcan Folan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3054: INTRO TO POLYMER PHYSICS

Response Rate: 5/8

Class Number: 1944

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Victor Barinov

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3103: FUND OF APPL NUCLEAR PHYSICS

Response Rate: 1/10

Class Number: 1137

Section: A

Primary Instructor: John Sanchez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3234: ELECTRICITY & MAGNETISM

Response Rate: 6/15

Class Number: 2121

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Tsifrinovich

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3513: NUCL & RAD INSTRUM & METHODS

Response Rate: 3/8

Class Number: 2663

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lorcan Folan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 4124: THERMODYNAMICS & STAT PHYSICS

Response Rate: 2/13

Class Number: 2108

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Ostrovsky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 4904: SENIOR PROJ INTERDIS PHYSICS

Response Rate: 2/6

Class Number: 1439

Section: BKLN

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 5553: PHYSICS OF QUANTUM COMPUTING

Response Rate: 8/12

Class Number: 1138

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Tsifrinovich

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					87.5%	12.5%	8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	12.5%			12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 5663: PHYSICS OF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

Class Number: 1139

Section: A

Response Rate: 11/14

Primary Instructor: Edward Wolf

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 6683: QUANTUM MECHANCIS II

Response Rate: 2/9

Class Number: 2665

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Salvatore DiBartolo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6113: ANAT, PHYSIOLOGY, & BIOPHYS II

Class Number: 1224

Section: A

Response Rate: 4/21

Primary Instructor: Gene Di Resta

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6203: BIOMEDICAL IMAGING I

Response Rate: 36/19

Class Number: 1991

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		36

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		36
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		36

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		36
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		36

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			27.8%	33.3%	38.9%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		36
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Response Rate: 28/47

Class Number: 2889

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Peter Voltz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	85.7%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			7.1%	10.7%	82.1%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.6%	7.1%	10.7%	78.6%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		3.6%		14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	89.3%	3.6%	28
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	85.7%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6453: PROBABILITY AND STOCHASTIC

Class Number: 2890

Section: I

Response Rate: 46/69

Primary Instructor: Peter Voltz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.8%	2.2%	46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		46

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		46
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.5%	6.5%	87.0%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			13.0%	15.2%	69.6%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.2%	17.4%	78.3%	2.2%	46

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				23.9%	76.1%		46
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.2%	6.5%	19.6%	67.4%	4.3%	46

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.2%	6.5%	13.0%	10.9%	67.4%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	10.9%	82.6%		46
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.3%		10.9%	84.8%		46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6503: BIOMEDICAL INSTRUMENTATION

Response Rate: 1/10

Class Number: 1625

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Iwao Teraoka

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 9443: TISSUE ENGINEERING

Class Number: 2347

Section: A

Response Rate: 22/44

Primary Instructor: Kalle Levon

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%				90.9%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.6%				86.4%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			13.6%	36.4%	50.0%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		13.6%	22.7%	18.2%	40.9%	4.5%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	50.0%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		4.5%	40.9%	18.2%	36.4%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			4.5%	54.5%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE 9753: BIOETHICS SEMINAR

Response Rate: 3/6

Class Number: 2917

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Patrick Linden

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		33.3%			66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: INTRO TO CELL & MOLEC BIOLOGY

Class Number: 1236

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 11/34

Primary Instructor: Tommy Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.9%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			90.9%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	9.1%		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		11

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		27.3%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: INTRO TO CELL & MOLEC BIOLOGY

Class Number: 1237

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 14/44

Primary Instructor: Tommy Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	14.3%		7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	57.1%	7.1%	14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: INTRODUCTION TO PHYSIOLOGY

Class Number: 2007

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 11/24

Primary Instructor: Tommy Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%		81.8%		11

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**			18.2%		81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	9.1%			45.5%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3214: MICROBIOLOGY

Response Rate: 10/18

Class Number: 2008

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: John Katsigeorgis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		10.0%			80.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.0%		20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	10.0%		10.0%		70.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	10.0%		10.0%		70.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		10.0%			80.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3314: ADV CELL AND MOLECULAR BIO I

Response Rate: 14/30

Class Number: 1239

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Alexandra Seidenshaw

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		14.3%		21.4%	57.1%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	57.1%	7.1%	14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.1%		21.4%	14.3%	50.0%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		14.3%		28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6013: BIOTECH & THE PHARMACEUT INDUS

Class Number: 1242

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/35

Primary Instructor: Evgeny Vulfson

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6053: NEUROSCIENCE

Class Number: 3154

Section: BKLN

Response Rate: 5/26

Primary Instructor: Armin Stelzer

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6053: NEUROSCIENCE

Response Rate: 5/26

Class Number: 3154

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Ottavio Arancio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6063: IMMUNOLOGY

Response Rate: 3/27

Class Number: 3153

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cenk Sumen

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6073: GENETIC ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 1/17

Class Number: 3152

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: John Katsigeorgis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BTE 6043: BIOCATALYSIS IN INDUSTRY

Response Rate: 8/40

Class Number: 1245

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Evgeny Vulfson

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 1002: INTRO TO CHEM & BIOMOLECLR ENG

Class Number: 1455

Section: A

Response Rate: 20/62

Primary Instructor: Jovan Mijovic

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	45.0%	35.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	30.0%	35.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	45.0%	25.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	45.0%	35.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have a better understanding of my chosen major	**4.1**	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	I have a fundamental understanding of many processes used in chem and bio engineering.	**4.0**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	55.0%	30.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	40.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3223: KINETICS AND REACTOR DESIGN

Class Number: 1463

Section: A

Response Rate: 30/56

Primary Instructor: Jin Kim

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			3.3%	50.0%	46.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		3.3%	10.0%	43.3%	43.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.3%	6.7%		63.3%	26.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	36.7%	43.3%		30

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		13.3%	10.0%	36.7%	40.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	33.3%	60.0%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have learned to make mole balances on batch reactors, CSTRs, PFRs and PBRs.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		30
Q28	I can use use design equations, rate laws and stoichiometric relations to design isothermal reactors.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		30
Q29	I can apply the first law to develop conversion, temperature and rate profiles for non-isothermal reactors.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		30
Q30	I have a fundamental understanding of catalytic processes including adsorption and desorption, surface reactions and effectiveness factors.	**4.2**		3.4%	3.4%	58.6%	34.5%		29
Q31	I understand the basic dynamics of CSTR's and PFR's	**4.5**			3.3%	43.3%	53.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.7%	10.0%	50.0%	33.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				43.3%	56.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3233: CHEM & BIOMOLECULAR ENG SEPARA

Class Number: 1464

Section: A

Response Rate: 29/57

Primary Instructor: Qing Song

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.9%			82.8%	10.3%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.6%			89.3%	7.1%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	17.2%	34.5%	6.9%	31.0%	6.9%	3.4%	29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	17.2%	17.2%	27.6%	24.1%	10.3%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	3.4%	10.3%	10.3%	48.3%	24.1%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	6.9%	13.8%	34.5%	20.7%	20.7%	3.4%	29

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	20.7%	24.1%	6.9%	31.0%	10.3%	6.9%	29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	27.6%	10.3%	17.2%	27.6%	10.3%	6.9%	29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamentals of diffusive and convective mass transfer.	**3.4**	6.9%	17.2%	10.3%	44.8%	13.8%	6.9%	29
Q28	I can apply rate-based methods to the design of packed tower absorption columns.	**3.4**	6.9%	17.2%	10.3%	44.8%	13.8%	6.9%	29
Q29	I can design absorption, distillation and extraction columns using equilibrium stage methods	**3.6**	6.9%	13.8%	10.3%	41.4%	20.7%	6.9%	29
Q30	I understand the fundamentals of multi-component distillation systems	**3.4**	10.3%	17.2%	10.3%	34.5%	20.7%	6.9%	29
Q31	I am familiar with the design principles used for membrane separation processes.	**3.0**	10.3%	20.7%	20.7%	37.9%	3.4%	6.9%	29

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	20.7%	24.1%	10.3%	20.7%	17.2%	6.9%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	20.7%	13.8%	17.2%	20.7%	20.7%	6.9%	29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3323: TRANSPORT II

Response Rate: 30/56

Class Number: 1349

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Joshua Gallaway

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.3%	96.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	16.7%	66.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4173: POLYMER MATERIALS

Class Number: 1361

Section: A

Response Rate: 18/55

Primary Instructor: Jovan Mijovic

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	5.6%		22.2%	27.8%	44.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%		18

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.6%	22.2%	5.6%	27.8%	38.9%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Through this course I developed a better understanding of my professional and ethical responsibilities.	**3.5**	5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	16.7%	27.8%		18
Q28	My ability to prepare and present an oral report was enhanced through this course.	**2.7**	11.1%	38.9%	27.8%	11.1%	11.1%		18
Q29	I am aware of current trends and contemporary issues with polymeric materials.	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		18
Q30	I have a greater understanding of the fundamental principles of the science and engineering of macromolecules.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
Q31	I have an understanding of the physical, electrical and optical properties of polymers and polymer blends.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: ENGINEERING LABORATORY II

Class Number: 1362

Section: A

Response Rate: 4/15

Primary Instructor: Brennal Atiba Diallo Pierre

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: ENGINEERING LABORATORY II

Class Number: 1363

Section: B

Response Rate: 4/11

Primary Instructor: Qing Song

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.3**	25.0%	50.0%		25.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.3**		75.0%	25.0%				4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: ENGINEERING LABORATORY II

Response Rate: 6/14

Class Number: 2295

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Napoleon Tercero

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: ENGINEERING LABORATORY II

Class Number: 2788

Section: D

Response Rate: 4/12

Primary Instructor: Qing Song

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				50.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4263: CHEM & BIO PROCESS DESIGN II

Class Number: 1364

Section: A

Response Rate: 6/23

Primary Instructor: Edward Ziegler

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q28	I am more confident in my ability to prepare and present oral and written reports on technical topics.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have improved my understanding and skills in process design, including the use of advanced tools such as ASPEN.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q30	I have learned to assess the profitability of a process including safety and environmental constraints.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q31	Based on my experience in this course I am ready to address more complex design problems.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4263: CHEM & BIO PROCESS DESIGN II

Response Rate: 12/30

Class Number: 2296

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Edward Ziegler

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			25.0%	33.3%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q28	I am more confident in my ability to prepare and present oral and written reports on technical topics.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q29	I have improved my understanding and skills in process design, including the use of advanced tools such as ASPEN.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q30	I have learned to assess the profitability of a process including safety and environmental constraints.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q31	Based on my experience in this course I am ready to address more complex design problems.	**3.9**		8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 6333: TRANSPORT PHENOMENA

Response Rate: 10/18

Class Number: 1365

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rastislav Levicky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 6813: CHEMICAL REACTOR ANLYS & DESGN

Response Rate: 4/12

Class Number: 1366

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jin Kim

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 8813: BIOCHEMICAL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1367

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/18

Primary Instructor: Jin Kim

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: GENERAL CHEM FOR ENGINEERS

Class Number: 2017

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 17/54

Primary Instructor: Abhijit Mitra

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.8%			64.7%	23.5%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		17.6%			58.8%	23.5%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			11.8%	35.3%	35.3%	17.6%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%	29.4%	17.6%	17

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	47.1%	17.6%	17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**		11.8%	23.5%	5.9%	41.2%	17.6%	17

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	35.3%	17.6%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	29.4%	11.8%	17.6%	17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%	29.4%	17.6%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	35.3%	17.6%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%	17.6%	17
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	47.1%	17.6%	17
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.3**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	47.1%	17.6%	17
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	17.6%	17

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	41.2%	17.6%	17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	17.6%	17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		17.6%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	17.6%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	11.8%		23.5%	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%	17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: GENERAL CHEM FOR ENGINEERS

Class Number: 2017

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 17/54

Primary Instructor: Abhijit Mitra

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**	11.8%		5.9%	47.1%	17.6%	17.6%	17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**	17.6%		17.6%	35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: GENERAL CHEM FOR ENGINEERS

Class Number: 2024

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 20/53

Primary Instructor: Myron Pollack

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%				80.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	10.0%	15.0%	45.0%	20.0%	10.0%		20

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**			26.3%	5.3%	68.4%		19

B. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.0%		5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	50.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.0%	15.0%	5.0%	45.0%	30.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.3**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.6**	15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		15.0%	5.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	15.0%	5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.4**	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	15.0%	35.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: GENERAL CHEM FOR ENGINEERS

Class Number: 2024

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 20/53

Primary Instructor: Myron Pollack

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.5**	20.0%	5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	30.0%		20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**	10.0%	15.0%	40.0%	10.0%	25.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: GENERAL CHEMISTRY II

Response Rate: 11/43

Class Number: 2025

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Janice Aber

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.9%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1032: CHEMISTRY, THE CENTRAL SCIENCE

Class Number: 1247

Section: A

Response Rate: 6/13

Primary Instructor: Janice Aber

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2102: MOLECULAR MODELING IN CHEMISTR

Class Number: 1248

Section: A

Response Rate: 13/34

Primary Instructor: Abhijit Mitra

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.7%			84.6%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					84.6%	15.4%	13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	30.8%	23.1%		7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	30.8%		53.8%		7.7%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.9**	30.8%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%	13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2213: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 2078

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 10/20

Primary Instructor: Mark Green

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		66.7%			22.2%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		44.4%			33.3%	22.2%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	22.2%		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	11.1%		33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.1%		33.3%	22.2%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	11.1%		22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%		33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**		10.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2221: ORGANC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY II

Class Number: 1249

Section: A

Response Rate: 5/12

Primary Instructor: Victor Barinov

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		5
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Class Number: 1250

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 14/26

Primary Instructor: Mark Green

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				42.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	64.3%				35.7%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	7.1%		7.1%		85.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	14.3%	7.1%		64.3%	14.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%	7.1%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	14.3%			42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	64.3%	14.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Class Number: 2284

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 16/37

Primary Instructor: Jin Montclare

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%				81.3%	12.5%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.3%				73.3%	13.3%	15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			31.3%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		18.8%		25.0%	43.8%	12.5%	16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	25.0%	12.5%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				46.7%	40.0%	13.3%	15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	18.8%	18.8%	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	12.5%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%	12.5%	16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2614: PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY 1

Class Number: 1251

Section: A

Response Rate: 30/66

Primary Instructor: Bruce Garetz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.3%	6.7%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	73.3%	6.7%	30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			20.0%	23.3%	50.0%	6.7%	30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.3%	80.0%	6.7%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	26.7%	56.7%	6.7%	30

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	73.3%	6.7%	30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	53.3%	6.7%	30

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	6.7%	3.3%	6.7%	26.7%	50.0%	6.7%	30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	23.3%	60.0%	6.7%	30

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	26.7%	60.0%	6.7%	30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2614: PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY 1

Class Number: 2033

Section: B

Response Rate: 12/23

Primary Instructor: Janice Aber

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	8.3%			8.3%	66.7%	16.7%	12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	8.3%				83.3%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	8.3%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	16.7%		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	8.3%			8.3%	75.0%	8.3%	12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 3324: BIOCHEMISTRY II

Class Number: 1252

Section: A

Response Rate: 20/41

Primary Instructor: Charles Martucci

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.0%	15.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	78.9%	10.5%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.3%			26.3%	63.2%	5.3%	19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	35.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.0%	15.0%		20.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		15.0%	5.0%	35.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	10.0%		5.0%	35.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 3514: ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY

Class Number: 1253

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 17/36

Primary Instructor: Abhijit Mitra

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.8%			76.5%	11.8%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			76.5%	11.8%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.9%			52.9%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	17.6%	23.5%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	18.8%	18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	18.8%	12.5%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.7**	31.3%	18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%	17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	17.6%	29.4%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	23.5%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 4011: INFO SOURCES FOR CHEM SCI

Response Rate: 8/24

Class Number: 1256

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Abraham Ulman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					75.0%	25.0%	8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			50.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 5040: CHEMICAL LAB SAFETY

Response Rate: 9/22

Class Number: 1257

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Charles Martucci

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 7723: SYNTHESIS OF MACROMOLECULES

Response Rate: 2/9

Class Number: 2035

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mark Green

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 8073: ORGANIC SPECTROSCOPY

Class Number: 1258

Section: I

Response Rate: 3/13

Primary Instructor: Abraham Ulman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM 9433: PROTEIN ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 3/17

Class Number: 2314

Section: A

Primary Instructor: John Carter

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 1002: INTRO TO CIVIL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1153

Section: ALAB

Response Rate: 24/25

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		4.2%	4.2%	62.5%	29.2%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.3%		20.8%	29.2%	41.7%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.4**		4.3%		43.5%	52.2%		23
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**		4.3%		30.4%	65.2%		23
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.3**		8.7%	4.3%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.2%	12.5%	37.5%	41.7%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	52.2%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 1002: INTRO TO CIVIL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1153

Section: ALAB

Response Rate: 24/25

Primary Instructor: Jose Ulerio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		4.2%	4.2%	62.5%	29.2%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.3%		20.8%	29.2%	41.7%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.4**		4.3%		43.5%	52.2%		23
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**		4.3%		30.4%	65.2%		23
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.3**		8.7%	4.3%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.2%	12.5%	37.5%	41.7%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	52.2%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 1002: INTRO TO CIVIL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1518

Section: BLAB

Response Rate: 10/14

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.0%		30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		30.0%		40.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 1002: INTRO TO CIVIL ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 10/14

Class Number: 1518

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Jose Ulerio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.0%		30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		30.0%		40.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 1002: INTRO TO CIVIL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1152

Section: LEC

Response Rate: 30/39

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.3%			89.7%		29

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	82.8%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.4%	6.9%	13.8%	13.8%	62.1%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	31.0%	48.3%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	10.7%	3.6%	10.7%	28.6%	46.4%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	3.4%		6.9%	27.6%	62.1%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	10.3%		10.3%	31.0%	48.3%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.5**		3.3%		36.7%	56.7%	3.3%	30
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.6**				36.7%	63.3%		30
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**		3.3%		26.7%	66.7%	3.3%	30
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.3**		6.7%	10.0%	33.3%	50.0%		30
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.6**		3.4%		27.6%	69.0%		29

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.4%	3.4%	48.3%	44.8%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			6.9%	34.5%	58.6%		29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.6**		3.3%		30.0%	66.7%		30
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	36.7%	53.3%		30
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**		10.0%	3.3%	30.0%	56.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 1502: LEADERSHP & FOUND OF CONS MGMT

Response Rate: 14/15

Class Number: 1442

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronald Pennella

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			85.7%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the construction management profession.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q28	I understand the roles of the construction process participants.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q29	Know the basic terminology of the construction industry.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13
Q30	Recognize the importance of leadership in construction.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2113: STATICS

Response Rate: 10/14

Class Number: 1156

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Oleksiy Sidelev

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		22.2%		55.6%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		22.2%		66.7%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static loads.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2113: STATICS

Response Rate: 13/19

Class Number: 2174

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Ivan L. Guzman

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%	92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static loads.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Response Rate: 18/25

Class Number: 1443

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Troy Morgan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%				88.9%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.2**		11.1%		50.0%	38.9%		18
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**3.8**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	55.6%	22.2%		18
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	27.8%	16.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%	5.6%		22.2%	66.7%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	50.0%	33.3%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Response Rate: 20/24

Class Number: 1444

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Masoud Ghandehari

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%				95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		5.0%		50.0%	45.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		25.0%	20.0%	25.0%	30.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		35.0%	10.0%	40.0%	15.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		30.0%	5.0%	30.0%	35.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		20.0%	5.0%	50.0%	25.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		10.5%	21.1%	31.6%	36.8%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.3**		5.0%		50.0%	45.0%		20
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**4.1**		10.0%	5.0%	55.0%	30.0%		20
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		15.0%	15.0%	45.0%	25.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	45.0%	35.0%		20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			15.0%	45.0%	40.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**		5.0%	15.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1858

Section: ALAB

Response Rate: 10/12

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	20.0%	20.0%		50.0%	10.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.0%		10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1860

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 13/22

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				76.9%	23.1%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**				69.2%	30.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1859

Section: BLAB

Response Rate: 9/13

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	22.2%		11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		11.1%	33.3%		55.6%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**			44.4%	11.1%	44.4%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1857

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 21/26

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.2%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.5%	9.5%	21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.8%			90.5%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	66.7%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	52.4%	9.5%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	9.5%	14.3%	4.8%	33.3%	28.6%	9.5%	21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		33.3%	14.3%	28.6%	19.0%	4.8%	21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		21
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.2**		14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	52.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		23.8%	14.3%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	52.4%	4.8%	21

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				38.1%	61.9%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			14.3%	38.1%	47.6%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			14.3%	38.1%	47.6%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1861

Section: CLAB

Response Rate: 9/12

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			22.2%	55.6%	22.2%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		22.2%		55.6%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1862

Section: DLAB

Response Rate: 7/11

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	14.3%	42.9%			42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2323: TRAFFIC ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 2686

Section: A

Response Rate: 23/34

Primary Instructor: Raman Patel

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%				95.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.0%				85.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	9.5%		4.8%	52.4%	33.3%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	19.0%	9.5%	9.5%	38.1%	23.8%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		19.0%	4.8%	23.8%	52.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.8%	23.8%	4.8%	14.3%	52.4%		21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.5%	14.3%	23.8%	52.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand how driver, vehicle, highway, and control device characteristics affect traffic streams and traffic stream operations.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%		39.1%	47.8%		23
Q28	I know how traffic streams are quantified, and how to use traffic stream data to understand underlying traffic problems.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	52.2%		23
Q29	I am able to develop signal timing plans for intersections for both pre-timed and actuated signals.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%		43.5%	47.8%		23
Q30	I am able to develop coordination plans for signals along an arterial.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%		52.2%	34.8%		23
Q31	I understand the importance of highway traffic safety as an over-riding professional obligation.	**4.2**	4.5%	4.5%		45.5%	45.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	42.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		19.0%	9.5%	47.6%	23.8%		21

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	30.4%	30.4%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	34.8%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	43.5%	34.8%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 2523: CONTRACTS & CONSTRUC DOCUMENTS

Response Rate: 28/36

Class Number: 1445

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Lawrence Chiarelli

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%				96.4%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.4%				78.6%		28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		3.6%	14.3%	21.4%	60.7%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			3.6%	57.1%	39.3%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			3.6%	53.6%	42.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.6%	14.3%	25.0%	21.4%	32.1%	3.6%	28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the functions and contents of construction documents	**4.5**			3.7%	40.7%	55.6%		27
Q28	I understand the various project delivery systems and document requirements for each	**4.3**			7.4%	51.9%	40.7%		27
Q29	I know how specifications are composed	**4.2**		3.7%	7.4%	55.6%	33.3%		27
Q30	I understand the relationship of construction documents to construction quality	**4.3**		3.7%	3.7%	48.1%	44.4%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		17.9%	14.3%	46.4%	21.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			3.6%	50.0%	46.4%		28

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.3**		3.7%	11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**		3.7%	11.1%	44.4%	40.7%		27
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**		3.7%	14.8%	40.7%	40.7%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Class Number: 1519

Section: A

Response Rate: 16/30

Primary Instructor: Weihua Jin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		18.8%	18.8%	18.8%	43.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		18.8%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	18.8%	18.8%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**		6.3%	31.3%	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			18.8%	37.5%	37.5%	6.3%	16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3133: STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Response Rate: 29/38

Class Number: 2176

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Roula Maloof

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.3%			86.2%	3.4%	29

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	3.4%	3.4%		3.4%	89.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	3.6%		10.7%	14.3%	71.4%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	6.9%	17.2%	13.8%	17.2%	44.8%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	53.6%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%		44.8%	48.3%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	41.4%	41.4%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	**4.3**	3.6%	7.1%	3.6%	32.1%	53.6%		28
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	**2.6**	42.9%	14.3%	7.1%	10.7%	25.0%		28
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	**4.4**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	57.1%		28
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	**3.3**	14.8%	14.8%	18.5%	18.5%	29.6%	3.7%	27
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	3.4%	13.8%	17.2%	17.2%	48.3%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.4%	3.4%		27.6%	65.5%		29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%		42.9%	50.0%		28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	7.1%		14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**	7.1%		3.6%	39.3%	50.0%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3153: GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1472

Section: ALAB

Response Rate: 6/11

Primary Instructor: Mohsen Hossein

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3153: GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 8/7

Class Number: 1473

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Mohsen Hossein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**		12.5%	25.0%		62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3153: GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 16/15

Class Number: 2179

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Mohsen Hossein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%				93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	18.8%	18.8%	12.5%	31.3%	18.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.7**	62.5%	25.0%		6.3%	6.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.1**	50.0%	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.6**	31.3%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	12.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	37.5%	25.0%		16
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**3.3**	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	6.3%		16
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**3.4**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%	6.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	26.7%	26.7%	13.3%	20.0%	13.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%	25.0%	18.8%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.3**	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	25.0%	18.8%		16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.4**	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	18.8%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3153: GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 25/33

Class Number: 1471

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Mohsen Hossein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.0%		4.0%	92.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		8.0%	4.0%	8.0%	80.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	8.0%	12.0%		32.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	36.0%	40.0%		25

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		4.0%		44.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.0%	28.0%	68.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.6**	4.0%			28.0%	68.0%		25
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.0%	20.0%		36.0%	40.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**	4.0%		4.0%	40.0%	48.0%	4.0%	25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**		12.0%	8.0%	32.0%	48.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3163: MATERIALS ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 6/10

Class Number: 1474

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Weihua Jin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed the fundamental knowledge about the structure, properties, and behavior of various civil engineering materials.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I understand the relationships between nano-structure, microstructure and macro-scale material behavior.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q29	I developed an ability to choose material strength, durability, cost, and sustainability for effective material and structural design.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q30	I acquired knowledge of the latest technology and industrial trends in engineering materials.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q31	I understand different material behaviors and the advantages and disadvantages of each material through a series of laboratory experiments.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3163: MATERIALS ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 15/15

Class Number: 1476

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Weihua Jin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.3%				86.7%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%				86.7%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed the fundamental knowledge about the structure, properties, and behavior of various civil engineering materials.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q28	I understand the relationships between nano-structure, microstructure and macro-scale material behavior.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q29	I developed an ability to choose material strength, durability, cost, and sustainability for effective material and structural design.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q30	I acquired knowledge of the latest technology and industrial trends in engineering materials.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q31	I understand different material behaviors and the advantages and disadvantages of each material through a series of laboratory experiments.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3163: MATERIALS ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 17/25

Class Number: 1475

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Weihua Jin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%				94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%				94.1%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		11.8%		35.3%	52.9%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	58.8%	17.6%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed the fundamental knowledge about the structure, properties, and behavior of various civil engineering materials.	**4.5**				52.9%	47.1%		17
Q28	I understand the relationships between nano-structure, microstructure and macro-scale material behavior.	**4.4**			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
Q29	I developed an ability to choose material strength, durability, cost, and sustainability for effective material and structural design.	**4.4**			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
Q30	I acquired knowledge of the latest technology and industrial trends in engineering materials.	**4.3**			5.9%	58.8%	35.3%		17
Q31	I understand different material behaviors and the advantages and disadvantages of each material through a series of laboratory experiments.	**4.5**			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				52.9%	47.1%		17
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			11.8%	70.6%	17.6%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**			17.6%	52.9%	29.4%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Response Rate: 10/17

Class Number: 2687

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Roula Maloof

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			80.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	10.0%	20.0%		20.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	10.0%	10.0%			80.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	10.0%		10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Response Rate: 10/17

Class Number: 2688

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Roula Maloof

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.0%		30.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3223: ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 1168

Section: ALAB

Response Rate: 12/17

Primary Instructor: Mahmoud Ahmed

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%			12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.2**		8.3%		58.3%	33.3%		12
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**			16.7%	75.0%	8.3%		12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3223: ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 1173

Section: BLAB

Response Rate: 7/10

Primary Instructor: Mahmoud Ahmed

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**3.7**	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3223: ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 1172

Section: LEC

Response Rate: 13/27

Primary Instructor: Mahmoud Ahmed

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**		16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	8.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		16.7%	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3243: WATER RESOURCE ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 22/37

Class Number: 1157

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Haralambos Vasiliadis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.6%			68.2%	18.2%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.5%			27.3%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	9.1%	22.7%	9.1%	13.6%	45.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	19.0%	14.3%	4.8%	19.0%	42.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	19.0%	33.3%		21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	31.8%	27.3%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	42.9%	19.0%	9.5%	4.8%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I continued and extended fluid mechanics, with a focus on applications of hydraulic and hydrologic engineering.	**3.4**	13.6%	13.6%	13.6%	36.4%	22.7%		22
Q28	I have developed the fundamental principles of surface and ground-water hydrology.	**3.2**	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	27.3%	22.7%		22
Q29	I know how to analyze pipe flows, pipe networks, open channel flow with elementary design applications.	**3.6**	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	45.5%	27.3%		22
Q30	I have explored water resources engineering professional challenges and ethical issues.	**3.2**	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	27.3%	22.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	27.3%	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	22.7%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	22.7%	18.2%	9.1%	22.7%	27.3%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**3.3**	22.7%	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	27.3%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.3**	22.7%	9.1%	13.6%	27.3%	27.3%		22
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.3**	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3343: DESIGN OF TRAFFIC FACILITIES

Response Rate: 29/31

Class Number: 1900

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Jose Ulerio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.6%			96.4%		28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	3.4%			6.9%	89.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.4%		17.2%	79.3%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	17.2%	72.4%		29

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	6.9%			20.7%	72.4%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			6.9%	17.2%	75.9%		29

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	6.9%	34.5%	51.7%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.4%		13.8%	27.6%	55.2%		29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	53.6%		28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	42.9%	39.3%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	46.4%	42.9%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3553: NON-STRUCTURAL BUILDING SYSTMS

Response Rate: 29/36

Class Number: 1488

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Salvatore Castelli

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.4%			86.2%	10.3%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.6%	3.4%	29

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.9%	93.1%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	79.3%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		29

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.9%	93.1%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the design, specification and procurement processes for non-structural building systems.	**4.7**				28.6%	67.9%	3.6%	28
Q28	I understand the relationship between building codes and standards.	**4.6**				39.3%	60.7%		28
Q29	I can plan and execute the installation of mechanical and electrical work.	**4.5**			3.6%	46.4%	50.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	69.0%	3.4%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	67.9%	3.6%	28
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	67.9%	3.6%	28
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	71.4%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3563: CONSTRUCTION MODELING & DATA 2

Class Number: 1863

Section: LAB

Response Rate: 8/9

Primary Instructor: Ashkan Rowshanrad

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 3563: CONSTRUCTION MODELING & DATA 2

Response Rate: 4/9

Class Number: 1864

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ashkan Rowshanrad

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		75.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4043: SUSTAINABLE CITIES

Response Rate: 17/27

Class Number: 1158

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ilan Juran

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.8%				82.4%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.8%				82.4%	5.9%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		11.8%		5.9%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		17.6%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	23.5%	35.3%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	11.8%	35.3%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the multiple aspects of sustainability and their interaction.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17
Q28	I understand the relation of urban sustainability to global sustainability.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q29	I understand the concept of a smart city, the relevant technologies and the technology's interaction with urban policies and management.	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17
Q30	I understand the ethical dilemmas posed by urban sustainability globally, nationally and in cities.	**4.2**		5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	35.3%		17
Q31	I can investigate specific aspects of sustainability within their immediate environment.	**4.4**				58.8%	41.2%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	52.9%		17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**		11.8%	11.8%	58.8%	17.6%		17
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	52.9%	23.5%		17
A30	I have enhanced my ability to understand the potentially global implications of engineering solutions	**4.2**			5.9%	70.6%	23.5%		17
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.1**		5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.3**				70.6%	29.4%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4092: LDRSHP, BUS PRINC, POL, & ETHC

Class Number: 1159

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 25/34

Primary Instructor: Fletcher Griffis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.0%		20.0%	76.0%		25

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	8.0%	48.0%	24.0%	25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		25
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	**4.8**				20.0%	76.0%	4.0%	25
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	**4.5**			8.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				24.0%	72.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Response Rate: 14/23

Class Number: 2690

Section: LAB

Primary Instructor: Alfonso Whu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			78.6%	14.3%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%		57.1%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		21.4%	14.3%	28.6%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.1%			50.0%	42.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Response Rate: 17/23

Class Number: 2689

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Alfonso Whu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.2%	11.8%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		17.6%			82.4%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.9%			41.2%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		31.3%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	6.3%	16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	17.6%	29.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		23.5%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4333: TRAFFIC ENGINEERING II

Response Rate: 14/18

Class Number: 2180

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Elena Prassas

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand how traffic signal systems are coordinated for better driving experience and reduced delay.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q28	I know how to calculate an ideal offset between traffic signals.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q29	I am able to read and interpret a time-space diagram in order to find bandwidth and delay.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q30	I know how to use and run HCS to evaluate a signalized intersection.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
Q31	I have learned how to run the simulation program, CORSIM, and I am able to create a network from start to finish.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4503: CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 24/31

Class Number: 1164

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Patrick Prancl

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		12.5%	8.3%	25.0%	54.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.2%		12.5%	37.5%	45.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	33.3%	54.2%		24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.2%		33.3%	62.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.3%	20.8%	20.8%	45.8%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I was exposed to various types of construction machinery.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q28	I understand the concepts related to construction planning and productivity.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q29	I am now familiar with engineering aspects of construction.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.2%	12.5%	29.2%	54.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			4.3%	39.1%	56.5%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			4.3%	39.1%	56.5%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4533: CONSTRUCTION LAW

Response Rate: 14/18

Class Number: 1165

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lawrence Chiarelli

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		21.4%			71.4%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.1%	14.3%		78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		21.4%	7.1%	7.1%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the operation of the legal system on construction.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q28	I can identify relevant legal issues.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q29	I can address and solve problems encountered in construction using the law.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q30	I can avoid mistakes commonly made in construction.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
Q31	I understand parties' responsibilities and liabilities.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	7.1%	14
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJ

Response Rate: 5/5

Class Number: 1489

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Dominick Joseph Fickeria

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.0**		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJ

Response Rate: 5/5

Class Number: 1489

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Walid Amin Abou Moussa

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.0**		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4814: CIVIL ENGINEERING DESIGN

Response Rate: 22/31

Class Number: 1166

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Dominick Joseph Fickeria

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%				81.8%	9.1%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				77.3%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	22.7%	4.5%	22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.5%	13.6%	22.7%	59.1%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		9.1%	36.4%	22.7%	27.3%	4.5%	22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	45.5%	4.5%	22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have the ability to complete nearly all civil engineering design aspects of a civil project involving building structures. This includes preparation of a complete site development package through the preparation of a design report, site plans, and cost	**3.7**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%		22
Q28	I can prepare the structural engineering design and drawings for the building(s) for the project, including a complete design report, specifications, and a construction cost estimate for the structural work.	**3.5**	9.1%	4.5%	27.3%	40.9%	18.2%		22
Q29	I understand fundamental civil engineering concepts related to site grading, drainage, storm water management, and erosion and sediment control.	**4.0**		4.5%	13.6%	59.1%	22.7%		22
Q30	I can solve analysis and design problems related to the design of hydraulic structures, streets and pavements, structural engineering, and seismic design of several commonly used structural materials.	**3.7**		13.6%	13.6%	59.1%	13.6%		22
Q31	I learned the use of computer aided structural analysis and design software to properly prepare mathematical modes and conduct accurate analyses and designs of the engineered system(s).	**3.6**	9.1%	4.5%	27.3%	31.8%	27.3%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	9.5%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	63.6%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			13.6%	45.5%	40.9%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	45.5%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			9.1%	40.9%	50.0%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 4814: CIVIL ENGINEERING DESIGN

Response Rate: 22/31

Class Number: 1166

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Walid Amin Abou Moussa

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%				81.8%	9.1%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				77.3%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	22.7%	4.5%	22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.5%	13.6%	22.7%	59.1%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		9.1%	36.4%	22.7%	27.3%	4.5%	22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	45.5%	4.5%	22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have the ability to complete nearly all civil engineering design aspects of a civil project involving building structures. This includes preparation of a complete site development package through the preparation of a design report, site plans, and cost	**3.7**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%		22
Q28	I can prepare the structural engineering design and drawings for the building(s) for the project, including a complete design report, specifications, and a construction cost estimate for the structural work.	**3.5**	9.1%	4.5%	27.3%	40.9%	18.2%		22
Q29	I understand fundamental civil engineering concepts related to site grading, drainage, storm water management, and erosion and sediment control.	**4.0**		4.5%	13.6%	59.1%	22.7%		22
Q30	I can solve analysis and design problems related to the design of hydraulic structures, streets and pavements, structural engineering, and seismic design of several commonly used structural materials.	**3.7**		13.6%	13.6%	59.1%	13.6%		22
Q31	I learned the use of computer aided structural analysis and design software to properly prepare mathematical modes and conduct accurate analyses and designs of the engineered system(s).	**3.6**	9.1%	4.5%	27.3%	31.8%	27.3%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	52.4%	9.5%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	63.6%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			13.6%	45.5%	40.9%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	45.5%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			9.1%	40.9%	50.0%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 6023: MATERIALS FOR CIVIL ENGR

Response Rate: 10/11

Class Number: 1230

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Weihua Jin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 6073: INSTR MON & COND ASSES CIV INF

Response Rate: 19/23

Class Number: 1582

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Magued Iskander

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.5%				89.5%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%				94.4%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			15.8%	31.6%	52.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	26.3%	26.3%	15.8%	10.5%	21.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			63.2%	21.1%	15.8%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		10.5%	10.5%	31.6%	47.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	47.4%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 6183: CONCRETE STRUCTURES

Response Rate: 10/10

Class Number: 2693

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jong Lou

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		10.0%			90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I know the design principles and construction methods for reinforced and prestressed concrete structural elements.	**4.1**			22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q28	I understand how members response to axial loading, shear and flexure.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q29	I can successfully design columns, deep beams and shear walls and also design and detailing for connection regions successfully.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I can successfully design pre-tensioned and post-tensioned beams and slabs	**2.4**	33.3%		44.4%	11.1%		11.1%	9
Q31	I understand the effect of short-term and long-term deformations.	**4.3**	10.0%		10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 7233: GRNDWATER HYDRLGY/POLLUT

Class Number: 2061

Section: I

Response Rate: 9/12

Primary Instructor: Anne D Ronan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 7423: WATER & WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Class Number: 1229

Section: I

Response Rate: 15/17

Primary Instructor: Joon Om

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%				80.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%				93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			20.0%	26.7%	53.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 7713: SP TPCS: ENVRM AND WATER RSOCS

Response Rate: 19/23

Class Number: 2780

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rouzbeh Nazari

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				94.7%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%				89.5%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	42.1%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	47.4%	5.3%	19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.6%		55.6%	38.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 7843: INTRO TO URBAN SYST ENGR

Response Rate: 20/28

Class Number: 1865

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ilan Juran

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%				84.2%	5.3%	19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.0%		25.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	5.0%	25.0%	10.0%	35.0%	25.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		15.8%	15.8%	36.8%	26.3%	5.3%	19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		5.0%	15.0%	55.0%	25.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%	5.0%	50.0%	35.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8253: PROJ MANAGEMENT FOR CONSTRUCT

Response Rate: 21/23

Class Number: 2532

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Salvador Rozenberg

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.8%			90.5%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the concept of developing and coordinating large projects including organizational structures and management functions.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q28	I understand the concept of developing and coordinating large projects including pricing, estimating project costs, bidding and contracting.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21
Q29	I understand the concept of developing and coordinating large projects including risk allocation, scheduling, time and cost control, labor relations, quality management and project life-cycle activities.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.8%	19.0%	14.3%	61.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	71.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8263: CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATING

Response Rate: 23/27

Class Number: 2185

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Michael Meehan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			8.7%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	78.3%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**	4.3%			4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		8.7%		8.7%	82.6%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.7%	4.3%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.3%	34.8%	60.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	13.0%	65.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8273: CONTRACTS & SPECIFICATIONS

Class Number: 2695

Section: I

Response Rate: 22/24

Primary Instructor: Joel Sciascia

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%				95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.6%				86.4%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	4.5%			36.4%	59.1%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	4.5%			13.6%	81.8%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	4.5%	4.5%		13.6%	77.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand principles of contract law as applied to the construction industry.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q28	I understand legal problems in preparing and administering construction contracts	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%		50.0%	36.4%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8283: RISK ANALYSIS

Response Rate: 19/19

Class Number: 1238

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Andrew Bates

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	63.2%	10.5%	19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		10.5%		36.8%	52.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	31.6%	15.8%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			26.3%	26.3%	42.1%	5.3%	19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand basic risk and probability concepts.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q28	I can model problems from a variety of management science methods using Excel.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q29	I can determine probability distributions of variables from data or model dependencies.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q30	I can perform basic cost and schedule risk analysis.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q31	I can apply risk analysis techniques to engineering situations	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.5%		47.4%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8293: CONSTRUC OPERATIONS ANAL

Class Number: 1226

Section: I

Response Rate: 17/17

Primary Instructor: Hyunchul Choi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.8%			88.2%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		11.8%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	52.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8353: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

Response Rate: 19/25

Class Number: 1632

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Robert Otruba

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.3%			89.5%	5.3%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					89.5%	10.5%	19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.3%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	83.3%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		10.5%		26.3%	57.9%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%	5.3%	19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	73.7%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%	5.3%	19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	73.7%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.5%	84.2%	5.3%	19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8493: ENVRNMNTAL GEOTECHNOLOGY

Response Rate: 20/24

Class Number: 1866

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mohsen Hossein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Students learned to conduct literature review.	**4.7**		5.0%		15.0%	80.0%		20
Q28	Students learned the fundamental phenomena governing soil and groundwater contamination.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q29	Students learned the most current methods for site investigation and possible remediation approaches.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			20.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8703: MANG & LEADNG IN 21ST CEN

Response Rate: 11/11

Class Number: 2697

Section: EXEC

Primary Instructor: Albert Di Bernardo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					90.9%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					90.9%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE 8703: MANG & LEADNG IN 21ST CEN

Response Rate: 11/11

Class Number: 2697

Section: EXEC

Primary Instructor: Francis Lombardi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					90.9%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					90.9%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 6011: FUND CONCEPTS IN TRANSPORTATN

Class Number: 2698

Section: I

Response Rate: 17/18

Primary Instructor: John Falcocchio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.1%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			17.6%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				47.1%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.9%		52.9%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			29.4%	17.6%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	58.8%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 6231: TRANS PLANNING PRINC & PRACT

Class Number: 2699

Section: I

Response Rate: 15/17

Primary Instructor: John Falcocchio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			86.7%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	6.7%		26.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			33.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			33.3%	26.7%	40.0%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.7%		40.0%	13.3%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			40.0%	13.3%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.7%		33.3%	13.3%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 6343: TRAFFIC OPERATIONS & CONTROL

Class Number: 2700

Section: I

Response Rate: 12/15

Primary Instructor: Elena Prassas

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 6403: TRANSPRTN & TRAFFIC PROJECT

Class Number: 2701

Section: I

Response Rate: 11/13

Primary Instructor: Mohamad Talas

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.2%				72.7%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				81.8%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	9.1%			63.6%	27.3%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.1%		18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 7123: MGT OF URBAN TRAFFIC CONGESTN

Class Number: 2186

Section: I

Response Rate: 9/13

Primary Instructor: John Falcocchio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 7323: DES OF PARKING & TERM FACILIT

Response Rate: 20/26

Class Number: 2702

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Philip Habib

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR 8023: SEL TPCS IN TRANSPORTATION II

Class Number: 2870

Section: I

Response Rate: 8/10

Primary Instructor: Kaan Ozbay

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	12.5%	25.0%		25.0%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		12.5%	12.5%		75.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 308: INTRODUCTION TO DATABASES

Response Rate: 13/43

Class Number: 1148

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Torsten Suel

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	7.7%		23.1%	15.4%	38.5%	15.4%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			15.4%	53.8%	30.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	23.1%		7.7%	53.8%	15.4%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You learned the concept of modeling data.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q28	You now have experience using a commercial database package.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q29	You were presented the internals of a database engine.	**4.3**				69.2%	30.8%		13
Q30	You learned how the functional components of a database interacted.	**4.2**				84.6%	15.4%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A03	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of engineering.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.3**		15.4%	38.5%	46.2%			13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**				69.2%	30.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 391: JAVA AND WEB DESIGN

Response Rate: 47/61

Class Number: 1149

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Daniel Katz-Braunschweig

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.2%			97.8%		45

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.2%		2.2%	8.7%	87.0%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.2%		13.0%	19.6%	63.0%	2.2%	46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	26.1%	2.2%	17.4%	13.0%	37.0%	4.3%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	2.2%	2.2%	28.3%	8.7%	52.2%	6.5%	46

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	2.2%	4.4%	6.7%	24.4%	62.2%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.5%		21.7%	19.6%	41.3%	10.9%	46

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	28.3%	50.0%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.2%	6.5%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%		46

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	50.0%	4.3%	46
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		2.2%	8.9%	33.3%	42.2%	13.3%	45
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**	4.3%		4.3%	30.4%	56.5%	4.3%	46
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	28.3%	54.3%	6.5%	46
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	30.4%	54.3%	4.3%	46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 393: NETWORK SECURITY

Response Rate: 8/43

Class Number: 1150

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Thomas Reddington

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					87.5%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	14.3%	28.6%		42.9%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 394: SELECTED TOPICS IN COMP SCI

Response Rate: 14/15

Class Number: 3194

Section: BK09

Primary Instructor: Alexandra Heron

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 394: SELECTED TOPICS IN COMP SCI

Response Rate: 14/15

Class Number: 3194

Section: BK09

Primary Instructor: Lucas Nelson

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1114: INTR TO PROG & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 1154

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 23/35

Primary Instructor: Evan Gallagher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		17.4%			78.3%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		21.7%	13.0%	34.8%	30.4%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	17.4%	17.4%	21.7%	26.1%	13.0%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	8.7%	13.0%	17.4%	39.1%	21.7%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		17.4%	17.4%	43.5%	21.7%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.6**	17.4%	34.8%	17.4%	17.4%	8.7%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	17.4%	13.0%	13.0%	30.4%	21.7%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**3.8**	9.1%	13.6%	4.5%	31.8%	40.9%		22
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**3.9**	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.7**		22.7%	18.2%	27.3%	31.8%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	17.4%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	17.4%	8.7%	8.7%	43.5%	21.7%		23

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.5**	18.2%		13.6%	54.5%	13.6%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.0**	22.7%	9.1%	18.2%	40.9%	9.1%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**	13.6%	27.3%	13.6%	31.8%	13.6%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**2.8**	22.7%	18.2%	22.7%	27.3%	9.1%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1114: INTR TO PROG & PROBLEM SOLVING

Response Rate: 6/16

Class Number: 1155

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Evan Gallagher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		16.7%		50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		33.3%		50.0%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1122: COMPUTER SCI AND ENGINEERING

Response Rate: 21/88

Class Number: 1160

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Claudio Silva

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	47.6%				52.4%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	35.0%				65.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	23.8%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	14.3%	4.8%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	23.8%	4.8%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	15.0%		40.0%	20.0%	25.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	23.8%	28.6%	4.8%	38.1%	4.8%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.4**	38.1%		52.4%	4.8%	4.8%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	28.6%	28.6%	4.8%	28.6%	9.5%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	19.0%	14.3%	9.5%	47.6%	9.5%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1124: OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING C+

Class Number: 1161

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 43/61

Primary Instructor: John Sterling

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.7%	2.3%	43
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.7%	2.3%	43

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.6%	88.4%		43
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.3%		4.7%	11.6%	76.7%	4.7%	43

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		16.3%	2.3%	41.9%	39.5%		43
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	2.3%	2.3%	11.6%	37.2%	46.5%		43

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.7%	7.0%	20.9%	67.4%		43
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.6%	7.0%	23.3%	46.5%	11.6%	43

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taugh the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.7**			4.7%	20.9%	72.1%	2.3%	43
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.4**		7.0%	7.0%	25.6%	55.8%	4.7%	43
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.5**		7.1%	2.4%	23.8%	64.3%	2.4%	42

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	7.0%	16.3%	14.0%	27.9%	34.9%		43

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.7%	2.3%	27.9%	62.8%	2.3%	43

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	2.3%	4.7%	16.3%	34.9%	41.9%		43
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	2.4%	4.8%	16.7%	35.7%	40.5%		42
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	2.3%	9.3%	30.2%	25.6%	32.6%		43
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	4.7%	4.7%	14.0%	39.5%	37.2%		43

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1124: OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING C+

Class Number: 1162

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 29/47

Primary Instructor: John Sterling

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.4%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.3%	10.3%	79.3%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		6.9%	3.4%	48.3%	41.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.4%	13.8%	34.5%	48.3%		29

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.4%	27.6%	69.0%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.4%	3.4%	20.7%	27.6%	44.8%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taugh the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	4.0%		4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.7**		4.0%		20.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.4%	13.8%	3.4%	41.4%	37.9%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.4%		27.6%	69.0%		29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			16.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1124: OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING C+

Response Rate: 29/47

Class Number: 1162

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Praneeth Thonupunoori

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.4%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.3%	10.3%	79.3%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		6.9%	3.4%	48.3%	41.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.4%	13.8%	34.5%	48.3%		29

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.4%	27.6%	69.0%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.4%	3.4%	20.7%	27.6%	44.8%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taugh the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	4.0%		4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.7**		4.0%		20.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.4%	13.8%	3.4%	41.4%	37.9%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.4%		27.6%	69.0%		29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			16.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1124: OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING C+

Response Rate: 28/52

Class Number: 1918

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: John Sterling

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	3.6%		3.6%	3.6%	89.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	3.6%		3.6%	10.7%	82.1%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.6%	7.1%	10.7%	46.4%	32.1%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		7.1%	7.1%	60.7%	25.0%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	71.4%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.6%		25.0%	17.9%	53.6%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taugh the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.5**	4.0%	4.0%		20.0%	72.0%		25
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.3**		16.0%	4.0%	16.0%	64.0%		25
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	10.7%	10.7%	14.3%	25.0%	39.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	71.4%		28

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		8.0%		44.0%	48.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		4.0%	40.0%	20.0%	36.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		8.0%	8.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1133: ENGNR PROBLEM SOLV & PROGRAM

Response Rate: 4/40

Class Number: 1169

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Kok-Ming Leung

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			25.0%	4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1133: ENGNR PROBLEM SOLV & PROGRAM

Response Rate: 34/89

Class Number: 1170

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Kok-Ming Leung

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.0%				97.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.6%				78.1%	6.3%	32

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.0%		6.1%	15.2%	75.8%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	3.1%	12.5%	18.8%	31.3%	34.4%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	48.5%	36.4%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	15.6%	21.9%	6.3%	21.9%	34.4%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	3.0%	9.1%	12.1%	48.5%	27.3%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	15.2%	18.2%	24.2%	18.2%	24.2%		33

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**3.6**	6.1%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	3.0%	33
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.0**	3.0%	12.1%	3.0%	42.4%	36.4%	3.0%	33
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.0**	9.1%	6.1%		42.4%	39.4%	3.0%	33
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**3.7**	9.1%	12.1%	9.1%	39.4%	27.3%	3.0%	33
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.7**	9.1%	12.1%	15.2%	27.3%	33.3%	3.0%	33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	15.2%	15.2%	12.1%	36.4%	21.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	42.4%	33.3%		33

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**3.8**	6.1%	12.1%	9.1%	39.4%	30.3%	3.0%	33
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.7**	6.1%	12.1%	6.1%	51.5%	21.2%	3.0%	33
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	9.1%	6.1%	9.1%	48.5%	24.2%	3.0%	33
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	15.2%	42.4%	21.2%	3.0%	33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.6**	9.1%	12.1%	12.1%	39.4%	24.2%	3.0%	33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 1133: ENGNR PROBLEM SOLV & PROGRAM

Response Rate: 14/77

Class Number: 1171

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Kok-Ming Leung

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			78.6%	14.3%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					85.7%	14.3%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		21.4%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.3**			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	50.0%		14
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	50.0%		14
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%		35.7%	42.9%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**3.9**		14.3%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.6**		14.3%	21.4%	50.0%	14.3%		14
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**		14.3%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**		14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.6**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	14.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 2134: DATA STRUCTURES & ALGORITHMS

Response Rate: 38/50

Class Number: 1174

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Linda Sellie

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.8%			97.2%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.8%			97.2%		36

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.8%			13.9%	83.3%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.8%	2.8%	11.1%	83.3%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	8.3%	13.9%	13.9%	44.4%	19.4%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.6%	8.3%	44.4%	41.7%		36

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		5.6%	25.0%	41.7%	22.2%	5.6%	36

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.1**	5.7%		14.3%	34.3%	45.7%		35
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.5**	2.9%		5.7%	31.4%	60.0%		35
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.5**	2.9%		2.9%	31.4%	62.9%		35
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%	5.7%	17.1%	71.4%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		2.8%	11.1%	55.6%	30.6%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.8%			33.3%	63.9%		36

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	51.4%	34.3%		35
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.1**	2.9%	5.7%	5.7%	45.7%	40.0%		35
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**	5.7%		11.4%	40.0%	40.0%	2.9%	35
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	2.9%	2.9%	5.7%	48.6%	40.0%		35
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	54.3%	31.4%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 2164: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING IN C

Response Rate: 33/53

Class Number: 1835

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Evan Gallagher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.2%	78.8%		33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	48.5%	42.4%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	12.1%	12.1%	24.2%	30.3%	18.2%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	3.1%	37.5%	25.0%	21.9%	9.4%	3.1%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	9.4%	18.8%	18.8%	40.6%	12.5%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	12.1%	15.2%	24.2%	33.3%	15.2%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	9.1%	18.2%	42.4%	9.1%	18.2%	3.0%	33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	3.0%	12.1%	27.3%	42.4%	15.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	15.2%	12.1%	12.1%	39.4%	18.2%	3.0%	33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 2204: DIG LOGIC & STATE MACHINE DSGN

Response Rate: 52/64

Class Number: 1919

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Haldun Hadimioglu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.1%			93.8%	4.2%	48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.1%			93.6%	4.3%	47

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				2.1%	97.9%		48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		2.1%	2.1%	10.4%	83.3%	2.1%	48

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	10.4%	4.2%		27.1%	56.3%	2.1%	48

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding binary and hexadecimal number systems.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		52
Q28	Ability to design and analyze combinational circuits by using switching algebra and Karnaugh maps.	**4.7**		1.9%	1.9%	17.3%	78.8%		52
Q29	Understanding sequential circuits and their analysis.	**4.8**			1.9%	19.2%	78.8%		52
Q30	Ability to use the finite-state machine technique to design and analyze digital systems	**4.4**	1.9%	5.8%	3.8%	25.0%	63.5%		52

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.1%	4.2%	2.1%	18.8%	70.8%	2.1%	48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		2.1%		18.8%	79.2%		48

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.6**	2.0%		2.0%	27.5%	68.6%		51
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		1.9%		28.8%	69.2%		52
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**	1.9%			23.1%	75.0%		52
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**	1.9%		1.9%	26.9%	69.2%		52
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**		1.9%	1.9%	23.1%	73.1%		52

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 2214: COMP ARCHITECTURE & ORGANIZ

Response Rate: 20/71

Class Number: 1924

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Haldun Hadimioglu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	75.0%	15.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to design and analyze computer architecture.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q28	Ability to design and analyze an unpipelined central processing unit.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19
Q29	Understanding measuring computer performance.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q30	Understanding pipelined central processing units.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.5**			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%		19
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	47.4%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 3113: INTRO TO GAME PROGRAMMING

Response Rate: 18/23

Class Number: 1917

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: John Sterling

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.3%	16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		5.6%	5.6%	61.1%	27.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			27.8%	16.7%	55.6%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 3224: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTM

Response Rate: 31/52

Class Number: 1175

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Evan Gallagher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%				93.5%	3.2%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.5%				90.3%	3.2%	31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	3.2%	9.7%	16.1%	41.9%	29.0%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	3.2%	9.7%	38.7%	22.6%	12.9%	12.9%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.1**	48.4%	19.4%	9.7%	16.1%	6.5%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	3.2%	29.0%	29.0%	25.8%	12.9%		31

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	3.2%	22.6%	29.0%	32.3%	12.9%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	3.3%	13.3%	26.7%	43.3%	10.0%	3.3%	30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were provided with a basic understanding in the various components of an OS.	**3.8**	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	60.0%	16.7%		30
Q28	You saw how the functional components interacted.	**3.5**	3.3%	13.3%	16.7%	60.0%	6.7%		30
Q29	You had hands-on experience for features of modern OSs through the programming projects.	**3.5**	10.0%	13.3%	13.3%	46.7%	16.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.7%	12.9%	22.6%	41.9%	12.9%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	9.7%	12.9%	25.8%	41.9%	9.7%		31

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.0**	10.0%	20.0%	33.3%	30.0%	6.7%		30
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.8**	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%	6.7%	3.3%	30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.1**	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	33.3%	6.7%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.1**	10.0%	16.7%	36.7%	30.0%	6.7%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**2.6**	20.0%	23.3%	36.7%	10.0%	6.7%	3.3%	30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 3233: GAME DEVELOPMENT STUDIO I

Class Number: 2237

Section: A

Response Rate: 3/17

Primary Instructor: Robert Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		66.7%			33.3%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 3413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHM

Class Number: 1594

Section: A

Response Rate: 33/45

Primary Instructor: Lisa Hellerstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.0%				93.9%	3.0%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.1%				93.8%	3.1%	32

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.0%	18.2%	75.8%	3.0%	33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	21.2%	54.5%	6.1%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	3.0%	18.2%	6.1%	39.4%	30.3%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			21.2%	27.3%	48.5%	3.0%	33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		3.0%	15.2%	33.3%	45.5%	3.0%	33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		3.0%	24.2%	27.3%	39.4%	6.1%	33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		18.2%	12.1%	27.3%	42.4%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.0%	12.1%	30.3%	54.5%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 3413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHM

Response Rate: 14/23

Class Number: 2923

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Devorah Gurwitz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.1%		57.1%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 3513: SOFTWARE ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 1595

Section: A

Response Rate: 28/43

Primary Instructor: Fred Strauss

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.9%	7.1%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	7.1%		10.7%	10.7%	71.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	60.7%	7.1%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	3.6%	17.9%	3.6%	46.4%	28.6%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	10.7%		10.7%	42.9%	35.7%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	10.7%	3.6%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	35.7%	39.3%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	10.7%	10.7%	14.3%	53.6%	10.7%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	14.3%	3.6%	7.1%	60.7%	14.3%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 4523: DESIGN PROJECT II

Response Rate: 4/32

Class Number: 1176

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Fred Strauss

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 5303: INTRO TO PROG & PROB SOLVING

Response Rate: 1/26

Class Number: 1177

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozgur Ozkan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 5403: DATA STRUCTS & ALGORITHM

Response Rate: 6/8

Class Number: 2919

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Joseph Vaisman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 5403: DATA STRUCTS & ALGORITHM

Response Rate: 2/14

Class Number: 1178

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Baris Coskun

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6003: FOUNDATIONS OF COMP SCI

Response Rate: 27/36

Class Number: 1179

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ozgur Ozkan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.4%				92.6%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				96.2%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	29.6%	48.1%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	48.1%	33.3%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	11.1%	3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	44.4%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			14.8%	51.9%	33.3%		27

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	14.8%	11.1%	3.7%	40.7%	29.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	7.7%	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	65.4%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	3.7%	51.9%	22.2%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	11.5%	7.7%		30.8%	50.0%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6003: FOUNDATIONS OF COMP SCI

Response Rate: 22/27

Class Number: 2881

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Ozgur Ozkan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%				86.4%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%				95.5%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	63.6%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		9.1%	13.6%	18.2%	54.5%	4.5%	22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	40.9%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		9.1%	4.5%	18.2%	68.2%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		9.5%	4.8%	28.6%	57.1%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	14.3%		9.5%	23.8%	52.4%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.5%		9.1%	40.9%	45.5%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6003: FOUNDATIONS OF COMP SCI

Response Rate: 1/5

Class Number: 1850

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozgur Ozkan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6033: DSIGN & ANALY ALGORTHM I

Response Rate: 31/45

Class Number: 1180

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Boris Aronov

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			90.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.3%			96.7%		30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.2%	19.4%	77.4%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.2%	6.5%	22.6%	67.7%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.7%	90.3%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.5%	38.7%	54.8%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.2%	3.2%	32.3%	61.3%		31

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.5%	12.9%	12.9%	67.7%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.6%	77.4%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6033: DSIGN & ANALY ALGORTHM I

Class Number: 2551

Section: I2

Response Rate: 52/67

Primary Instructor: Baris Coskun

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%				96.2%		52
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				96.2%		52

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.8%		36.5%	57.7%		52
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	1.9%		5.8%	28.8%	63.5%		52

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	5.8%	3.8%	5.8%	25.0%	59.6%		52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	32.7%	55.8%		52

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	1.9%	5.8%	1.9%	30.8%	59.6%		52
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	2.0%	3.9%	2.0%	21.6%	68.6%	2.0%	51

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	1.9%	3.8%	9.6%	32.7%	50.0%	1.9%	52

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	1.9%		1.9%	21.2%	75.0%		52

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6033: DSIGN & ANALY ALGORTHM I

Response Rate: 34/50

Class Number: 2882

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Linda Sellie

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.9%			94.1%	2.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.9%			85.3%	11.8%	34

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		9.1%	3.0%	45.5%	42.4%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		12.1%		24.2%	63.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.1%	3.0%	39.4%	51.5%		33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	18.2%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.1%		21.2%	27.3%	45.5%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	42.4%	42.4%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	9.1%		6.1%	15.2%	69.7%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6033: DSIGN & ANALY ALGORTHM I

Response Rate: 3/23

Class Number: 1851

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Siva Rajagopalan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6043: DSIGN ANALY & ALGORTHM II

Response Rate: 33/40

Class Number: 1181

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Yi-jen Chiang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.0%		12.1%	84.8%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	66.7%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		6.1%	3.0%	24.2%	66.7%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.0%	3.0%		27.3%	63.6%	3.0%	33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				15.2%	81.8%	3.0%	33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	12.1%	12.1%	18.2%	48.5%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		6.1%		24.2%	69.7%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6063: SOFTWARE ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 2883

Section: I

Response Rate: 44/62

Primary Instructor: Fred Strauss

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.7%	2.3%	43

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	25.0%	65.9%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		4.5%	2.3%	29.5%	61.4%	2.3%	44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.8%	6.8%	36.4%	50.0%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		9.1%	6.8%	34.1%	50.0%		44

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		9.1%	2.3%	38.6%	50.0%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		2.3%	14.0%	32.6%	51.2%		43

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.3%	6.8%	9.1%	29.5%	52.3%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.4%	4.5%	36.4%	47.7%		44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6083: PRNCPLS DATABASE SYSTEMS

Class Number: 1183

Section: A

Response Rate: 26/41

Primary Instructor: Paul Giura

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%				96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				96.2%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.7%	3.8%	38.5%	50.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	3.8%			30.8%	65.4%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	34.6%	38.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	11.5%	34.6%	42.3%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.8%		3.8%	30.8%	61.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.8%		3.8%	19.2%	73.1%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.5%	3.8%	30.8%	53.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	76.9%		26

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A03	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of engineering.	**4.3**	4.8%		4.8%	38.1%	52.4%		21
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.5**			14.3%	23.8%	61.9%		21
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.9**	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	19.0%	42.9%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**	4.8%		4.8%	28.6%	61.9%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**	4.8%			28.6%	66.7%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6083: PRNCPLS DATABASE SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 11/23

Class Number: 2884

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Fred Strauss

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	54.5%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A03	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of engineering.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6093: ADVANCED DATABASE SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 13/14

Class Number: 3195

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jerome Simeon

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	7.7%		15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6133: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE I

Response Rate: 5/34

Class Number: 1184

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vikram Padman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		40.0%			60.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		40.0%			60.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6133: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE I

Class Number: 2151

Section: I2

Response Rate: 24/34

Primary Instructor: Ping-Tsai Chung

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	8.3%	4.2%	20.8%	25.0%	37.5%	4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	20.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	79.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	62.5%	4.2%	24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	16.7%	4.2%	16.7%	20.8%	37.5%	4.2%	24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	12.5%	8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	54.2%	4.2%	24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	4.2%	20.8%	16.7%	20.8%	33.3%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	20.8%	8.3%	16.7%	12.5%	37.5%	4.2%	24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6133: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE I

Response Rate: 1/9

Class Number: 1852

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Vikram Padman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6143: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE II

Response Rate: 7/10

Class Number: 1185

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Haldun Hadimioglu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6233: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 30/34

Class Number: 1186

Section: A

Primary Instructor: John Terrill

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.3%			96.7%		30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			3.3%	30.0%	66.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.3%	10.0%	23.3%	63.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	36.7%	36.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	30.0%	60.0%		30

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	60.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	36.7%	53.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	33.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	3.3%		6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6233: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 32/50

Class Number: 2885

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Daniel Katz-Braunschweig

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.1%				96.9%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%				90.0%	3.3%	30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		15.6%	3.1%	18.8%	62.5%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	26.7%	50.0%	3.3%	30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	18.8%	21.9%	15.6%	18.8%	21.9%	3.1%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	6.3%	12.5%	21.9%	28.1%	31.3%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	6.5%	9.7%	9.7%	29.0%	45.2%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.2%	9.7%	3.2%	35.5%	45.2%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.7%	9.7%	3.2%	35.5%	41.9%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	9.7%		9.7%	19.4%	61.3%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6233: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 5/26

Class Number: 1636

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Arati Baliga

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		40.0%			60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		40.0%			60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6233: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 4/19

Class Number: 3170

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Daniel Katz-Braunschweig

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6373: PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Response Rate: 37/62

Class Number: 1187

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Daniel Katz-Braunschweig

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		36

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.5%	86.5%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		2.8%	13.9%	13.9%	66.7%	2.8%	36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	8.1%	18.9%	13.5%	18.9%	37.8%	2.7%	37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.4%	13.5%	10.8%	70.3%		37

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.4%	2.7%	35.1%	56.8%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		8.1%	2.7%	24.3%	64.9%		37

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.7%	5.4%	27.0%	64.9%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		2.7%	10.8%	27.0%	59.5%		37

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6373: PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Response Rate: 41/54

Class Number: 2152

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Lawrence Muller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.4%			97.6%		41

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.6%	85.4%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.4%	2.4%	9.8%	82.9%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		2.4%		19.5%	78.0%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.0%	78.0%		41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.0%	78.0%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			2.4%	14.6%	82.9%		41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		2.4%	9.8%	29.3%	58.5%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.4%	2.4%	19.5%	75.6%		41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6373: PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Response Rate: 17/21

Class Number: 3179

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: John Viega

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		23.5%			70.6%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			82.4%	5.9%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.8%		17.6%	64.7%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	35.3%	11.8%	17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	31.3%		6.3%	43.8%	12.5%	6.3%	16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.4**	29.4%	29.4%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	37.5%	43.8%	6.3%	16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6413: COMPILER DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION

Response Rate: 37/81

Class Number: 1188

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Robert Siegfried

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		36

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.7%		10.8%	86.5%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.8%		2.8%	13.9%	80.6%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		10.8%	5.4%	18.9%	64.9%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		2.7%		13.5%	83.8%		37

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.4%	13.5%	81.1%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		2.7%		16.2%	81.1%		37

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.2%	83.8%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			2.7%	16.2%	81.1%		37

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6533: INTERACT COMP GRAPHICS

Response Rate: 24/32

Class Number: 1189

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Yi-jen Chiang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%				95.8%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				95.8%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	8.3%	4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	50.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	20.8%	45.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	12.5%	12.5%	4.2%	25.0%	41.7%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	20.8%	12.5%		25.0%	41.7%		24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	20.8%	16.7%	4.2%	12.5%	45.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	29.2%	12.5%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	29.2%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	33.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	20.8%	12.5%	8.3%	8.3%	50.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6573: PEN TESTING & VULNER ANALYSIS

Response Rate: 2/9

Class Number: 1491

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Brad Antoniewicz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6613: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Class Number: 1190

Section: I

Response Rate: 32/40

Primary Instructor: Edward Wong

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	84.4%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	3.1%		6.3%	12.5%	75.0%	3.1%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.1%	68.8%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.1%		21.9%	75.0%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.1%	3.1%	31.3%	59.4%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.1%		31.3%	62.5%	3.1%	32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6703: COMPUTATIONAL GEOMETRY

Class Number: 1191

Section: I

Response Rate: 17/18

Primary Instructor: Boris Aronov

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.9%			88.2%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.2%	11.8%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	29.4%	41.2%	5.9%		23.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**			64.7%	11.8%	23.5%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			17.6%	5.9%	76.5%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6813: INFORMATION SECURITY & PRIVACY

Class Number: 2903

Section: I

Response Rate: 13/40

Primary Instructor: Ian Leighton Rathie

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%				92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	15.4%	7.7%		38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			30.8%	30.8%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6813: INFORMATION SECURITY & PRIVACY

Response Rate: 3/13

Class Number: 1290

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ian Leighton Rathie

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6823: NETWORK SECURITY

Response Rate: 8/45

Class Number: 2236

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Keith O'Brien

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6823: NETWORK SECURITY

Response Rate: 12/20

Class Number: 2959

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Phillip Mak

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6823: NETWORK SECURITY

Response Rate: 11/26

Class Number: 2344

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Thomas Reddington

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.2%				81.8%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				81.8%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%		54.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	18.2%	9.1%		27.3%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%		54.5%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%		27.3%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6823: NETWORK SECURITY

Response Rate: 8/43

Class Number: 1192

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Thomas Reddington

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					87.5%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	14.3%	28.6%		42.9%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6843: COMPUTER NETWORKING

Class Number: 1193

Section: I

Response Rate: 39/40

Primary Instructor: Kelly Lum

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.1%			17.9%	76.9%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	5.3%			13.2%	81.6%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.6%	5.1%	2.6%	20.5%	69.2%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.7%	5.1%	12.8%	74.4%		39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.6%		5.1%	28.2%	64.1%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.6%			17.9%	79.5%		39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6843: COMPUTER NETWORKING

Response Rate: 28/41

Class Number: 2666

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Zhengzue Zhao

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	3.6%			25.0%	71.4%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.6%		14.3%	82.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.6%	7.1%	3.6%	21.4%	64.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6843: COMPUTER NETWORKING

Response Rate: 22/24

Class Number: 3112

Section: I4

Primary Instructor: Rafail Portnoy

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.5%			86.4%	9.1%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	31.8%	40.9%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.5%		31.8%	63.6%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			13.6%	50.0%	36.4%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6843: COMPUTER NETWORKING

Response Rate: 2/20

Class Number: 1291

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mikhail Sosonkin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6843: COMPUTER NETWORKING

Response Rate: 1/13

Class Number: 3167

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Yong Liu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6903: MODERN CRYPTOGRAPHY

Response Rate: 19/23

Class Number: 1194

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Giovanni Di Crescenzo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.3%			89.5%	5.3%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		21.1%	5.3%	26.3%	47.4%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	68.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	5.3%	21.1%	10.5%	10.5%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.8%	10.5%	15.8%	57.9%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	31.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		21.1%	26.3%	31.6%	21.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	10.5%	10.5%	5.3%	36.8%	36.8%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6903: MODERN CRYPTOGRAPHY

Response Rate: 3/22

Class Number: 1855

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Giovanni Di Crescenzo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		33.3%			66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	66.7%			33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6913: WEB SEARCH ENGINES

Response Rate: 37/44

Class Number: 1195

Section: I

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		37

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				2.7%	97.3%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				2.7%	97.3%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.7%	24.3%	5.4%	13.5%	54.1%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	2.7%	2.7%		24.3%	70.3%		37

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.5%	86.5%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	83.8%		37

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.7%	5.4%		13.5%	78.4%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				2.7%	97.3%		37

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6923: MACHINE LEARNING

Response Rate: 39/45

Class Number: 1196

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lisa Hellerstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.4%	2.6%	38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.4%	2.6%	39

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.6%	2.6%	10.3%	82.1%	2.6%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.9%	5.3%	84.2%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		7.7%	2.6%	33.3%	53.8%	2.6%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		2.6%		15.4%	79.5%	2.6%	39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	25.6%	56.4%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	28.2%	53.8%	2.6%	39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	30.8%	51.3%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.6%	2.6%		12.8%	79.5%	2.6%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 6963: DIGITAL FORENSICS

Response Rate: 3/12

Class Number: 1292

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Joel Fernandez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 23/35

Class Number: 2886

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jeffrey Bickford

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	8.7%			17.4%	73.9%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	4.3%	4.3%		4.3%	87.0%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	4.3%	8.7%		30.4%	56.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	8.7%			21.7%	69.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	73.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	8.7%			13.0%	78.3%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	4.3%			4.3%	91.3%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 2887

Section: I2

Response Rate: 26/34

Primary Instructor: Yury Gitman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	23.1%				76.9%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	30.8%				65.4%	3.8%	26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	11.5%		11.5%	46.2%	30.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	30.8%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.7%	11.5%	11.5%	23.1%	42.3%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		7.7%	30.8%	19.2%	42.3%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	19.2%	11.5%	15.4%	23.1%	26.9%	3.8%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	11.5%	15.4%	19.2%	11.5%	42.3%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.7%		7.7%	38.5%	42.3%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.5%	11.5%	3.8%	30.8%	42.3%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9093: BIOMETRICS

Response Rate: 1/5

Class Number: 2831

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Yagiz Sutcu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**0.0**						100.0%	1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9163: APPLICATION SECURITY

Response Rate: 3/22

Class Number: 2225

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Neil Daswani

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 15/19

Class Number: 1927

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Enrico Bertini

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		33.3%			66.7%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		26.7%			73.3%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	13.3%	40.0%	6.7%	20.0%	20.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		6.7%	53.3%	13.3%	26.7%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 2258

Section: B

Response Rate: 9/10

Primary Instructor: Andrew Nealen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 12/13

Class Number: 2315

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Shekhar Pradhan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 2342

Section: F

Response Rate: 25/32

Primary Instructor: Eric Brendel

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			87.5%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	33.3%	54.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	16.7%	70.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			16.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.0%	4.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		4.0%	20.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.0%	12.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 10/10

Class Number: 2363

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Kaho Abe

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			30.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 3013

Section: I

Response Rate: 7/7

Primary Instructor: Julian Cohen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		14.3%	57.1%		28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	14.3%	14.3%			71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 2/9

Class Number: 2832

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Arati Baliga

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 3159

Section: J

Response Rate: 3/11

Primary Instructor: Ching-Yung Lin

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 3161

Section: K

Response Rate: 39/41

Primary Instructor: Sambit Sahu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.1%			92.3%	2.6%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.6%			97.4%		38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	2.6%		2.6%	10.5%	84.2%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.8%		2.8%	16.7%	77.8%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		2.6%	5.1%	25.6%	66.7%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.6%		18.4%	78.9%		38

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.6%		7.7%	15.4%	74.4%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	10.5%	76.3%		38

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.7%	5.1%	17.9%	69.2%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	10.3%	82.1%		39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 14/15

Class Number: 3178

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Alexandra Heron

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Response Rate: 14/15

Class Number: 3178

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Lucas Nelson

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 136: COMMUNICATION NETWORKS

Class Number: 1353

Section: A

Response Rate: 28/49

Primary Instructor: Shivendra Panwar

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%				92.9%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.1%	3.6%	35.7%	50.0%	3.6%	28
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	7.4%	7.4%	18.5%	18.5%	44.4%	3.7%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	39.3%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		14.3%	17.9%	39.3%	25.0%	3.6%	28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		10.7%	17.9%	39.3%	28.6%	3.6%	28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		21.4%	3.6%	39.3%	32.1%	3.6%	28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		3.6%	28.6%	32.1%	28.6%	7.1%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	3.6%	7.1%	10.7%	42.9%	32.1%	3.6%	28
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	35.7%	32.1%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	35.7%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 1012: INTRO TO COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1470

Section: A

Response Rate: 22/53

Primary Instructor: Kang Xi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			81.8%	9.1%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		9.1%	31.8%	9.1%	50.0%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	22.7%	36.4%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	31.8%	22.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		4.5%	27.3%	40.9%	27.3%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	50.0%	27.3%		22
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	27.3%	27.3%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 2013: FUND OF ELECTRICAL CIRCUITS I

Class Number: 1359

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 25/42

Primary Instructor: Zivan Zabar

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	32.0%				68.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				96.0%		25

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		4.0%	28.0%	20.0%	48.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	44.0%	36.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		16.0%	8.0%	40.0%	36.0%		25

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.0%	8.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		20.0%	16.0%	16.0%	48.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	16.0%	36.0%	44.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				48.0%	52.0%		25
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			16.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 2024: FUND OF ELECTRIC CIRCUITS II

Response Rate: 51/63

Class Number: 1385

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Matthew Campisi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					98.0%	2.0%	51
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				94.0%	2.0%	50

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				2.0%	98.0%		51
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.9%	7.8%	86.3%		51

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		2.0%	3.9%	41.2%	52.9%		51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.0%	22.0%	70.0%		50

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			2.0%	12.0%	86.0%		50
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	2.0%	17.6%	15.7%	31.4%	33.3%		51

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.9%	2.0%	7.8%	29.4%	54.9%	2.0%	51

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			2.0%	5.9%	92.2%		51
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**		2.0%		3.9%	94.1%		51
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.9%	11.8%	84.3%		51

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 2613: FUND ELEC PWR ENG FOR NON EE E

Response Rate: 11/15

Class Number: 1502

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Paul Robert Moon

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	9.1%		9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3054: SIGNALS AND SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 16/24

Class Number: 1388

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Matthew Campisi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3064: FEEDBACK CONTROL

Response Rate: 9/16

Class Number: 1905

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Farshad Khorrami

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	11.1%	44.4%		44.4%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		22.2%	11.1%		66.7%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3114: FUNDAMENTALS OF ELECTRONICS 1

Class Number: 1390

Section: ALEC

Response Rate: 15/18

Primary Instructor: Michael Knox

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3124: FUNDAMENTALS OF ELECTRONICS II

Response Rate: 29/47

Class Number: 1915

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Viventi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.9%			86.2%	6.9%	29

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	6.9%	3.4%	6.9%	41.4%	37.9%	3.4%	29
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		6.9%	17.2%	34.5%	37.9%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	6.9%	20.7%	6.9%	37.9%	24.1%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		10.3%	3.4%	44.8%	37.9%	3.4%	29

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	6.9%	20.7%	6.9%	44.8%	17.2%	3.4%	29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.9%	6.9%	13.8%	37.9%	27.6%	6.9%	29

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		24.1%	17.2%	34.5%	20.7%	3.4%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%	3.4%	48.3%	34.5%	3.4%	29
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	17.2%	13.8%	10.3%	34.5%	20.7%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	3.4%		6.9%	55.2%	34.5%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3193: INTRO: VRY LRGE SCALE INT CIRC

Response Rate: 26/41

Class Number: 2318

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Naghmeh Karimi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.8%			96.2%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.8%		15.4%	19.2%	61.5%		26
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		11.5%	19.2%	19.2%	50.0%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			23.1%	30.8%	46.2%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.7%		15.4%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	15.4%	3.8%	15.4%	26.9%	38.5%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			32.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	26.9%	42.3%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	3.8%	7.7%	11.5%	23.1%	53.8%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3404: FUND OF COMMUNICATION THEORY

Class Number: 1394

Section: A1LL

Response Rate: 24/43

Primary Instructor: Sundeep Rangan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			87.5%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	4.2%			12.5%	83.3%		24
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	4.2%	12.5%	8.3%	20.8%	54.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		8.3%	16.7%	37.5%	37.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	50.0%		24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	8.3%	12.5%	4.2%	54.2%	20.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	29.2%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	20.8%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	29.2%	20.8%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		25.0%	4.2%	41.7%	29.2%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	25.0%	37.5%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	8.3%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE 3604: ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES

Class Number: 1396

Section: A1LC

Response Rate: 32/42

Primary Instructor: Nirod Das

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	93.8%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		9.4%	12.5%	31.3%	46.9%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		3.1%	12.5%	21.9%	62.5%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.1%	9.4%	25.0%	62.5%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		12.5%	15.6%	37.5%	34.4%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.1%	3.1%	31.3%	62.5%		32
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			9.4%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	28.1%	59.4%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5033: WIRELES INFORMATION SYS LAB II

Response Rate: 13/13

Class Number: 1371

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Michael Knox

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%	92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			15.4%		76.9%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5213: INTRODUCTION TO SYSTEM ENGR

Response Rate: 2/20

Class Number: 3165

Section: INT3

Primary Instructor: Matthew Campisi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5223: SENSOR BASED ROBOTICS

Response Rate: 11/14

Class Number: 1373

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Prashanth Krishnamurthy

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOC

Response Rate: 34/53

Class Number: 1381

Section: ILEC

Primary Instructor: Yong Liu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		34
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.6%	76.5%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	14.7%	70.6%	2.9%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			2.9%	29.4%	67.6%		34

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**			2.9%	8.8%	88.2%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			2.9%	14.7%	82.4%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	26.5%	67.6%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		34
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			5.9%		94.1%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			2.9%	14.7%	82.4%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOC

Response Rate: 1/5

Class Number: 1379

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Yong Liu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOC

Response Rate: 1/3

Class Number: 1378

Section: O4LB

Primary Instructor: Yong Liu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5463: INTRO TO RF/MICROWAVE INTG CKT

Class Number: 1401

Section: I

Response Rate: 24/24

Primary Instructor: I-Tai Lu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			79.2%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.2%	20.8%	16.7%	58.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	4.2%			12.5%	83.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.2%		4.2%	20.8%	70.8%		24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			4.2%	33.3%	62.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		12.5%	8.3%	16.7%	62.5%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			4.2%	33.3%	62.5%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	58.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		4.2%	8.3%	25.0%	62.5%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5463: INTRO TO RF/MICROWAVE INTG CKT

Class Number: 2916

Section: LVL1

Response Rate: 1/7

Primary Instructor: I-Tai Lu

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5473: INTRODUCT TO VLSI DESIGN

Response Rate: 7/7

Class Number: 2847

Section: LI

Primary Instructor: Wayne Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5483: REAL TIME EMBEDDED SYSTEM DSGN

Response Rate: 47/54

Class Number: 1591

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nabi Sertac Artan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.8%			85.1%	2.1%	47
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.9%	2.1%	47

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	4.3%	12.8%	17.0%	29.8%	36.2%		47
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	8.5%	12.8%	6.4%	25.5%	46.8%		47

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	8.5%	17.0%	14.9%	25.5%	34.0%		47
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.3%	8.5%	14.9%	36.2%	34.0%	2.1%	47

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	17.0%	25.5%	8.5%	29.8%	19.1%		47
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.8%	21.3%	12.8%	25.5%	27.7%		47

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	12.8%	27.7%	6.4%	34.0%	19.1%		47

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	4.3%	19.1%	19.1%	25.5%	31.9%		47
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	12.8%	19.1%	23.4%	10.6%	34.0%		47
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	8.5%	10.6%	25.5%	23.4%	31.9%		47

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5493: ADV COMPUTER HARDWARE DESIGN

Response Rate: 1/27

Class Number: 1688

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Nabi Sertac Artan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5493: ADV COMPUTER HARDWARE DESIGN

Response Rate: 25/27

Class Number: 1402

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Nabi Sertac Artan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.0%			88.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.0%	16.0%	12.0%	32.0%	32.0%	4.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	36.0%	40.0%	4.0%	25

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.0%	12.0%	28.0%	44.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		24.0%	12.0%	36.0%	28.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.0%	24.0%	8.0%	28.0%	28.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			12.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	4.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	48.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		8.0%	20.0%	20.0%	52.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5553: PHYSICS OF QUANTUM COMPUTING

Response Rate: 8/12

Class Number: 1403

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vladimir Tsifrinovich

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					87.5%	12.5%	8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	12.5%			12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5613: INTRO TO ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM

Response Rate: 1/19

Class Number: 1635

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zivan Zabar

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5623: FINITE ELEMENTS FOR ELECT ENGR

Response Rate: 10/18

Class Number: 2848

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Francisco De Leon

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5663: PHYSICS OF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

Class Number: 1140

Section: A

Response Rate: 11/14

Primary Instructor: Edward Wolf

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5673: ELECTRONIC POWER SUPPLIES

Response Rate: 44/50

Class Number: 1405

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dariusz Czarkowski

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.7%	2.3%	43
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.5%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.4%	88.6%		44
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.6%	7.0%	79.1%	2.3%	43

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	2.3%		9.1%	13.6%	75.0%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.8%	20.5%	72.7%		44

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.7%	75.0%	2.3%	44
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**			2.3%	9.1%	88.6%		44

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			2.3%	27.3%	70.5%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.3%	2.3%	20.5%	75.0%		44
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			4.5%	11.4%	84.1%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.5%	15.9%	79.5%		44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 5823: MEDICAL IMAGING I

Response Rate: 36/19

Class Number: 1992

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		36

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		36
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		36

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		36
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		36

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			27.8%	33.3%	38.9%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		36
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6013: DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS

Class Number: 2891

Section: BKLN

Response Rate: 26/28

Primary Instructor: Sundeep Rangan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.8%			96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.8%			96.2%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	65.4%		26
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		8.0%	8.0%	20.0%	64.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	50.0%	30.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		3.8%	3.8%	38.5%	53.8%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		7.7%	11.5%	34.6%	46.2%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	3.8%	19.2%	15.4%	42.3%	15.4%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		3.8%	7.7%	42.3%	46.2%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	61.5%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			23.1%	30.8%	46.2%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6023: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Class Number: 1416

Section: I

Response Rate: 7/9

Primary Instructor: I-Tai Lu

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		42.9%	14.3%		42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 2893

Section: A

Response Rate: 28/47

Primary Instructor: Peter Voltz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	85.7%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			7.1%	10.7%	82.1%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.6%	7.1%	10.7%	78.6%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		3.6%		14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	89.3%	3.6%	28
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	85.7%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Response Rate: 2/7

Class Number: 2894

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Peter Voltz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6123: VIDEO PROCESSING

Response Rate: 12/19

Class Number: 1417

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6233: SYSTEM OPTIMIZATION METHOD

Class Number: 1418

Section: A

Response Rate: 13/19

Primary Instructor: Quanyan Zhu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	7.7%		7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%		15.4%	46.2%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		13
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	7.7%	7.7%			84.6%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6253: LINEAR SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 13/24

Class Number: 1419

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Farshad Khorrami

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			23.1%	30.8%	38.5%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	69.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		23.1%		30.8%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		15.4%		38.5%	46.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6253: LINEAR SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 1/5

Class Number: 1634

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zhong-ping Jiang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6303: PROBABILITY AND STOCHASTIC

Class Number: 2895

Section: I

Response Rate: 46/69

Primary Instructor: Peter Voltz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.8%	2.2%	46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		46

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		46
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.5%	6.5%	87.0%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			13.0%	15.2%	69.6%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.2%	17.4%	78.3%	2.2%	46

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				23.9%	76.1%		46
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.2%	6.5%	19.6%	67.4%	4.3%	46

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.2%	6.5%	13.0%	10.9%	67.4%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	10.9%	82.6%		46
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.3%		10.9%	84.8%		46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6303: PROBABILITY AND STOCHASTIC

Response Rate: 1/14

Class Number: 2896

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Xiao-Kang Chen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6333: DETECTION & ESTIMATE THEORY

Class Number: 2851

Section: A

Response Rate: 9/10

Primary Instructor: Unnikrishna Pillai

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6383: HIGH-SPEED NETWORKS

Response Rate: 56/77

Class Number: 1422

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kang Xi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.4%			94.6%		56
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.8%			98.2%		55

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.6%	1.8%	23.6%	70.9%		55
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.6%	5.4%	26.8%	64.3%		56

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	1.8%	3.6%	1.8%	28.6%	64.3%		56
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	1.8%	8.9%		21.4%	67.9%		56

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		56
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	1.8%	3.6%	8.9%	26.8%	58.9%		56

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		1.8%	12.5%	25.0%	60.7%		56

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	23.2%	69.6%		56
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		5.4%	5.4%	14.3%	75.0%		56
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		3.6%	5.4%	28.6%	62.5%		56

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6383: HIGH-SPEED NETWORKS

Response Rate: 4/11

Class Number: 3011

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Kang Xi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6433: DIGITAL INTEGRATED CIRCUIT DSN

Class Number: 2850

Section: LI

Response Rate: 9/9

Primary Instructor: Frank Cassara

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			66.7%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6443: VLSI SYSTEM & ARCHIT DESIGN

Response Rate: 34/53

Class Number: 2852

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nabi Sertac Artan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		11.8%	11.8%	26.5%	50.0%		34
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	41.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		12.1%	12.1%	45.5%	30.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	2.9%	11.8%	20.6%	17.6%	47.1%		34

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	2.9%	32.4%	26.5%	14.7%	23.5%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	11.8%	17.6%	20.6%	20.6%	29.4%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	2.9%	20.6%	17.6%	38.2%	20.6%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		5.9%	26.5%	38.2%	29.4%		34
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	2.9%	11.8%	35.3%	20.6%	29.4%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	5.9%	20.6%	17.6%	20.6%	35.3%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6453: RECONFIGURABLE SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 1/6

Class Number: 3162

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Richard Stern

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%						1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%						1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6583: FIBER OPTIC COMMUNICATNS

Class Number: 2853

Section: A

Response Rate: 24/55

Primary Instructor: Spencer Kuo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.2%		16.7%	16.7%	62.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	47.8%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	33.3%	54.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	66.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	58.3%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		4.3%	21.7%	17.4%	56.5%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		4.2%	16.7%	25.0%	54.2%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6613: ELECTRICAL TRANS & DIST SYSTEM

Response Rate: 12/14

Class Number: 2341

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Francisco De Leon

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6623: PWR SYST ECONOMIC & PLAN

Class Number: 2223

Section: INET

Response Rate: 1/11

Primary Instructor: Sindhu Suresh

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6683: ADJUSTABLE SPEED DRIVES

Class Number: 2854

Section: I

Response Rate: 11/14

Primary Instructor: Zivan Zabar

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 6723: ELECTROMAG RADIATION & ANTENNA

Class Number: 1423

Section: I

Response Rate: 20/21

Primary Instructor: Nirod Das

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			5.0%		95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 7133: DIGITAL SIGNAL PROCESSING II

Class Number: 2897

Section: I

Response Rate: 32/44

Primary Instructor: Ivan Selesnick

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	3.1%			15.6%	81.3%		32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	3.1%			6.3%	90.6%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	3.1%			15.6%	81.3%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	3.1%			18.8%	78.1%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	21.9%	71.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	75.0%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	15.6%	71.9%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	3.1%		6.3%	9.4%	81.3%		32
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	3.1%			9.4%	87.5%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	81.3%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 7253: STATE SPACE DSGN FOR CTRL SYS

Class Number: 1425

Section: I

Response Rate: 7/11

Primary Instructor: Prashanth Krishnamurthy

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 7373: HIGH PERFORM SWITCHES & ROUTER

Response Rate: 32/51

Class Number: 1426

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Chao

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.1%				93.8%	3.1%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%				96.7%		30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	25.0%	68.8%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	3.1%	3.1%	12.5%	25.0%	53.1%	3.1%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.2%		6.5%	29.0%	61.3%		31

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	3.1%			25.0%	71.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.2%	3.2%		19.4%	74.2%		31

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	3.1%		3.1%	34.4%	59.4%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	18.8%	75.0%		32
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	81.3%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	81.3%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL 9423: ST: COMP ELEC DEVICES & SYSTEM

Response Rate: 11/13

Class Number: 2057

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ramesh Karri

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FIN 2003: ECONOMIC FOUNDATIONS OF FINANC

Response Rate: 12/29

Class Number: 1325

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Barry Blecherman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			8.3%	16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FIN 2203: CORP FINANCE & FINANCIAL MKTS

Class Number: 1326

Section: I

Response Rate: 5/19

Primary Instructor: Jierong Cheng

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.4**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	40.0%			60.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FIN 3233: DERIVATIVES AND OPTIONS MRKT

Class Number: 2900

Section: A

Response Rate: 5/7

Primary Instructor: Andrey Itkin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.4**	60.0%			20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.4**	60.0%			20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.4**	60.0%	40.0%					5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 5500: BLOOMBERG CERTIFICATION

Response Rate: 8/63

Class Number: 2085

Section: I

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			62.5%	25.0%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					75.0%	25.0%	8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**			50.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			37.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**			37.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 5500: BLOOMBERG CERTIFICATION

Response Rate: 2/16

Class Number: 2614

Section: I2

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6003: FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING

Response Rate: 4/13

Class Number: 1327

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ingrid Marshall

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			50.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6003: FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING

Response Rate: 5/26

Class Number: 2238

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Ingrid Marshall

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	40.0%				60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	40.0%				60.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6023: ECONOMIC FOUNDATIONS IN FINANC

Response Rate: 7/21

Class Number: 2566

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Barry Blecherman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6031: MONEY, BANKING & FINAC MKTS

Class Number: 2806

Section: I

Response Rate: 3/12

Primary Instructor: Paul Biederman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6041: RISK MGMT IN THE REAL WORLD

Class Number: 2074

Section: I

Response Rate: 2/24

Primary Instructor: Nassim Taleb

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%			50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6083: QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN FIN

Class Number: 1328

Section: I

Response Rate: 4/20

Primary Instructor: Agnes Tourin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6083: QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN FIN

Response Rate: 4/16

Class Number: 2783

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Edward Weinberger

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	25.0%	50.0%		25.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6091: FINANCIAL ECONOMETRICS

Class Number: 2807

Section: I

Response Rate: 4/18

Primary Instructor: Frederick Novomestky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%			50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6103: Corporate Finance

Response Rate: 3/17

Class Number: 2784

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Lucas Bernard

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6111: INVESTMENT BANKING & BROKERAG

Response Rate: 3/24

Class Number: 1330

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Raphaele Chappe

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6111: INVESTMENT BANKING & BROKERAG

Response Rate: 2/16

Class Number: 2785

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Raphaele Chappe

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6123: FIN RISK MGMT & ASSET PRICING

Class Number: 1331

Section: I

Response Rate: 4/35

Primary Instructor: Philip Z Maymin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6123: FIN RISK MGMT & ASSET PRICING

Response Rate: 4/19

Class Number: 2088

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Daniel Rodriguez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6131: CLEARING & SETTLMNT & OP RISK

Class Number: 2809

Section: I

Response Rate: 2/20

Primary Instructor: Roy Freedman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6153: FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Response Rate: 6/29

Class Number: 2811

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Roy Freedman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6211: FINANCIAL MARKET REGULATION

Response Rate: 2/9

Class Number: 1333

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Raphaele Chappe

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6233: OPT PRICING & STOCHASTIC CALC

Class Number: 2418

Section: I

Response Rate: 5/29

Primary Instructor: Agnes Tourin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6233: OPT PRICING & STOCHASTIC CALC

Class Number: 2786

Section: I2

Response Rate: 5/22

Primary Instructor: Agnes Tourin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6251: NUMER & SIMUL TECHN IN FINANCE

Class Number: 1334

Section: I2

Response Rate: 1/19

Primary Instructor: Edward Weinberger

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6273: VALUATIONS & CORPORATE FINANCE

Class Number: 2242

Section: I

Response Rate: 3/11

Primary Instructor: Antonio Pepenella

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 2812

Section: I

Response Rate: 3/16

Primary Instructor: Ronald Slivka

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		33.3%			66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 2813

Section: I2

Response Rate: 4/22

Primary Instructor: Ronald Slivka

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 2814

Section: I3

Response Rate: 3/15

Primary Instructor: Ronald Slivka

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6331: FINANCIAL RISK MNGT & OPTMZTN

Response Rate: 3/15

Class Number: 1347

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Raphael Douady

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6351: ADV FINANCIAL ECONOMETRICS

Class Number: 2084

Section: I

Response Rate: 3/12

Primary Instructor: Zhaoxia Xu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6391: MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS

Response Rate: 2/13

Class Number: 2815

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Paul Biederman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6411: FIXED INCOME SECURITY & INTRES

Response Rate: 4/17

Class Number: 2816

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sassan Alizadeh

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6411: FIXED INCOME SECURITY & INTRES

Response Rate: 2/25

Class Number: 2261

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Shlomo Mandel

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%			50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6411: FIXED INCOME SECURITY & INTRES

Class Number: 2817

Section: I3

Response Rate: 6/24

Primary Instructor: Shlomo Mandel

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6451: BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

Class Number: 2340

Section: I2

Response Rate: 7/25

Primary Instructor: Philip Z Maymin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		28.6%			71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6491: CREDIT RISK & CREDIT DERIVATIV

Class Number: 2818

Section: I

Response Rate: 4/23

Primary Instructor: Raphael Douady

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	75.0%				25.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	75.0%				25.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**		25.0%	75.0%				4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**		25.0%	75.0%				4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6571: ASSET BACKED SECURITIES

Class Number: 2819

Section: I

Response Rate: 2/22

Primary Instructor: Shlomo Mandel

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6711: INVESTMENT THEORY & APPLICATNS

Response Rate: 1/9

Class Number: 2820

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Papa Momar Ndiaye

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%						1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%						1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6711: INVESTMENT THEORY & APPLICATNS

Class Number: 2821

Section: I2

Response Rate: 1/11

Primary Instructor: Frederick Novomestky

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6811: FINANCIAL SOFTWARE LABORATORY

Response Rate: 7/20

Class Number: 2823

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jerzy Pawlowski

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			71.4%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%			14.3%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.1**	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%		14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.4**	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%				7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	14.3%		28.6%	57.1%			7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	28.6%		28.6%	42.9%			7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	14.3%		14.3%	71.4%			7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6811: FINANCIAL SOFTWARE LABORATORY

Response Rate: 4/16

Class Number: 2098

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Jason Yarmish

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6821: FINANCIAL ECONOMETRIC LAB

Class Number: 2338

Section: I1

Response Rate: 1/18

Primary Instructor: Merav Ozair

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6831: COMPUTATIONAL FINANCE LAB

Response Rate: 4/17

Class Number: 2075

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Moise Rubinraut

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6831: COMPUTATIONAL FINANCE LAB

Response Rate: 2/12

Class Number: 1838

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Moise Rubinraut

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 6971: SEL TOPICS IN FINANCIAL ENGR

Class Number: 2265

Section: I

Response Rate: 1/17

Primary Instructor: Michael Hayes

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 7221: BIG DATA IN FINANCE

Class Number: 1987

Section: I1

Response Rate: 4/25

Primary Instructor: Saar Golde

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	25.0%		75.0%				4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**		75.0%	25.0%				4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 7241: ALGORITHMIC PORTFOLIO MGT

Class Number: 2874

Section: I

Response Rate: 1/11

Primary Instructor: Norris Larrymore

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 7801: QUANT TPCS IN FIN & FIN MRKT I

Class Number: 2828

Section: J1

Response Rate: 2/16

Primary Instructor: Warren Matthei

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 7801: QUANT TPCS IN FIN & FIN MRKT I

Class Number: 2875

Section: J3

Response Rate: 1/17

Primary Instructor: Anne Zissu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 7811: QUANT TPC IN FIN & FIN MRKT II

Response Rate: 1/7

Class Number: 2877

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dominque Guegan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE 7821: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE I

Class Number: 2876

Section: I

Response Rate: 2/12

Primary Instructor: Dominque Guegan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%					50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%						1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1001: ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY FORUM

Response Rate: 67/194

Class Number: 1620

Section: A

Primary Instructor: David G Lefer

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	35.8%				53.7%	10.4%	67
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.4%				67.2%	7.5%	67

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	10.4%	7.5%	26.9%	29.9%	22.4%	3.0%	67
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	11.9%	7.5%	32.8%	28.4%	16.4%	3.0%	67

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	28.4%	10.4%	28.4%	16.4%	11.9%	4.5%	67
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	4.5%	10.4%	43.3%	19.4%	16.4%	6.0%	67

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	18.5%	13.8%	24.6%	29.2%	10.8%	3.1%	65
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	16.7%	7.6%	43.9%	15.2%	4.5%	12.1%	66

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	After taking this course I have a better understanding of what invention, innovation, and entrepreneuship mean.	**3.4**	14.9%	7.5%	19.4%	35.8%	19.4%	3.0%	67
Q28	The guest lectures exposed me to new concepts and ideas I would not have encountered elsewhere.	**3.7**	6.0%	10.4%	19.4%	35.8%	25.4%	3.0%	67
Q29	After taking this course, I am more likely to tackle problems in an innovative way.	**3.2**	11.9%	13.4%	31.3%	28.4%	11.9%	3.0%	67
Q30	This course has influenced my long-term educational or career goals.	**2.7**	21.2%	13.6%	34.8%	24.2%	3.0%	3.0%	66
Q31	After taking this course, I am more interested in starting my own venture.	**2.8**	22.4%	10.4%	37.3%	16.4%	9.0%	4.5%	67

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	13.4%	16.4%	20.9%	37.3%	9.0%	3.0%	67

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	21.2%	6.1%	25.8%	31.8%	12.1%	3.0%	66

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Class Number: 1868

Section: A1LC

Response Rate: 41/158

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.1%			83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.9%			78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Class Number: 1299

Section: A2LC

Response Rate: 41/158

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Response Rate: 41/158

Class Number: 1871

Section: A3LC

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Response Rate: 41/158

Class Number: 1874

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Response Rate: 41/158

Class Number: 1877

Section: C1LC

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Response Rate: 41/158

Class Number: 1302

Section: C2LC

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Response Rate: 41/158

Class Number: 1880

Section: C3LC

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Class Number: 1305

Section: D1LC

Response Rate: 41/158

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Class Number: 1308

Section: D2LC

Response Rate: 41/158

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Class Number: 1311

Section: E1LC

Response Rate: 41/158

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Response Rate: 41/158

Class Number: 1314

Section: E2LC

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: INTRODUCTION TO ENGR & DESIGN

Class Number: 1317

Section: E3LC

Response Rate: 41/158

Primary Instructor: Gunter Georgi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.1%				83.8%	8.1%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				78.9%	13.2%	38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	9.8%	4.9%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	2.4%	41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	12.2%	9.8%	22.0%	17.1%	34.1%	4.9%	41

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.4%	9.8%	14.6%	24.4%	46.3%	2.4%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	2.4%	41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.2%	22.0%	9.8%	36.6%	17.1%	2.4%	41

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	12.2%	2.4%	12.2%	41.5%	29.3%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	12.2%	31.7%	36.6%	2.4%	41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.0**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%	2.6%	38
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	4.9%	2.4%	12.2%	36.6%	41.5%	2.4%	41

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	48.6%	2.9%	35
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	7.3%	9.8%	14.6%	34.1%	31.7%	2.4%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.8%	7.3%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%	4.9%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	12.5%		10.0%	30.0%	45.0%	2.5%	40

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	7.3%	9.8%	9.8%	34.1%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	39.0%	36.6%	2.4%	41
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	46.3%	4.9%	41
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	7.3%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	12.2%	36.6%	36.6%	2.4%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI 7543: BIOINFORMATICS II: PROTEIN STR

Response Rate: 6/12

Class Number: 2305

Section: I

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%				66.7%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%				66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	16.7%		50.0%		33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	50.0%		16.7%		33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	33.3%		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI 7553: BIOINFORMATICS III: FUNC PRED

Response Rate: 3/8

Class Number: 1287

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Vasily Kolchenko

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 914: PRECALCULUS

Response Rate: 5/22

Class Number: 2062

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Ken Cereste

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					60.0%	40.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					60.0%	40.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			20.0%		60.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			20.0%		60.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	20.0%		20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**				60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			40.0%		40.0%	20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 914: PRECALCULUS

Response Rate: 2/15

Class Number: 2063

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Mariya Feklistova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: CALCULUS I

Response Rate: 2/14

Class Number: 1930

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Abraham Sher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: CALCULUS I

Class Number: 1933

Section: K

Response Rate: 9/20

Primary Instructor: Fang Zhao

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		22.2%		44.4%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**		11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		11.1%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.7**	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%				9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.8**	11.1%		33.3%	11.1%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: CALCULUS I

Response Rate: 3/9

Class Number: 1932

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Ankit Parekh

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1054: CALCULUS I WITH PRECALCULUS

Class Number: 1052

Section: K

Response Rate: 3/21

Primary Instructor: Amakoe Gbedemah

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 7/29

Class Number: 1048

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Irina Bronstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			71.4%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.1**	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.9**	57.1%		42.9%				7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.3**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 10/29

Class Number: 1049

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Lindsey Van Wagenen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**		30.0%	20.0%	50.0%			10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**		10.0%		70.0%	20.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.9**	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%		10.0%	10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%				10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 8/28

Class Number: 1050

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Vaishali T Prabhu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	12.5%		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%		25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 6/29

Class Number: 1051

Section: K2

Primary Instructor: Irina Bronstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	16.7%			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.6**			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.4**	16.7%		33.3%		33.3%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 10/28

Class Number: 2160

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Joseph Esposito

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**		20.0%	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%		20.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		40.0%		30.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**		20.0%		10.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1154: CALCULUS II WITH PRECALCULUS

Class Number: 1053

Section: E

Response Rate: 4/12

Primary Instructor: Amakoe Gbedemah

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: INTEGRATED CALCULUS I

Class Number: 1054

Section: B

Response Rate: 10/28

Primary Instructor: Abraham Sher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			30.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**		10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.7**			60.0%		30.0%	10.0%	10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.2**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: INTEGRATED CALCULUS I

Class Number: 2159

Section: B2

Response Rate: 7/24

Primary Instructor: Hanna Ulman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			57.1%	28.6%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		14.3%		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		14.3%			57.1%	28.6%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.6**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.3**	42.9%	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		28.6%		42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.2**		14.3%	14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: INTEGRATED CALCULUS I

Class Number: 1055

Section: K

Response Rate: 6/23

Primary Instructor: Ken Cereste

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%		33.3%		50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%				6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: INTEGRATED CALCULUS I

Class Number: 1055

Section: K

Response Rate: 6/23

Primary Instructor: Mariya Feklistova

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%		33.3%		50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%				6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: INTEGRATED CALCULUS I

Class Number: 1056

Section: L

Response Rate: 4/9

Primary Instructor: Ankit Parekh

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: INTEGRATED CALCULUS II

Class Number: 1059

Section: B

Response Rate: 8/30

Primary Instructor: Ankit Parekh

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.9**	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%			8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%			8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		37.5%		37.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: INTEGRATED CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 5/21

Class Number: 1060

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Daniel Khachatorian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	20.0%		60.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: INTEGRATED CALCULUS II

Class Number: 1061

Section: K2

Response Rate: 6/16

Primary Instructor: Hanna Ulman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		33.3%	16.7%		50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		16.7%	16.7%	66.7%			6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%		50.0%		16.7%		6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: INTEGRATED CALCULUS II

Response Rate: 4/9

Class Number: 2161

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Joseph Esposito

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Class Number: 2162

Section: D

Response Rate: 11/28

Primary Instructor: Rachel Jacobovits

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.9%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			90.9%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			27.3%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.4**			27.3%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	11
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.2**	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	18.2%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Class Number: 2163

Section: D2

Response Rate: 5/21

Primary Instructor: Vaishali T Prabhu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Class Number: 1062

Section: G

Response Rate: 5/28

Primary Instructor: Abraham Sher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			60.0%		40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	20.0%		60.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Class Number: 1064

Section: K

Response Rate: 11/28

Primary Instructor: Lindsey Van Wagenen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					81.8%	18.2%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%	10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	54.5%	27.3%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		9.1%		45.5%	27.3%	18.2%	11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%		36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%	11
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	11
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		18.2%		36.4%	27.3%	18.2%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%	11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Response Rate: 6/28

Class Number: 1065

Section: K2

Primary Instructor: Rachel Jacobovits

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**		50.0%	16.7%		33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		16.7%	66.7%	16.7%			6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Class Number: 1066

Section: K3

Response Rate: 9/28

Primary Instructor: Yisong Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.2**	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%			9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**			66.7%	22.2%		11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	11.1%		44.4%	44.4%			9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**		55.6%	22.2%	22.2%			9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**			22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.1**	44.4%		55.6%				9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%			9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%			9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			11.1%	66.7%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**2.2**	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Class Number: 1934

Section: OFF

Response Rate: 4/12

Primary Instructor: Poramate Pranayanuntana

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2054: APPLIED DATA ANALYSIS

Response Rate: 12/39

Class Number: 1067

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Fang Zhao

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			83.3%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**	8.3%		33.3%	16.7%	41.7%		12
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%		12
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	16.7%		8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12

P7. AACSB-How well did you		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A47	I have learned foundation knowledge for business in Math & Statistics	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 1069

Section: B

Response Rate: 17/35

Primary Instructor: Poramate Pranayanuntana

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			17.6%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	29.4%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	23.5%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**			17.6%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.9**	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	47.1%	5.9%	17
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	29.4%	11.8%	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	35.3%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 1070

Section: B2

Response Rate: 16/35

Primary Instructor: Luciano Manuel Medina

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		12.5%	43.8%	18.8%	25.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	31.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	6.3%		16
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**	12.5%	31.3%	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	12.5%	31.3%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		12.5%	6.3%	56.3%	25.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.9**		18.8%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 1071

Section: B3

Response Rate: 7/21

Primary Instructor: Harvansh Manocha

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%		42.9%		7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.7**	14.3%		28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 2164

Section: B4

Response Rate: 7/15

Primary Instructor: Deane Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		28.6%			71.4%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	28.6%			42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	28.6%		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	42.9%		28.6%		28.6%		7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		28.6%		57.1%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.6**	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 2345

Section: DOFF

Response Rate: 16/31

Primary Instructor: Poramate Pranayanuntana

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.8%				75.0%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				81.3%	6.3%	16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.3%	81.3%	12.5%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**	6.3%		6.3%	6.3%	75.0%	6.3%	16
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.8**	12.5%	6.3%	25.0%		56.3%		16
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.2**	12.5%	18.8%	37.5%		31.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.3%	62.5%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.9**				6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 1072

Section: E

Response Rate: 20/32

Primary Instructor: Poramate Pranayanuntana

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%				85.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			21.1%	26.3%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%		47.4%	42.1%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	10.0%	5.0%	25.0%	30.0%	30.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	40.0%	20.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	15.0%	15.0%	40.0%	15.0%	15.0%		20
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	5.0%	35.0%	40.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		10.0%	15.0%	60.0%	15.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.7**	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	35.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	35.0%	25.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 1073

Section: E2

Response Rate: 10/20

Primary Instructor: Luciano Manuel Medina

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			30.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			30.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%		30.0%	10.0%	10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.2**	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2112: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS A

Class Number: 1074

Section: E3

Response Rate: 8/18

Primary Instructor: Harvansh Manocha

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		25.0%		12.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**		12.5%		37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.7**			50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%			8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 1075

Section: B

Response Rate: 10/22

Primary Instructor: Poramate Pranayanuntana

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		10.0%	20.0%		70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 1076

Section: B2

Response Rate: 13/28

Primary Instructor: Luciano Manuel Medina

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%				92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	38.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	23.1%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%	23.1%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			30.8%	23.1%	46.2%		13
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.1**	23.1%		30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	13
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.1**		30.8%	38.5%	23.1%	7.7%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 1077

Section: B3

Response Rate: 7/24

Primary Instructor: Harvansh Manocha

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					71.4%	28.6%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					71.4%	28.6%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		14.3%	42.9%		42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**			71.4%		28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**			57.1%	14.3%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		28.6%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		14.3%	57.1%		28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**		14.3%	42.9%		42.9%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**		14.3%	57.1%		28.6%		7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**	14.3%		57.1%		28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.4**	14.3%		42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 2167

Section: B4

Response Rate: 9/26

Primary Instructor: Deane Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		33.3%			66.7%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		22.2%			77.8%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			11.1%	66.7%	22.2%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	22.2%		22.2%	33.3%	22.2%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	22.2%		22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.4**	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	11.1%		22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.9**			33.3%	44.4%	22.2%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 1078

Section: E

Response Rate: 17/28

Primary Instructor: Poramate Pranayanuntana

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.9%		11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		17.6%	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	37.5%	25.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	29.4%	11.8%		17
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	47.1%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		17.6%	5.9%	47.1%	29.4%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	25.0%	50.0%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			23.5%	41.2%	35.3%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 1079

Section: E2

Response Rate: 9/28

Primary Instructor: Luciano Manuel Medina

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**		22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	22.2%	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2122: MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS B

Class Number: 1080

Section: E3

Response Rate: 16/27

Primary Instructor: Harvansh Manocha

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.8%				81.3%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	18.8%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.4**	6.3%		6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	6.3%	16
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**	12.5%		37.5%	12.5%	31.3%	6.3%	16
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	31.3%	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	31.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			6.3%	43.8%	43.8%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		6.3%		50.0%	43.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2132: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Response Rate: 9/28

Class Number: 2169

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Rachel Jacobovits

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**			55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.6**			66.7%	11.1%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2132: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Response Rate: 7/25

Class Number: 2170

Section: D2

Primary Instructor: Vaishali T Prabhu

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	14.3%		28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	14.3%		28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	14.3%		28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	14.3%		28.6%		57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	28.6%		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.9**	14.3%		28.6%		57.1%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.9**	14.3%		28.6%		57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2132: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Class Number: 1081

Section: G

Response Rate: 5/37

Primary Instructor: Abraham Sher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.4**	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%				5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.8**	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%				5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2132: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Response Rate: 6/29

Class Number: 1083

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Lindsey Van Wagenen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	20.0%			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.6**	16.7%	16.7%			50.0%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.4**		16.7%			66.7%	16.7%	6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2132: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Response Rate: 6/26

Class Number: 1084

Section: K2

Primary Instructor: Rachel Jacobovits

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.6**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	33.3%	33.3%		16.7%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2132: DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Class Number: 1085

Section: K3

Response Rate: 9/26

Primary Instructor: Yisong Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					77.8%	22.2%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**			33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**			11.1%	55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	22.2%		33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.6**			33.3%	55.6%		11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.9**			22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Response Rate: 5/25

Class Number: 1086

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		60.0%	40.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**			80.0%		20.0%		5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		40.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Response Rate: 9/29

Class Number: 1087

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Letao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**				77.8%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**		11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.1**	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.3**	22.2%	11.1%		44.4%	22.2%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**				77.8%	22.2%		9
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Class Number: 1088

Section: B3

Response Rate: 10/25

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10.0%	10.0%	10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**			30.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.5**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Class Number: 1089

Section: E

Response Rate: 9/25

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.1%		22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			44.4%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		11.1%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.1**	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**			33.3%	44.4%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**				77.8%	22.2%		9
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.1**				88.9%	11.1%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Response Rate: 10/25

Class Number: 1090

Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Letao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.9**			40.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.1**	10.0%		60.0%	30.0%			10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			10.0%	80.0%	10.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.4**	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.0**			10.0%	80.0%	10.0%		10
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**			10.0%	80.0%	10.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Response Rate: 9/25

Class Number: 1091

Section: E3

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	11.1%			55.6%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**		22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**		33.3%	44.4%		11.1%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		22.2%		44.4%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2212: DATA ANALYSIS 1

Response Rate: 4/11

Class Number: 1848

Section: OFF

Primary Instructor: Luciano Manuel Medina

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		75.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Response Rate: 7/21

Class Number: 1092

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					71.4%	28.6%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					71.4%	28.6%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**			28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**			71.4%	14.3%		14.3%	7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	28.6%		42.9%	14.3%		14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**				57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.8**			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Response Rate: 8/19

Class Number: 1093

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Letao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**			62.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			37.5%		62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	12.5%		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			37.5%		62.5%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.1**	25.0%		37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		12.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**2.9**	37.5%		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Response Rate: 7/15

Class Number: 1094

Section: B3

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		42.9%		28.6%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.3**		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**			71.4%	14.3%		14.3%	7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**		42.9%	42.9%		14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.7**	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.7**		28.6%		42.9%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Response Rate: 4/14

Class Number: 2530

Section: BOFF

Primary Instructor: Harvansh Manocha

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Class Number: 1095

Section: E

Response Rate: 11/23

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**			36.4%	36.4%	27.3%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	27.3%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.9**		9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%		9.1%		11
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	18.2%		11
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Class Number: 1096

Section: E2

Response Rate: 6/22

Primary Instructor: Letao Wang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					66.7%	33.3%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					66.7%	33.3%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**			33.3%	50.0%		16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.4**			66.7%		16.7%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**			66.7%		16.7%	16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.6**			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.6**			33.3%	50.0%		16.7%	6
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**			33.3%	50.0%		16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2222: DATA ANALYSIS II

Class Number: 1097

Section: E3

Response Rate: 7/17

Primary Instructor: Jinghua Qian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			71.4%	28.6%			7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**			57.1%	28.6%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**		14.3%	57.1%	28.6%			7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.1**			85.7%	14.3%			7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	28.6%		57.1%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%				7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**2.9**		42.9%	42.9%		14.3%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.6**			57.1%	28.6%	14.3%		7
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**			57.1%	28.6%	14.3%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2312: DISCRETE MATH 1

Response Rate: 31/90

Class Number: 1206

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: John Iacono

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%				87.1%	9.7%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.5%				83.9%	9.7%	31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	3.2%	3.2%		25.8%	61.3%	6.5%	31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		6.5%	9.7%	29.0%	48.4%	6.5%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	3.2%	19.4%	9.7%	22.6%	38.7%	6.5%	31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.5%		9.7%	22.6%	54.8%	6.5%	31

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	6.5%	3.2%	16.1%	32.3%	35.5%	6.5%	31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	9.7%	3.2%	12.9%	32.3%	32.3%	9.7%	31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.4**	6.5%	3.2%	48.4%	9.7%	19.4%	12.9%	31
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	16.1%	9.7%	54.8%	9.7%	3.2%	6.5%	31
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**	12.9%	9.7%	51.6%	12.9%	6.5%	6.5%	31

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.9%	12.9%	9.7%	41.9%	16.1%	6.5%	31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.5%	6.5%	12.9%	38.7%	29.0%	6.5%	31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.1**	6.5%	9.7%	6.5%	19.4%	51.6%	6.5%	31

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	9.7%	3.2%	12.9%	32.3%	35.5%	6.5%	31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2322: DISCRETE MATH 2

Response Rate: 20/57

Class Number: 1209

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: John Iacono

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.0%				70.0%	15.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				80.0%	10.0%	20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	5.0%		10.0%	35.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	30.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**	5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	30.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	5.0%	5.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**	10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	25.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	25.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		5.0%	25.0%	30.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**		5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%	5.3%	19

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2413: BASIC PRACTICE OF STATISTICS

Class Number: 2673

Section: A

Response Rate: 4/12

Primary Instructor: Daniel Khachatorian

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3012: INTRODUCTION TO PROBABILITY 1

Class Number: 1098

Section: K

Response Rate: 13/24

Primary Instructor: Edward Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.7%			84.6%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	8.3%			33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	46.2%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	7.7%		23.1%	38.5%	15.4%	15.4%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**	7.7%		7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	23.1%		7.7%	13
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	15.4%		69.2%	7.7%		7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		15.4%		30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%		30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.0**	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	30.8%	7.7%	13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3012: INTRODUCTION TO PROBABILITY 1

Class Number: 1099

Section: K2

Response Rate: 2/11

Primary Instructor: Edward Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3022: PROBABILITY II

Response Rate: 7/14

Class Number: 1935

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Harvansh Manocha

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		42.9%			42.9%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		33.3%			50.0%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		14.3%		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	14.3%			28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	28.6%			28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.2**	14.3%		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		14.3%	7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3112: COMPLEX VARIABLES I

Response Rate: 12/25

Class Number: 1100

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Edward Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	8.3%		58.3%	16.7%	16.7%		12
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	25.0%		58.3%	16.7%			12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**3.9**	16.7%		8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3112: COMPLEX VARIABLES I

Response Rate: 1/10

Class Number: 1101

Section: K2

Primary Instructor: Edward Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 4423: INTRO NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Class Number: 1469

Section: A

Response Rate: 10/26

Primary Instructor: Yisong Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			80.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.2**	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.6**				40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 4623: ANALYSIS II

Response Rate: 9/17

Class Number: 1102

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gaoyong Zhang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			44.4%		55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		22.2%		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	22.2%			33.3%	44.4%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.2**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%		9
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%		44.4%	11.1%	11.1%		9
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	11.1%		11.1%	77.8%			9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	22.2%			22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 6223: ELMNTS OF REAL ANALYS II

Response Rate: 4/16

Class Number: 1104

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gaoyong Zhang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.7**		25.0%	50.0%			25.0%	4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**		25.0%	50.0%			25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 6513: APPLIED STATISTICS I (DATA AN)

Class Number: 1448

Section: I

Response Rate: 3/11

Primary Instructor: Michel Lobenberg

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q30	The lecture instructor encouraged questions and discussions from students.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q31	The lecture Instructor presented real-life applications of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this instructor to other students.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 6823: STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

Response Rate: 2/5

Class Number: 1449

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Michel Lobenberg

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 7043: LINEAR ALGEBRA II

Class Number: 1103

Section: I

Response Rate: 5/20

Primary Instructor: Erwin Lutwak

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			80.0%			20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.2**			80.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

AE 4613: AERODYNAMICS

Response Rate: 14/17

Class Number: 1265

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Iskender Sahin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of potential flow theory	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q28	Learned the characteristic parameters for airfoil and wing aerodynamics	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q29	Learned the fundamental of incompressible and compressible flow over wings	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q30	Learned about laminar and turbulent boundary layers	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q31	Understood the concepts involved in aerodynamic design	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		7.1%	7.1%	64.3%	21.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**				64.3%	35.7%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

AE 4633: AEROSPACE PROPULSION

Response Rate: 15/18

Class Number: 1266

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Iraj Kalkhoran

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%				93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	6.7%			53.3%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	13.3%	26.7%		33.3%	26.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of thermodynamics of breathing engines	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q28	Learned about ramjet, turbojet and turbofan engines	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q29	Learned the fundamental of inlet, nozzle and combustor flows	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q30	Learned about the flow through compressors and turbines	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		13.3%		26.7%	60.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 1012: INTRODUCTION TO ME

Response Rate: 12/32

Class Number: 1267

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Said Nourbakhsh

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			25.0%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned Consistent units; Forces and Moments	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q28	Learned about the Materials response to application of force and Engineering Materials	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q29	Learned about Fluids Engineering, Thermal & Energy Systems	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q30	Learned about Motion and Power Transmission	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		8.3%		75.0%	16.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**		33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**		9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**		9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%		11
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.3**		18.2%	45.5%	27.3%	9.1%		11
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.2**	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.5**		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	9.1%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 1012: INTRODUCTION TO ME

Response Rate: 8/23

Class Number: 1268

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Said Nourbakhsh

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		87.5%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned Consistent units; Forces and Moments	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q28	Learned about the Materials response to application of force and Engineering Materials	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	Learned about Fluids Engineering, Thermal & Energy Systems	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q30	Learned about Motion and Power Transmission	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Response Rate: 19/25

Class Number: 1269

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Nicholas A. Dizinno

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		31.6%			63.2%	5.3%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		31.6%			63.2%	5.3%	19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	5.3%	21.1%		21.1%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	15.8%	26.3%	5.3%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	36.8%	31.6%	15.8%	10.5%		5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		15.8%	26.3%	52.6%		5.3%	19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	31.6%	15.8%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	10.5%	15.8%	36.8%	15.8%	10.5%	10.5%	19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	36.8%		19
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**4.0**		5.3%	21.1%	42.1%	31.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		26.3%	10.5%	47.4%	15.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		10.5%	10.5%	47.4%	26.3%	5.3%	19
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	26.3%	15.8%	10.5%	26.3%	21.1%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%		19

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.4**	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	42.1%	10.5%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.6**	10.5%		26.3%	47.4%	15.8%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.4**	5.3%	21.1%	21.1%	36.8%	15.8%		19
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.4**	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	31.6%	15.8%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	5.3%		26.3%	52.6%	15.8%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Response Rate: 9/17

Class Number: 1842

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Nicholas A. Dizinno

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%		44.4%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	22.2%	44.4%		22.2%	11.1%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Response Rate: 27/42

Class Number: 1270

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Nicholas A. Dizinno

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%				63.0%	14.8%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				81.5%	7.4%	27

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		11.1%		7.4%	77.8%	3.7%	27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	28.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.4**	23.1%	38.5%	11.5%	15.4%	7.7%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	26.9%	30.8%	7.7%	26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	7.7%	3.8%	15.4%	34.6%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	8.0%	8.0%	48.0%	20.0%	12.0%	4.0%	25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**4.4**			8.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**4.4**			8.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		24
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	48.0%	36.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		23.1%	15.4%	42.3%	19.2%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.7%	3.8%	38.5%	46.2%	3.8%	26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	15.4%	15.4%	11.5%	19.2%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	60.0%		25

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	36.0%	24.0%		25
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	36.0%	36.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	32.0%	28.0%		25
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	28.0%	32.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			16.0%	48.0%	36.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2211: STATICS LAB

Response Rate: 21/40

Class Number: 1271

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dung Dinh Luong

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		9.5%	19.0%	14.3%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			19.0%	33.3%	47.6%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to perform experiments on torsion of circular cross sections	**4.3**			9.5%	47.6%	42.9%		21
Q28	Learnd how to measure deflection in beams and cantilever	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		21
Q29	Learned about equilibrium of forces	**4.5**			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		21
Q30	Learned about shear forces and bending moment	**4.3**			9.5%	47.6%	42.9%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			14.3%	61.9%	23.8%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.5%		38.1%	52.4%		21
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			14.3%	19.0%	66.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	38.1%	47.6%		21

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		4.8%	14.3%	47.6%	33.3%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			19.0%	52.4%	28.6%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	4.8%		14.3%	42.9%	38.1%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	4.8%		14.3%	42.9%	38.1%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**		4.8%	23.8%	38.1%	33.3%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2211: STATICS LAB

Response Rate: 12/23

Class Number: 1946

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Dung Dinh Luong

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	8.3%	16.7%		8.3%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		25.0%		25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to perform experiments on torsion of circular cross sections	**4.1**		25.0%		16.7%	58.3%		12
Q28	Learnd how to measure deflection in beams and cantilever	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
Q29	Learned about equilibrium of forces	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q30	Learned about shear forces and bending moment	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			25.0%	8.3%	66.7%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		16.7%		8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			25.0%	8.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2213: STATICS

Response Rate: 12/24

Class Number: 1272

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Prabodh Panindre

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			41.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q28	Learned about particle equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q29	Learned about rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q30	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q31	Learned about friction	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 2213: STATICS

Response Rate: 37/45

Class Number: 1947

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Prabodh Panindre

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.1%			89.2%	2.7%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.2%	2.8%	36

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.9%	81.1%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			14.3%	17.1%	65.7%	2.9%	35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	5.4%	2.7%		32.4%	59.5%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.4%		32.4%	62.2%		37

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		2.8%	8.3%	27.8%	61.1%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	63.9%	2.8%	36

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		32
Q28	Learned about particle equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.7**			3.1%	25.0%	71.9%		32
Q29	Learned about rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
Q30	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures	**4.6**		3.1%		28.1%	68.8%		32
Q31	Learned about friction	**4.6**		3.1%		31.3%	65.6%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.9%	11.4%		40.0%	45.7%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			8.1%	29.7%	62.2%		37
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			2.7%	21.6%	75.7%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	2.7%		13.5%	21.6%	59.5%	2.7%	37

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		3.1%	9.4%	31.3%	56.3%		32
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			6.3%	34.4%	59.4%		32
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			9.4%	31.3%	59.4%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3211: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS LAB

Response Rate: 18/27

Class Number: 1273

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dung Dinh Luong

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	22.2%	5.6%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned about forces and strains in trusses and redundant trusses	**4.4**				61.1%	38.9%		18
Q28	Learned about shear forces and buckling of struts	**4.4**				61.1%	38.9%		18
Q29	Learned about unsymmetric bending and shear center	**4.4**		5.6%		44.4%	50.0%		18
Q30	Learned about data processing and report writing	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			16.7%	61.1%	22.2%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**			16.7%	55.6%	27.8%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			5.6%	66.7%	27.8%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3213: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Class Number: 1274

Section: A

Response Rate: 27/31

Primary Instructor: Nikhil Gupta

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%				96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			19.2%	26.9%	50.0%	3.8%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	3.8%	34.6%	38.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		11.5%	11.5%	38.5%	38.5%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		3.8%	19.2%	57.7%	19.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.0%		44.0%	52.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood the concept of Stress and Strain, Normal stress & strain, Shear stress & strain, General state of stress, Design considerations,design of simple connections	**4.3**			11.1%	51.9%	37.0%		27
Q28	Understood Stress analysis, Materials behavior, constitutive relationship, Hooke's law, stress concentration, St Venant principle, Transformation equations, Mohr's circle	**4.0**			22.2%	51.9%	25.9%		27
Q29	Learned about axially loaded members, Torsion, Change of length, angle of twist, transmission of power by shafts statically indeterminate structure	**4.2**		3.7%	14.8%	40.7%	40.7%		27
Q30	Understood bending, Shear and moment diagram, shear force, transverse loading relationship, flexure formula	**4.1**		3.7%	14.8%	51.9%	29.6%		27
Q31	Learned about Deflection of beams, Differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, Castigliano's theorem	**3.8**		3.7%	29.6%	40.7%	22.2%	3.7%	27

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	26.9%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			23.1%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	34.6%	42.3%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	3.8%		19.2%	34.6%	42.3%		26

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		3.7%	11.1%	44.4%	40.7%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		3.7%	11.1%	40.7%	44.4%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**		3.8%	15.4%	53.8%	26.9%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**			19.2%	46.2%	30.8%	3.8%	26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3223: DYNAMICS

Response Rate: 28/44

Class Number: 1275

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vittoria Flamini

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.4%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		7.1%	10.7%	35.7%	46.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			10.7%	39.3%	50.0%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		17.9%	10.7%	35.7%	35.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.1%	10.7%	39.3%	42.9%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	25.0%	46.4%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.6%	10.7%	3.6%	25.0%	57.1%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles	**4.4**		3.6%	14.3%	21.4%	60.7%		28
Q28	Learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact	**4.5**		3.6%	10.7%	21.4%	64.3%		28
Q29	Learned modeling of systems of particles	**4.4**		7.1%	10.7%	21.4%	60.7%		28
Q30	Learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies	**4.4**		3.6%	14.3%	25.0%	57.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	10.7%	14.3%	3.6%	25.0%	46.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	3.6%	10.7%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		28
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	28.6%	46.4%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.6%	7.1%	14.3%	32.1%	42.9%		28

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	39.3%	42.9%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	7.1%	3.6%	10.7%	35.7%	42.9%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3233: MACHINE DESIGN

Response Rate: 24/40

Class Number: 1276

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Said Nourbakhsh

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				31.8%	63.6%	4.5%	22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			21.7%	30.4%	43.5%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			17.4%	21.7%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		13.0%	4.3%	47.8%	30.4%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		4.3%	13.0%	47.8%	30.4%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the concepts on stress, strain, elastic and plastic ranges of material behavior, stress-strain relationship, and engineering materials	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
Q28	Learned static failure theories for ductile and brittle materials	**4.4**			10.0%	35.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
Q29	Learned about application of static and dynamics failure theories to mechanical design problems	**4.4**			5.0%	50.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20
Q30	Learned about the fundamentals of machine elements, such as columns, shafts, gears, and bearings	**4.4**			5.0%	50.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20
Q31	Learned how to design mechanical systems based on a set of requirements	**4.1**		5.0%	5.0%	60.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.3%		8.7%	52.2%	30.4%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.3%		8.7%	47.8%	34.8%	4.3%	23
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		13.0%	17.4%	26.1%	39.1%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			8.7%	47.8%	34.8%	8.7%	23

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			15.0%	55.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			10.0%	55.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			5.0%	60.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		5.0%	10.0%	55.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			5.0%	65.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3233: MACHINE DESIGN

Response Rate: 22/40

Class Number: 1948

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Ken Park

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	77.3%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			14.3%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	4.5%	4.5%		18.2%	72.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	4.5%			13.6%	81.8%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the concepts on stress, strain, elastic and plastic ranges of material behavior, stress-strain relationship, and engineering materials	**4.7**		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q28	Learned static failure theories for ductile and brittle materials	**4.7**		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q29	Learned about application of static and dynamics failure theories to mechanical design problems	**4.7**		4.5%		18.2%	77.3%		22
Q30	Learned about the fundamentals of machine elements, such as columns, shafts, gears, and bearings	**4.7**		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q31	Learned how to design mechanical systems based on a set of requirements	**4.5**		4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	72.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	72.7%		22
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	68.2%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**	4.5%			22.7%	72.7%		22
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	4.5%		4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**	4.5%	4.5%		18.2%	72.7%		22
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**	4.5%		4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**	4.5%			27.3%	68.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3311: FLUID MECHANICS LABORATORY

Response Rate: 28/38

Class Number: 1277

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nicholas A. Dizinno

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				85.7%	7.1%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				81.5%	7.4%	27

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.7%	32.1%	57.1%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.1%	3.6%	46.4%	42.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	32.1%	14.3%	14.3%	25.0%	7.1%	7.1%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	42.9%	25.0%	7.1%	28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	3.6%	7.1%	14.3%	39.3%	35.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		3.6%	17.9%	32.1%	46.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to use pitot tubes, orifices, and conical nozzles in flow measurements	**4.3**		3.7%	3.7%	51.9%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q28	Learned about characteristics of fans and how to measure them	**4.3**			7.4%	48.1%	40.7%	3.7%	27
Q29	Learned about characteristics of a centrifugal pump and how to measure them	**4.2**			14.8%	48.1%	33.3%	3.7%	27
Q30	Learned how to measure viscosity of gases	**4.4**			3.7%	48.1%	44.4%	3.7%	27
Q31	Learned how to measure pressure drop and determine friction factors in pipes	**4.4**			3.7%	51.9%	40.7%	3.7%	27

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.6%	17.9%	25.0%	53.6%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		3.6%	7.1%	60.7%	28.6%		28
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	10.7%		3.6%	53.6%	28.6%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		3.6%	7.1%	50.0%	39.3%		28

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			11.1%	59.3%	29.6%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			3.7%	70.4%	25.9%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			7.4%	59.3%	33.3%		27
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			7.4%	55.6%	37.0%		27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			7.4%	59.3%	33.3%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3311: FLUID MECHANICS LABORATORY

Response Rate: 20/32

Class Number: 1949

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Angelantonio Tafuni

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to use pitot tubes, orifices, and conical nozzles in flow measurements	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q28	Learned about characteristics of fans and how to measure them	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q29	Learned about characteristics of a centrifugal pump and how to measure them	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q30	Learned how to measure viscosity of gases	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q31	Learned how to measure pressure drop and determine friction factors in pipes	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			10.5%	5.3%	84.2%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3313: FLUID MECHANICS

Response Rate: 21/36

Class Number: 1278

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Iskender Sahin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	23.8%	38.1%		21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.0%	5.0%		30.0%	60.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the basic concepts related to fluids and stresses in fluids	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q28	Learned about hydrostatics	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q29	Learned the conservation equations for a control volume	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q30	Learned about potential flows and stream function	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20
Q31	Learned Euler's and Bernoulli's equations	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	19.0%	28.6%		19.0%	33.3%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.8%		4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			4.8%	47.6%	47.6%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	23.8%	47.6%		21

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			10.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3313: FLUID MECHANICS

Response Rate: 30/43

Class Number: 1950

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Nicholas A. Dizinno

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.3%				96.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.3%				86.7%		30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.3%	13.3%	80.0%	3.3%	30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	3.3%	30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	13.3%	3.3%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		3.3%	16.7%	36.7%	43.3%		30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	36.7%	53.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		3.3%	16.7%	40.0%	40.0%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic concepts related to fluids and stresses in fluids	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		30
Q28	Learned about hydrostatics	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		30
Q29	Learned the conservation equations for a control volume	**4.6**			3.3%	30.0%	66.7%		30
Q30	Learned about potential flows and stream function	**4.5**			3.3%	46.7%	50.0%		30
Q31	Learned Euler's and Bernoulli's equations	**4.7**			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		3.3%	16.7%	46.7%	33.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	6.7%	3.3%	10.0%	36.7%	43.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			13.3%	36.7%	50.0%		30

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			3.3%	50.0%	46.7%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			6.7%	63.3%	30.0%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			10.0%	43.3%	46.7%		30
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			10.0%	46.7%	43.3%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: AUTOMATIC CONTROL LABORATORY

Class Number: 1279

Section: A

Response Rate: 24/39

Primary Instructor: David Lopez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%				87.5%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				86.4%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	20.8%	45.8%	8.3%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			12.5%	20.8%	62.5%	4.2%	24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			12.5%	16.7%	70.8%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated them on experimental test beds	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: AUTOMATIC CONTROL LABORATORY

Response Rate: 24/39

Class Number: 1279

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jose De Gracia Gomez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.3%			87.5%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			86.4%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	20.8%	45.8%	8.3%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			12.5%	20.8%	62.5%	4.2%	24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			12.5%	16.7%	70.8%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated them on experimental test beds	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: AUTOMATIC CONTROL LABORATORY

Class Number: 1951

Section: B

Response Rate: 19/20

Primary Instructor: David Lopez

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%	94.7%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated them on experimental test beds	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	57.9%		19
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			15.8%	26.3%	52.6%	5.3%	19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				31.6%	57.9%	10.5%	19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: AUTOMATIC CONTROL LABORATORY

Response Rate: 19/20

Class Number: 1951

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Jose De Gracia Gomez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				94.7%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated them on experimental test beds	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	57.9%		19
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			15.8%	26.3%	52.6%	5.3%	19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				31.6%	57.9%	10.5%	19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3413: AUTOMATIC CONTROL

Response Rate: 22/28

Class Number: 1280

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sang-Hoon Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%				95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	13.6%	77.3%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	45.5%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q29	Acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q30	Learned and utilized tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	50.0%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3413: AUTOMATIC CONTROL

Response Rate: 33/41

Class Number: 1952

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Sang-Hoon Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.0%	3.0%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.0%	3.0%	33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.1%	6.3%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.1%	18.2%	75.8%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		6.1%	3.0%	21.2%	69.7%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		15.2%	12.1%	21.2%	51.5%		33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.1%	33.3%	60.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	3.2%	22.6%	12.9%	25.8%	32.3%	3.2%	31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems	**4.7**			3.0%	24.2%	72.7%		33
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		32
Q29	Acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations	**4.3**		12.1%	3.0%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q30	Learned and utilized tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems	**4.5**		3.0%	3.0%	33.3%	60.6%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.1%	9.1%	3.0%	48.5%	33.3%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			12.1%	24.2%	63.6%		33
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		9.4%	6.3%	9.4%	75.0%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.0%	12.1%	21.2%	60.6%	3.0%	33

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				42.4%	57.6%		33
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			3.0%	33.3%	63.6%		33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			6.1%	33.3%	60.6%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3511: MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS LABORATORY

Response Rate: 24/25

Class Number: 1281

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Valentin Siderskiy

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					91.7%	8.3%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	87.5%	4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	87.5%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	62.5%	4.2%	24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	45.8%	4.2%	24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	37.5%	41.7%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Practiced instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation	**4.6**				39.1%	56.5%	4.3%	23
Q28	Exposed to fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments and calibrated given measurement devices	**4.6**				34.8%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design	**4.7**				26.1%	69.6%	4.3%	23
Q30	Used a computer-based data acquisition hardware and software to acquire, condition, and analyze experimental data	**4.7**				30.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%		45.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				25.0%	70.8%	4.2%	24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.8%	75.0%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	58.3%	4.2%	24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				39.1%	56.5%	4.3%	23
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				47.8%	47.8%	4.3%	23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			13.0%	30.4%	52.2%	4.3%	23
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**		8.7%	13.0%	30.4%	43.5%	4.3%	23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				30.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 3513: MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 27/39

Class Number: 1282

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vladislav Kopman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					92.6%	7.4%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.3%	7.7%	26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.7%		18.5%	77.8%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	66.7%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	7.4%		7.4%	48.1%	37.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	18.5%	70.4%		27

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	3.8%	3.8%		30.8%	61.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.5%	7.7%	34.6%	46.2%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned to solve problems involving electrical circuits and components and filtering	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
Q28	Understood the fundamentals of signal filtering and system response	**4.4**		7.4%		40.7%	51.9%		27
Q29	Learned to solve problems involving dynamic measurement system response, analof signal processing amd digital representation	**4.4**		7.4%	3.7%	33.3%	55.6%		27
Q30	Learned about data acquisition and sensors	**4.4**		3.8%		46.2%	50.0%		26
Q31	Learned how to use Matlab to study measurement systems	**4.0**	3.7%	7.4%	14.8%	33.3%	40.7%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.1%	7.4%	33.3%	48.1%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	73.1%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.8%	11.5%	26.9%	57.7%		26

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			7.4%	29.6%	63.0%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			3.7%	40.7%	55.6%		27
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**	3.7%		7.4%	29.6%	59.3%		27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 4113: SENIOR DESIGN II

Response Rate: 26/27

Class Number: 2703

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Joseph Borowiec

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			8.0%	16.0%	64.0%	12.0%	25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned to apply numerical analysis to a design project	**4.7**			3.8%	19.2%	76.9%		26
Q28	Learned to put together a drawing package and work instructions	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26
Q29	Learned to write a Test and Evaluation Plan and Report	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		26
Q30	Learned about design of experiments, and designing for the environment	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26
Q31	Learned about the relevance of continuing education.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.9%	73.1%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			11.5%	3.8%	84.6%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 4113: SENIOR DESIGN II

Response Rate: 32/35

Class Number: 1283

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Joseph Borowiec

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			96.9%		32

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.1%	96.9%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		3.1%		9.4%	87.5%		32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				15.6%	84.4%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			21.9%	12.5%	62.5%	3.1%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned to apply numerical analysis to a design project	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		32
Q28	Learned to put together a drawing package and work instructions	**4.8**			3.1%	18.8%	78.1%		32
Q29	Learned to write a Test and Evaluation Plan and Report	**4.6**			6.3%	21.9%	68.8%	3.1%	32
Q30	Learned about design of experiments, and designing for the environment	**4.7**				28.1%	68.8%	3.1%	32
Q31	Learned about the relevance of continuing education.	**4.8**				15.6%	84.4%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.1%	6.3%	28.1%	62.5%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				21.9%	78.1%		32
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		32
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				15.6%	84.4%		32
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: FIN ELE DES, MODEL AND ANALY

Response Rate: 12/18

Class Number: 2230

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Dung Dinh Luong

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	16.7%		8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			25.0%	33.3%	41.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	41.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis	**4.2**			33.3%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for spring, bar, beam and frame elements	**4.1**			33.3%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q30	Learned the application of boundary conditions, symmetry conditions, and contact conditions	**4.6**			22.2%		77.8%		9
Q31	Learned the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	16.7%		25.0%		58.3%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			22.2%		77.8%		9
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: FIN ELE DES, MODEL AND ANALY

Response Rate: 9/18

Class Number: 2229

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Nikhil Gupta

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			11.1%	66.7%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for spring, bar, beam and frame elements	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q30	Learned the application of boundary conditions, symmetry conditions, and contact conditions	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q31	Learned the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 4373: INTRO TO NUCLEAR ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1284

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/11

Primary Instructor: Daniel Speyer

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 1954

Section: B

Response Rate: 26/30

Primary Instructor: Sang-Hoon Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	15.4%	73.1%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			11.5%	3.8%	84.6%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 5813: RESEARCH & DESIGN METHODOLOGY

Response Rate: 6/6

Class Number: 3171

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Peter Walker

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 6213: INTRODUCTION TO SOLID MECHANIC

Class Number: 1285

Section: I

Response Rate: 39/42

Primary Instructor: Maurizio Porfiri

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.7%			92.3%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.6%			97.4%		38

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.6%		17.9%	79.5%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		2.6%	7.9%	23.7%	63.2%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	7.7%	2.6%	15.4%	12.8%	61.5%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		2.6%	12.8%	17.9%	64.1%	2.6%	39

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	33.3%	48.7%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		15.4%	2.6%	28.2%	53.8%		39

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%	10.3%	5.1%	38.5%	38.5%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.7%	5.1%	5.1%	23.1%	59.0%		39
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	5.1%	5.1%	10.3%	15.4%	61.5%	2.6%	39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%	5.1%	17.9%	59.0%	2.6%	39

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	5.3%	28.9%	57.9%	2.6%	38
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	2.6%	7.9%		50.0%	36.8%	2.6%	38
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	5.3%	34.2%	52.6%	2.6%	38
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**	5.3%	7.9%	7.9%	23.7%	55.3%		38
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	7.9%	2.6%	5.3%	34.2%	47.4%	2.6%	38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 6603: DIGITAL CONTROL SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 20/22

Class Number: 2901

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Alessandro Rizzo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.0%	15.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			15.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			10.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.0%	10.0%	45.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		5.3%	15.8%	52.6%	26.3%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.3%		52.6%	42.1%		19
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		5.3%	15.8%	42.1%	36.8%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	47.4%	42.1%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 6623: INTRO TO ROBOT MECHANICS

Class Number: 2234

Section: I

Response Rate: 12/13

Primary Instructor: Joo Hyun Kim

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 7133: COMPRESSIBLE FLOW

Response Rate: 6/6

Class Number: 2707

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kunal Kothari

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 7613: NON-LINEAR SYS: ANLYSIS & CONT

Class Number: 2708

Section: I

Response Rate: 6/6

Primary Instructor: Alessandro Rizzo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME 7863: SPECIAL TOPICS I

Response Rate: 7/7

Class Number: 2705

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Vikram Kapila

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	42.9%				42.9%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

MT 2811: MATERIALS SCIENCE LAB

Response Rate: 12/23

Class Number: 1323

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Dung Dinh Luong

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to prepare samples for optical microscopy and use the microscope to reveal the microstructure	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	Learned how to measure hardness, tensile properties and fatigue life of solid materials	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q29	Learned how to determine the crystal structure of materials by x-ray diffraction	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		16.7%	8.3%		75.0%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

MT 2813: INTRO TO MATERIALS SCIENCE

Class Number: 1324

Section: A

Response Rate: 13/23

Primary Instructor: Kunal Kothari

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects and diffusion	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

MT 4853: MANUFACT ENG AND PROCESS

Response Rate: 21/25

Class Number: 2706

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Andrew Gadzic

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	4.8%	9.5%		23.8%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the history of manufacturing and the direction of current manufacturing	**4.6**				45.0%	55.0%		20
Q28	Learned the processing of bulk materials into desirable basic materials	**4.6**				35.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q29	Learned the relationship between product design and manufacturing processes	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20
Q30	Learned about joining processes and mechanical joining processes	**4.7**				30.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q31	Learned about manufacturing automation and integrated manufacturing systems	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE 6113: QUALITY CONTROL & IMPROVEMENT

Class Number: 2171

Section: I2

Response Rate: 18/20

Primary Instructor: Sufian Ikhmeis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	77.8%		18

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**			16.7%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE 6203: PROJECT PLANNING & CONTROL

Response Rate: 20/21

Class Number: 1977

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mark De Lessio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%				90.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				70.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	15.0%	5.0%		55.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		20.0%	5.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	15.8%	5.3%		42.1%	36.8%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	31.6%	31.6%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	5.0%	15.0%		45.0%	35.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		10.0%	5.0%	40.0%	45.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		5.0%	10.0%	50.0%	35.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		5.0%	15.0%	55.0%	25.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		15.0%	5.0%	40.0%	40.0%		20
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE 6213: FACILITY PLANNING AND DESIGN

Class Number: 1119

Section: I

Response Rate: 12/18

Primary Instructor: Robert Albano

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	18.2%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**		9.1%	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	33.3%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE 6823: FACTORY SIMULATION

Response Rate: 17/18

Class Number: 1978

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sufian Ikhmeis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.9%		11.8%	5.9%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	58.8%	5.9%	17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			17.6%	41.2%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%		70.6%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE 7113: ENG PRACTICES IN BUS ENV 1

Class Number: 1589

Section: I

Response Rate: 8/10

Primary Instructor: Robert Albano

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		12.5%	12.5%		75.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE 7213: ENG PRACTICES IN BUS ENV 2

Response Rate: 3/5

Class Number: 1834

Section: BK01

Primary Instructor: Robert Albano

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1033

Section: A

Response Rate: 8/33

Primary Instructor: Anthony Deak

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	12.5%		25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.5%	25.0%		25.0%	37.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		37.5%		25.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2638

Section: B

Response Rate: 23/13

Primary Instructor: Anthony Deak

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%				95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	26.1%	30.4%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	34.8%	21.7%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	4.3%	26.1%	8.7%	39.1%	21.7%		23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.3%		17.4%	43.5%	34.8%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			17.4%	26.1%	52.2%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**		4.5%	22.7%	50.0%	22.7%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			4.5%	63.6%	31.8%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			4.5%	63.6%	31.8%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			4.5%	63.6%	31.8%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		8.7%	30.4%	39.1%	21.7%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	52.2%	30.4%		23
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	52.2%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	39.1%	34.8%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 2014: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1034

Section: A

Response Rate: 18/30

Primary Instructor: Martin Cohen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%				94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**		11.1%	27.8%	44.4%	16.7%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**		11.1%	16.7%	55.6%	16.7%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**		16.7%	5.6%	50.0%	27.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**			33.3%	44.4%	22.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	33.3%		18
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**		22.2%	11.1%	27.8%	38.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	27.8%	27.8%	5.6%	18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 2204: FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING

Response Rate: 15/34

Class Number: 2639

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Michael D'Emic

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		13.3%		20.0%	66.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**		14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 2304: MARKETING

Response Rate: 12/38

Class Number: 1035

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Howard Kupferman

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		25.0%	16.7%		58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 3002: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Response Rate: 24/34

Class Number: 1036

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Carl Nelson

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%				83.3%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%				79.2%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		4.3%	21.7%	26.1%	47.8%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			12.5%	29.2%	58.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	23.8%	57.1%		21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.3%	13.0%	4.3%	34.8%	43.5%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.3%	13.0%	4.3%	34.8%	43.5%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	4.2%	45.8%	33.3%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**		25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		16.7%	12.5%	33.3%	37.5%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	33.3%	41.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	4.2%	8.3%	25.0%	20.8%	41.7%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	20.8%	50.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 3214: ADVANCED CORPORATE FINANCE

Response Rate: 9/13

Class Number: 1038

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Francis Apicella

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 3304: INTRO TO SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGE

Response Rate: 9/12

Class Number: 1039

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Michael Greenstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**			44.4%	22.2%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			22.2%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		37.5%		37.5%	25.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**			37.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			33.3%	55.6%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%		33.3%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 3404: INNOVATION MANAGEMENT

Response Rate: 17/28

Class Number: 1040

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bertha Jimenez

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.9%		11.8%	17.6%	64.7%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	41.2%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.5**	17.6%	17.6%		23.5%	41.2%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	17.6%		11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**	17.6%		11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**	17.6%		5.9%	29.4%	47.1%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	47.1%		17
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	52.9%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	52.9%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 4014: INTRODUCTION TO E-BUSINESS

Response Rate: 17/22

Class Number: 1041

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Abdellatif Bentahar

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.8%			88.2%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		31.3%			68.8%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.9**	35.3%		17.6%	29.4%	17.6%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	29.4%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	23.5%	29.4%	11.8%	17.6%	17.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.9**	23.5%	29.4%		23.5%	23.5%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	17.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.1**	52.9%	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	5.9%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.6**	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	29.4%	11.8%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.5**	35.3%	23.5%	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.5**	35.3%	23.5%	11.8%	17.6%	11.8%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.8**	35.3%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	11.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.4**	47.1%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	35.3%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%		17
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.8**	35.3%		23.5%	29.4%	11.8%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.5**	29.4%	23.5%	17.6%	23.5%	5.9%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 4204: MANAGEMENT SCIENCE

Response Rate: 12/20

Class Number: 1046

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kevin Brabazon

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		25.0%		8.3%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	50.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		16.7%	8.3%		75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	16.7%	8.3%			75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 4504: GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES ON TECH MG

Class Number: 1047

Section: A

Response Rate: 15/22

Primary Instructor: Howard Kupferman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					86.7%	13.3%	15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	20.0%		6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	6.7%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	53.3%	26.7%		6.7%	13.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	6.7%	40.0%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		26.7%		46.7%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%		28.6%	57.1%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**			28.6%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.6**		21.4%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**		14.3%	28.6%	35.7%	21.4%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**			35.7%	35.7%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		6.7%	26.7%	46.7%	20.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	40.0%	26.7%		15
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		13.3%	26.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Response Rate: 21/25

Class Number: 1002

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Mark Mishken

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	95.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			9.5%	9.5%	81.0%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		4.8%	4.8%	57.1%	33.3%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	42.9%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		4.8%	9.5%	52.4%	33.3%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**				61.9%	38.1%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		9.5%		19.0%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 1003

Section: I2

Response Rate: 25/25

Primary Instructor: Anne-Laure Fayard

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			12.5%	4.2%	75.0%	8.3%	24

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**			4.2%	4.2%	91.7%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			12.5%	33.3%	54.2%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	79.2%	4.2%	24

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 1840

Section: I3

Response Rate: 21/27

Primary Instructor: Roger Eisenhardt

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	66.7%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		9.5%	4.8%	28.6%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				33.3%	57.1%	9.5%	21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	66.7%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	61.9%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	76.2%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6073: MARKETING

Response Rate: 29/31

Class Number: 1004

Section: I

Primary Instructor: James Cincotta

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	3.4%		3.4%	10.3%	82.8%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	14.3%	67.9%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	3.4%			13.8%	82.8%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.6%	7.1%		17.9%	71.4%		28

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	10.3%	3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	58.6%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.6%		3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	58.6%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%		41.4%	44.8%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	44.8%	37.9%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	27.6%	62.1%		29

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	37.9%	44.8%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	24.1%	58.6%		29
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.4%	3.4%		13.8%	79.3%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	3.4%	6.9%		20.7%	69.0%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6073: MARKETING

Response Rate: 38/39

Class Number: 1585

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: James Cincotta

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		37

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.6%	2.6%		26.3%	68.4%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	2.6%		7.9%	26.3%	63.2%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	28.9%	63.2%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.9%	10.5%	15.8%	65.8%		38

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		2.6%	2.6%	21.1%	73.7%		38

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		5.4%	2.7%	24.3%	67.6%		37
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		2.7%	8.1%	21.6%	67.6%		37
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**	2.7%		10.8%	27.0%	59.5%		37
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**	2.7%		5.4%	18.9%	73.0%		37

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		2.6%	13.2%	21.1%	63.2%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.6%	5.3%	13.2%	78.9%		38
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	13.2%	73.7%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	5.3%	18.4%	71.1%		38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6073: MARKETING

Response Rate: 17/18

Class Number: 2112

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Jelen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.9%		41.2%	52.9%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.8%	11.8%	47.1%	29.4%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	5.9%		11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	5.9%		17.6%	35.3%	41.2%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**		5.9%	17.6%	47.1%	29.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.9%		11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	5.9%			29.4%	64.7%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.9%	11.8%		29.4%	52.9%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Response Rate: 37/37

Class Number: 1005

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kenneth Dreifus

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.6%	5.4%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.6%			88.6%	2.9%	35

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	21.6%	70.3%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.9%		14.3%	20.0%	62.9%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	29.7%	59.5%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.7%	2.9%	5.7%	25.7%	60.0%		35

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	24.3%	64.9%		37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		6.1%		21.2%	72.7%		33

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	2.8%	8.3%	8.3%	19.4%	61.1%		36
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	41.7%	47.2%		36
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		5.6%	8.3%	30.6%	55.6%		36
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	2.8%	2.8%	8.3%	30.6%	55.6%		36

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		8.3%	11.1%	44.4%	36.1%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.8%		5.6%	30.6%	61.1%		36
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 2643

Section: I2

Response Rate: 15/15

Primary Instructor: Anand Santhanakrishnan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%				93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	6.7%			40.0%	53.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		13.3%		20.0%	60.0%	6.7%	15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		13.3%		53.3%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	6.7%		40.0%	33.3%	20.0%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	20.0%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**	6.7%		26.7%	46.7%	20.0%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.7**	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	26.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			20.0%	53.3%	26.7%		15
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Response Rate: 16/21

Class Number: 1587

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Pavlos Mourdoukoutas

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	23.1%	76.9%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		6.3%		6.3%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%		26.7%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		18.8%	68.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.3%	12.5%		31.3%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%		26.7%	60.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	6.7%	13.3%		33.3%	46.7%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		13.3%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		18.8%	68.8%		16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Response Rate: 7/9

Class Number: 1006

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Edward Watson

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Response Rate: 26/30

Class Number: 1007

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Francis Apicella

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			26.9%	26.9%	46.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		3.8%	15.4%	30.8%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		7.7%	19.2%	30.8%	42.3%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		19.2%	11.5%	30.8%	38.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		15.4%	7.7%	42.3%	34.6%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	42.3%	23.1%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**		15.4%	11.5%	42.3%	30.8%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**		15.4%	19.2%	34.6%	30.8%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**	3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	34.6%	38.5%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	3.8%	23.1%	7.7%	30.8%	34.6%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		15.4%	11.5%	38.5%	34.6%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	46.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		19.2%	26.9%	11.5%	42.3%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6103: MANAGEMENT SCIENCE

Class Number: 3169

Section: I

Response Rate: 5/5

Primary Instructor: Anand Santhanakrishnan

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%	60.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6123: HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1441

Section: I

Response Rate: 6/5

Primary Instructor: Marc Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6123: HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2838

Section: LVL1

Response Rate: 2/5

Primary Instructor: Marc Miller

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6163: JOB & WORKPLACE DESIGN

Class Number: 1008

Section: I

Response Rate: 6/9

Primary Instructor: Mark Lee

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6173: PERFORM MEASUR & REWARD SYST

Class Number: 1639

Section: I

Response Rate: 16/16

Primary Instructor: Matthew DeLuca

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		93.8%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.3%	16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	75.0%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	62.5%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				25.0%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6181: TALENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Class Number: 1009

Section: I

Response Rate: 11/13

Primary Instructor: Andrew Biga

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%	81.8%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			36.4%	18.2%	45.5%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6181: TALENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 1/7

Class Number: 2841

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Andrew Biga

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6243: CHNG MGMT SYSTEMS IN ORGS

Response Rate: 7/9

Class Number: 1011

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Johanna Seppalainen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6253: SEMINAR IN ORG & CAREER CHANGE

Class Number: 1012

Section: I

Response Rate: 9/12

Primary Instructor: Harold Kaufman

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			44.4%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	33.3%		44.4%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**			22.2%	55.6%	22.2%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6263: HUMAN RESOURCE INFORM SYSTEMS

Class Number: 1013

Section: I

Response Rate: 14/16

Primary Instructor: John Reilly

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	71.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	61.5%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1014

Section: I

Response Rate: 27/30

Primary Instructor: James Fazio

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.3%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.3%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.7%		14.8%	48.1%	33.3%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		3.7%	14.8%	33.3%	48.1%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.7%	7.4%	48.1%	40.7%		27

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	3.7%		11.1%	44.4%	40.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.7%		3.7%	48.1%	44.4%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	34.6%	42.3%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		3.8%	3.8%	53.8%	38.5%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		7.7%	11.5%	38.5%	42.3%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		7.7%	11.5%	42.3%	38.5%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		7.4%	11.1%	48.1%	33.3%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		3.7%	14.8%	44.4%	37.0%		27
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%	33.3%	44.4%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		11.1%	7.4%	40.7%	40.7%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1894

Section: I2

Response Rate: 27/31

Primary Instructor: James Fazio

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		26

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.4%	18.5%	74.1%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.4%	7.4%	33.3%	48.1%	3.7%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.7%		14.8%	77.8%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				26.9%	73.1%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			14.8%	29.6%	55.6%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		3.7%		37.0%	59.3%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**			7.4%	48.1%	44.4%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		7.4%		51.9%	40.7%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	55.6%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	37.0%	51.9%		27
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		3.7%	11.1%	29.6%	51.9%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			3.7%	40.7%	51.9%	3.7%	27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2910

Section: INT2

Response Rate: 1/12

Primary Instructor: Vivek Veeraiah

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2312

Section: MOT

Response Rate: 22/22

Primary Instructor: Vivek Veeraiah

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.8%			95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	45.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.5%		4.5%	45.5%	45.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.5%		18.2%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	54.5%		22

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		22.7%	4.5%	22.7%	50.0%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	50.0%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	45.5%	4.5%	22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	4.5%		13.6%	36.4%	45.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	50.0%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.5%		13.6%	40.9%	40.9%		22
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	27.3%	45.5%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		4.5%	18.2%	31.8%	45.5%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6313: ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY & DESIGN

Class Number: 1015

Section: I

Response Rate: 19/25

Primary Instructor: Sara Grant

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		5.3%	5.3%		89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	73.7%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	84.2%	5.3%	19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.1%	73.7%	5.3%	19
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		5.3%		5.3%	84.2%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				21.1%	73.7%	5.3%	19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6313: ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY & DESIGN

Class Number: 2844

Section: LVL1

Response Rate: 1/6

Primary Instructor: Sara Grant

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6361: MANGNG BUS PROC REENGINEERING

Class Number: 2644

Section: I

Response Rate: 13/15

Primary Instructor: Vincent Conte

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.7%			92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	30.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**			46.2%	46.2%	7.7%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	7.7%		7.7%	53.8%	30.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**		23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		7.7%	7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**		7.7%	23.1%	46.2%	23.1%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	7.7%		15.4%	46.2%	30.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6393: MANAGING KNOWLEDGE-BASED ENTER

Response Rate: 5/7

Class Number: 2645

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vaughan Coleman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		40.0%			60.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6463: SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1016

Section: I

Response Rate: 9/13

Primary Instructor: Michael Greenstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6503: MGMT OF INFO TECH & INFO SYS

Class Number: 1017

Section: I

Response Rate: 34/43

Primary Instructor: Kevin Brabazon

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.1%	2.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.9%	6.1%	33

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				47.1%	50.0%	2.9%	34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				47.1%	52.9%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		11.8%		38.2%	50.0%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				36.4%	60.6%	3.0%	33

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		2.9%		52.9%	41.2%	2.9%	34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		8.8%	2.9%	38.2%	50.0%		34
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		8.8%		50.0%	41.2%		34
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		5.9%	2.9%	47.1%	44.1%		34
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	44.1%	2.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			5.9%	44.1%	47.1%	2.9%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		2.9%	8.8%	38.2%	50.0%		34
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			2.9%	44.1%	50.0%	2.9%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			5.9%	38.2%	55.9%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6503: MGMT OF INFO TECH & INFO SYS

Response Rate: 1/16

Class Number: 2221

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Vivek Veeraiah

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6703: OPER MGMNT FOR KNOWLEDGE ENTER

Response Rate: 23/24

Class Number: 1588

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Thomas Mazzone

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			90.9%		22

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			26.1%	8.7%	60.9%	4.3%	23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	82.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		8.7%	4.3%	8.7%	78.3%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	87.0%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			4.3%		95.7%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6933: INFO TECH, SYST & MGT IN ORG

Class Number: 2490

Section: MOT2

Response Rate: 32/34

Primary Instructor: Frederick Kauber

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.1%			96.9%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.5%			90.3%	3.2%	31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.1%	21.9%	75.0%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.2%		41.9%	54.8%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	12.5%	31.3%		28.1%	28.1%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		3.2%	38.7%	25.8%	29.0%	3.2%	31

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	3.1%	12.5%	3.1%	40.6%	40.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.5%	6.5%	12.9%	32.3%	41.9%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		3.1%	6.3%	40.6%	50.0%		32
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		9.4%	9.4%	50.0%	31.3%		32
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	3.1%	9.4%		53.1%	34.4%		32
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	46.9%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		15.6%	12.5%	34.4%	34.4%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.4%		43.8%	46.9%		32
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		3.2%	3.2%	41.9%	51.6%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.2%	6.5%	12.9%	38.7%	38.7%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 6933: INFO TECH, SYST & MGT IN ORG

Class Number: 2490

Section: MOT2

Response Rate: 32/34

Primary Instructor: Joseph Nadan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.1%			96.9%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.5%			90.3%	3.2%	31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.1%	21.9%	75.0%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.2%		41.9%	54.8%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	12.5%	31.3%		28.1%	28.1%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		3.2%	38.7%	25.8%	29.0%	3.2%	31

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	3.1%	12.5%	3.1%	40.6%	40.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.5%	6.5%	12.9%	32.3%	41.9%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		3.1%	6.3%	40.6%	50.0%		32
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		9.4%	9.4%	50.0%	31.3%		32
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	3.1%	9.4%		53.1%	34.4%		32
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	46.9%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		15.6%	12.5%	34.4%	34.4%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.4%		43.8%	46.9%		32
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		3.2%	3.2%	41.9%	51.6%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.2%	6.5%	12.9%	38.7%	38.7%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 7173: ENTERPRISE DATA SYSTEMS

Class Number: 1508

Section: I

Response Rate: 12/36

Primary Instructor: Raman Kannan

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%				75.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	33.3%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%			12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%		50.0%	33.3%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.8**	8.3%		8.3%	66.7%	16.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 7503: ELECTRONIC BUSINESS MGMT

Class Number: 1018

Section: I

Response Rate: 16/38

Primary Instructor: Matthew Currier

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		6.3%		6.3%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		12.5%		18.8%	68.8%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 7703: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Response Rate: 16/25

Class Number: 1019

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Jelen

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%				93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%				86.7%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		18.8%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		12.5%		31.3%	56.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		12.5%		43.8%	43.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		12.5%		31.3%	56.3%		16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 7953: GLOBAL INNOVATION

Response Rate: 6/6

Class Number: 1896

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Bharadwaj Rao

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 7953: GLOBAL INNOVATION

Response Rate: 27/27

Class Number: 2627

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Michael Driscoll

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.8%			96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.0%			96.0%		25

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		3.7%		44.4%	51.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.4%	7.4%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	7.7%	11.5%	15.4%	7.7%	57.7%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.4%		37.0%	51.9%	3.7%	27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	59.3%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	44.4%	37.0%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**	3.7%	7.4%	3.7%	44.4%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		11.1%	14.8%	25.9%	40.7%	7.4%	27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	3.7%	7.4%		33.3%	51.9%	3.7%	27

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.7%		33.3%	59.3%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.7%	3.7%	33.3%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	3.7%	11.1%	3.7%	18.5%	59.3%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	48.1%	3.7%	27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 7963: MODERN FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Class Number: 1384

Section: MOTF

Response Rate: 6/7

Primary Instructor: Robert Biolsi

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Response Rate: 26/26

Class Number: 1020

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Denise Bracamonte

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%				92.3%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.0%				92.0%		25

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	3.8%	15.4%	19.2%	30.8%	30.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	8.0%	32.0%	24.0%	16.0%	20.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	11.5%	15.4%	11.5%	23.1%	38.5%		26

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	11.5%	42.3%	23.1%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.8%	15.4%	19.2%	30.8%	30.8%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.2**	11.5%	19.2%	30.8%	15.4%	23.1%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.3**	15.4%	11.5%	19.2%	34.6%	19.2%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.0**	19.2%	11.5%	23.1%	30.8%	11.5%	3.8%	26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.2**	15.4%	11.5%	30.8%	19.2%	23.1%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	30.8%	26.9%	11.5%	15.4%	15.4%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	23.1%		26
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.7**	34.6%	11.5%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	23.1%	11.5%	26.9%	19.2%	19.2%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1512

Section: I2

Response Rate: 20/25

Primary Instructor: Asitkumar Patel

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		30.0%	10.0%	15.0%	45.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Response Rate: 13/30

Class Number: 2111

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Thomas Mazzone

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					92.3%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2625

Section: MOT2

Response Rate: 20/22

Primary Instructor: Vivek Veeraiah

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			15.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.0%	5.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.3%		10.5%	42.1%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8653: MANAGING TECH CHANGE & INNOV

Class Number: 1021

Section: I

Response Rate: 14/20

Primary Instructor: Oded Nov

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				92.9%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	57.1%	7.1%	14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.3%		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	14.3%			35.7%	50.0%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%		50.0%	35.7%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	14.3%		7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	14.3%		7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8653: MANAGING TECH CHANGE & INNOV

Response Rate: 8/10

Class Number: 3217

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Ernest Gilmont

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%			71.4%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8673: TECHNOLOGY STRATEGY

Response Rate: 21/21

Class Number: 1022

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Paul Russo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%				90.5%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				90.5%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.8%		9.5%	19.0%	61.9%	4.8%	21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	4.8%			23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	66.7%	4.8%	21

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.8%		4.8%	33.3%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		4.8%		9.5%	81.0%	4.8%	21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	52.4%	4.8%	21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	23.8%	61.9%	4.8%	21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	4.8%	9.5%		14.3%	66.7%	4.8%	21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	19.0%	66.7%	4.8%	21
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	61.9%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	4.8%	4.8%		14.3%	71.4%	4.8%	21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8711: INTRO TO ENTREPRE FINANCE

Class Number: 1023

Section: I

Response Rate: 5/8

Primary Instructor: Kenneth Dreifus

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8713: ENTREPRENEURIAL FINANCE

Response Rate: 5/8

Class Number: 1024

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kenneth Dreifus

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8741: INTRO TO ENTRE MARKTNG & SALES

Class Number: 1025

Section: I

Response Rate: 8/10

Primary Instructor: Joshua Spodek

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8743: ENTREPRENEURIAL MARKTNG & SALE

Class Number: 1026

Section: I

Response Rate: 8/10

Primary Instructor: Joshua Spodek

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			75.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING

Response Rate: 24/27

Class Number: 2626

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Michael Driscoll

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	87.5%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%		17.4%	69.6%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			8.7%	4.3%	82.6%	4.3%	23

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.3%		20.8%	70.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**	4.3%			17.4%	78.3%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**	4.3%			13.0%	82.6%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**	4.3%			13.0%	82.6%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**	4.3%			4.3%	91.3%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	4.2%			20.8%	75.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		4.2%	4.2%		91.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	87.5%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 9013: DESIGN THINKING

Response Rate: 16/34

Class Number: 2905

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Anne-Laure Fayard

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			6.3%		93.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 9343: RESEARCH PROJECT IN OB & HCS

Response Rate: 12/18

Class Number: 1027

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Harold Kaufman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		8.3%			83.3%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		8.3%		16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**	8.3%		8.3%		75.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%	12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 9503: MOT CAPSTONE PROJECT COURSE

Class Number: 1898

Section: MOT

Response Rate: 14/31

Primary Instructor: Joseph Nadan

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	92.9%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.1**	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	28.6%	14.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	7.1%		64.3%	21.4%	7.1%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	21.4%	14.3%	28.6%	35.7%			14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%			7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.2**	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%			14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.1**	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%			14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%			14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.5**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	7.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	14.3%		28.6%	57.1%			14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	64.3%			14
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.6**	28.6%	7.1%	35.7%	28.6%			14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%			14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 9703: PRJCT IN STRATGY INNOVTN MGMT

Class Number: 1028

Section: I

Response Rate: 31/39

Primary Instructor: Oded Nov

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	19.4%				80.6%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				90.0%		30

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	6.5%	12.9%	12.9%	32.3%	32.3%	3.2%	31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	9.7%	3.2%	9.7%	38.7%	35.5%	3.2%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	6.5%		12.9%	48.4%	32.3%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.7%		30.0%	26.7%	36.7%		30

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	9.7%	9.7%	16.1%	32.3%	29.0%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.9%	12.9%	25.8%	12.9%	29.0%	6.5%	31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	6.5%	6.5%	19.4%	29.0%	35.5%	3.2%	31
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	6.5%	6.5%	22.6%	25.8%	32.3%	6.5%	31
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	6.5%	3.2%	16.1%	35.5%	32.3%	6.5%	31
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	6.5%	3.2%	9.7%	41.9%	35.5%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	9.7%	3.2%	19.4%	38.7%	25.8%	3.2%	31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	35.5%	25.8%	6.5%	31
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	9.7%	9.7%	22.6%	29.0%	25.8%	3.2%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	12.9%	9.7%	29.0%	16.1%	29.0%	3.2%	31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG 9753: SEL TOPICS IN MGT

Response Rate: 7/20

Class Number: 2350

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Brett Trusko

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN 7503: TARGET COSTING

Response Rate: 2/13

Class Number: 2373

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Henry Bird

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN 7503: TARGET COSTING

Response Rate: 2/13

Class Number: 2373

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Robert Albano

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN 7883: MANUFACTURING SYSTMS ENG

Class Number: 2173

Section: INET

Response Rate: 1/14

Primary Instructor: David Soukup

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN 7893: PRODUCTION SCIENCE

Class Number: 1979

Section: I

Response Rate: 17/17

Primary Instructor: Shashi Sathaye

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		5.9%		58.8%	35.3%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.8%		23.5%	64.7%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	5.9%	11.8%		35.3%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	47.1%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**		6.3%	25.0%	50.0%	18.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q42	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM 2003: SPECIAL TOPICS IN CAM

Class Number: 2250

Section: A

Response Rate: 17/30

Primary Instructor: Francis Mulcahy

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		17.6%			70.6%	11.8%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					82.4%	17.6%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	58.8%	11.8%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	52.9%	11.8%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	5.9%		11.8%	11.8%	64.7%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	70.6%	5.9%	17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	47.1%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		11.8%		23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%	5.9%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM 3003: SPECIAL TOPICS IN CAM

Response Rate: 5/20

Class Number: 2251

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Allan Goldstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned about the importance of assistive technology in the lives of people with disabilities.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q28	I increased my knowledge of the needs and desires of people with disabilities.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q29	I became knowledgeable about attitudinal and physical barriers to people with disability.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q30	I see people with disabilities not as their disability but as people first.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q31	I saw the difficulties posed by mass transit for people with disabilities.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 1113: AUDIO FOUNDATION STUDIO

Response Rate: 9/14

Class Number: 1450

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Michael Schumacher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		44.4%			33.3%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		55.6%			33.3%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**			44.4%	22.2%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	11.1%		33.3%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	11.1%		33.3%	11.1%	44.4%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%		44.4%	11.1%	33.3%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 1123: VISUAL FOUNDATION STUDIO

Response Rate: 9/13

Class Number: 1451

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Deangela Duff

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	66.7%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	66.7%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 2113: SOUND STUDIO I

Class Number: 1596

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/13

Primary Instructor: HIdeki Kato

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 2133: 3D GRAPHICS STUDIO I

Class Number: 1597

Section: A

Response Rate: 5/13

Primary Instructor: Mark Skwarek

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 2153: GAME DEVELOPMENT STUDIO I

Class Number: 1599

Section: A

Response Rate: 3/17

Primary Instructor: Robert Yang

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	66.7%	33.3%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 2183: DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHY STUDIO I

Class Number: 1452

Section: A

Response Rate: 3/12

Primary Instructor: Jack Craig Toolin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 2193: WEB STUDIO I

Class Number: 1453

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/13

Primary Instructor: Deangela Duff

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 3123: CINEMA STUDIO II

Class Number: 2668

Section: A

Response Rate: 2/12

Primary Instructor: Gabriel Winer

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%			50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 3133: 3D GRAPHICS STUDIO II

Class Number: 1454

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/12

Primary Instructor: Mark Skwarek

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.				14.3%	85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 3193: WEB STUDIO 2

Response Rate: 6/10

Class Number: 2855

Section: A

Primary Instructor: David Linderman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		16.7%	16.7%	66.7%			6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 4003: SENIOR PROJECT

Response Rate: 6/11

Class Number: 1457

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dana Karwas

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		66.7%	33.3%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		66.7%	33.3%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			33.3%		50.0%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.7%		66.7%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			16.7%		66.7%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			33.3%		50.0%	16.7%	6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.7%		50.0%	33.3%	6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%		50.0%	33.3%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 4913: SPECIAL TPCS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 1456

Section: A

Response Rate: 5/11

Primary Instructor: Richard Wener

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 6113: SOUND STUDIO SEMINAR

Response Rate: 2/14

Class Number: 1600

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Michael Schumacher

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%	50.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 6143: INTERACTION DESIGN STUDIO SEM

Class Number: 1601

Section: I

Response Rate: 5/17

Primary Instructor: Dana Karwas

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 6153: GAME DESIGN STUDIO SEMINAR

Class Number: 1892

Section: A

Response Rate: 4/15

Primary Instructor: Heather Perry

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 7033: MEDIA LAW

Response Rate: 1/13

Class Number: 1478

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Beth Rosenberg

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 9103: DIGITAL MEDIA SPECIAL TOPICS

Class Number: 1459

Section: A

Response Rate: 4/15

Primary Instructor: Katherine Bennett

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 9103: DIGITAL MEDIA SPECIAL TOPICS

Class Number: 1479

Section: D

Response Rate: 10/10

Primary Instructor: Kaho Abe

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			30.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM 9103: DIGITAL MEDIA SPECIAL TOPICS

Class Number: 2956

Section: N

Response Rate: 1/6

Primary Instructor: Allan Goldstein

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EC 2524: MANAGERIAL MICROECONOMICS

Class Number: 1440

Section: A

Response Rate: 8/31

Primary Instructor: Michael D'Emic

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	12.5%		12.5%		75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%		62.5%		8
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**3.8**	12.5%		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.0**	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
A31	I have enhanced my ability to understand some important contemporary events/issues	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8

P7. AACSB-How well did you		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A46	I have learned foundation knowledge for business in Economics	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 2133W: THE INVENTION OF THE WORD

Response Rate: 13/21

Class Number: 1981

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Alan Nadler

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%				84.6%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%				69.2%	15.4%	13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 2143W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I

Class Number: 2678

Section: A

Response Rate: 5/22

Primary Instructor: Jean Gallagher

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 2143W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I

Class Number: 2679

Section: B

Response Rate: 8/18

Primary Instructor: Alan Nadler

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		25.0%			62.5%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			75.0%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 2163: SHAKESPEARE & THE CREATVE IMAG

Class Number: 2867

Section: A

Response Rate: 14/30

Primary Instructor: Teresa Feroli

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		7.1%			85.7%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.1%			50.0%	42.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 2173W: THE WORLD'S GREATEST JOURNEYS

Class Number: 2680

Section: A

Response Rate: 2/11

Primary Instructor: Sylvia Marks

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 2203W: SCIENCE JOURNALISM

Response Rate: 9/22

Class Number: 2415

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lowell Scheiner

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%				77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	22.2%	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	22.2%			22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 3143W: FORMAL APPRCHS TO ART & POETRY

Class Number: 2416

Section: A

Response Rate: 9/23

Primary Instructor: Alan Nadler

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			66.7%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					77.8%	22.2%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	11.1%		55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			22.2%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 3143W: FORMAL APPRCHS TO ART & POETRY

Class Number: 2417

Section: B

Response Rate: 4/16

Primary Instructor: Alan Nadler

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 4913: SPECIAL TOPICS IN LITERATURE

Response Rate: 12/26

Class Number: 2355

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Samuel Schreiber

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					66.7%	33.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					66.7%	33.3%	12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			36.4%	9.1%	45.5%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**3.9**		8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	12
Q28	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	12
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**3.8**			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	12
Q30	This course helped you understand the ethical choices demanded of scientists.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	12
Q31	This course helped you understand the relationship between business and science.	**3.8**			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			36.4%	9.1%	36.4%	18.2%	11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.7**	8.3%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	12
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	12
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.9**			33.3%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 4913: SPECIAL TOPICS IN LITERATURE

Class Number: 2356

Section: B

Response Rate: 18/30

Primary Instructor: Allan Goldstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	22.2%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
Q28	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q30	This course helped you understand the ethical choices demanded of scientists.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
Q31	This course helped you understand the relationship between business and science.	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.4**			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN 4913: SPECIAL TOPICS IN LITERATURE

Response Rate: 15/30

Class Number: 2357

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Allan Goldstein

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.5**		6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	73.3%		15
Q28	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q30	This course helped you understand the ethical choices demanded of scientists.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q31	This course helped you understand the relationship between business and science.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

HI 2213: HIS OF AVIATION & AVIATION TEC

Class Number: 1468

Section: A

Response Rate: 9/30

Primary Instructor: Donald Phillips

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%		55.6%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

HI 2303: INTRODUCTION TO NYC HISTORY

Response Rate: 4/19

Class Number: 2902

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Soffer

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

MD 2163W: MEDIA STUDIES I

Response Rate: 12/24

Class Number: 2252

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Penina Wiesman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.3%			91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course introduced me to a variety of from the early modern period to the turn of the century, including the history of mass media technology, the mass dissemination of news and its effects on popular culture, the role of the media in the development	**4.6**				33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q28	This course has taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	33.3%	8.3%	12
Q29	This course taught you how to read historical material critically.	**4.0**			25.0%	41.7%	25.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

MD 3163W: MEDIA STUDIES II

Response Rate: 7/23

Class Number: 2253

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jack Craig Toolin

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

MU 2113: WESTERN MUSIC THEORY

Response Rate: 11/29

Class Number: 1461

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Michael Laderman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**			36.4%	27.3%	36.4%		11
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.9**			45.5%	18.2%	36.4%		11
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

MU 2113: WESTERN MUSIC THEORY

Class Number: 2682

Section: B

Response Rate: 6/29

Primary Instructor: Michael Laderman

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL 2143: ETHICS AND TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 1983

Section: A

Response Rate: 17/40

Primary Instructor: Patrick Linden

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.1%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.1%	5.9%	17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			11.8%	35.3%	47.1%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		5.9%	23.5%	41.2%	23.5%	5.9%	17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			17.6%	41.2%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	29.4%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**3.6**	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	23.5%	5.9%	17
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**3.3**	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	17.6%	5.9%	17
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**3.1**	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	11.8%	5.9%	17
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**3.9**	5.9%		11.8%	52.9%	23.5%	5.9%	17
Q31	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			17.6%	58.8%	17.6%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.3**	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	17
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**3.6**	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	17
A31	I have enhanced my ability to understand some important contemporary events/issues	**4.0**	5.9%		11.8%	47.1%	29.4%	5.9%	17
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**	5.9%		17.6%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL 2143: ETHICS AND TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 1984

Section: B

Response Rate: 16/38

Primary Instructor: Patrick Linden

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.8%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.3%			80.0%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	6.3%		6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		6.7%	40.0%	20.0%	33.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		25.0%	18.8%	31.3%	25.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	31.3%	25.0%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	18.8%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	37.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**3.1**	20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	20.0%	20.0%		15
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**3.3**	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	12.5%		16
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**2.8**	31.3%	6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%		16
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**3.7**	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		16
Q31	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**3.6**	18.8%	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	25.0%		18.8%	25.0%	31.3%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.6**	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	18.8%		16
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**3.4**	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%	12.5%		16
A31	I have enhanced my ability to understand some important contemporary events/issues	**3.7**	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	25.0%	37.5%		16
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.8**	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	37.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL 2253: SCIENCE AND PSEUDOSCIENCE

Class Number: 2683

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/30

Primary Instructor: Nuala Caomhanach

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	14.3%			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL 2283: PHILOSOPHY OF RELATIVITY

Class Number: 2684

Section: A

Response Rate: 15/29

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Bain

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			33.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			6.7%	66.7%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL 3263: PHYSCS, INFO AND COMPUTATION

Class Number: 2685

Section: A

Response Rate: 14/28

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Bain

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			21.4%		78.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS 2663: INTELLIGENCE REAL & ARTIFICIAL

Class Number: 2191

Section: A

Response Rate: 16/30

Primary Instructor: James Lewis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%				87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		16

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	6.3%		12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	12.5%		18.8%	18.8%	50.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%	25.0%	18.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%		16

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	12.5%		6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	31.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	6.3%		25.0%	18.8%	50.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS 2663: INTELLIGENCE REAL & ARTIFICIAL

Class Number: 2192

Section: B

Response Rate: 10/30

Primary Instructor: James Lewis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			80.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		20.0%		40.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			50.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS 2723: HUMAN FACTORS IN ENGR DESIGN

Response Rate: 13/30

Class Number: 2077

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jonathan Puleio

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.3%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q28	Students learned to apply theoretical relations to solve practical problems.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q29	Students worked as team members to complete a comprehensive planning and design project.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q30	This course has challenged you to think more critically about your opinions and to support those opinions with evidence.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q31	There are aspects of this course I will find useful to apply to real life descisions.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			30.8%	15.4%	46.2%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS 3813: SOCIAL PSYCH OF VIRTUAL WORLDS

Response Rate: 8/30

Class Number: 1607

Section: A

Primary Instructor: James Lewis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		12.5%		75.0%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**3.5**		12.5%	25.0%	62.5%			8
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			8
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.5**		12.5%	25.0%	62.5%			8
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.6**		12.5%	12.5%	75.0%			8
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**3.5**		12.5%	25.0%	62.5%			8
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.1**		12.5%	62.5%	25.0%			8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.4**		12.5%	37.5%	50.0%			8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS 3813: SOCIAL PSYCH OF VIRTUAL WORLDS

Class Number: 1608

Section: B

Response Rate: 9/30

Primary Instructor: James Lewis

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		22.2%			66.7%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		22.2%			66.7%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	11.1%		33.3%		44.4%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	12.5%			25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	11.1%		33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**3.4**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%		55.6%	11.1%	9
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**3.6**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	11.1%			33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.0**	11.1%			44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.9**	11.1%			55.6%	22.2%	11.1%	9
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**3.6**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	9
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

SEG 2193W: WRITING ABOUT NATURE & THE ENV

Class Number: 1465

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/22

Primary Instructor: Lowell Scheiner

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			57.1%	28.6%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			57.1%	28.6%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**		14.3%	42.9%		14.3%	28.6%	7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		14.3%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	The course taught me how to write effectively bout a wide range of topics affecting n natural resources, the environment, and the infrastructure of the city today and in the future.	**3.2**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q28	The course helped me to understand the serious problems the world faces in terms of natural resources and climate change and what possibilities there may be to overcome these seemingly insurmountable challenges.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q29	The course helped me to understand that writing requires careful thinking, meticulous planning--that you cannot simply sit down and type out a clear, concise, fact-filled document off the top of my head. I learned that the key to effective written communi	**3.5**	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q30	The course impressed upon me the critical importance of fact checking, rewriting to ensure quality writing, and the correct use of grammar, punctuation and syntax in every piece of writing I produce.	**3.8**		14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q31	The course taught me the absolute necessity of citing the sources of my research continuously throughout my document to avoid charges of plagiarism, of course, but also to inform the reader where to go for additional information on the specific topic bein	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%		14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2003: SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, & SOCIETY

Class Number: 2712

Section: A

Response Rate: 13/28

Primary Instructor: Brendan Matz

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			15.4%		76.9%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2113: HIS & PHIL OF INTERNET TECHNOL

Class Number: 2713

Section: A

Response Rate: 13/31

Primary Instructor: Christopher Leslie

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course provided me with an understanding of the interactions among science, technology, and society.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q28	This course introduced me to major topics in the history of technology in the twentieth century.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q29	This course included a representative range of topics from the history of the Internet, from its origins in communication networks to the present day.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q30	This course gave me an international perspective on the history of the Internet.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q31	This course contained topics and authors that I'd like to learn more about on my own.	**4.2**	7.7%		15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2153: PUBLIC POLICY SCI, ENG, & MED

Class Number: 2194

Section: A

Response Rate: 6/18

Primary Instructor: Lowell Scheiner

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	Plan and deliver oral presentations effectively.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		6
Q29	Plan and deliver written presentations effectively.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q30	Learn how to communicate effectively.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q31	Gain knowledge of contemporary issues.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2223: MEDICAL ETHICS

Class Number: 2195

Section: A

Response Rate: 17/30

Primary Instructor: Melissa Maldonado-Salcedo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	58.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2263W: THE RHETORIC OF SCIENCE

Response Rate: 8/22

Class Number: 2714

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Amber Benezra

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2323: DINOS: RESURRECT AN EXTNCT SPE

Class Number: 1466

Section: A

Response Rate: 18/30

Primary Instructor: Donald Phillips

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	72.2%	5.6%	18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		5.6%	16.7%	44.4%	27.8%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			11.1%	50.0%	33.3%	5.6%	18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	38.9%	5.6%	18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			27.8%	27.8%	38.9%	5.6%	18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	44.4%	27.8%	5.6%	18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		5.6%	16.7%	44.4%	27.8%	5.6%	18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2413: SPECIAL TOPICS IN STS

Class Number: 2197

Section: A

Response Rate: 8/22

Primary Instructor: Romualdas Sviedrys

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				75.0%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	12.5%				75.0%	12.5%	8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2413: SPECIAL TOPICS IN STS

Class Number: 2254

Section: B

Response Rate: 11/28

Primary Instructor: Brendan Matz

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.9%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		18.2%		27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2413: SPECIAL TOPICS IN STS

Response Rate: 7/21

Class Number: 2710

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Romualdas Sviedrys

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 2413: SPECIAL TOPICS IN STS

Response Rate: 10/29

Class Number: 2711

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Patrick Linden

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	10.0%		10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	10.0%		10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	10.0%	20.0%		20.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 3263: SCIENCE AND DIFFERENCE

Response Rate: 9/26

Class Number: 2716

Section: A

Primary Instructor: James Roane

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**5.0**					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.8**		11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS 3273: SCIENCE & FEMINISM

Response Rate: 13/30

Class Number: 2715

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Melissa Maldonado-Salcedo

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					92.3%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%				76.9%	7.7%	13

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.7%		30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	53.8%	15.4%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	38.5%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			23.1%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	46.2%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	7.7%		30.8%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2023W: DESIGN OF CITIES

Response Rate: 9/21

Class Number: 1637

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Richard Wener

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		44.4%		22.2%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2043: METHODS FOR STUDYING URB ENV

Class Number: 2243

Section: A

Response Rate: 7/14

Primary Instructor: RUTH RAE

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	14.3%			57.1%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2053: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN POLICY

Class Number: 2717

Section: A

Response Rate: 9/26

Primary Instructor: David Woods

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		11.1%		11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		55.6%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2063: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN PLANNING

Response Rate: 12/31

Class Number: 2718

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nicholas Bloom

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2113: GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Response Rate: 2/10

Class Number: 2414

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Himanshu Mistry

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2233: NAT ENVRONMNT CATASTR & CITIES

Class Number: 1460

Section: A

Response Rate: 12/29

Primary Instructor: Donald Phillips

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	16.7%	8.3%		8.3%	66.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	18.2%			36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	18.2%			27.3%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	16.7%		8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 2233: NAT ENVRONMNT CATASTR & CITIES

Class Number: 1985

Section: B

Response Rate: 12/26

Primary Instructor: Donald Phillips

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			18.2%	63.6%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.3%		16.7%	50.0%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 3213: CITIES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Response Rate: 9/26

Class Number: 2719

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Susan Gladstone

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 3833: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUE

Response Rate: 7/27

Class Number: 1609

Section: A

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	28.6%				42.9%	28.6%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				57.1%	28.6%	7

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 3833: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUE

Response Rate: 4/16

Class Number: 2868

Section: B

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB 4024: CAPSTONE PROJECT

Response Rate: 5/11

Class Number: 2246

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Richard Wener

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS 105: MATH SEMINAR

Response Rate: 32/88

Class Number: 2515

Section: BK01

Primary Instructor: Melissa Barnes

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.8%				75.0%	6.3%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.5%				87.1%	6.5%	31

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		6.3%	21.9%	12.5%	56.3%	3.1%	32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.1%	3.1%	25.0%	25.0%	40.6%	3.1%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	3.1%	3.1%	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.1%	3.1%	25.0%	18.8%	46.9%	3.1%	32

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	9.4%		25.0%	28.1%	34.4%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%		43.8%	15.6%	28.1%	6.3%	32

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.1%		40.6%	15.6%	34.4%	6.3%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	9.4%	3.1%	25.0%	25.0%	34.4%	3.1%	32