NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-GY 5493: Physics of Nanoelectronics

Class Number: 1180

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward** | **Response Rate: 6/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2071

PH-GY 6673: QUANTUM MECHANICS I

Section: A

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 1/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1352

PH-UY 1002: Physics: The Genesis of Technology

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Becker,Kurt H** | **Response Rate: 1/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1580

Section: A1LC | **Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David** | **Response Rate: 7/73**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	14.3%		42.9%	42.9%			7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1582

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 7/73

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	14.3%		42.9%	42.9%			7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 2066

Section: A3LC

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 7/73

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	14.3%		14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	14.3%		42.9%	42.9%			7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1584

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 9/57

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%		44.4%	33.3%		9

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1586

Section: B2LC

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 9/57

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%		44.4%	33.3%		9

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1727

Section: B3LC

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 9/57

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%		44.4%	33.3%		9

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 1223: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT

Class Number: 1570

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 4/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.8**		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**		25.0%	75.0%				4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1603

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: A1LC | **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** | **Response Rate: 14/100**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	14

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	50.0%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1605

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 14/100

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	14

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	50.0%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1607

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: A3LC

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 14/100

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	14

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	50.0%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2028

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: A4LC | **Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J** | **Response Rate: 14/100**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	14

	B2. The Recitation Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	50.0%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 1609

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 15/99

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.7%		20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 1611

Section: B2LC

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 15/99

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.7%		20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 1613

Section: B3LC

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 15/99

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.7%		20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 2030

Section: B4LC

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 15/99

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.7%		20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1615

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: C1LC | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 3/62**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1617

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: C2LC | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 3/62**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1619

PH-UY 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Section: C3LC | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 3/62**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 20137

Section: B1 | **Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter** | **Response Rate: 2/15**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20138

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: B2 **Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter** **Response Rate: 1/13**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20139

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: C1 | **Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga** | **Response Rate: 2/14**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20140

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: C2 | **Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga** | **Response Rate: 1/16**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20141

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: D1 **Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga** **Response Rate: 2/16**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 20142

Section: D2 | **Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga** | **Response Rate: 3/16**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20143

PH-UY 2031: General Physics Laboratory II

Section: E1 | **Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter** | **Response Rate: 5/15**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1735

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A1LC | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 10/59**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	10.0%	20.0%		10.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	30.0%		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			10.0%	70.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1737

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 10/59

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	10.0%	20.0%		10.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	30.0%		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			10.0%	70.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 3179

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: A3LC

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 10/59

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	10.0%	20.0%		10.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	30.0%		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			10.0%	70.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1739

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 6/52

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 19943

Section: B2LC

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 6/52

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20108

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: A1 **Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E** **Response Rate: 1/12**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20110

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: B1 **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** **Response Rate: 3/12**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20112

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: C1 **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** **Response Rate: 2/16**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20115

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: D2 **Primary Instructor: Shakya,Naresh M** **Response Rate: 2/16**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20116

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: E1 **Primary Instructor: Dimitrov,Dimitar L** **Response Rate: 1/16**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20117

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: E2 **Primary Instructor: Dimitrov,Dimitar L** **Response Rate: 4/16**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20126

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: F1 | **Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka** | **Response Rate: 1/15**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20118

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: G1 **Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E** **Response Rate: 1/14**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20120

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: H1 **Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander** **Response Rate: 2/13**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20122

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: I1 **Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander** **Response Rate: 3/14**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.3**		66.7%	33.3%				3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.7**	33.3%	66.7%					3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.7**	33.3%	66.7%					3

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20123

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 3/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 20124

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Section: J1 **Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra** **Response Rate: 1/16**

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 20125

Section: J2 | **Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra** | **Response Rate: 4/13**

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**				100.0%			4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 2948

PH-UY 2823: INTRODUCTION TO GEOPHYSICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Blauvelt,Robert** **Response Rate: 5/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	40.0%			40.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1327

PH-UY 3103: Fundamentals of Applied Nuclear Physics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael** | **Response Rate: 4/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 1328

PH-UY 3503: Introduction to Radiation Physics and Dosimetry

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sheverev,Valery A** | **Response Rate: 2/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

Class Number: 19946

PH-UY 3603: MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir** | **Response Rate: 2/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH-UY 4603: Special Topics in Physics

Class Number: 2649

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 1/8

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1496

BE-GY 6103: ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY, & BIOPHYSICS I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert** | **Response Rate: 4/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	75.0%	25.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	75.0%	25.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 20960

BE-GY 6203: Biomedical Imaging I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao** | **Response Rate: 19/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		10.5%		31.6%	57.9%		19
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.5%		31.6%	57.9%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.3%	15.8%		31.6%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.5%	15.8%		21.1%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.3%	21.1%	5.3%	26.3%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%		31.6%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.3%	15.8%		21.1%	57.9%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BE-GY 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 1132

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.** **Response Rate: 57/89**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	96.4%		56
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%	96.4%		56

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**	1.8%			1.8%	94.7%	1.8%	57
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	1.8%		1.8%	7.0%	84.2%	5.3%	57

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	1.8%	1.8%	8.8%	17.5%	70.2%		57
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	5.3%	1.8%	3.5%	10.5%	78.9%		57

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**	1.8%		1.8%	10.5%	86.0%		57
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**	1.8%		3.5%	10.5%	84.2%		57

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	3.5%	1.8%	3.5%	22.8%	68.4%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.5%	1.8%	1.8%	12.3%	78.9%	1.8%	57
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	3.5%		1.8%	3.5%	91.2%		57
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	1.8%		3.5%	5.3%	87.7%	1.8%	57

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1137

BE-GY 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: A1 | **Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep** | **Response Rate: 38/47**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.7%		29.7%	67.6%		37
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			2.8%	33.3%	63.9%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		2.6%		31.6%	65.8%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		2.7%		32.4%	64.9%		37

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		2.6%		34.2%	63.2%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		38

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		13.2%	2.6%	28.9%	55.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			2.6%	31.6%	65.8%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			2.6%	34.2%	63.2%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			2.6%	31.6%	65.8%		38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 20966

BE-GY 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna

Response Rate: 32/39

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%	93.5%	3.2%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	84.4%	3.1%	32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.1%	12.5%	12.5%	71.9%		32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			9.4%	28.1%	59.4%	3.1%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		18.8%	3.1%	34.4%	43.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	21.9%	56.3%	3.1%	32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		21.9%	15.6%	15.6%	46.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		18.8%		37.5%	43.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%	3.1%	28.1%	31.3%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		12.5%		34.4%	53.1%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	9.4%	9.4%	12.5%	18.8%	50.0%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.1%	15.6%	6.3%	28.1%	46.9%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 25054

BE-GY 6463: Statistics for Biomedical Engineers

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao** | **Response Rate: 2/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 20107

BE-GY 6603: Drug Delivery

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert

Response Rate: 2/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1237

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** | **Response Rate: 8/41**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	25.0%			12.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	12.5%		12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	37.5%			37.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	12.5%		25.0%		62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	25.0%			12.5%	62.5%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1238

BMS-UY 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** | **Response Rate: 5/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**		40.0%		60.0%			5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%		20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.3**	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		20.0%	5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.2**		40.0%		60.0%			5
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**2.4**	40.0%		40.0%	20.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BMS-UY 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 1268

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** | **Response Rate: 2/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**5.0**					100.0%		2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1239

BMS-UY 2512: Biostatistics

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 7/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	28.6%		28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	28.6%		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	28.6%		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1271

BMS-UY 3114: Genetics

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 4/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 20622

BMS-UY 4324: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology II

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M** | **Response Rate: 6/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 25053

BMS-UY 4814: TOPICS IN BIOLOGY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Levon,Kalle** | **Response Rate: 6/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2786

BMS-UY 4844: TOPICS IN BIOLOGY

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S** | **Response Rate: 4/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1246

BTE-GY 6023: Biotechnology and Health Care

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny** | **Response Rate: 5/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1247

BTE-GY 6033: Biosensors and Biochips

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny** | **Response Rate: 2/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1248

BT-GY 6023: Biotechnology and Health Care

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny** | **Response Rate: 5/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1249

BT-GY 6033: Biosensors and Biochips

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny** | **Response Rate: 2/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

BT-GY 6083: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 20104

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M

Response Rate: 4/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		25.0%			50.0%	25.0%	4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 24694

BT-GY 6093: Biomedical Materials & Devices for Human Body Repair

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Carter,John Neil** | **Response Rate: 4/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1160

CBE-GY 6153: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Rogers,Joel Carle W** | **Response Rate: 35/84**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	40.0%	54.3%	5.7%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%	54.3%	5.7%	35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	14.7%	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	38.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	5.7%	8.6%	17.1%	14.3%	54.3%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	40.0%	8.6%	8.6%	20.0%	22.9%		35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	31.4%	22.9%	8.6%	17.1%	20.0%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.7%	8.8%	5.9%	32.4%	38.2%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	31.4%	28.6%	11.4%	11.4%	17.1%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	22.9%	5.7%	22.9%	20.0%	28.6%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.4**	54.3%	8.6%	2.9%	8.6%	25.7%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	37.1%	5.7%	8.6%	31.4%	17.1%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2011

CBE-GY 9413: SELCT TPCS CHEM & BIO ENGR II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1149

CBE-UY 2124: Analysis of Chemical and Biomolecular Processes

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan** | **Response Rate: 7/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand significant figures, dimensional and unit consistency and simple data fitting operations.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q28	Learn to identify and calculate the main process variables.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q29	Learn to apply the principles of mass conservation to simple processes.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q30	Learn to perform material balances on multi-unit (non-reacting) processes.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q31	Learn to perform material balances on processes with reactions.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
A04	I have enhanced my ability to identify and formulate engineering problems.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1150

CBE-UY 2124: Analysis of Chemical and Biomolecular Processes

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Levicky,Rastislav** | **Response Rate: 6/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand significant figures, dimensional and unit consistency and simple data fitting operations.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q28	Learn to identify and calculate the main process variables.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q29	Learn to apply the principles of mass conservation to simple processes.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	Learn to perform material balances on multi-unit (non-reacting) processes.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q31	Learn to perform material balances on processes with reactions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
A04	I have enhanced my ability to identify and formulate engineering problems.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1151

CBE-UY 3153: Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Thermodynamics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Kim,Jin Ryoun** | **Response Rate: 15/52**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	13.3%	20.0%		20.0%	46.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	20.0%	13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	26.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the basic principles of the first and second laws of thermodynamics.	**4.1**	6.7%		6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q28	Learn to apply energy balances for systems with sensible or latent heat effects..	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q29	Learn how to calculate compressibility factors and residual thermodynamic properties from accurate equations of state and the theorem of corresponding states.	**4.0**	6.7%		20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q30	Learn to apply thermodynamic principles for flow processes involving turbines and compressors; including power and refrigeration cycles.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q31	Understand the calculation of thermodynamic properties of mixtures, including partial molar properties, fugacities, property changes of mixing and excess properties.	**3.7**	13.3%		20.0%	33.3%	33.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	33.3%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
A04	I have enhanced my ability to identify and formulate engineering problems.	**3.9**	6.7%		20.0%	40.0%	33.3%		15
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%		15
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**3.7**	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	33.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1513

CBE-UY 3313: Transport I

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gallaway,Joshua

Response Rate: 16/53

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			12.5%	6.3%	81.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to derive velocity and shear stress profiles in different geometries using the differential element approach?	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q28	Learn to analyze head losses due to friction forces for fluid flow in pipes?	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q29	Understand the basic concept of boundary layer in transport processes?	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
Q30	Learn to apply the Navier-Stokes equations for fluid flow analysis?	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
Q31	Learn how to apply differential equations of heat transfer to steady and unsteady conduction and convection?	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A02	I have enhanced my ability to apply advanced mathematics through partial differential equations.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
A03	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of engineering.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 1152

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Song,Qing** | **Response Rate: 3/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A12	I have learned how to function on project and multidisciplinary teams	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 1153

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Song,Qing** | **Response Rate: 4/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A12	I have learned how to function on project and multidisciplinary teams	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 2301

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Song,Qing** | **Response Rate: 5/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A12	I have learned how to function on project and multidisciplinary teams	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.0**				100.0%			5
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.0**				100.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Class Number: 2787

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Pierre,Brennal Atiba Diallo

Response Rate: 5/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**		40.0%		60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A12	I have learned how to function on project and multidisciplinary teams	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CBE-UY 4143: Process Dynamics and Control

Class Number: 1154

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Levicky,Rastislav** | **Response Rate: 18/57**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%	94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%	94.4%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	38.9%	38.9%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learn to formulate and solve fundamental dynamic models of realistic processes.	**4.2**			5.6%	66.7%	27.8%		18
Q28	Learn to perform empirical modeling experiments, parameter estimation, and comparison with fundamental models.	**4.0**		5.6%	5.6%	72.2%	16.7%		18
Q29	Learn to define chemical process operating goals as categories of control objectives.	**4.3**			5.6%	61.1%	33.3%		18
Q30	Learn to select variables for measurement and manipulation, along with instrumentation for automatic control.	**4.2**			5.6%	66.7%	27.8%		18
Q31	Learn to design single loop PID control systems.	**4.1**		5.6%	5.6%	61.1%	27.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	5.6%	50.0%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		5.6%	5.6%	50.0%	38.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			11.1%	61.1%	27.8%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		18
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		18
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.1**		5.6%	11.1%	55.6%	27.8%		18
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**3.0**	5.6%	27.8%	38.9%	16.7%	11.1%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1155

CBE-UY 4163: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N** | **Response Rate: 14/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	42.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		21.4%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	understand the AIChE code of ethics.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q28	learn to present a timed design tutorial	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q29	understand the AIChE code of ethics.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q30	learn to develop a process flowsheet	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		14
Q31	learn to identify, formulate, and present material & energy balances to solve design problems	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%		57.1%	21.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A03	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of engineering.	**4.0**	7.1%		7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		14
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.6**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A10	I have enhanced my ability to design a process to meet realistic constraints.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2302

CBE-UY 4163: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design I

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N** | **Response Rate: 4/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	understand the AIChE code of ethics.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	learn to present a timed design tutorial	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	understand the AIChE code of ethics.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	learn to develop a process flowsheet	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q31	learn to identify, formulate, and present material & energy balances to solve design problems	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A03	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of engineering.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
A10	I have enhanced my ability to design a process to meet realistic constraints.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-GY 5040: Chemical Laboratory Safety

Class Number: 1254

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.

Response Rate: 8/42

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	12.5%	12.5%			75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	12.5%				75.0%	12.5%	8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	12.5%	12.5%			75.0%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 20745

CM-GY 9433: Protein Engineering

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Montclare,Jin Kim

Response Rate: 5/20

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.4**	20.0%	60.0%			20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 1201

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** | **Response Rate: 10/76**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	90.0%		10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.5**	20.0%		20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	10.0%		20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	10.0%			80.0%	10.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%			10

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.2**	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.9**	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%			10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**3.5**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%		10
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**3.1**	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%			10
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.3**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	30.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%			10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.3**	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1201

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: ALEC **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** **Response Rate: 10/76**

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.2**	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.4**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**		20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 1210

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** | **Response Rate: 19/97**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	31.6%	68.4%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%	89.5%		19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	47.4%	42.1%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	15.8%		5.3%	36.8%	42.1%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.1**	44.4%	22.2%	16.7%	11.1%	5.6%		18

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.6**	15.8%		31.6%	15.8%	36.8%		19

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	15.8%	21.1%	21.1%	21.1%	21.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	31.6%	21.1%	5.3%	21.1%	21.1%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.4**	26.3%	47.4%		15.8%	10.5%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	15.8%		31.6%	26.3%	26.3%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**3.2**	15.8%	21.1%	5.3%	42.1%	15.8%		19
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**3.2**	15.8%	21.1%	5.3%	42.1%	15.8%		19
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.2**		5.3%	10.5%	47.4%	36.8%		19
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.1**	31.6%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	26.3%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	47.4%	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	10.5%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.9**	26.3%	10.5%	15.8%	42.1%	5.3%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.8**	52.6%	21.1%	15.8%	10.5%			19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.6**	31.6%	15.8%	21.1%	21.1%	10.5%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1210

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: BLEC **Primary Instructor: Mitra,Abhijit** **Response Rate: 19/97**

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.2**	21.1%	10.5%	15.8%	36.8%	15.8%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.3**	10.5%	10.5%	31.6%	31.6%	15.8%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**	21.1%	10.5%	26.3%	31.6%	10.5%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 1217

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 7/96

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		85.7%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	16.7%			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			14.3%	71.4%		14.3%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**		14.3%		28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**3.8**	14.3%			42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		14.3%		71.4%		14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		14.3%		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1217

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: CLEC **Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.** **Response Rate: 7/96**

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**			14.3%	71.4%		14.3%	7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**			14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**			57.1%	28.6%		14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 1518

Section: DLEC

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 9/71

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		11.1%		88.9%			9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.9**	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%		8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**		22.2%		44.4%	33.3%		9

B2. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%		44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**				88.9%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				77.8%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			22.2%	55.6%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1518

CM-UY 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Section: DLEC | **Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.** | **Response Rate: 9/71**

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**			33.3%	55.6%	11.1%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 1654

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Garetz,Bruce A

Response Rate: 5/68

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**		40.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1490

CM-UY 1101: Numerical Methods for Chemistry

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.** | **Response Rate: 7/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**			71.4%		28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

CM-UY 2213: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 1659

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M

Response Rate: 13/71

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	30.8%	69.2%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	61.5%	38.5%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			23.1%	30.8%	46.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		16.7%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**		25.0%	41.7%	25.0%	8.3%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	38.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	30.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 2280

CM-UY 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M** | **Response Rate: 2/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%		50.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1240

CM-UY 3314: Biochemistry I

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.

Response Rate: 16/72

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		6.3%	18.8%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	12.5%	6.3%		25.0%	56.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		12.5%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	37.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		12.5%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**		14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	28.6%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 1242

CM-UY 4413: Polymer Science

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Ulman,Abraham** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1691

CE-GY 6013: Theory of Structural Analysis and Design

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua** | **Response Rate: 14/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	7.1%	42.9%	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	64.3%	14.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20088

CE-GY 6033: SELECTED TOPICS IN STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Morgan,Troy** | **Response Rate: 13/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	7.7%	7.7%	46.2%		30.8%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.7%	15.4%		23.1%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 6143: Steel Structures

Class Number: 20577

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lou,Jong J** | **Response Rate: 20/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%	95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.0%		10.0%	80.0%	5.0%	20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		5.0%	5.0%		90.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 6163: Finite Element Methods

Class Number: 20578

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Khair-Eldin,Omar** | **Response Rate: 8/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**		25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1911

CE-GY 7373: ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY & MICROBIOLOGY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Om,Joon** | **Response Rate: 13/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.7%	92.3%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	15.4%	7.7%		38.5%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		23.1%		30.8%	46.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.7%		15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		15.4%		46.2%	38.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%	30.8%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2005

CE-GY 7673: Environmental Impact Assessment

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen** | **Response Rate: 28/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	96.4%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	7.1%	3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	53.6%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	14.3%	60.7%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	3.6%	50.0%	32.1%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.6%		3.6%	32.1%	60.7%		28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.1%	3.6%	10.7%	25.0%	53.6%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	10.7%		7.1%	28.6%	53.6%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.1%		14.3%	46.4%	32.1%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	39.3%	46.4%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	21.4%	53.6%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	25.0%	39.3%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 7703: Solid Waste Management

Class Number: 20089

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Vasiliadis,Haralambos V.** | **Response Rate: 12/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20096

CE-GY 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan** | **Response Rate: 15/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%	93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	26.7%	73.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	6.7%		20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.7%		13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	**3.7**		20.0%	13.3%	40.0%	26.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			20.0%	53.3%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		20.0%		40.0%	33.3%	6.7%	15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20096

CE-GY 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Yanev,Bojidar** | **Response Rate: 15/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%	93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	26.7%	73.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	6.7%		20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.7%		13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	**3.7**		20.0%	13.3%	40.0%	26.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			20.0%	53.3%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		20.0%		40.0%	33.3%	6.7%	15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8243: Construction Modeling Techniques

Class Number: 1899

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Aslani,Pooyan** | **Response Rate: 25/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.0%	8.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		12.0%	8.0%	44.0%	36.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	4.2%	20.8%	20.8%	33.3%	20.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		12.0%	16.0%	40.0%	32.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		4.2%	8.3%	37.5%	50.0%		24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		16.0%	12.0%	44.0%	28.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	29.2%	33.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the concept of BIM and their associated database, using state-of-the-art design and management systems.	**4.2**		4.2%	8.3%	54.2%	33.3%		24
Q28	I can successfully develop a 3D model with all information in the model.	**4.3**			12.5%	45.8%	41.7%		24
Q29	Using the Building Information Model I can successfully extract the design Documents.	**4.3**			16.7%	37.5%	45.8%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	36.0%	32.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		4.0%	8.0%	44.0%	44.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	4.0%	20.0%	16.0%	36.0%	24.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8253: Project Management for Construction

Class Number: 1547

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rozenberg,Salvador Julius

Response Rate: 23/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.0%	87.0%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			8.7%	8.7%	82.6%		23

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	39.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	43.5%	39.1%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	21.7%	52.2%	4.3%	23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%		31.8%	54.5%	4.5%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	30.4%	47.8%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1469

CE-GY 8283: Risk Analysis

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.** | **Response Rate: 27/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			3.7%	48.1%	48.1%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	40.7%	48.1%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	33.3%	40.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.8%		34.6%	61.5%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		11.1%	3.7%	37.0%	48.1%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.4%	7.4%	14.8%	33.3%	37.0%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.7%	7.4%	40.7%	48.1%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	29.6%	59.3%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	29.6%	59.3%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	22.2%	59.3%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1690

CE-GY 8303: Information Systems in Project Management

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher** | **Response Rate: 22/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.7%	72.7%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	13.6%	18.2%	18.2%	31.8%	18.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	13.6%	50.0%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	54.5%	22.7%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	13.6%	13.6%	4.5%	13.6%	54.5%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	22.7%	22.7%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	22.7%	18.2%	22.7%	13.6%	22.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	22.7%	36.4%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	4.5%	27.3%	9.1%	31.8%	27.3%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	4.5%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	22.7%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1690

CE-GY 8303: Information Systems in Project Management

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Shen,Zhigang** | **Response Rate: 22/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.7%	72.7%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	13.6%	18.2%	18.2%	31.8%	18.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	13.6%	50.0%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	54.5%	22.7%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	13.6%	13.6%	4.5%	13.6%	54.5%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	22.7%	22.7%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	22.7%	18.2%	22.7%	13.6%	22.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	22.7%	36.4%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	4.5%	27.3%	9.1%	31.8%	27.3%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.1**	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	4.5%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	22.7%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20091

CE-GY 8333: Marketing for Construction Management and Engineering Services

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Mintzer,Lewis J** | **Response Rate: 29/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.4%	93.1%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%	96.6%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.4%	3.4%		17.2%	75.9%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	3.6%	10.7%	7.1%	10.7%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.6%	3.6%		35.7%	57.1%		28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.6%	10.7%		7.1%	78.6%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.7%	18.5%	7.4%	22.2%	48.1%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	7.1%		3.6%	32.1%	57.1%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		14.3%		17.9%	67.9%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	3.6%	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	64.3%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%		28.6%	57.1%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8343: Construction Site Safety

Class Number: 25149

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Amato,Peter** | **Response Rate: 26/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 25151

CE-GY 8373: CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Amicucci,Ralph D** | **Response Rate: 28/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-GY 8663: Advanced Foundation Design

Class Number: 20094

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Iskander,Magued G** | **Response Rate: 11/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	27.3%		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20092

CE-GY 8713: Construction and the Law

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Sciascia,Joel** | **Response Rate: 14/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.4%	78.6%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		28.6%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		21.4%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 1165

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 28/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	89.3%	3.6%	28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	67.9%	3.6%	28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	17.9%	50.0%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.6%		35.7%	60.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	3.6%	14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	39.3%		28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	55.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	10.7%	10.7%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.2**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	53.6%		28
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.6**	3.6%	3.6%		17.9%	75.0%		28
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.5**	3.6%	3.6%		28.6%	64.3%		28
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**3.6**	7.1%	17.9%	10.7%	32.1%	32.1%		28
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.4**		7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%	3.8%	26

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	28.6%	42.9%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.7%	11.1%	7.4%	29.6%	48.1%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%		28.6%	57.1%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	25.0%	46.4%	3.6%	28

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.5**	3.6%			39.3%	57.1%		28
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**	3.6%		7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**	3.6%		21.4%	39.3%	35.7%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2113: Statics

Class Number: 1167

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Mahmood,Tahsina

Response Rate: 18/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	72.2%	22.2%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	22.2%	5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	16.7%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.6%		38.9%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2113: Statics

Class Number: 1761

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Sidelev,Oleksiy V

Response Rate: 14/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		14.3%	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	71.4%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Class Number: 20073

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ghandehari,Masoud

Response Rate: 20/31

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.0%	85.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%	84.2%	5.3%	19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	50.0%	35.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	10.0%	5.0%	30.0%	45.0%	10.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	10.0%	25.0%	10.0%	40.0%	15.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	10.0%	25.0%	15.0%	40.0%	10.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.2**	30.0%	40.0%	15.0%	15.0%			20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	5.0%	25.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**3.9**	5.0%	15.0%		50.0%	30.0%		20
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**3.7**	5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		20
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**3.6**	5.0%	25.0%	5.0%	40.0%	25.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	10.0%	10.0%	25.0%	40.0%	15.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	30.0%	15.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.4**	25.0%	35.0%	20.0%	15.0%	5.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	15.0%	20.0%	5.0%	45.0%	15.0%		20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	50.0%	20.0%		20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.6**	10.0%	5.0%	20.0%	45.0%	20.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	10.0%		20.0%	55.0%	15.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 1634

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D** | **Response Rate: 19/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.1%	5.6%	83.3%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		5.6%		5.6%	88.9%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	5.3%			36.8%	57.9%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	68.4%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2343: Transportation Engineering

Class Number: 20075

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 25/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%	96.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		12.0%	8.0%	24.0%	56.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	36.0%	40.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.0%	4.0%	28.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	4.0%		8.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	40.0%	24.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	4.0%	28.0%	4.0%	44.0%	20.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1168

CE-UY 2504: Construction Modeling and Data Structures I

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Rowshanrad,Ashkan

Response Rate: 22/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.5%	85.7%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	13.6%		31.8%	4.5%	40.9%	9.1%	22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.5%	9.1%	45.5%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	72.7%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			4.8%	47.6%	47.6%		21
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 2513: CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS & METHODS

Class Number: 1661

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Shen,Zhigang** | **Response Rate: 16/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		12.5%		18.8%	68.8%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I learned to perform personal protective equipment (PPE) inspections on construction personnel.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q28	I can perform a proper concrete slump test at the job site.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q29	I can identify proper deployment of construction site safety equipment.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q30	I learned to read project specifications and ensure proper installation methods are employed that will meet prescribed quality standards and meet current building codes.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q31	I learned to execute project close-out procedures.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		12.5%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Class Number: 1722

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 15/23

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%	86.7%	6.7%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%		15

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	26.7%	33.3%		15

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			20.0%	46.7%	33.3%		15

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**			42.9%	42.9%	14.3%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**		7.1%	14.3%	57.1%	21.4%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Class Number: 3140

Section: BLEC

Response Rate: 13/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	30.8%	69.2%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	23.1%	76.9%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.9**	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**	30.8%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%			13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	15.4%	30.8%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	38.5%		15.4%	38.5%	7.7%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.9**	46.2%	30.8%	7.7%	15.4%			13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.4**	30.8%	23.1%	23.1%	23.1%			13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.2**	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%			13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.7**	15.4%	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%			13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.5**	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%				13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	7.7%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%			13

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.9**	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	30.8%			13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**2.8**	15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	23.1%			13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.4**	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	15.4%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3133: Structural Analysis

Class Number: 2174

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	14.3%	35.7%		28.6%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	**3.0**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		14
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	**4.0**		14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	**3.0**	7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	14
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	**4.2**		7.1%		57.1%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.1%		42.9%	21.4%	28.6%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3133: Structural Analysis

Class Number: 2175

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 23/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%	95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	91.3%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		17.4%	8.7%	39.1%	30.4%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	8.7%	34.8%	17.4%	21.7%	13.0%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	21.7%	26.1%	17.4%	17.4%	17.4%		23

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	13.0%	13.0%	8.7%	52.2%	13.0%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	13.0%	4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	30.4%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	**3.6**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	21.7%		23
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	**3.3**	8.7%	26.1%	4.3%	43.5%	17.4%		23
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	**4.0**		4.3%	17.4%	47.8%	30.4%		23
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	**3.0**	13.0%	13.0%	43.5%	17.4%	8.7%	4.3%	23
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	**3.5**	4.3%	21.7%	17.4%	30.4%	26.1%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	39.1%	26.1%		21.7%	8.7%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	39.1%	30.4%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	17.4%	8.7%	30.4%	17.4%	26.1%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	13.0%	13.0%	17.4%	34.8%	21.7%		23

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		8.7%	4.3%	43.5%	43.5%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.7**		13.0%	26.1%	34.8%	26.1%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		13.0%	4.3%	39.1%	43.5%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 1627

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen

Response Rate: 27/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		3.7%	18.5%	29.6%	48.1%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.4%		25.9%	66.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	7.4%	22.2%	14.8%	37.0%	18.5%		27

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		14.8%	14.8%	40.7%	29.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			3.7%	55.6%	40.7%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.2**		7.4%	3.7%	51.9%	37.0%		27
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.3**		3.7%	3.7%	55.6%	37.0%		27
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.0**		11.1%	3.7%	55.6%	29.6%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		14.8%	22.2%	40.7%	22.2%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		7.4%	11.1%	40.7%	40.7%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	44.4%	40.7%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		22.2%	7.4%	22.2%	48.1%		27

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**		11.1%	7.4%	59.3%	22.2%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**			3.7%	70.4%	25.9%		27
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		7.4%	7.4%	59.3%	25.9%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3162: Materials Engineering

Class Number: 20079

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 20/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	35.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	45.0%	35.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			15.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			20.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 2953

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 9/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	88.9%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	11.1%	44.4%		33.3%	11.1%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%		55.6%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	11.1%			55.6%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%		55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	11.1%	22.2%		33.3%	33.3%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 20082

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 7/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Class Number: 2177

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Ahmed,Mahmoud Abdelrahim

Response Rate: 11/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Class Number: 20596

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Rangarajan,Sriram

Response Rate: 11/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3243: WATER RESOURCE ENGINEERING

Class Number: 1349

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 26/31

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		8.0%		32.0%	60.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	4.0%	4.0%		12.0%	80.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I continued and extended fluid mechanics, with a focus on applications of hydraulic and hydrologic engineering.	**4.8**			8.7%		91.3%		23
Q28	I have developed the fundamental principles of surface and ground-water hydrology.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
Q29	I know how to analyze pipe flows, pipe networks, open channel flow with elementary design applications.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q30	I have explored water resources engineering professional challenges and ethical issues.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3303: Traffic Engineering

Class Number: 20083

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Roess,Roger P.

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			37.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand how driver, vehicle, highway, and control device characteristics affect traffic streams and traffic stream operations.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I know how traffic streams are quantified, and how to use traffic stream data to understand underlying traffic problems.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I am able to develop signal timing plans for intersections for both pre-timed and actuated signals.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I am able to develop coordination plans for signals along an arterial.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q31	I understand the importance of highway traffic safety as an over-riding professional obligation.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3313: Introduction to Transportation Systems

Class Number: 3141

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sanchez,Luis** | **Response Rate: 18/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			27.8%	27.8%	44.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.6%		38.9%	55.6%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.6%		11.1%	50.0%	33.3%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the fundamental conceptual elements of transportation systems.	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		18
Q28	I can describe the approach used to analyze and design transportation systems.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		18
Q29	I understand the context within which transportation systems operate and a characterization of their behavior.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			5.6%	61.1%	33.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.6%		38.9%	55.6%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**			33.3%	27.8%	38.9%		18
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		18
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3353: HISTORY OF NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT SYSTEM

Class Number: 1762

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Roess,Roger P.

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	96.4%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			3.6%	25.0%	60.7%	10.7%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	60.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			17.9%	25.0%	57.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.6%	17.9%	7.1%	39.3%	32.1%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			10.7%	35.7%	53.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3503: Cost Estimating

Class Number: 1171

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence

Response Rate: 25/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	72.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			4.0%	36.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.0%	8.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			4.0%	44.0%	52.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		4.0%	8.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.0%	12.0%	4.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can describe the processes of preparing conceptual, preliminary and detailed estimates.	**4.2**		4.0%	8.0%	48.0%	40.0%		25
Q28	I can interpret drawings and specifications in order to quantify material and labor requirements.	**4.2**		4.0%	8.0%	56.0%	32.0%		25
Q29	I can prepare a project estimate, including all direct and indirect costs, overhead and profit.	**4.0**		12.0%	8.0%	44.0%	36.0%		25
Q30	I am familiar with available computer-based estimating techniques and software.	**3.5**	8.0%	12.0%	16.0%	48.0%	16.0%		25
Q31	I can apply estimating skills to other areas of construction project management.	**4.1**			8.0%	72.0%	20.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	52.0%	16.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			4.0%	56.0%	40.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			12.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	36.0%		25

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.6**		8.0%	28.0%	56.0%	8.0%		25
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.3**			8.0%	56.0%	36.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**			16.0%	60.0%	24.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 3513: Construction Scheduling

Class Number: 1172

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Otruba,Robert

Response Rate: 19/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%	94.1%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.6%	16.7%	22.2%	50.0%	5.6%	18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can apply the Critical Path Method (CPM) to construction projects using both arrow and precedence diagram networks.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q28	I can estimate project activity durations.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
Q29	I can apply resources to a schedule and level those resources.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q30	I can apply activity constraints to a schedule.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q31	I can function in a construction environment with regards to schedule related issues.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2958

CE-UY 3533: CONSTRUCTION SITE LAYOUT & SURVEYING

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Prancl,Patrick J** | **Response Rate: 25/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		8.0%		8.0%	84.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		4.2%	20.8%	25.0%	50.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.0%	68.0%	4.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			24.0%	28.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			4.0%	32.0%	64.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				36.0%	64.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1626

CE-UY 4033: Introduction to Urban Infrastructure Systems Management

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan** | **Response Rate: 12/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%	81.8%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	18.2%		9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	9.1%		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	18.2%		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	10.0%		40.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand the key issues involved in planning, management, operations and maintenance of urban infrastructure systems, including transportation, water supply, power, communications and information systems.	**4.2**		16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q28	I understand the impact on the sustainable development of the urban environment from elements of engineering and technology, management, economics, finance, regulatory and public policy.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
Q29	Collect, integrate and share spatial data in urban infrastructure management using GIS.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	18.2%		9.1%		72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	18.2%		18.2%	9.1%	54.5%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**		8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1175

CE-UY 4092: Leadership, Business Principles, Policy and Ethics in Civil Engineering

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher** | **Response Rate: 14/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Class Number: 20084

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso

Response Rate: 20/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	10.0%	30.0%		25.0%	35.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	10.0%	25.0%	15.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	15.0%	45.0%	5.0%	25.0%	10.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	30.0%	15.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	10.0%	40.0%	15.0%	30.0%	5.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.5**	25.0%	20.0%	35.0%	15.0%	5.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.6**	25.0%	35.0%	5.0%	25.0%	10.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4513: Construction Project Administration

Class Number: 1176

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Pennella,Ronald J.

Response Rate: 22/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	31.8%	68.2%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	9.1%			22.7%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	19.0%	9.5%	14.3%	23.8%	28.6%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	13.6%	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	13.6%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	13.6%	22.7%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	27.3%	4.5%	13.6%	50.0%	4.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	45.5%	27.3%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I can examine the roles of the project participants in executing a construction project.	**3.8**	13.6%		9.1%	50.0%	27.3%		22
Q28	I understand the use of computer based project administration techniques.	**3.8**	13.6%	4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q29	I acquired knowledge focusing on delegating administrative duties and responsibilities.	**3.6**	13.6%		13.6%	54.5%	18.2%		22
Q30	I acquired knowledge of managing and coordinating the physical work and administrative control of project information and records.	**3.6**	13.6%		13.6%	54.5%	18.2%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	22.7%	18.2%	22.7%	31.8%	4.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	18.2%	9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	31.8%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	27.3%	13.6%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	4.5%	22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.4**	18.2%		27.3%	31.8%	22.7%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.5**	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	13.6%		27.3%	40.9%	18.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4523: Structural Building Systems

Class Number: 1313

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence

Response Rate: 11/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I understand how structural systems resist gravity and lateral loads.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q28	I understand the relationship of building codes and standards.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q29	I recognize the behavior of steel, concrete, masonry and wood structures.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q30	I can plan and execute the construction of steel, concrete, masonry and wood structures.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20086

CE-UY 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 16/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%	68.8%	12.5%	16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	43.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	6.3%		6.3%	31.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			18.8%	37.5%	43.8%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			43.8%	25.0%	31.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			25.0%	31.3%	43.8%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				56.3%	43.8%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**	6.3%	12.5%		31.3%	50.0%		16
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		16
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**			12.5%	56.3%	31.3%		16
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.3**			18.8%	37.5%	43.8%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**			31.3%	31.3%	37.5%		16
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**			18.8%	50.0%	31.3%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

CE-UY 4814: Civil Engineering Design

Class Number: 1314

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 22/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	86.4%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%	66.7%	4.8%	21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		13.6%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	54.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			36.4%	22.7%	40.9%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	9.1%	13.6%	4.5%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		4.8%	33.3%	14.3%	47.6%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have the ability to complete nearly all civil engineering design aspects of a civil project involving building structures. This includes preparation of a complete site development package through the preparation of a design report, site plans, and cost	**3.9**	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	50.0%	27.3%		22
Q28	I can prepare the structural engineering design and drawings for the building(s) for the project, including a complete design report, specifications, and a construction cost estimate for the structural work.	**4.1**		9.1%	13.6%	31.8%	36.4%	9.1%	22
Q29	I understand fundamental civil engineering concepts related to site grading, drainage, storm water management, and erosion and sediment control.	**4.1**		4.5%	13.6%	50.0%	31.8%		22
Q30	I can solve analysis and design problems related to the design of hydraulic structures, streets and pavements, structural engineering, and seismic design of several commonly used structural materials.	**3.9**		13.6%	18.2%	36.4%	31.8%		22
Q31	I learned the use of computer aided structural analysis and design software to properly prepare mathematical modes and conduct accurate analyses and designs of the engineered system(s).	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	4.5%	22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		27.3%	4.5%	31.8%	36.4%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		13.6%		31.8%	54.5%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.1%		13.6%	36.4%	40.9%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	4.5%		13.6%	50.0%	31.8%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.9**	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	22.7%	40.9%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR-GY 6113: Forecasting Urban Travel Demand

Class Number: 2827

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Ozbay,Kaan

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 1478

TR-GY 6223: Intelligent Transportation Systems and Their Applications

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Patel,Raman** | **Response Rate: 13/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			15.4%		84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			30.8%	7.7%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.7%		53.8%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		15.4%		69.2%	15.4%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%		61.5%	23.1%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2828

TR-GY 6333: TRANSPORTATION & TRAFFIC CONCEPTS, CHARACTERISTICS & STUDIES

Section: BKLN | **Primary Instructor: Talas,Mohamad** | **Response Rate: 9/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%	77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	11.1%			55.6%	33.3%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 20923

TR-GY 7033: MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Soffian,Gerard** | **Response Rate: 10/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

TR-GY 7133: Urban Public Transportation Systems

Class Number: 20158

Section: BKLN | **Primary Instructor: Bata,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 22/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	23.8%	76.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	94.7%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.5%		4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	4.5%			9.1%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	13.6%	54.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.5%		22.7%	13.6%	54.5%	4.5%	22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	4.5%		9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	4.5%			31.8%	63.6%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	4.5%			27.3%	68.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	4.5%			27.3%	68.2%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil and Urban Engineering

Class Number: 2825

TR-GY 7353: ADAPTIVE CONTROL, SIMULATION, AND SOFTWARE

Section: BKLN | **Primary Instructor: Xin,Wuping** | **Response Rate: 2/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1069

CS-GY 5303: INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur** | **Response Rate: 1/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 20213

CS-GY 5403: Data Structures and Algorithms

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Vaisman,Joseph** | **Response Rate: 15/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		86.7%	13.3%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	73.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%		26.7%	53.3%	6.7%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	73.3%	6.7%	15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	66.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.7%		13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	6.7%		13.3%	13.3%	66.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 1072

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur** | **Response Rate: 36/67**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%	97.1%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.1%	2.9%	35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	14.3%	5.7%	14.3%	25.7%	40.0%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	8.6%	5.7%	14.3%	31.4%	40.0%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	2.9%	2.9%	14.3%	34.3%	45.7%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.9%		17.1%	28.6%	51.4%		35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	5.7%	17.1%	20.0%	22.9%	34.3%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	17.1%	40.0%	37.1%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	5.7%	31.4%	14.3%	20.0%	28.6%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	5.7%	20.0%	11.4%	31.4%	31.4%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	8.6%	20.0%	17.1%	25.7%	28.6%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	8.6%	14.3%	17.1%	28.6%	31.4%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 3252

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 50/59

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.0%	90.0%	2.0%	50
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.0%	95.9%	2.0%	49

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	8.0%	8.0%	10.0%	20.0%	52.0%	2.0%	50
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	8.0%	4.0%	6.0%	46.0%	34.0%	2.0%	50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	34.0%	50.0%		50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.0%	4.0%	6.0%	20.0%	64.0%		50

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	14.0%	12.0%	12.0%	20.0%	40.0%	2.0%	50
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	14.0%	8.0%	8.0%	28.0%	42.0%		50

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	14.0%	14.0%	6.0%	22.0%	44.0%		50

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	12.0%	4.0%	18.0%	22.0%	42.0%	2.0%	50
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	16.0%	14.0%	10.0%	18.0%	42.0%		50
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	16.0%	8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	42.0%	2.0%	50

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 3283

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur** | **Response Rate: 2/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1076

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Aronov,Boris** | **Response Rate: 54/66**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	87.0%	1.9%	54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%	98.1%		54

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%	1.9%	31.5%	61.1%		54
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		3.7%	13.0%	24.1%	59.3%		54

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		5.6%	3.7%	42.6%	48.1%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.7%	1.9%	35.8%	56.6%		53

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		3.8%	9.4%	43.4%	43.4%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.7%	11.3%	11.3%	39.6%	32.1%		53

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.6%	18.5%	13.0%	25.9%	37.0%		54

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		54
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	1.9%	7.4%	13.0%	31.5%	46.3%		54
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		1.9%	5.6%	25.9%	66.7%		54

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 3271

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 29/60

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.6%	3.4%	29

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.9%		20.7%	72.4%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		6.9%	6.9%	24.1%	62.1%		29

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.9%	6.9%	20.7%	65.5%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.3%	10.3%	20.7%	58.6%		29

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	3.4%		10.3%	24.1%	62.1%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.9%	13.8%	6.9%	10.3%	62.1%		29

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.4%	10.3%		31.0%	55.2%		29

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	62.1%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	3.4%		10.3%	27.6%	58.6%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			13.8%	20.7%	65.5%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25429

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: I3 | **Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay** | **Response Rate: 40/51**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.5%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.5%	7.5%	15.0%	75.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.5%	7.5%	20.0%	67.5%	2.5%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		2.5%	10.0%	30.0%	55.0%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			2.5%	27.5%	70.0%		40

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		7.5%	5.0%	17.5%	70.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.5%	7.5%	17.5%	72.5%		40

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.5%		7.5%	25.0%	65.0%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.5%		7.5%	17.5%	70.0%	2.5%	40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.5%		10.0%	17.5%	67.5%	2.5%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.5%			25.0%	70.0%	2.5%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2291

CS-GY 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Davoodi,Pooya** | **Response Rate: 2/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1077

CS-GY 6043: Design and Analysis of Algorithms II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Chiang,Yi-jen** | **Response Rate: 26/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.8%		26.9%	69.2%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.8%	26.9%	69.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	73.1%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.5%	3.8%	30.8%	53.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.8%		30.8%	65.4%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			15.4%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.5%	7.7%	23.1%	57.7%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6063: Software Engineering I

Class Number: 1081

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.** **Response Rate: 19/62**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%	94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	88.9%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	10.5%		15.8%	5.3%	68.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	57.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	10.5%	57.9%		19

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	10.5%		21.1%		68.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	10.5%		15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	16.7%	50.0%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	11.1%	55.6%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1082

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis** | **Response Rate: 21/59**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%		57.1%	28.6%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	23.8%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		14.3%	9.5%	42.9%	33.3%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	47.6%	28.6%	4.8%	21

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		9.5%	14.3%	38.1%	38.1%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%	9.5%	38.1%	38.1%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	52.4%	23.8%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	38.1%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	28.6%	52.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Class Number: 3274

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Suel,Torsten** | **Response Rate: 53/64**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.9%	98.1%		53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.0%	96.1%	2.0%	51

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.8%	11.8%	78.4%	2.0%	51

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	5.7%	13.2%	7.5%	39.6%	34.0%		53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.9%	9.4%	9.4%	37.7%	41.5%		53

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	1.9%	3.8%		28.3%	66.0%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.9%		29.4%	66.7%		51

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.7%	7.5%	5.7%	30.2%	49.1%	1.9%	53

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.4%	73.6%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		1.9%	7.5%	9.4%	81.1%		53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	20.8%	71.7%		53

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Class Number: 25430

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Kok,Ahmet** | **Response Rate: 23/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.3%	95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.7%	91.3%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		27.3%	4.5%	22.7%	45.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		13.0%	30.4%	17.4%	39.1%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	17.4%	17.4%		30.4%	34.8%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		26.1%	4.3%	21.7%	47.8%		23

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		4.3%	8.7%	56.5%	30.4%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			9.1%	68.2%	22.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	30.4%	47.8%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		8.7%		21.7%	69.6%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Class Number: 1085

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Marano,Robert F** | **Response Rate: 34/69**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%	97.1%		34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	8.8%	2.9%	5.9%	17.6%	64.7%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	20.6%	26.5%	47.1%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	8.8%	11.8%	8.8%	44.1%	26.5%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	2.9%	2.9%	11.8%	32.4%	50.0%		34

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.9%	8.8%	5.9%	41.2%	38.2%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.9%	8.8%	14.7%	29.4%	41.2%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.9%	2.9%	5.9%	35.3%	50.0%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	11.8%	2.9%	8.8%	29.4%	47.1%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	2.9%	35.3%	50.0%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6133: Computer Architecture I

Class Number: 3234

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Chung,Ping-Tsai

Response Rate: 36/59

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%	97.2%		36

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	19.4%	5.6%	22.2%	13.9%	36.1%	2.8%	36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	19.4%	5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	36.1%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	41.7%	2.8%	36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%	13.9%	16.7%	44.4%	2.8%	36

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	19.4%	16.7%	8.3%	22.2%	33.3%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	13.9%	13.9%	8.3%	25.0%	38.9%		36

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	13.9%	19.4%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	22.2%	11.1%	19.4%	11.1%	36.1%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	19.4%	19.4%	16.7%	11.1%	33.3%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	19.4%	16.7%	38.9%		36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1088

CS-GY 6143: Computer Architecture II

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun** | **Response Rate: 14/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 1090

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Terrill,John** | **Response Rate: 39/49**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.6%	94.9%	2.6%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	2.6%			20.5%	76.9%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		2.6%	15.8%	31.6%	50.0%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	2.6%	7.7%	12.8%	28.2%	46.2%	2.6%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.3%	28.9%	65.8%		38

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	35.9%	56.4%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		38

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			13.2%	42.1%	44.7%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.6%	5.1%	2.6%	38.5%	48.7%	2.6%	39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	2.6%		5.1%	38.5%	53.8%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			10.3%	35.9%	53.8%		39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2570

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel** | **Response Rate: 42/70**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.6%	2.4%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.3%	90.2%	2.4%	41

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	31.0%	54.8%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	2.4%	9.5%	14.3%	35.7%	38.1%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	26.2%	26.2%	11.9%	19.0%	16.7%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	7.1%	23.8%	28.6%	33.3%	2.4%	42

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	35.7%	35.7%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.3%	7.3%	12.2%	41.5%	31.7%		41

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	11.9%	40.5%	23.8%	2.4%	42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	2.4%	11.9%	9.5%	50.0%	26.2%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	7.1%	11.9%	14.3%	26.2%	38.1%	2.4%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	7.1%	9.5%	11.9%	35.7%	33.3%	2.4%	42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 1724

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 2/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 2486

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 2/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 21464

CS-GY 6253: Distributed Operating Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Rosenfeld,Kurt** | **Response Rate: 35/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.9%	94.3%	2.9%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.1%	93.9%		33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.9%	14.3%	82.9%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.0%	93.9%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	14.3%	17.1%	25.7%	11.4%	28.6%	2.9%	35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		5.7%	25.7%	17.1%	45.7%	5.7%	35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.9%			28.6%	68.6%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	5.7%		5.7%	11.4%	74.3%	2.9%	35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.9%	8.6%	5.7%	22.9%	60.0%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.9%			17.1%	80.0%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	2.9%	2.9%		20.0%	74.3%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	2.9%		2.9%	11.4%	82.9%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1092

CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Viega,John Timothy

Response Rate: 36/48

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%	97.2%		36

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	2.8%	5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	50.0%	2.8%	36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	8.3%	13.9%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	11.1%	30.6%	11.1%	22.2%	25.0%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	19.4%	22.2%	5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	2.8%	36

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	8.3%	16.7%	13.9%	33.3%	27.8%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	25.0%	16.7%	2.8%	36

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	38.9%	19.4%	8.3%	16.7%	13.9%	2.8%	36

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	8.3%	11.1%	13.9%	41.7%	25.0%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.8**	33.3%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	22.2%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	30.6%	25.0%		36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6373: Programming Languages

Class Number: 1718

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 35/69

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%	97.1%		35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.9%	5.7%	2.9%	37.1%	51.4%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	8.6%	8.6%	11.4%	34.3%	37.1%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		5.7%	11.4%	20.0%	62.9%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	2.9%	5.7%	8.6%	37.1%	45.7%		35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	8.8%	38.2%	32.4%	2.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.9%	11.4%	5.7%	25.7%	54.3%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.7%	2.9%	8.6%	45.7%	37.1%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	8.6%	2.9%	8.6%	51.4%	28.6%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	5.7%	8.6%	2.9%	34.3%	45.7%	2.9%	35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	5.7%	11.4%	5.7%	34.3%	40.0%	2.9%	35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6413: Compiler Design and Construction

Class Number: 20214

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Siegfried,Robert** | **Response Rate: 55/65**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.8%	98.2%		55
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%	96.3%	1.9%	54

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		1.8%	10.9%	29.1%	56.4%	1.8%	55
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.6%	1.8%	20.0%	18.2%	52.7%	3.6%	55

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	5.5%	9.1%	7.3%	45.5%	32.7%		55
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	26.4%	64.2%	1.9%	53

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	5.5%	1.8%	5.5%	36.4%	49.1%	1.8%	55
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.5%	3.6%	9.1%	29.1%	50.9%	1.8%	55

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	7.3%	3.6%	9.1%	14.5%	63.6%	1.8%	55

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	29.1%	49.1%	3.6%	55
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		7.3%	5.5%	23.6%	61.8%	1.8%	55
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		10.9%	9.1%	21.8%	56.4%	1.8%	55

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Class Number: 1093

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 21/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.5%	85.7%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		14.3%	19.0%	38.1%	28.6%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				38.1%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	52.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6613: Artificial Intelligence I

Class Number: 1095

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming

Response Rate: 53/61

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.9%	98.1%		53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%	96.2%		53

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		9.4%	5.7%	32.1%	52.8%		53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		1.9%	11.3%	26.4%	58.5%	1.9%	53

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.7%	3.8%	24.5%	66.0%		53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.7%	1.9%	9.4%	18.9%	64.2%		53

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	1.9%	3.8%	7.5%	43.4%	43.4%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.8%	5.7%	32.1%	56.6%	1.9%	53

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	1.9%	15.1%	13.2%	20.8%	49.1%		53

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		1.9%	13.2%	34.0%	50.9%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	1.9%	3.8%	13.2%	26.4%	52.8%	1.9%	53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.8%	13.2%	11.3%	20.8%	49.1%	1.9%	53

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1096

CS-GY 6643: Computer Vision and Scene Analysis

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming** | **Response Rate: 39/42**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%	94.9%	2.6%	39

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.6%	5.1%	5.1%	17.9%	69.2%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	2.6%	2.6%	5.1%	15.4%	71.8%	2.6%	39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	12.8%	20.5%	61.5%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.1%	5.1%	12.8%	23.1%	53.8%		39

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		2.6%	10.3%	23.1%	61.5%	2.6%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	10.3%	23.1%	61.5%		39

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	2.6%	5.1%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.1%	25.6%	66.7%	2.6%	39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			7.7%	33.3%	56.4%	2.6%	39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.7%	25.6%	66.7%		39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 20625

CS-GY 6673: Neural Network Computing

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming** | **Response Rate: 17/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.9%		11.8%	17.6%	64.7%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		5.9%	82.4%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	6.3%	6.3%			87.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	6.3%		6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.9%	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	5.9%		5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6753: Theory of Computation

Class Number: 1097

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hellerstein,Lisa

Response Rate: 12/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	8.3%				91.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 1098

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin** | **Response Rate: 65/76**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.5%	98.5%		65
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.2%	65

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			1.5%	10.8%	87.7%		65
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.2%	29.2%	64.6%		65

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.7%	3.1%	43.1%	46.2%		65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.2%	1.5%	32.3%	60.0%		65

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	23.1%	70.8%		65
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	1.6%	6.3%	23.4%	21.9%	43.8%	3.1%	64

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.6%	4.6%	41.5%	49.2%		65

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		1.5%	1.5%	30.8%	66.2%		65
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.2%	15.4%	75.4%		65
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			3.1%	32.3%	64.6%		65

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 1725

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Yan,Lok K** | **Response Rate: 1/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 20746

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B** | **Response Rate: 29/58**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.9%	93.1%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.6%	3.4%	29

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			10.3%	37.9%	51.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	6.9%		13.8%	41.4%	37.9%		29

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	3.4%	41.4%	41.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		3.4%	6.9%	51.7%	37.9%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.9%	3.4%	10.3%	48.3%	31.0%		29

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		3.4%	6.9%	51.7%	37.9%		29

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	3.4%	13.8%	17.2%	24.1%	41.4%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	27.6%	55.2%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2928

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: O'Brien,Keith

Response Rate: 1/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 2955

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 4/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			4
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.5**	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 21519

Section: INT3

Primary Instructor: O'Brien,Keith

Response Rate: 3/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 1100

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A** | **Response Rate: 61/66**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.6%	98.4%		61
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.7%	96.7%	1.7%	60

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	18.0%	77.0%		61
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	1.6%		8.2%	11.5%	78.7%		61

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	1.6%	13.1%	8.2%	24.6%	52.5%		61
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		1.7%		11.7%	86.7%		60

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	1.6%	1.6%	6.6%	18.0%	72.1%		61
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.3%	21.3%	75.4%		61

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.3%	8.2%	3.3%	19.7%	65.6%		61

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.3%	1.6%	3.3%	24.6%	67.2%		61
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	1.6%		3.3%	11.5%	83.6%		61
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.3%	4.9%	3.3%	13.1%	75.4%		61

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 2567

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 37/64

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.7%	97.3%		37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		2.7%	8.1%	35.1%	54.1%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	8.1%	21.6%	64.9%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		16.2%	8.1%	43.2%	32.4%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.4%	10.8%	8.1%	16.2%	56.8%	2.7%	37

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		2.7%	8.1%	32.4%	56.8%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.4%		8.1%	35.1%	51.4%		37

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	37.8%	48.6%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.7%	5.4%	18.9%	21.6%	51.4%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.8%	2.7%	18.9%	67.6%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	2.7%	5.4%	2.7%	35.1%	54.1%		37

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 3235

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 44/65

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	92.9%		42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		2.3%	4.5%	38.6%	54.5%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			11.4%	31.8%	56.8%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	2.3%	11.4%	4.5%	40.9%	40.9%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.0%	2.3%	23.3%	67.4%		43

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		2.3%	7.0%	37.2%	53.5%		43
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	59.1%		44

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.1%	6.8%	38.6%	45.5%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.3%		47.7%	50.0%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		2.3%	11.4%	22.7%	63.6%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		6.8%	4.5%	31.8%	56.8%		44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 2929

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 1/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6903: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 3248

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni** | **Response Rate: 31/43**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.9%	87.1%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.8%	86.2%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		12.9%	9.7%	25.8%	51.6%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%	13.8%	20.7%	51.7%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	3.2%	6.5%	16.1%	25.8%	48.4%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.7%	10.0%	6.7%	40.0%	36.7%		30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	3.2%	22.6%	16.1%	29.0%	29.0%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	9.7%	22.6%	12.9%	22.6%	29.0%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.7%	16.1%	22.6%	25.8%	25.8%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	9.7%	3.2%	25.8%	35.5%	25.8%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	19.4%	6.5%	16.1%	25.8%	32.3%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	16.1%	12.9%	9.7%	35.5%	25.8%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6903: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 1717

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 2/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 6923: Machine Learning

Class Number: 19939

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Wang,Huan** | **Response Rate: 30/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.7%	3.3%	30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.7%	16.7%	76.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	80.0%	3.3%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	83.3%	3.3%	30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.3%	30.0%	66.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.3%	10.0%	20.0%	63.3%	3.3%	30

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.3%		46.7%	50.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1466

CS-GY 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bickford,Jeffrey** | **Response Rate: 26/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			4.0%	4.0%	92.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 25192

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Kim,Kevin** | **Response Rate: 24/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.2%	91.7%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%	91.7%	4.2%	24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	16.7%	33.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			45.8%	25.0%	29.2%		24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	25.0%	41.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	20.8%	29.2%	33.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.2%	20.8%	29.2%	45.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		4.2%	16.7%	29.2%	50.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		4.2%	16.7%	37.5%	41.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		4.2%	8.3%	41.7%	45.8%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1105

CS-GY 9053: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Langel,Brian** | **Response Rate: 33/48**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.0%	3.0%	33

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		6.1%	3.0%	15.2%	75.8%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.1%	27.3%	66.7%		33

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.1%	93.9%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			6.1%	12.1%	81.8%		33

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	71.9%		32

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.1%	90.9%	3.0%	33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				3.0%	93.9%	3.0%	33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2930

CS-GY 9093: Biometrics

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Sutcu,Yagiz

Response Rate: 1/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2297

CS-GY 9163: Application Security

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bentz,Matthew** | **Response Rate: 15/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	6.7%	20.0%		33.3%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		13.3%		26.7%	60.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1860

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Pradhan,Shekhar S** **Response Rate: 9/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%		55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 2298

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Freire,Juliana

Response Rate: 23/48

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	95.7%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		13.0%	13.0%	8.7%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			8.7%	17.4%	73.9%		23

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.3%	8.7%		21.7%	65.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		8.7%		17.4%	73.9%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			8.7%	17.4%	73.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		8.7%	4.3%	17.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2361

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico** | **Response Rate: 24/46**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.5%	4.5%	22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.2%		12.5%	20.8%	62.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	4.2%		12.5%	12.5%	70.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		4.2%	12.5%	37.5%	45.8%		24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	4.2%		12.5%	20.8%	62.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.2%		29.2%	16.7%	50.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	4.2%		8.3%	29.2%	58.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.2%		12.5%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	62.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	58.3%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2658

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Abe,Kaho** | **Response Rate: 5/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2659

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Heron,Alexandra

Response Rate: 12/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2659

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Lucas** | **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 3295

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Sahu,Sambit

Response Rate: 46/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%	73.3%	4.4%	45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	46

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	6.7%	11.1%	8.9%	31.1%	42.2%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	22.2%	6.7%	8.9%	22.2%	37.8%	2.2%	45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	18.2%	11.4%	9.1%	20.5%	38.6%	2.3%	44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	10.9%	2.2%	13.0%	19.6%	50.0%	4.3%	46

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	15.2%	10.9%	8.7%	21.7%	43.5%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	19.6%	4.3%	8.7%	28.3%	39.1%		46

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	17.8%	48.9%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	8.7%		6.5%	26.1%	58.7%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	19.6%	10.9%	6.5%	23.9%	39.1%		46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	13.0%	2.2%	10.9%	10.9%	63.0%		46

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 19938

Section: G | **Primary Instructor: Sahu,Sambit** | **Response Rate: 14/36**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	92.9%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%		50.0%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 21438

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: H | **Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin** | **Response Rate: 6/6**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 2959

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 1/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25289

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: J | **Primary Instructor: Brendel,Eric** | **Response Rate: 18/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	11.1%		5.6%	5.6%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.6%	5.6%		22.2%	66.7%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.1%		38.9%	50.0%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		11.1%		16.7%	72.2%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	11.1%			16.7%	72.2%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.6%	5.6%		22.2%	66.7%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25349

CS-GY 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Section: K | **Primary Instructor: McIntosh,Suzanne** | **Response Rate: 37/41**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.7%	94.6%	2.7%	37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**	2.7%			2.7%	94.6%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	2.7%			5.4%	91.9%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**	2.7%			10.8%	86.5%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**	2.7%				97.3%		37

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**	2.7%			8.1%	89.2%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**	2.8%		2.8%	8.3%	86.1%		36

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**	2.7%			8.1%	89.2%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	2.7%			5.4%	91.9%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	2.7%			5.4%	91.9%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**	2.7%			2.7%	94.6%		37

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1043

CS-UY 394X: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Kadiu,Ardis** | **Response Rate: 20/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	94.7%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	15.0%	20.0%		30.0%	35.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	10.0%	15.0%		40.0%	35.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.2**	55.0%	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	15.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	25.0%		30.0%	15.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	30.0%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	20.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	10.0%	35.0%	10.0%	25.0%	20.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	15.0%	20.0%	10.0%	25.0%	30.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	25.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	25.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	20.0%	20.0%	5.0%	25.0%	30.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2018

CS-UY 394X: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Heron,Alexandra** | **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2018

CS-UY 394X: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Lucas** | **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2111

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Kletenik,Devorah** | **Response Rate: 56/77**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	90.9%		55
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	88.9%		54

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.4%	5.4%	1.8%	25.0%	60.7%	1.8%	56
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	5.4%	3.6%	7.1%	23.2%	60.7%		56

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	8.9%	14.3%	17.9%	42.9%	16.1%		56
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	7.1%	10.7%	14.3%	42.9%	25.0%		56

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	14.3%	8.9%	12.5%	46.4%	17.9%		56
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	16.1%	16.1%	26.8%	25.0%	16.1%		56

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		56
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**3.9**	5.4%	5.4%	10.7%	50.0%	28.6%		56
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.9**	7.1%	3.6%	12.5%	46.4%	30.4%		56
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	37.5%	37.5%		56

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	12.7%	12.7%	20.0%	40.0%	14.5%		55

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	8.9%	44.6%	32.1%		56
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	8.9%		14.3%	32.1%	42.9%	1.8%	56
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	8.9%	10.7%	10.7%	46.4%	23.2%		56

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.8**	7.1%	1.8%	17.9%	46.4%	23.2%	3.6%	56
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**	7.1%	5.4%	12.5%	57.1%	16.1%	1.8%	56
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	8.9%	3.6%	25.0%	46.4%	16.1%		56
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	8.9%	3.6%	17.9%	48.2%	19.6%	1.8%	56

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 2112

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Evan** | **Response Rate: 50/55**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.0%	96.0%	2.0%	50
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.2%	91.8%		49

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	4.0%	2.0%	16.0%	28.0%	44.0%	6.0%	50
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	12.0%	14.0%	30.0%	24.0%	14.0%	6.0%	50

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	12.0%	30.0%	14.0%	34.0%	8.0%	2.0%	50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.0%	10.0%	20.0%	28.0%	32.0%	6.0%	50

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	12.0%	10.0%	20.0%	42.0%	14.0%	2.0%	50
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	2.0%	18.0%	24.0%	26.0%	26.0%	4.0%	50

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**4.0**	6.1%	2.0%	14.3%	36.7%	38.8%	2.0%	49
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**3.9**	6.1%	8.2%	8.2%	36.7%	34.7%	6.1%	49
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.8**	6.1%	10.2%	10.2%	40.8%	28.6%	4.1%	49
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**3.9**	6.1%	8.2%	14.3%	26.5%	42.9%	2.0%	49

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	10.0%	14.0%	14.0%	32.0%	28.0%	2.0%	50

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	4.0%	8.0%	14.0%	44.0%	28.0%	2.0%	50
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	10.0%	24.0%	20.0%	18.0%	24.0%	4.0%	50
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	10.0%	12.0%	16.0%	22.0%	36.0%	4.0%	50

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.7**	10.2%	2.0%	20.4%	42.9%	24.5%		49
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.8**	8.2%	2.0%	12.2%	53.1%	24.5%		49
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**	10.2%	6.1%	40.8%	26.5%	14.3%	2.0%	49
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.6**	10.2%	8.2%	14.3%	44.9%	20.4%	2.0%	49

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2113

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: CLEC | **Primary Instructor: Kletenik,Devorah** | **Response Rate: 42/58**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.5%	88.1%	2.4%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.3%	87.8%	4.9%	41

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		2.4%	2.4%	38.1%	54.8%	2.4%	42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			19.0%	23.8%	54.8%	2.4%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		31.0%	26.2%	31.0%	9.5%	2.4%	42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	2.4%	11.9%	21.4%	33.3%	28.6%	2.4%	42

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	4.8%	19.0%		50.0%	23.8%	2.4%	42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	2.4%	21.4%	31.0%	26.2%	16.7%	2.4%	42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	31.0%	45.2%	4.8%	42
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**4.2**		4.8%	4.8%	50.0%	35.7%	4.8%	42
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.9**	7.1%	2.4%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%	4.8%	42
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.2**			19.0%	35.7%	35.7%	9.5%	42

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.5%	9.5%	16.7%	33.3%	28.6%	2.4%	42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	16.7%	33.3%	38.1%	2.4%	42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	2.4%	7.1%	11.9%	33.3%	40.5%	4.8%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	4.8%	9.5%	31.0%	26.2%	26.2%	2.4%	42

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.8**	2.4%	4.8%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	2.4%	4.8%	16.7%	38.1%	31.0%	7.1%	42
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	4.8%	2.4%	35.7%	38.1%	11.9%	7.1%	42
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.6**	2.4%	9.5%	19.0%	50.0%	11.9%	7.1%	42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 24176

CS-UY 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Section: DLEC | **Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Evan** | **Response Rate: 23/32**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.0%	87.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	91.3%	4.3%	23

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.3%		43.5%	52.2%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	8.7%	4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	39.1%	4.3%	23

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	8.7%	34.8%	17.4%	30.4%	8.7%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	8.7%	43.5%	26.1%		23

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	13.0%	21.7%	4.3%	34.8%	26.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	13.0%	17.4%	17.4%	26.1%	26.1%		23

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were provided with a basic competency in computer programming.	**4.2**	4.3%		4.3%	52.2%	39.1%		23
Q28	You now have the ability to solve problems by writing computer programs.	**4.2**	4.3%		4.3%	52.2%	39.1%		23
Q29	You have an introductory knowledge of object-oriented programming.	**3.9**	4.3%		26.1%	39.1%	30.4%		23
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.2**	4.3%		8.7%	43.5%	43.5%		23

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	8.7%	13.0%	17.4%	43.5%	17.4%		23

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	34.8%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	17.4%	13.0%	21.7%	30.4%	17.4%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	17.4%	39.1%		23

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	52.2%	30.4%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	47.8%	26.1%		23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%	13.0%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	43.5%	21.7%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 1045

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 42/57

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.2%	4.8%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	92.9%	2.4%	42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	2.4%		4.8%	9.5%	83.3%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.4%	14.3%	14.3%	66.7%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.8%	7.1%	4.8%	28.6%	54.8%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	7.1%	9.5%	11.9%	26.2%	45.2%		42

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	7.1%	4.8%	2.4%	16.7%	69.0%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	16.7%	50.0%		42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taugh the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.6**	2.4%	2.4%	4.9%	17.1%	73.2%		41
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.4%	7.3%	26.8%	56.1%	4.9%	41
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.5**		7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	63.4%		41

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.5%	14.3%	11.9%	28.6%	33.3%	2.4%	42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.4%	4.8%	23.8%	64.3%	4.8%	42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.4%	4.8%	4.8%	16.7%	71.4%		42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.4%	16.7%	9.5%	66.7%	2.4%	42

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		7.3%	2.4%	34.1%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		7.3%	2.4%	34.1%	53.7%	2.4%	41
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**	2.4%	9.8%	19.5%	19.5%	43.9%	4.9%	41
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		7.3%	7.3%	26.8%	53.7%	4.9%	41

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 1046

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 36/56

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	75.0%	2.8%	36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		36

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			8.3%	22.2%	69.4%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	27.8%	38.9%	5.6%	36

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	You were taugh the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.7**				25.7%	74.3%		35
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	2.9%		2.9%	22.9%	68.6%	2.9%	35
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.5**	2.9%		5.7%	25.7%	65.7%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.6%	8.3%	5.6%	47.2%	33.3%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.8%	2.8%	27.8%	66.7%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				13.9%	86.1%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		2.8%	2.8%	38.9%	55.6%		36

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		2.9%	14.3%	34.3%	42.9%	5.7%	35
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**	2.9%		5.7%	40.0%	48.6%	2.9%	35
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	2.9%	8.6%	34.3%	25.7%	25.7%	2.9%	35
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	2.9%	5.7%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	5.7%	35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 1319

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 33/87

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.1%		9.4%	87.5%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		3.3%	20.0%	33.3%	43.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		9.1%		30.3%	60.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		9.4%	6.3%	37.5%	46.9%		32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	3.0%	9.1%	3.0%	36.4%	48.5%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	3.1%	6.3%	25.0%	34.4%	28.1%	3.1%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.2**		6.5%	3.2%	58.1%	32.3%		31
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.4**		6.5%	6.5%	32.3%	54.8%		31
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.2**	3.3%	6.7%		43.3%	46.7%		30
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.4**		3.2%		51.6%	45.2%		31
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.9**	3.2%	6.5%	9.7%	54.8%	25.8%		31

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	12.1%	12.1%		48.5%	24.2%	3.0%	33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			15.2%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	3.0%	15.2%	12.1%	27.3%	42.4%		33

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.2**		6.5%	3.2%	51.6%	38.7%		31
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.1**		9.7%	3.2%	54.8%	32.3%		31
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			12.9%	48.4%	38.7%		31
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		6.5%	9.7%	51.6%	29.0%	3.2%	31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			9.7%	58.1%	29.0%	3.2%	31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1512

CS-UY 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming** | **Response Rate: 30/88**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.7%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.9%	93.1%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.1%	10.7%	32.1%	50.0%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.3%	10.0%	30.0%	56.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.3%	6.7%	43.3%	46.7%		30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		3.3%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%	3.3%	30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	40.0%	26.7%	6.7%	30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**4.3**		7.1%		42.9%	46.4%	3.6%	28
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.2**		14.3%	3.6%	28.6%	50.0%	3.6%	28
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	32.1%	53.6%	3.6%	28
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.2**		14.3%	3.6%	28.6%	50.0%	3.6%	28
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	39.3%	39.3%	3.6%	28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.7%	10.0%	3.3%	36.7%	33.3%	10.0%	30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.9%		41.4%	48.3%	3.4%	29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		6.7%	3.3%	23.3%	63.3%	3.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	16.7%	10.0%	10.0%	23.3%	36.7%	3.3%	30

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.3**		10.7%		32.1%	53.6%	3.6%	28
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.3**		10.7%	3.6%	32.1%	50.0%	3.6%	28
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		14.3%	3.6%	21.4%	57.1%	3.6%	28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		3.6%	10.7%	28.6%	53.6%	3.6%	28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		7.1%	10.7%	21.4%	57.1%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1539

CS-UY 1213: Introduction to Programming with Java

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Santiago, Jonathan** | **Response Rate: 12/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	91.7%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			16.7%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			8.3%	66.7%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 1047

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M** | **Response Rate: 41/55**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.9%	95.1%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.5%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.4%		19.5%	78.0%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.4%	7.3%	9.8%	80.5%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.9%	4.9%	29.3%	61.0%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.9%	31.7%	63.4%		41

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	2.4%	2.4%		34.1%	61.0%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	9.8%	12.2%	17.1%	34.1%	26.8%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		39
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.5**			2.6%	44.7%	52.6%		38
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		39
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.6**		2.6%	2.6%	28.2%	66.7%		39

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.4%	7.3%	4.9%	41.5%	43.9%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.4%	2.4%		26.8%	68.3%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.4%	4.9%	29.3%	61.0%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.4%		2.4%	22.0%	73.2%		41

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.3**		2.6%	10.3%	43.6%	43.6%		39
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.5**			7.7%	35.9%	56.4%		39
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			10.3%	28.2%	61.5%		39
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			5.1%	33.3%	61.5%		39
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		2.6%	5.1%	28.2%	64.1%		39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 1048

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 39/53

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.4%	2.6%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		38

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.6%		33.3%	10.3%	53.8%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	30.8%	20.5%	2.6%	38.5%	7.7%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			12.8%	28.2%	59.0%		39

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.6%		7.7%	53.8%	35.9%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		7.7%	10.3%	48.7%	33.3%		39

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.3**		2.6%	5.1%	53.8%	38.5%		39
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.6**				43.6%	56.4%		39
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.5**		2.6%	2.6%	38.5%	56.4%		39
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.5**	2.6%		2.6%	35.9%	59.0%		39

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.6%		10.3%	56.4%	30.8%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.6%	2.6%	35.9%	59.0%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	2.6%	5.1%	2.6%	43.6%	46.2%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			10.3%	25.6%	64.1%		39

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.3**		2.6%	2.6%	56.4%	38.5%		39
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.2**			12.8%	51.3%	35.9%		39
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		2.6%	23.1%	30.8%	41.0%	2.6%	39
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			15.4%	48.7%	35.9%		39
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**			17.9%	43.6%	35.9%	2.6%	39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Class Number: 1049

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 46/75

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.7%	14.0%	81.4%		43
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.5%	10.0%	15.0%	72.5%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			7.0%	32.6%	60.5%		43
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	2.3%	2.3%	4.7%	23.3%	67.4%		43

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			4.7%	39.5%	55.8%		43
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.0%	16.3%	9.3%	20.9%	41.9%	4.7%	43

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understanding binary and hexadecimal number systems.	**4.8**			2.3%	15.9%	81.8%		44
Q28	Ability to design and analyze combinational circuits by using switching algebra and Karnaugh maps.	**4.4**		4.5%	2.3%	40.9%	52.3%		44
Q29	Understanding sequential circuits and their analysis.	**4.3**		2.3%	11.4%	36.4%	50.0%		44
Q30	Ability to use the finite-state machine technique to design and analyze digital systems	**4.1**		4.5%	18.2%	36.4%	40.9%		44
Q31	Ability to use programmable logic components and to analyze circuits with programmable components.	**4.2**		6.8%	11.4%	40.9%	40.9%		44

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.3%	7.0%	11.6%	27.9%	51.2%		43

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.0%	9.3%	25.6%	58.1%		43
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			4.7%	23.3%	72.1%		43
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	2.3%	4.7%	11.6%	23.3%	58.1%		43

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.3**	2.3%	4.5%	11.4%	22.7%	59.1%		44
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		4.5%	15.9%	27.3%	52.3%		44
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		4.5%	13.6%	25.0%	56.8%		44
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			13.6%	27.3%	59.1%		44
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		2.3%	13.6%	25.0%	59.1%		44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1050

CS-UY 2214: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND ORGANIZATION

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun** | **Response Rate: 46/63**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.8%	2.2%	46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.8%	2.2%	46

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**			2.2%		97.8%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.2%	10.9%	10.9%	76.1%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		2.2%	2.2%	21.7%	73.9%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.2%	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	63.0%		46

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	17.4%	76.1%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.3%	6.5%	8.7%	21.7%	56.5%	2.2%	46

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Ability to design and analyze computer architecture.	**4.2**	4.8%	2.4%	7.1%	35.7%	47.6%	2.4%	42
Q28	Ability to design and analyze an unpipelined central processing unit.	**4.3**	4.8%		9.5%	33.3%	50.0%	2.4%	42
Q29	Understanding measuring computer performance.	**4.3**	4.8%		7.1%	33.3%	52.4%	2.4%	42
Q30	Understanding pipelined central processing units.	**4.2**	4.8%		9.5%	35.7%	47.6%	2.4%	42
Q31	Understanding a computer memory hierarchy.	**4.2**	4.8%		9.5%	38.1%	45.2%	2.4%	42

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	2.2%	10.9%	21.7%	17.4%	45.7%	2.2%	46

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.2%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%	2.2%	46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	15.2%	76.1%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	15.2%	17.4%	60.9%	2.2%	46

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.3**	2.4%		9.5%	38.1%	47.6%	2.4%	42
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	2.4%	2.4%	7.1%	38.1%	47.6%	2.4%	42
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	4.8%		21.4%	33.3%	38.1%	2.4%	42
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	2.4%		11.9%	42.9%	40.5%	2.4%	42
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**	4.8%		9.5%	38.1%	45.2%	2.4%	42
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	4.8%	2.4%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%	2.4%	42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 3083: Introduction to Databases

Class Number: 1040

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis** | **Response Rate: 34/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.1%	2.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.0%	3.0%	33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.6%	76.5%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				32.4%	67.6%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.7%	85.3%		34

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		5.9%		26.5%	67.6%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		8.8%		29.4%	58.8%	2.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				44.1%	55.9%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 2137

CS-UY 3113: INTRO TO GAME PROGRAMMING

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Safrin,Ivan** | **Response Rate: 24/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	91.3%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	75.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	4.2%	25.0%	8.3%	37.5%	25.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.2%		16.7%	20.8%	58.3%		24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		4.2%		54.2%	41.7%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.2%		29.2%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.3%	4.2%	20.8%	33.3%	33.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	79.2%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	75.0%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1057

CS-UY 3224: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTM

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 53/66

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.9%	94.3%	3.8%	53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%	92.5%	3.8%	53

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.8%		3.8%	41.5%	49.1%	1.9%	53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	5.7%	3.8%	20.8%	32.1%	34.0%	3.8%	53

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	13.5%	13.5%	36.5%	23.1%	9.6%	3.8%	52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	7.5%	7.5%	26.4%	30.2%	20.8%	7.5%	53

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	9.4%	7.5%	9.4%	41.5%	30.2%	1.9%	53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.5%	5.7%	34.0%	26.4%	24.5%	1.9%	53

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	9.4%	26.4%	18.9%	37.7%	5.7%	1.9%	53

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.5%	5.7%	13.2%	41.5%	30.2%	1.9%	53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	9.4%	9.4%	5.7%	32.1%	41.5%	1.9%	53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	9.4%	5.7%	13.2%	34.0%	35.8%	1.9%	53

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1895

CS-UY 3393: UNIX System Programming

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sterling,John** | **Response Rate: 18/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	5.6%		5.6%	5.6%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 3923: COMPUTER SECURITY

Class Number: 1042

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin** | **Response Rate: 65/76**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.5%	98.5%		65
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.2%	65

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			1.5%	10.8%	87.7%		65
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.2%	29.2%	64.6%		65

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.7%	3.1%	43.1%	46.2%		65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.2%	1.5%	32.3%	60.0%		65

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	23.1%	70.8%		65
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	1.6%	6.3%	23.4%	21.9%	43.8%	3.1%	64

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.6%	4.6%	41.5%	49.2%		65

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		1.5%	1.5%	30.8%	66.2%		65
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.2%	15.4%	75.4%		65
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			3.1%	32.3%	64.6%		65

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 20917

CS-UY 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B** | **Response Rate: 29/58**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.9%	93.1%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.6%	3.4%	29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			10.3%	37.9%	51.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	6.9%		13.8%	41.4%	37.9%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	3.4%	41.4%	41.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		3.4%	6.9%	51.7%	37.9%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.9%	3.4%	10.3%	48.3%	31.0%		29

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		3.4%	6.9%	51.7%	37.9%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	3.4%	13.8%	17.2%	24.1%	41.4%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	27.6%	55.2%		29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4513: Software Engineering

Class Number: 1689

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 37/61

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	36
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.3%	2.7%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.3%	2.7%	37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		2.7%	2.7%	37.8%	54.1%	2.7%	37
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		2.7%	2.7%	37.8%	54.1%	2.7%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	5.4%	2.7%	21.6%	35.1%	27.0%	8.1%	37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	5.4%	2.7%	21.6%	35.1%	27.0%	8.1%	37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	2.7%	18.9%	8.1%	43.2%	24.3%	2.7%	37
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	2.7%	18.9%	8.1%	43.2%	24.3%	2.7%	37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	43.2%	27.0%	2.7%	37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	43.2%	27.0%	2.7%	37

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	2.7%	10.8%	13.5%	45.9%	24.3%	2.7%	37
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	2.7%	10.8%	13.5%	45.9%	24.3%	2.7%	37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.6%	8.3%	47.2%	36.1%	2.8%	36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.6%	8.3%	47.2%	36.1%	2.8%	36

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.4%	13.5%	5.4%	54.1%	18.9%	2.7%	37
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.4%	13.5%	5.4%	54.1%	18.9%	2.7%	37

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	2.7%	16.2%	10.8%	45.9%	21.6%	2.7%	37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	2.7%	16.2%	10.8%	45.9%	21.6%	2.7%	37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	2.7%	5.4%	29.7%	40.5%	18.9%	2.7%	37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	2.7%	5.4%	29.7%	40.5%	18.9%	2.7%	37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	2.7%	24.3%	24.3%	29.7%	16.2%	2.7%	37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	2.7%	24.3%	24.3%	29.7%	16.2%	2.7%	37

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**	9.7%	9.7%	19.4%	41.9%	16.1%	3.2%	31
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**	9.7%	9.7%	19.4%	41.9%	16.1%	3.2%	31
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.3**	9.7%	12.9%	22.6%	38.7%	12.9%	3.2%	31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 1689

CS-UY 4513: Software Engineering

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.** **Response Rate: 37/61**

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.3**	9.7%	12.9%	22.6%	38.7%	12.9%	3.2%	31
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**			16.1%	64.5%	16.1%	3.2%	31
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**			16.1%	64.5%	16.1%	3.2%	31
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.7**	3.2%	12.9%	16.1%	41.9%	19.4%	6.5%	31
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.7**	3.2%	12.9%	16.1%	41.9%	19.4%	6.5%	31
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.5**	6.5%	3.2%	35.5%	35.5%	16.1%	3.2%	31
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.5**	6.5%	3.2%	35.5%	35.5%	16.1%	3.2%	31
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.7**	6.5%	6.5%	19.4%	45.2%	19.4%	3.2%	31
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.7**	6.5%	6.5%	19.4%	45.2%	19.4%	3.2%	31
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	3.2%	9.7%	19.4%	48.4%	12.9%	6.5%	31
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	3.2%	9.7%	19.4%	48.4%	12.9%	6.5%	31
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.4**	3.2%	16.1%	22.6%	45.2%	9.7%	3.2%	31
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.4**	3.2%	16.1%	22.6%	45.2%	9.7%	3.2%	31
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.5**	6.5%	3.2%	32.3%	41.9%	12.9%	3.2%	31
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.5**	6.5%	3.2%	32.3%	41.9%	12.9%	3.2%	31
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.6**		9.7%	29.0%	51.6%	6.5%	3.2%	31
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.6**		9.7%	29.0%	51.6%	6.5%	3.2%	31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**		3.2%	22.6%	51.6%	19.4%	3.2%	31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**		3.2%	22.6%	51.6%	19.4%	3.2%	31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4523: Design Project

Class Number: 1067

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 10/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	33.3%		44.4%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25016

CS-UY 4533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 21/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.5%	85.7%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.8%	71.4%	4.8%	21

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		14.3%	19.0%	38.1%	28.6%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				38.1%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	52.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4613: Artificial Intelligence

Class Number: 25019

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming

Response Rate: 53/61

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.9%	98.1%		53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%	96.2%		53

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		9.4%	5.7%	32.1%	52.8%		53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		1.9%	11.3%	26.4%	58.5%	1.9%	53

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.7%	3.8%	24.5%	66.0%		53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.7%	1.9%	9.4%	18.9%	64.2%		53

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	1.9%	3.8%	7.5%	43.4%	43.4%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.8%	5.7%	32.1%	56.6%	1.9%	53

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	1.9%	15.1%	13.2%	20.8%	49.1%		53

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		1.9%	13.2%	34.0%	50.9%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	1.9%	3.8%	13.2%	26.4%	52.8%	1.9%	53
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.8%	13.2%	11.3%	20.8%	49.1%	1.9%	53

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Class Number: 25022

CS-UY 4753: Application Security

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Bentz,Matthew** | **Response Rate: 15/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	6.7%	20.0%		33.3%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		13.3%		26.7%	60.0%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 25020

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A** | **Response Rate: 61/66**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	1.6%	98.4%		61
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.7%	96.7%	1.7%	60

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	18.0%	77.0%		61
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	1.6%		8.2%	11.5%	78.7%		61

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	1.6%	13.1%	8.2%	24.6%	52.5%		61
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		1.7%		11.7%	86.7%		60

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	1.6%	1.6%	6.6%	18.0%	72.1%		61
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.3%	21.3%	75.4%		61

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.3%	8.2%	3.3%	19.7%	65.6%		61

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.3%	1.6%	3.3%	24.6%	67.2%		61
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	1.6%		3.3%	11.5%	83.6%		61
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.3%	4.9%	3.3%	13.1%	75.4%		61

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

CS-UY 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 25052

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 37/64

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.7%	97.3%		37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		2.7%	8.1%	35.1%	54.1%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	8.1%	21.6%	64.9%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		16.2%	8.1%	43.2%	32.4%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.4%	10.8%	8.1%	16.2%	56.8%	2.7%	37

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		2.7%	8.1%	32.4%	56.8%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.4%		8.1%	35.1%	51.4%		37

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	37.8%	48.6%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.7%	5.4%	18.9%	21.6%	51.4%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		10.8%	2.7%	18.9%	67.6%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	2.7%	5.4%	2.7%	35.1%	54.1%		37

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1191

EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Rappaport,Theodore** | **Response Rate: 64/89**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	90.6%	3.1%	64
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.6%	96.8%	1.6%	63

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	4.7%	10.9%		34.4%	48.4%	1.6%	64
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	3.1%	9.4%	23.4%	25.0%	34.4%	4.7%	64

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	1.6%	4.7%	3.1%	31.3%	59.4%		64
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	7.8%	9.4%	6.3%	37.5%	39.1%		64

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	9.4%	12.5%	7.8%	43.8%	23.4%	3.1%	64
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.1%	14.3%	7.9%	25.4%	41.3%		63

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	14.1%	23.4%	14.1%	34.4%	14.1%		64

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.9%	7.8%	31.3%	48.4%	1.6%	64
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	14.1%	12.5%	15.6%	31.3%	25.0%	1.6%	64
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	9.4%	4.7%	17.2%	31.3%	31.3%	6.3%	64

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 3142

EE-UY 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Rappaport,Theodore** | **Response Rate: 64/89**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	90.6%	3.1%	64
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.6%	96.8%	1.6%	63

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	4.7%	10.9%		34.4%	48.4%	1.6%	64
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	3.1%	9.4%	23.4%	25.0%	34.4%	4.7%	64

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	1.6%	4.7%	3.1%	31.3%	59.4%		64
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	7.8%	9.4%	6.3%	37.5%	39.1%		64

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	9.4%	12.5%	7.8%	43.8%	23.4%	3.1%	64
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.1%	14.3%	7.9%	25.4%	41.3%		63

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	14.1%	23.4%	14.1%	34.4%	14.1%		64

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.9%	7.8%	31.3%	48.4%	1.6%	64
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	14.1%	12.5%	15.6%	31.3%	25.0%	1.6%	64
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	9.4%	4.7%	17.2%	31.3%	31.3%	6.3%	64

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1193

EE-UY 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 20/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%	80.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%	95.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		20.0%	5.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			15.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 2233: Introduction to Probability

Class Number: 20924

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep** | **Response Rate: 7/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1196

EE-UY 3054: Signals and Systems

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

Response Rate: 32/46

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.1%		25.0%	71.9%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	12.5%	25.0%	9.4%	40.6%	12.5%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	28.1%	53.1%		32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.1%	9.4%	21.9%	62.5%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.4%	9.4%	15.6%	37.5%	28.1%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.3%	25.0%	9.4%	15.6%	43.8%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		3.1%	12.5%	12.5%	71.9%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1198

EE-UY 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 54/73

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.2%	3.8%	52

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		54
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			1.9%	13.2%	84.9%		53

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.7%	5.6%	27.8%	63.0%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		54

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		54
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		1.9%	5.6%	29.6%	63.0%		54

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.8%	9.4%	26.4%	58.5%	1.9%	53

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		54
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		54
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.6%	14.8%	79.6%		54

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Class Number: 1903

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 6/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		66.7%	33.3%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 1266

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai** | **Response Rate: 6/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	16.7%			50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**		50.0%	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	6

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	50.0%			16.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**		50.0%		33.3%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EE-UY 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Class Number: 1260

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Bochynski,Zdzislaw

Response Rate: 13/39

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		7.7%	7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	15.4%	23.1%		46.2%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	7.7%		30.8%	38.5%	23.1%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1241

EE-UY 4001: ECE Professional Development & Presentation

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina** | **Response Rate: 41/46**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.8%	85.4%	4.9%	41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	85.0%	2.5%	40

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.9%	7.3%	12.2%	73.2%	2.4%	41
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.3%	4.9%	9.8%	73.2%	4.9%	41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.5%	2.5%	12.5%	22.5%	57.5%	2.5%	40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.5%		12.5%	17.5%	62.5%	5.0%	40

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.3%	12.2%	14.6%	63.4%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		12.5%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	7.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		2.4%	9.8%	17.1%	68.3%	2.4%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.9%	4.9%	9.8%	26.8%	51.2%	2.4%	41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	65.0%	5.0%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	7.5%	5.0%	7.5%	12.5%	62.5%	5.0%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1483

EE-UY 4001: ECE Professional Development & Presentation

Section: B **Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina** **Response Rate: 42/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	90.5%	4.8%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.4%	92.7%	4.9%	41

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	14.3%	76.2%	2.4%	42
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	2.4%		4.8%	19.0%	69.0%	4.8%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.4%	11.9%	14.3%	66.7%	2.4%	42

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	59.5%	2.4%	42
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	17.1%	14.6%	48.8%	7.3%	41

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	7.1%	2.4%	14.3%	11.9%	61.9%	2.4%	42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.8%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	2.4%	42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	2.4%	2.4%	7.1%	16.7%	69.0%	2.4%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	54.8%	2.4%	42

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1243

EE-UY 4123: SENIOR DESIGN IN ELECTRICAL POWER & MACHINERY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: De Leon,Francisco** | **Response Rate: 12/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1261

EE-UY 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Krishnamurthy,Prashanth

Response Rate: 32/51

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		93.8%	6.3%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.3%	32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.4%	87.5%	3.1%	32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		12.5%	3.1%	31.3%	50.0%	3.1%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.1%		28.1%	65.6%	3.1%	32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		9.4%		28.1%	59.4%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.3%	15.6%	25.0%	43.8%	9.4%	32

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	40.6%	43.8%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			3.1%	21.9%	71.9%	3.1%	32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	21.9%	68.8%	3.1%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.4%	21.9%	65.6%	3.1%	32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 23880

EE-UY 4153: MULTIMEDIA

Section: LEC | **Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao** | **Response Rate: 8/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1264

EE-UY 4183: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 25/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.0%	28.0%	64.0%	4.0%	25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.0%	16.0%	12.0%	68.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.0%	20.0%	8.0%	64.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1245

EE-UY 4313: Computer Engineering Design Project I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Karri,Ramesh** | **Response Rate: 16/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		87.5%	12.5%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		87.5%	12.5%	16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	68.8%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	68.8%	6.3%	16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	56.3%	6.3%	16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5213: Introduction to Systems Engineering

Class Number: 2960

Section: INET

Response Rate: 1/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1683

EL-GY 5253: APPLIED MATRIX THEORY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping** | **Response Rate: 32/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.4%	90.6%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.1%	9.4%	25.0%	59.4%	3.1%	32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	6.3%	9.4%	12.5%	15.6%	56.3%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	31.3%	59.4%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.3%	9.4%	21.9%	62.5%		32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		15.6%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.7%	12.9%	9.7%	29.0%	35.5%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	40.6%	31.3%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	25.0%	53.1%	3.1%	32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	37.5%	34.4%	6.3%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.1%	12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	40.6%	6.3%	32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1323

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Section: ILEC | **Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong** | **Response Rate: 50/72**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		50
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.1%	95.9%		49

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.0%		2.0%	28.0%	64.0%	2.0%	50
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.0%		10.0%	34.0%	50.0%	2.0%	50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	2.0%	6.0%	12.0%	34.0%	44.0%	2.0%	50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.0%	2.0%	4.0%	34.0%	54.0%	2.0%	50

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	36.0%	56.0%		50
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	2.0%	2.0%	4.0%	42.0%	48.0%	2.0%	50

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.0%	2.0%	12.0%	34.0%	48.0%	2.0%	50

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.0%		4.0%	28.0%	64.0%	2.0%	50
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	2.0%			32.0%	62.0%	4.0%	50
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.0%			30.0%	64.0%	4.0%	50

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1677

EL-GY 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Section: JLEC | **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue** | **Response Rate: 48/73**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.1%	97.9%		48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%	95.8%		48

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	2.1%	2.1%	4.2%	37.5%	54.2%		48
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.1%	4.2%	6.3%	29.2%	58.3%		48

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	6.3%	39.6%	43.8%	2.1%	48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.1%		8.3%	33.3%	56.3%		48

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	2.1%	4.2%	4.2%	41.7%	47.9%		48
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	10.4%	2.1%	4.2%	20.8%	62.5%		48

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	35.4%	47.9%		48

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.1%		8.3%	33.3%	56.3%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	2.1%	4.2%	10.4%	35.4%	47.9%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	2.1%		2.1%	37.5%	56.3%	2.1%	48

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL-GY 5533: Physics of Nanoelectronics

Class Number: 1277

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 6/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1006

EL-GY 5613: Introduction to Electric Power Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan** | **Response Rate: 31/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.9%	87.1%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.9%	93.1%		29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.9%	87.1%		31
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		3.2%		12.9%	80.6%	3.2%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		3.2%	3.2%	25.8%	67.7%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.2%	6.5%		25.8%	64.5%		31

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.2%	29.0%	67.7%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	23.3%	60.0%	3.3%	30

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	9.7%	9.7%	3.2%	19.4%	58.1%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.2%		3.2%	25.8%	67.7%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**	3.2%			16.1%	80.6%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			6.5%	25.8%	67.7%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20958

EL-GY 5673: Electronic Power Supplies

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz** | **Response Rate: 28/48**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	92.9%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	71.4%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.6%	7.1%	17.9%	71.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1007

EL-GY 5733: RF and Microwave Systems Engineering

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping** | **Response Rate: 9/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	88.9%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	11.1%			55.6%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2833

EL-GY 5753: Introduction to Plasma Engineering

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping** | **Response Rate: 9/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6023: Wireless Communications

Class Number: 20964

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai** | **Response Rate: 19/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%	94.4%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	36.8%	5.3%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		10.5%	10.5%	31.6%	47.4%		19

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	5.3%		21.1%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		21.1%	5.3%	21.1%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	26.3%	15.8%	21.1%	26.3%	10.5%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.3%		5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	15.8%	52.6%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	36.8%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2834

EL-GY 6063: Information Theory

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Erkip,Elza** | **Response Rate: 20/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			15.0%	10.0%	75.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	5.0%			35.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		5.0%		25.0%	70.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1282

EL-GY 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.** | **Response Rate: 57/89**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	96.4%		56
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%	96.4%		56

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**	1.8%			1.8%	94.7%	1.8%	57
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	1.8%		1.8%	7.0%	84.2%	5.3%	57

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	1.8%	1.8%	8.8%	17.5%	70.2%		57
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	5.3%	1.8%	3.5%	10.5%	78.9%		57

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**	1.8%		1.8%	10.5%	86.0%		57
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**	1.8%		3.5%	10.5%	84.2%		57

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	3.5%	1.8%	3.5%	22.8%	68.4%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.5%	1.8%	1.8%	12.3%	78.9%	1.8%	57
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**	3.5%		1.8%	3.5%	91.2%		57
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	1.8%		3.5%	5.3%	87.7%	1.8%	57

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1068

EL-GY 6243: System Theory and Feedback Control

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping** | **Response Rate: 6/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1070

EL-GY 6253: Linear Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad** | **Response Rate: 23/49**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	95.7%		23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			13.0%	17.4%	69.6%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.3%	21.7%	4.3%	30.4%	39.1%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		4.3%	13.0%	34.8%	43.5%	4.3%	23

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			8.7%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	52.2%		23

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	8.7%	4.3%	17.4%	30.4%	39.1%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	60.9%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	60.9%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1289

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: A1 | **Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep** | **Response Rate: 38/47**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.7%		29.7%	67.6%		37
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			2.8%	33.3%	63.9%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		2.6%		31.6%	65.8%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		2.7%		32.4%	64.9%		37

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		2.6%		34.2%	63.2%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		38

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		13.2%	2.6%	28.9%	55.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			2.6%	31.6%	65.8%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			2.6%	34.2%	63.2%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			2.6%	31.6%	65.8%		38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2835

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna

Response Rate: 32/39

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.2%	93.5%	3.2%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	84.4%	3.1%	32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.1%	12.5%	12.5%	71.9%		32
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			9.4%	28.1%	59.4%	3.1%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		18.8%	3.1%	34.4%	43.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	21.9%	56.3%	3.1%	32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		21.9%	15.6%	15.6%	46.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		18.8%		37.5%	43.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%	3.1%	28.1%	31.3%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		12.5%		34.4%	53.1%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	9.4%	9.4%	12.5%	18.8%	50.0%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	3.1%	15.6%	6.3%	28.1%	46.9%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 21020

EL-GY 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang** | **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%	50.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**0.0**						100.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6383: High-Speed Networks

Class Number: 25462

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Xi,Kang** | **Response Rate: 3/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1139

EL-GY 6413: Analog and High Frequency Amplifier Design

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Cassara,Frank A.** | **Response Rate: 1/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning			100.0%	1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			100.0%	1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2970

EL-GY 6453: ADVANCES IN RECONFIGURABLE SYSTEMS

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Stern,Richard** | **Response Rate: 1/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**0.0**						100.0%	1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

EL-GY 6463: ADVANCED HARDWARE DESIGN

Class Number: 1684

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Karri,Ramesh** | **Response Rate: 52/57**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		98.1%	1.9%	52
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		51

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.7%	1.9%	28.8%	61.5%		52
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	1.9%	3.8%	1.9%	32.7%	59.6%		52

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	1.9%	11.5%	1.9%	42.3%	42.3%		52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	1.9%	5.8%	7.7%	26.9%	57.7%		52

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		3.8%	3.8%	38.5%	53.8%		52
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		9.6%	3.8%	32.7%	53.8%		52

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		9.6%	7.7%	30.8%	51.9%		52

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	30.8%	63.5%		52
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	1.9%	3.8%	11.5%	19.2%	61.5%	1.9%	52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	3.8%	3.8%	5.8%	17.3%	67.3%	1.9%	52

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1278

EL-GY 6473: Introduction to VLSI System Design

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth** | **Response Rate: 88/92**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.0%	88.6%	3.4%	88
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.4%	97.6%		84

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.7%	8.0%	28.7%	56.3%	1.1%	87
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	1.2%	5.9%	7.1%	24.7%	61.2%		85

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	9.1%	19.3%	6.8%	35.2%	29.5%		88
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	34.1%	48.2%		85

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.8%	5.8%	8.1%	39.5%	40.7%		86
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	10.6%	15.3%	1.2%	37.6%	35.3%		85

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	6.8%	25.0%	12.5%	27.3%	28.4%		88

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	1.1%	6.8%	5.7%	39.8%	46.6%		88
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	2.3%	5.7%	13.6%	31.8%	46.6%		88
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	2.3%	6.8%	11.4%	36.4%	42.0%	1.1%	88

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 21509

EL-GY 6513: Fundamentals of Solid-State Electronic Devices

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD** | **Response Rate: 8/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20968

EL-GY 6603: Power Electronics

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan** | **Response Rate: 31/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.7%	83.9%	6.5%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%	93.3%	3.3%	30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.2%	12.9%	80.6%	3.2%	31
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	70.0%	3.3%	30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		3.2%	6.5%	16.1%	71.0%	3.2%	31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.0%	13.3%	73.3%	3.3%	30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	3.2%			29.0%	64.5%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.2%	9.7%	9.7%	38.7%	38.7%		31

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.2%	6.5%	9.7%	32.3%	48.4%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			6.5%	29.0%	61.3%	3.2%	31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		6.5%	9.7%	9.7%	74.2%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			9.7%	25.8%	64.5%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20976

EL-GY 6633: Transients, Surges and Faults in Power Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: De Leon,Francisco** | **Response Rate: 18/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			16.7%	11.1%	72.2%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 1141

EL-GY 6713: Electromagnetic Theory and Applications

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K** | **Response Rate: 9/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%	77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		33.3%	11.1%		55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20959

EL-GY 6813: MEDICAL IMAGING I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao** | **Response Rate: 19/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		10.5%		31.6%	57.9%		19
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.5%		31.6%	57.9%		19

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.3%	15.8%		31.6%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.5%	15.8%		21.1%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.3%	21.1%	5.3%	26.3%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%		31.6%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.3%	15.8%		21.1%	57.9%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20969

EL-GY 7353: Communication Networks I: Analysis, Modeling and Performance

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra** | **Response Rate: 14/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%		14
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**		14.3%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 24175

EL-GY 7373: High Performance Switches and Routers

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan** | **Response Rate: 1/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20970

EL-GY 8223: APPLIED NONLINEAR CONTROL

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Krishnamurthy,Prashanth** | **Response Rate: 9/9**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**			33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2222

EL-GY 9153: SEL TOPCS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Viventi,Jonathan** | **Response Rate: 24/41**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	83.3%	4.2%	24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	83.3%	4.2%	24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	58.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 20971

EL-GY 9213: SEL TOPCS CONTROL ENGINEERING

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan** | **Response Rate: 11/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Class Number: 2496

EL-GY 9333: SEL TPCS IN TELECOMM & NTWK FROM INFO SYS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan** | **Response Rate: 35/69**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.6%	91.4%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.1%	93.9%		33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.7%	8.6%		17.1%	68.6%		35
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	5.7%	5.7%	8.6%	8.6%	68.6%	2.9%	35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	17.1%	14.3%	2.9%	14.3%	51.4%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.7%	5.7%	17.1%	14.3%	54.3%	2.9%	35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.9%	8.6%	11.4%	20.0%	57.1%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.9%	14.3%	11.4%	17.1%	54.3%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.7%	5.7%	8.6%	37.1%	42.9%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	25.7%	65.7%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	8.6%	5.7%	8.6%	14.3%	62.9%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.7%	5.7%	8.6%	20.0%	60.0%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1329

FIN-UY 2003: Economic Foundations of Finance

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nyambuu,Unurjargal** | **Response Rate: 5/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		20.0%		60.0%		20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1330

FIN-UY 2103: Creating and Understanding Financial Statements

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Cheng,Jierong** | **Response Rate: 10/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			30.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**		30.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1331

FRE-GY 6003: Financial Accounting

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 5/43

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1499

FRE-GY 6003: Financial Accounting

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 6/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1332

FRE-GY 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S** | **Response Rate: 7/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1543

FRE-GY 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Section: J **Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S** **Response Rate: 3/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3212

FRE-GY 6031: Money, Banking and Financial Markets

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul** | **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1333

FRE-GY 6041: Risk Management in the Real World

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Taleb,Nassim N** | **Response Rate: 2/21**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1334

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Totouom Tangho,Daniel H** | **Response Rate: 3/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%	33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 23934

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Bianchi,Sergio** | **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 25004

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Section: I3 | **Primary Instructor: Itkin,Andrey L** | **Response Rate: 10/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	10.0%	20.0%		40.0%	30.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	10.0%		30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2022

FRE-GY 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Section: J | **Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes** | **Response Rate: 7/42**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3213

FRE-GY 6091: Financial Econometrics

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Novomestky,Frederick

Response Rate: 1/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1448

FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bernard,Lucas** | **Response Rate: 5/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%	80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.4**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1335

FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Bernard,Lucas

Response Rate: 5/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2762

FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 5/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 25002

FRE-GY 6103: Corporate Finance

Section: M | **Primary Instructor: Larrymore,Norris L.** | **Response Rate: 2/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1663

FRE-GY 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Section: I1 | **Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele** | **Response Rate: 1/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2506

FRE-GY 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele** | **Response Rate: 2/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1336

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Maymin,Philip Z** | **Response Rate: 4/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3215

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Daniel

Response Rate: 3/17

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 25006

FRE-GY 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Section: I4 | **Primary Instructor: Maymin,Philip Z** | **Response Rate: 8/44**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3217

FRE-GY 6153: FOUNDATIONS OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy** | **Response Rate: 1/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3218

FRE-GY 6211: Financial Market Regulation

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele** | **Response Rate: 1/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2765

FRE-GY 6273: VALUATIONS & CORPORATE FINANCE

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia** | **Response Rate: 2/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3220

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald** | **Response Rate: 1/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3219

FRE-GY 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald** | **Response Rate: 4/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 20714

FRE-GY 6303: Dynamic Assets and Option Pricing

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes** | **Response Rate: 1/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3222

FRE-GY 6351: Econometrics and Time Series Analysis

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bianchi,Sergio** | **Response Rate: 2/22**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 20715

FRE-GY 6361: Corporate and Financial Strategy

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S** | **Response Rate: 2/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3223

FRE-GY 6391: MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul** | **Response Rate: 2/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3224

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Alizadeh,Sassan** **Response Rate: 3/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**	66.7%			33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**	66.7%			33.3%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2766

FRE-GY 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Section: I2 **Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo** **Response Rate: 1/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1687

FRE-GY 6451: BEHAVIORAL FINANCE

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Maymin,Philip Z

Response Rate: 1/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3225

FRE-GY 6491: Credit Risk & Financial Risk Management

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Des Etangs Roux,Frederic Pascal Lucien

Response Rate: 2/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 1702

FRE-GY 6571: Asset-backed Securities and Securitization

Section: I1 | **Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo** | **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3188

FRE-GY 6711: Quantitative Portfolio Management

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Ndiaye,Papa Momar

Response Rate: 1/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2286

FRE-GY 6711: Quantitative Portfolio Management

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Novomestky,Frederick

Response Rate: 1/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3226

FRE-GY 6731: Basel 3 & Banking Assets Management

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Makarov,Victor** | **Response Rate: 3/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE-GY 6883: Financial Computing

Class Number: 20719

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Tang,Song** | **Response Rate: 2/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

FRE-GY 6883: Financial Computing

Class Number: 23936

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Tang,Song** | **Response Rate: 1/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 2314

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Matthei,Warren D.

Response Rate: 1/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 21461

FRE-GY 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Section: L1

Primary Instructor: Zissu,Anne Marie

Response Rate: 1/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 23935

FRE-GY 7841: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Alizadeh,Sassan** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 23935

FRE-GY 7841: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Taleb,Nassim N** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 23935

FRE-GY 7841: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Tapiero,Charles S** | **Response Rate: 1/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Financial Risk and Engineering

Class Number: 3231

FRE-GY 7851: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING 2

Section: I **Primary Instructor: Itkin,Andrey L** **Response Rate: 1/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1384

Section: A1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1387

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1415

Section: A3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1390

Section: B1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1393

Section: B2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1530

Section: B3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1396

Section: C1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1399

Section: C2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1533

Section: C3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1405

Section: D1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1408

Section: D2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1402

Section: D3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1411

Section: E1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1536

Section: E2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 2007

Section: E3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 2026

Section: G1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24100

Section: G2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24103

Section: G3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24106

Section: K1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24109

Section: K2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG-UY 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 24112

Section: K3LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 21/333

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%	87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	13.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		21.4%		42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	7.7%	38.5%		46.2%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	15.4%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		13
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		7.1%	28.6%	42.9%	21.4%		14
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

Class Number: 1450

BI-GY 7533: Bioinformatics I: Sequence Analysis

Section: BK01

Primary Instructor: Brathwaite,Mgavi Elombe

Response Rate: 4/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

Class Number: 3160

BI-GY 7533: Bioinformatics I: Sequence Analysis

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Brathwaite,Mgavi Elombe

Response Rate: 2/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

Class Number: 1451

BI-GY 7543: Bioinformatics II: Protein Structure

Section: INET | **Primary Instructor: Paquiot,David** | **Response Rate: 1/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI-GY 7653: NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

Class Number: 2924

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Flowers,Jonathan

Response Rate: 1/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**0.0**						100.0%	1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1089

MA-GY 7033: Linear Algebra I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Lutwak,Erwin** | **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25051

MA-GY 7733: Topics in Applied Mathematics II

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Henry, John B III** | **Response Rate: 2/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1008

MA-UY 914: Pre-calculus

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang** | **Response Rate: 4/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	25.0%		75.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1009

MA-UY 914: Pre-calculus

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Liu,Shizhu

Response Rate: 2/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1010

MA-UY 914: Pre-calculus

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Feklistova,Mariya

Response Rate: 3/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.5**				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1011

MA-UY 914: Pre-calculus

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Feklistova,Mariya

Response Rate: 1/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25050

MA-UY 914: Pre-calculus

Section: F | **Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex** | **Response Rate: 2/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 954: PRECALCULUS B

Class Number: 24117

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Weilard, James

Response Rate: 3/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.7**	33.3%	66.7%					3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.7**	33.3%	66.7%					3
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**1.0**	100.0%						3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1002: The Art of Mathematics

Class Number: 1276

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane** | **Response Rate: 1/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1015

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sher,Abraham

Response Rate: 2/39

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2147

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Nicholas, Spizzirri

Response Rate: 4/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	75.0%	25.0%					4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%			75.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I

Class Number: 1623

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna** | **Response Rate: 2/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I

Class Number: 2148

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken

Response Rate: 3/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%		33.3%	33.3%	3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1016

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 2/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24121

MA-UY 1024: Calculus I

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Lo, Raymond (Tsz)

Response Rate: 1/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1709

MA-UY 1054: Calculus I with Pre-calculus

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 4/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24118

MA-UY 1054: Calculus I with Pre-calculus

Section: D2

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 1/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	100.0%			1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24123

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Parekh,Ankit

Response Rate: 4/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**		75.0%			25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1990

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang** | **Response Rate: 5/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**		40.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.4**	40.0%		40.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.8**	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%				5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24124

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Constintine, Adam

Response Rate: 2/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%	50.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1991

MA-UY 1124: Calculus II

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Parekh,Ankit** | **Response Rate: 2/31**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1743

MA-UY 1154: Calculus II with Pre-calculus

Section: F **Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh** **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1018

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina** | **Response Rate: 6/54**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**			40.0%	60.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.2**		16.7%	50.0%	33.3%			6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		16.7%	6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2145

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Yassiyevich, Gennady

Response Rate: 1/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1019

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel

Response Rate: 2/30

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2810

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 2/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24122

MA-UY 1324: Integrated Calculus I

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Esposito,Joseph F

Response Rate: 2/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1020

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel** **Response Rate: 2/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2149

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna

Response Rate: 2/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%	50.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1891

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina** | **Response Rate: 3/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			33.3%	33.3%		33.3%	3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1891

MA-UY 1424: Integrated Calculus II

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T

Response Rate: 3/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			33.3%	33.3%		33.3%	3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1720

MA-UY 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T** | **Response Rate: 2/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1119

MA-UY 2012: ELEMENTS OF LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T** | **Response Rate: 4/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19950

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel** | **Response Rate: 4/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	75.0%	25.0%					4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19951

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: C2 | **Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey** | **Response Rate: 5/32**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.8**	60.0%	20.0%		20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 23878

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: C3 | **Primary Instructor: Esposito,Joseph F** | **Response Rate: 1/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19952

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel** | **Response Rate: 2/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19953

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: D2 | **Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey** | **Response Rate: 3/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	33.3%		66.7%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19954

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel** | **Response Rate: 1/32**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19955

MA-UY 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Section: F | **Primary Instructor: Sher,Abraham** | **Response Rate: 4/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	25.0%		50.0%			25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%	25.0%		25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1022

MA-UY 2112: Multivariable Calculus A

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh

Response Rate: 3/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.3**	33.3%		66.7%				3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**	66.7%			33.3%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1025

MA-UY 2112: Multivariable Calculus A

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane

Response Rate: 3/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			66.7%			33.3%	3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2049

MA-UY 2112: Multivariable Calculus A

Section: OFF

Primary Instructor: Pranayanuntana,Poramate

Response Rate: 1/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19957

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Pranayanuntana,Poramate** | **Response Rate: 3/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 19959

MA-UY 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: Pranayanuntana,Poramate** | **Response Rate: 6/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.2**	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1051

MA-UY 2122: Multivariable Calculus B

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh

Response Rate: 4/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	75.0%	25.0%					4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1054

MA-UY 2122: Multivariable Calculus B

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane

Response Rate: 1/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1721

MA-UY 2132: Ordinary Differential Equations

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T** | **Response Rate: 1/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1062

MA-UY 2132: Ordinary Differential Equations

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T

Response Rate: 4/34

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1745

MA-UY 2212: Data Analysis I

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua** | **Response Rate: 3/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2212: Data Analysis I

Class Number: 1073

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Wang,Letao

Response Rate: 5/31

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**		60.0%	40.0%				5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 2048

MA-UY 2212: Data Analysis I

Section: OFF

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 1/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1748

MA-UY 2222: Data Analysis II

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 2/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2222: Data Analysis II

Class Number: 1078

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Wang,Letao

Response Rate: 6/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%				6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		6

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 19965

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Wang,Letao

Response Rate: 7/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	14.3%			71.4%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%		14.3%	7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 19967

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 3/35

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA-UY 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 19968

Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Constintine, Adam

Response Rate: 4/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	75.0%				25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 20925

MA-UY 2233: Introduction to Probability

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep** | **Response Rate: 7/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 25145

MA-UY 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 18/90

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%	88.2%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	72.2%	11.1%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	11.1%		16.7%	44.4%	27.8%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	16.7%	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	16.7%	22.2%	5.6%	27.8%	27.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	16.7%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	38.9%		18

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	16.7%	11.1%	22.2%	27.8%	22.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	11.1%	11.1%	16.7%	38.9%	22.2%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**	5.6%	5.6%	50.0%	5.6%	33.3%		18
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	23.5%	11.8%		17
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.3**	38.9%	16.7%	22.2%	16.7%	5.6%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	38.9%	16.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	27.8%	38.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	11.1%	16.7%	22.2%	5.6%	44.4%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	22.2%	16.7%	22.2%	22.2%	16.7%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 24137

MA-UY 3012: Introduction to Probability I

Section: C **Primary Instructor: Miller,Edward** **Response Rate: 8/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.1**	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%		12.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	12.5%			62.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1993

MA-UY 3022: PROBABILITY II

Section: R

Primary Instructor: Lobenberg,Michel

Response Rate: 4/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1086

MA-UY 3112: Complex Variables I

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Miller,Edward

Response Rate: 14/47

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%	85.7%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	64.3%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.1%		42.9%	42.9%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	57.1%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**	7.1%		7.1%	7.1%	71.4%	7.1%	14
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.8**			42.9%	21.4%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7.1%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	35.7%	42.9%	7.1%	14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			7.1%	57.1%	28.6%	7.1%	14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

Class Number: 1113

MA-UY 4613: Analysis I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong** | **Response Rate: 8/27**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		87.5%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		87.5%	12.5%	8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			25.0%		62.5%	12.5%	8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**			50.0%		37.5%	12.5%	8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.9**	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	25.0%		37.5%		25.0%	12.5%	8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.6**			62.5%		25.0%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		12.5%	25.0%		50.0%	12.5%	8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%		50.0%	12.5%	8
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**2.6**	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

AE-UY 4603: Compressible Flow

Class Number: 1985

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender** | **Response Rate: 18/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%	94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	66.7%	5.6%	18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		11.1%		5.6%	77.8%	5.6%	18

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	83.3%	5.6%	18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Formulated and solved compressible flow problems.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q28	Understood features of subsonic, transonic, supersonic and hypersonic flows.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q29	Was able to approximate flow through combustors, tail pipes, and fuel lines.	**4.2**		5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	38.9%	5.6%	18
Q30	Was able to calculate flow properties across normal shocks, oblique shocks and expansion fans.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q31	Was able to calculate lift and drag over wedge and cone surfaces and design and analyze flow over wedges, through nozzles and diffusers.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-GY 5643: Mechatronics

Class Number: 2818

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon** | **Response Rate: 33/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.0%	3.0%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%	84.8%	6.1%	33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	78.1%	3.1%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	3.0%		12.1%	30.3%	51.5%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	63.6%	9.1%	33

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		3.0%	9.1%	30.3%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.0%	12.1%	18.2%	63.6%	3.0%	33

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		6.1%	6.1%	45.5%	36.4%	6.1%	33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%		33.3%	63.6%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.0%	18.2%	78.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		33

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			3.0%	36.4%	60.6%		33
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				27.3%	69.7%	3.0%	33
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				30.3%	66.7%	3.0%	33
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			3.0%	30.3%	63.6%	3.0%	33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			3.0%	21.2%	72.7%	3.0%	33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 19977

ME-GY 5653: Microelectromechanical Systems

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Porfiri,Maurizio** | **Response Rate: 8/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	12.5%			25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1297

ME-GY 6003: Applied Mathematics in Mechanical Engineering

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Rogers,Joel Carle W** | **Response Rate: 35/84**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	40.0%	54.3%	5.7%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%	54.3%	5.7%	35

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	29.4%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	14.7%	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	38.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	5.7%	8.6%	17.1%	14.3%	54.3%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	40.0%	8.6%	8.6%	20.0%	22.9%		35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	31.4%	22.9%	8.6%	17.1%	20.0%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.7%	8.8%	5.9%	32.4%	38.2%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	31.4%	28.6%	11.4%	11.4%	17.1%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	22.9%	5.7%	22.9%	20.0%	28.6%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.4**	54.3%	8.6%	2.9%	8.6%	25.7%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	37.1%	5.7%	8.6%	31.4%	17.1%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2320

ME-GY 6043: Transport Phenomena

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 40/52

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.5%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%	97.4%		39

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			15.0%	12.5%	72.5%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	15.0%	60.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.0%	12.5%	75.0%	2.5%	40

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		7.5%	5.0%	17.5%	70.0%		40

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	17.5%	72.5%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			2.5%	10.0%	85.0%	2.5%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		2.5%	5.0%	17.5%	70.0%	5.0%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2058

ME-GY 6173: HEAT TRANSFER FOR HVAC SYSTEMS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali** | **Response Rate: 12/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-GY 6183: DESIGN OF HVAC SYSTEMS

Class Number: 2059

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali** | **Response Rate: 12/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.3%	91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%	91.7%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2321

ME-GY 6703: LINEAR CONTROL THEORY AND DESIGN I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Rizzo,Alessandro** | **Response Rate: 27/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.7%		7.4%	88.9%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		3.7%		18.5%	77.8%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2819

ME-GY 7003: Finite Element Methods

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Clarke,Anthony** | **Response Rate: 11/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 1284

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 32/37

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		3.1%	3.1%	46.9%	46.9%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		6.3%	31.3%	28.1%	34.4%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		9.4%	3.1%	46.9%	40.6%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	34.4%	53.1%		32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	3.1%	9.4%	12.5%	56.3%	15.6%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	9.4%	18.8%	6.3%	40.6%	21.9%	3.1%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned Consistent units; Forces and Moments	**4.3**		9.4%		40.6%	50.0%		32
Q28	Learned about the Materials response to application of force and Engineering Materials	**4.3**		9.4%	3.1%	37.5%	50.0%		32
Q29	Learned about Fluids Engineering, Thermal & Energy Systems	**4.1**	3.1%	9.4%		50.0%	37.5%		32
Q30	Learned about Motion and Power Transmission	**4.2**		6.3%	9.4%	43.8%	37.5%	3.1%	32

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	6.3%	18.8%	21.9%	40.6%	12.5%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.3%	3.1%	12.5%	59.4%	15.6%	3.1%	32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		6.3%	25.0%	37.5%	28.1%	3.1%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	6.3%	9.4%	25.0%	46.9%	12.5%		32

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	28.1%		32
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	3.2%	9.7%	6.5%	54.8%	25.8%		31
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.7**	3.2%	6.5%	25.8%	45.2%	19.4%		31
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.5**	3.1%	3.1%	40.6%	43.8%	9.4%		32
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	3.1%	15.6%	9.4%	56.3%	15.6%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 1841

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 22/34

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	95.2%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		4.5%	9.1%	45.5%	40.9%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		9.1%	36.4%	22.7%	31.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			13.6%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	45.5%	18.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.5%	13.6%		40.9%	40.9%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned Consistent units; Forces and Moments	**4.5**		4.5%		36.4%	59.1%		22
Q28	Learned about the Materials response to application of force and Engineering Materials	**4.2**	4.5%		4.5%	50.0%	40.9%		22
Q29	Learned about Fluids Engineering, Thermal & Energy Systems	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q30	Learned about Motion and Power Transmission	**3.5**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	40.9%	22.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	40.9%	13.6%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	50.0%	18.2%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.4**	4.5%	18.2%	27.3%	31.8%	18.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	13.6%	13.6%	18.2%	31.8%	22.7%		22

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	59.1%	9.1%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.3**	9.1%	13.6%	18.2%	54.5%	4.5%		22
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.8**		18.2%	9.1%	50.0%	22.7%		22
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.7**	9.5%		19.0%	52.4%	19.0%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.3**	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	31.8%	22.7%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 1285

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 28/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	89.3%	7.1%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.6%		14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	46.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			3.6%	39.3%	53.6%	3.6%	28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	50.0%	3.6%	28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**3.9**			23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	52.9%	17
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**3.4**	10.5%		15.8%	10.5%	15.8%	47.4%	19
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**3.9**			23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	52.9%	17
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**3.7**	5.9%		17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	47.1%	17

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				32.1%	64.3%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		7.1%		17.9%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	67.9%		28

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			11.5%	19.2%	69.2%		26
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			26.9%	19.2%	46.2%	7.7%	26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			11.5%	23.1%	61.5%	3.8%	26
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			3.8%	26.9%	65.4%	3.8%	26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			3.8%	19.2%	73.1%	3.8%	26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 1303

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 17/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.8%	82.4%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.3%	73.3%	13.3%	15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	64.7%	11.8%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			23.5%	17.6%	52.9%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	17.6%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	70.6%	5.9%	17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	35.3%	11.8%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**3.9**			30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**3.9**			30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**3.9**			27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	11
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**3.6**		8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.9%		5.9%	29.4%	41.2%	17.6%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	52.9%	11.8%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	52.9%	11.8%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	16
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.9**	6.3%		18.8%	25.0%	31.3%	18.8%	16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	6.3%		12.5%	25.0%	43.8%	12.5%	16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	6.3%		25.0%	12.5%	43.8%	12.5%	16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 2001

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 6/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**5.0**					100.0%		5
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**5.0**					100.0%		5
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**5.0**					100.0%		5
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 2002

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Dizinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 57/86

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.7%	82.1%	7.1%	56
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.1%	80.4%	3.6%	56

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		1.8%	3.6%	17.9%	75.0%	1.8%	56
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	3.6%	5.5%	20.0%	29.1%	34.5%	7.3%	55

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	3.5%	14.0%	12.3%	45.6%	19.3%	5.3%	57
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.8%	9.1%	9.1%	38.2%	40.0%	1.8%	55

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		1.8%	5.4%	39.3%	51.8%	1.8%	56
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	3.6%	7.3%	40.0%	30.9%	14.5%	3.6%	55

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood multiview drawings	**4.8**		2.0%		16.3%	75.5%	6.1%	49
Q28	Understood the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings	**4.7**		2.0%	2.0%	22.4%	69.4%	4.1%	49
Q29	Learned standards and specifications in drawing	**4.4**		4.1%	2.0%	36.7%	53.1%	4.1%	49
Q30	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing	**4.5**		2.0%	8.2%	26.5%	59.2%	4.1%	49

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.3%	8.8%	31.6%	50.9%	3.5%	57

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			1.8%	35.1%	59.6%	3.5%	57
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	1.8%	10.7%	7.1%	37.5%	39.3%	3.6%	56
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		1.8%	1.8%	38.6%	54.4%	3.5%	57

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	2.0%	4.1%	12.2%	34.7%	42.9%	4.1%	49
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			4.1%	26.5%	63.3%	6.1%	49
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		4.1%	6.1%	40.8%	44.9%	4.1%	49
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**		2.0%	20.4%	28.6%	44.9%	4.1%	49
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			2.0%	38.8%	55.1%	4.1%	49

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2211: Statics Laboratory

Class Number: 1500

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 11/17

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned how to perform experiments on torsion of circular cross sections	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q28	Learnd how to measure deflection in beams and cantilever	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q29	Learned about equilibrium of forces	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q30	Learned about shear forces and bending moment	**5.0**					100.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 2211: Statics Laboratory

Class Number: 1500

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 11/17

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned how to perform experiments on torsion of circular cross sections	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q28	Learnd how to measure deflection in beams and cantilever	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q29	Learned about equilibrium of forces	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q30	Learned about shear forces and bending moment	**5.0**					100.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 1501

ME-UY 2213: Statics

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Park,Kee Moon

Response Rate: 12/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			22.2%		66.7%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q28	Learned about particle equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q29	Learned about rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q30	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q31	Learned about friction	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 1304

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 44/44

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.0%	7.0%	43

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.9%	84.1%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	20.5%	70.5%		44

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			2.3%	6.8%	90.9%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.4%	88.6%		44

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.5%	2.3%	18.2%	72.7%	2.3%	44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	70.5%	2.3%	44

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about forces and strains in trusses and redundant trusses	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		44
Q28	Learned about shear forces and buckling of struts	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		44
Q29	Learned about unsymmetric bending and shear center	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		44
Q30	Learned about data processing and report writing	**4.6**			2.4%	33.3%	61.9%	2.4%	42

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		44

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.3%	4.5%	29.5%	63.6%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.1%	20.5%	70.5%		44

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		2.3%		34.1%	63.6%		44
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	2.3%		2.3%	36.4%	59.1%		44
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			2.3%	34.1%	63.6%		44
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			6.8%	34.1%	59.1%		44
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				29.5%	70.5%		44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 1304

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 44/44

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.0%	7.0%	43

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.9%	84.1%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	20.5%	70.5%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			2.3%	6.8%	90.9%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.4%	88.6%		44

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.5%	2.3%	18.2%	72.7%	2.3%	44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	70.5%	2.3%	44

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about forces and strains in trusses and redundant trusses	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		44
Q28	Learned about shear forces and buckling of struts	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		44
Q29	Learned about unsymmetric bending and shear center	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		44
Q30	Learned about data processing and report writing	**4.6**			2.4%	33.3%	61.9%	2.4%	42

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.3%	4.5%	29.5%	63.6%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.1%	20.5%	70.5%		44

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		2.3%		34.1%	63.6%		44
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	2.3%		2.3%	36.4%	59.1%		44
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			2.3%	34.1%	63.6%		44
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			6.8%	34.1%	59.1%		44
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				29.5%	70.5%		44

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 2051

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about forces and strains in trusses and redundant trusses	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q28	Learned about shear forces and buckling of struts	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q29	Learned about unsymmetric bending and shear center	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q30	Learned about data processing and report writing	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 2051

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about forces and strains in trusses and redundant trusses	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q28	Learned about shear forces and buckling of struts	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q29	Learned about unsymmetric bending and shear center	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q30	Learned about data processing and report writing	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Class Number: 2317

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 16/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.3%		50.0%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the concept of Stress and Strain, Normal stress & strain, Shear stress & strain, General state of stress, Design considerations,design of simple connections	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		15
Q28	Understood Stress analysis, Materials behavior, constitutive relationship, Hooke's law, stress concentration, St Venant principle, Transformation equations, Mohr's circle	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		15
Q29	Learned about axially loaded members, Torsion, Change of length, angle of twist, transmission of power by shafts statically indeterminate structure	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		15
Q30	Understood bending, Shear and moment diagram, shear force, transverse loading relationship, flexure formula	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		15
Q31	Learned about Deflection of beams, Differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, Castigliano's theorem	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		6.3%		37.5%	56.3%		16

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3223: Dynamics

Class Number: 1288

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Kim,Joo Hyun** | **Response Rate: 24/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			33.3%	20.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	58.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q28	Learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q29	Learned modeling of systems of particles	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24
Q30	Learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		8.3%		37.5%	54.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 1715

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang** | **Response Rate: 30/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.3%	96.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.6%	3.4%	29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.3%		20.0%	76.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	66.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.3%			40.0%	56.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems	**4.8**				21.4%	75.0%	3.6%	28
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators	**4.7**				25.0%	71.4%	3.6%	28
Q30	Learned the gas power cycles, Otto, Diesel, and Brayton cycles and isentropic efficiency	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.3%	13.3%	36.7%	46.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		3.3%	13.3%	13.3%	66.7%	3.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			6.7%	30.0%	63.3%		30

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	53.6%	3.6%	28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**				39.3%	57.1%	3.6%	28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			3.6%	39.3%	53.6%	3.6%	28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 2053

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 29/37

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.4%	93.1%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.6%	3.4%	29

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.6%	72.4%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	3.4%	6.9%	27.6%	27.6%	31.0%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	10.3%	6.9%	20.7%	34.5%	27.6%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.4%	17.2%	3.4%	48.3%	27.6%		29

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	3.4%	24.1%	6.9%	37.9%	27.6%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.4%	6.9%	20.7%	69.0%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		28
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems	**4.6**				39.3%	60.7%		28
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators	**4.4**			3.6%	50.0%	46.4%		28
Q30	Learned the gas power cycles, Otto, Diesel, and Brayton cycles and isentropic efficiency	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		10.3%	3.4%	58.6%	27.6%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.9%	3.4%	37.9%	51.7%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	3.4%	3.4%	17.2%	27.6%	48.3%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		6.9%	6.9%	41.4%	41.4%	3.4%	29

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		10.7%	3.6%	42.9%	42.9%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Class Number: 1290

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 18/25

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated them on experimental test beds	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		18
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**	5.6%			38.9%	55.6%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Class Number: 1290

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 18/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated them on experimental test beds	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			5.6%	55.6%	38.9%		18
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**	5.6%			38.9%	55.6%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2318

ME-UY 3413: Automatic Control

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 20/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	60.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.0%		15.0%	40.0%	40.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	25.0%	15.0%	40.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q29	Acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q30	Learned and utilized tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems	**4.4**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	25.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	25.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**	5.0%		5.0%	30.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**	5.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3513: Measurement Systems

Class Number: 1292

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Rizzo,Alessandro** | **Response Rate: 38/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		38

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.6%	7.9%	89.5%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		2.6%	18.4%	13.2%	65.8%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	2.6%	13.2%	7.9%	36.8%	39.5%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		2.6%	10.5%	21.1%	65.8%		38

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.3%	7.9%	42.1%	44.7%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	26.3%	31.6%	7.9%	18.4%	15.8%		38

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned to solve problems involving electrical circuits and components and filtering	**4.5**			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%		38
Q28	Understood the fundamentals of signal filtering and system response	**4.2**		5.3%	10.5%	42.1%	42.1%		38
Q29	Learned to solve problems involving dynamic measurement system response, analof signal processing amd digital representation	**4.0**		10.5%	13.2%	44.7%	31.6%		38
Q30	Learned about data acquisition and sensors	**3.6**	2.6%	13.2%	23.7%	42.1%	18.4%		38
Q31	Learned how to use Matlab to study measurement systems	**3.1**	18.4%	5.3%	28.9%	36.8%	7.9%	2.6%	38

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		7.9%	15.8%	42.1%	28.9%	5.3%	38

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			5.3%	39.5%	55.3%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		5.3%	2.6%	31.6%	60.5%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	2.6%	5.3%	23.7%	26.3%	42.1%		38

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			13.2%	39.5%	47.4%		38
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	2.6%	7.9%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		38
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		5.4%	13.5%	35.1%	45.9%		37
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**		7.9%	7.9%	42.1%	42.1%		38
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	47.4%		38

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 3513: Measurement Systems

Class Number: 2054

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Rizzo,Alessandro** | **Response Rate: 32/33**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.9%	3.1%	32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	78.1%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		12.5%	3.1%	34.4%	46.9%	3.1%	32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	78.1%		32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	3.1%		6.3%	31.3%	59.4%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	15.6%	18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	3.1%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned to solve problems involving electrical circuits and components and filtering	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
Q28	Understood the fundamentals of signal filtering and system response	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	62.5%		32
Q29	Learned to solve problems involving dynamic measurement system response, analof signal processing amd digital representation	**4.6**		3.1%		28.1%	68.8%		32
Q30	Learned about data acquisition and sensors	**4.6**		3.1%		34.4%	62.5%		32
Q31	Learned how to use Matlab to study measurement systems	**3.8**	6.3%	12.5%	9.4%	40.6%	31.3%		32

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		9.4%	3.1%	31.3%	56.3%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		6.3%		21.9%	71.9%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	87.5%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	71.9%		32

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	65.6%	3.1%	32
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		6.3%	3.1%	31.3%	56.3%	3.1%	32
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			6.3%	21.9%	71.9%		32
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			9.4%	21.9%	68.8%		32
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		32

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4112: Senior Design I

Class Number: 1293

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** | **Response Rate: 42/41**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.4%	97.6%		42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.4%	95.2%	2.4%	42

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	90.5%	2.4%	42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.4%		19.0%	76.2%	2.4%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	73.8%	2.4%	42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.4%	7.1%	2.4%	19.0%	66.7%	2.4%	42

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.8%	11.9%	81.0%	2.4%	42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			23.8%	7.1%	59.5%	9.5%	42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned to work in a team environment with responsibility role structure and write a proposal	**4.8**				15.0%	82.5%	2.5%	40
Q28	Learned the Product Realization Process	**4.8**				17.5%	80.0%	2.5%	40
Q29	Learned to conceptualize, model, and develop a system level preliminary design	**4.8**				15.0%	82.5%	2.5%	40
Q30	Learned to generate presentations for discussion in an industry review format with participation and to extend engineering knowledge to applied problems	**4.8**				20.0%	77.5%	2.5%	40
Q31	Understood engineering ethics	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%		20.0%	72.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.4%	2.4%	35.7%	57.1%	2.4%	42

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.9%	85.7%	2.4%	42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		2.4%	4.8%	4.8%	85.7%	2.4%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**		2.4%		7.1%	88.1%	2.4%	42

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.8**			2.5%	15.0%	80.0%	2.5%	40
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**		5.0%		17.5%	75.0%	2.5%	40
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.7**		5.0%		17.5%	75.0%	2.5%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

Class Number: 2817

ME-UY 4112: Senior Design I

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony** | **Response Rate: 31/40**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.8%	3.2%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	90.0%	3.3%	30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.7%	90.3%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			3.2%	12.9%	83.9%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.2%		25.8%	71.0%		31

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.2%	3.2%	22.6%	71.0%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.8%	9.7%	48.4%	16.1%	31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned to work in a team environment with responsibility role structure and write a proposal	**4.7**	3.2%		3.2%	9.7%	83.9%		31
Q28	Learned the Product Realization Process	**4.6**		3.2%		29.0%	67.7%		31
Q29	Learned to conceptualize, model, and develop a system level preliminary design	**4.7**	3.2%			16.1%	80.6%		31
Q30	Learned to generate presentations for discussion in an industry review format with participation and to extend engineering knowledge to applied problems	**4.6**	3.2%			22.6%	74.2%		31
Q31	Understood engineering ethics	**4.6**	3.2%		3.2%	16.1%	77.4%		31

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.5%	3.2%	22.6%	67.7%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		3.2%		9.7%	87.1%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.2%	9.7%	87.1%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.5%	6.5%	87.1%		31

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**		3.2%	3.2%	32.3%	61.3%		31
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**	3.2%		3.2%	25.8%	67.7%		31
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**		3.2%	9.7%	32.3%	54.8%		31

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 2335

Section: ALEC | **Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria** | **Response Rate: 40/47**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.4%	2.6%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%	94.7%	2.6%	38

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.1%	5.1%	35.9%	51.3%	2.6%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	2.6%	13.2%	10.5%	21.1%	42.1%	10.5%	38

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	20.5%	30.8%	10.3%	12.8%	15.4%	10.3%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	2.6%	7.7%	12.8%	41.0%	33.3%	2.6%	39

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	2.6%	15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	23.1%	5.1%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	2.6%	7.7%	20.5%	33.3%	33.3%	2.6%	39

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis	**4.2**		2.5%	7.5%	52.5%	35.0%	2.5%	40
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for spring, bar, beam and frame elements	**4.3**			12.5%	45.0%	40.0%	2.5%	40
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys	**4.1**		10.0%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	2.5%	40
Q30	Learned the application of boundary conditions, symmetry conditions, and contact conditions	**4.3**		2.5%	7.5%	50.0%	37.5%	2.5%	40
Q31	Learned the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains	**4.2**		5.1%	12.8%	41.0%	38.5%	2.6%	39

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	2.6%	10.3%	25.6%	35.9%	23.1%	2.6%	39

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.1%	7.7%	12.8%	33.3%	38.5%	2.6%	39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	28.2%	2.6%	39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	5.1%	10.3%	17.9%	25.6%	33.3%	7.7%	39

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	2.5%	5.0%	20.0%	32.5%	32.5%	7.5%	40
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.9**	2.5%	7.5%	17.5%	35.0%	30.0%	7.5%	40
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	32.5%	32.5%	10.0%	40
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**	5.0%	7.5%	22.5%	20.0%	37.5%	7.5%	40
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	30.0%	40.0%	5.0%	40

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 2333

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 25/29

	A. Procedures and Policies	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

	B. The Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			12.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	60.0%		25

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	52.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.0%		12.0%	20.0%	64.0%		25

	D1. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.0%	12.0%	12.0%	8.0%	56.0%	8.0%	25

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for spring, bar, beam and frame elements	**4.4**			12.5%	33.3%	54.2%		24
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24
Q30	Learned the application of boundary conditions, symmetry conditions, and contact conditions	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q31	Learned the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		24

	G1. Course Difficulty:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	25.0%	58.3%		24

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			4.0%	48.0%	48.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	16.0%	4.0%	12.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	20.0%	52.0%		25

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**		8.7%		30.4%	60.9%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**		4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	65.2%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			8.7%	26.1%	65.2%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 20930

Section: BLEC | **Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria** | **Response Rate: 36/55**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.8%	91.7%	5.6%	36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%	91.7%	5.6%	36

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	8.6%	14.3%	8.6%	25.7%	40.0%	2.9%	35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	17.1%	8.6%	22.9%	14.3%	37.1%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.1**	54.3%	17.1%	8.6%	8.6%	11.4%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.9**	20.0%	25.7%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	2.9%	35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	22.9%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	20.0%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis	**3.8**	5.7%	17.1%	8.6%	28.6%	40.0%		35
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for spring, bar, beam and frame elements	**4.0**	2.9%	11.4%	8.6%	34.3%	42.9%		35
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys	**3.9**	14.3%	2.9%	2.9%	37.1%	42.9%		35
Q30	Learned the application of boundary conditions, symmetry conditions, and contact conditions	**4.1**	5.7%	2.9%	11.4%	31.4%	48.6%		35
Q31	Learned the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains	**3.6**	8.6%	20.0%	5.7%	31.4%	34.3%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	20.0%	22.9%	11.4%	31.4%	14.3%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	11.4%	20.0%	11.4%	25.7%	28.6%	2.9%	35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.9**	28.6%	20.0%	8.6%	14.3%	28.6%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	22.9%	14.3%	20.0%	22.9%	20.0%		35

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	8.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	34.3%		35
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.6**	11.4%	14.3%	14.3%	25.7%	34.3%		35
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.6**	11.4%	11.4%	14.3%	28.6%	34.3%		35
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.7**	8.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	34.3%		35
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.7**	8.6%	11.4%	17.1%	25.7%	37.1%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 1294

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 33/42

	A. Procedures and Policies	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

	B. The Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			3.1%	31.3%	65.6%		32

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			9.1%	21.2%	66.7%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.1%	34.4%	59.4%	3.1%	32

	D1. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.1%	71.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			3.1%	43.8%	53.1%		32

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.3**		3.3%	6.7%	46.7%	43.3%		30
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28

	G1. Course Difficulty:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		32

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.0%		3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.4%	25.0%	65.6%		32

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				41.4%	58.6%		29
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			3.4%	48.3%	48.3%		29
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**	3.4%		13.8%	24.1%	55.2%	3.4%	29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 1294

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 33/42

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			3.1%	31.3%	65.6%		32

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			9.1%	21.2%	66.7%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.1%	34.4%	59.4%	3.1%	32

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.1%	71.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			3.1%	43.8%	53.1%		32

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.3**		3.3%	6.7%	46.7%	43.3%		30
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		32

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.0%		3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.4%	25.0%	65.6%		32

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				41.4%	58.6%		29
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			3.4%	48.3%	48.3%		29
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**	3.4%		13.8%	24.1%	55.2%	3.4%	29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 1294

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 33/42

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			3.1%	31.3%	65.6%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			9.1%	21.2%	66.7%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.1%	34.4%	59.4%	3.1%	32

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.1%	71.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			3.1%	43.8%	53.1%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.3**		3.3%	6.7%	46.7%	43.3%		30
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.0%		3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.4%	25.0%	65.6%		32

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				41.4%	58.6%		29
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			3.4%	48.3%	48.3%		29
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**	3.4%		13.8%	24.1%	55.2%	3.4%	29

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 2055

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 26/37

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.8%	92.3%	3.8%	26

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	84.6%	3.8%	26

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	80.8%	3.8%	26

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			11.5%	15.4%	69.2%	3.8%	26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 2055

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 26/37

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.8%	92.3%	3.8%	26

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	84.6%	3.8%	26

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	80.8%	3.8%	26

	P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			11.5%	15.4%	69.2%	3.8%	26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 2055

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 26/37

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.8%	92.3%	3.8%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	84.6%	3.8%	26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	80.8%	3.8%	26

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			11.5%	15.4%	69.2%	3.8%	26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 2056

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Sutton,Paul J.** | **Response Rate: 45/53**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.6%	4.4%	45
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	45

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			2.2%	4.4%	93.3%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.4%	13.3%	77.8%	2.2%	45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			4.4%	4.4%	88.9%	2.2%	45

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		2.2%	17.8%	4.4%	57.8%	17.8%	45

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			4.4%	15.6%	80.0%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**				2.2%	97.8%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		45

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

ME-UY 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 20933

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon** | **Response Rate: 12/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

MT-UY 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Class Number: 1302

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Naghdi Tam,Mehdi** | **Response Rate: 28/52**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.5%	84.6%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%	96.2%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.8%	26.9%	69.2%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.5%	38.5%	46.2%	3.8%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	19.2%	11.5%	7.7%	38.5%	23.1%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		3.8%	7.7%	30.8%	57.7%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	42.3%	42.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		7.7%		11.5%	80.8%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects and diffusion	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	**4.4**		3.6%	3.6%	39.3%	53.6%		28
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	**4.3**		3.6%	10.7%	35.7%	50.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	3.8%	30.8%	11.5%	26.9%	26.9%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.8%	3.8%	42.3%	50.0%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	3.8%	15.4%	3.8%	30.8%	46.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	3.8%		15.4%	26.9%	50.0%	3.8%	26

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			10.7%	35.7%	53.6%		28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	3.6%		25.0%	35.7%	35.7%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**	3.6%		10.7%	39.3%	46.4%		28
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			17.9%	28.6%	53.6%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**	3.6%		10.7%	32.1%	53.6%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

MT-UY 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Class Number: 2061

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Naghdi Tam,Mehdi

Response Rate: 19/32

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.7%	5.3%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%	89.5%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	5.3%		10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	47.4%	26.3%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		10.5%	5.3%	52.6%	31.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects and diffusion	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.5%	5.3%	52.6%	31.6%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	47.4%	42.1%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		5.3%	26.3%	26.3%	42.1%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			27.8%	27.8%	44.4%		18

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			5.3%	57.9%	36.8%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.7**		5.3%	36.8%	36.8%	21.1%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**			21.1%	47.4%	31.6%		19
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**			31.6%	31.6%	36.8%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			21.1%	42.1%	36.8%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6003: ENGINEERING ECONOMICS

Class Number: 20128

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael** | **Response Rate: 10/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	10.0%	90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		80.0%	20.0%	10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			30.0%		70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			30.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Class Number: 20129

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian** | **Response Rate: 27/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		14.8%	14.8%	29.6%	40.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			3.7%	40.7%	55.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	66.7%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.7%		3.7%	40.7%	51.9%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6193: Production Planning and Control

Class Number: 1771

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew** | **Response Rate: 8/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Class Number: 2031

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Albano,Robert** | **Response Rate: 30/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.7%	93.3%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%	96.7%		30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.3%		30.0%	66.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	3.3%	6.7%		10.0%	80.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.0%	6.7%	26.7%	56.7%		30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.3%		6.7%	23.3%	66.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	23.3%	20.0%	43.3%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		6.9%	3.4%	24.1%	65.5%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			10.3%	24.1%	65.5%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			3.4%	37.9%	58.6%		29

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	33.3%	60.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	70.0%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	80.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.3%	20.0%	6.7%	70.0%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6823: Factory Simulation

Class Number: 1509

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian** | **Response Rate: 21/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%	95.2%		21

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		15.0%	10.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.8%	19.0%	9.5%	19.0%	47.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	47.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	9.5%	9.5%		28.6%	52.4%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	57.1%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	9.5%		9.5%	23.8%	57.1%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.8%	4.8%	52.4%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		9.5%		28.6%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	52.4%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	52.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 6823: Factory Simulation

Class Number: 20973

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 1/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 20130

IE-GY 7763: MANUFACTURING SYSTEM RESOURCES

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Albano,Robert** | **Response Rate: 7/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 7933: Environmental Health and Safety

Class Number: 20131

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael** | **Response Rate: 10/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

IE-GY 7993: SUPPLY CHAIN ENGINEERING

Class Number: 20132

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Walker,William T** | **Response Rate: 27/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	85.2%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 1669

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A** | **Response Rate: 25/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	68.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			8.0%	20.0%	64.0%	8.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			8.0%	28.0%	60.0%	4.0%	25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		4.0%	16.0%	20.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		8.0%	8.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		4.0%	20.0%	8.0%	68.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	64.0%	4.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			12.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		8.0%	8.0%	16.0%	68.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	20.0%	64.0%	4.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	72.0%	4.0%	25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 1670

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Russo,Paul

Response Rate: 17/20

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			23.5%	23.5%	52.9%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.8%	12.5%	68.8%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			18.8%	31.3%	50.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	5.9%	5.9%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 2969

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Eisenhardt,Roger D.

Response Rate: 27/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%		54
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%	48.1%		54

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.4%	42.6%		54
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		1.9%		7.4%	40.7%		54

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	1.9%	5.6%		11.1%	31.5%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		1.9%		9.3%	38.9%		54

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				11.1%	38.9%		54
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.4%	42.6%		54

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		2.1%		12.5%	35.4%		48
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				12.5%	37.5%		48
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		2.1%	2.1%	8.3%	37.5%		48
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				10.4%	39.6%		48

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.7%		14.8%	31.5%		54

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				13.0%	37.0%		54
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				9.3%	40.7%		54
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				11.1%	38.9%		54

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 20732

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 1/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 1576

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure

Response Rate: 22/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.6%	4.5%	63.6%	18.2%	22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				15.0%	80.0%	5.0%	20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				27.3%	68.2%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			4.5%	4.5%	90.9%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**		4.5%			95.5%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 2181

Section: MOT2 | **Primary Instructor: Landry,Dana J** | **Response Rate: 7/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 1376

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A** | **Response Rate: 39/36**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		39

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	10.3%	2.6%	2.6%	20.5%	64.1%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		5.1%	10.3%	23.1%	61.5%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	5.1%	2.6%	2.6%	20.5%	69.2%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.9%	2.6%	26.3%	63.2%		38

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.9%	7.9%	2.6%	26.3%	55.3%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.1%	10.3%	20.5%	64.1%		39

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**	2.6%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	51.3%		39
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**	2.6%	10.3%	7.7%	33.3%	46.2%		39
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**	2.6%	10.3%	7.7%	28.2%	51.3%		39
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		5.1%		30.8%	61.5%	2.6%	39

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.1%		10.3%	35.9%	48.7%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.1%	10.3%	7.7%	17.9%	59.0%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	10.3%	2.6%	10.3%	17.9%	59.0%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.1%	2.6%	5.1%	25.6%	61.5%		39

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 2636

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 33/33

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.0%	9.1%	87.9%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.1%	18.2%	72.7%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			6.1%	15.2%	78.8%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			9.1%	21.2%	69.7%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			15.2%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	27.3%	63.6%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			15.2%	18.2%	66.7%		33

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.1%	3.0%	27.3%	63.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.0%	18.2%	78.8%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		33

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 1377

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth** | **Response Rate: 27/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.4%	92.6%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.3%	3.7%	27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			7.4%	37.0%	55.6%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	51.9%	7.4%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.4%	25.9%	66.7%		27

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			11.1%	37.0%	51.9%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			3.7%	48.1%	48.1%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			3.7%	44.4%	51.9%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			3.7%	44.4%	51.9%		27

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			3.7%	44.4%	51.9%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			11.1%	25.9%	63.0%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.4%	33.3%	59.3%		27

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 3298

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 19/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	31.6%	52.6%	15.8%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			21.1%	31.6%	47.4%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		5.3%		42.1%	52.6%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	52.6%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 2183

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 7/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 1378

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Apicella,Francis X** | **Response Rate: 34/38**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.9%		2.9%	20.6%	73.5%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	2.9%		2.9%	23.5%	70.6%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	20.6%	67.6%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	3.0%		9.1%	18.2%	69.7%		33

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		8.8%	5.9%	41.2%	44.1%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.9%	2.9%	11.8%	23.5%	55.9%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	44.1%	44.1%		34
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	2.9%	8.8%	8.8%	44.1%	35.3%		34
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		5.9%	8.8%	44.1%	41.2%		34
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			14.7%	38.2%	44.1%	2.9%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.9%		11.8%	29.4%	55.9%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	5.9%	8.8%	11.8%	17.6%	55.9%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.8%	8.8%	20.6%	58.8%		34

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 1379

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Watson,Edward G

Response Rate: 25/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**	4.0%			20.0%	76.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		4.0%		16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.0%		8.0%	88.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6113: Career Management

Class Number: 1429

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G** | **Response Rate: 6/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	16.7%	33.3%			50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			16.7%	66.7%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		16.7%	33.3%		50.0%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 1430

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S** | **Response Rate: 26/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%	96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		3.8%		7.7%	88.5%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		7.7%		3.8%	88.5%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.8%		23.1%	73.1%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		7.7%		26.9%	65.4%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**		3.8%		23.1%	73.1%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**	3.8%			26.9%	69.2%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**	3.8%			19.2%	76.9%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**	3.8%		7.7%	7.7%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	76.9%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 3145

Section: LVL1 | **Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S** | **Response Rate: 1/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6133: Labor Relations

Class Number: 1577

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew** | **Response Rate: 4/8**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6223: Staffing Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 1431

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A** | **Response Rate: 16/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		12.5%		43.8%	43.8%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	6.3%		12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	50.0%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6233: Training Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 3148

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Dorin,Philip A

Response Rate: 1/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L21	The instructor was effective communicating or explaining subject matter online	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The online course materials were well organized and easy to follow.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The online assignments (homework, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The instructor was responsiveness to online inquires (email, discussion boards, etc)	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	eCollege Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6283: Web-Based Human Resource Management

Class Number: 1433

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Reilly,John** | **Response Rate: 7/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1380

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Fazio,James** | **Response Rate: 35/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%	94.1%	2.9%	34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.4%	5.7%	5.7%	34.3%	42.9%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	8.6%	11.4%	8.6%	37.1%	31.4%	2.9%	35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	5.7%		2.9%	14.3%	77.1%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	8.6%	17.1%	2.9%	22.9%	45.7%	2.9%	35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	8.6%	14.3%	5.7%	25.7%	45.7%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.9%	11.4%	8.6%	22.9%	54.3%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	2.9%	11.4%	17.1%	37.1%	31.4%		35
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	2.9%	8.6%	11.4%	42.9%	34.3%		35
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	8.6%	2.9%	11.4%	48.6%	28.6%		35
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.7**	11.4%	5.7%	11.4%	40.0%	31.4%		35

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.6%	2.9%	8.6%	37.1%	42.9%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	5.7%	17.1%	5.7%	37.1%	34.3%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%	2.9%	25.7%	42.9%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	2.9%	8.6%	8.6%	28.6%	51.4%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 20160

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Fazio,James

Response Rate: 22/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		9.1%		13.6%	77.3%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				38.1%	61.9%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	4.5%	4.5%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	4.5%		4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 25432

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2182

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 13/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1434

MG-GY 6333: Research Methods in Organizational Behavior & Human Capital Systems

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul** | **Response Rate: 3/7**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 2917

MG-GY 6343: HUMAN CAPITAL ENGINEERING & ANALYTICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Biga,Andrew** **Response Rate: 14/13**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	92.9%		14

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		7.1%	21.4%		71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	64.3%		14

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	7.1%	14.3%		7.1%	71.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	7.1%	14.3%		14.3%	64.3%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	14.3%			35.7%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	14.3%			21.4%	64.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 2917

MG-GY 6343: HUMAN CAPITAL ENGINEERING & ANALYTICS

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent** **Response Rate: 14/13**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%	92.9%		14

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		7.1%	21.4%		71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	64.3%		14

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	7.1%	14.3%		7.1%	71.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	7.1%	14.3%		14.3%	64.3%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	14.3%			35.7%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	14.3%			21.4%	64.3%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 6353: Quality Management

Class Number: 1381

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Brodzinski,Frederick R** | **Response Rate: 15/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	13.3%	86.7%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1382

MG-GY 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bentahar,Abdellatif** | **Response Rate: 35/34**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.7%	94.3%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.7%	37.1%	57.1%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	2.9%		11.4%	40.0%	45.7%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.9%	5.7%		40.0%	51.4%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.4%	25.7%	60.0%		35

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	2.9%	5.7%	8.6%	48.6%	34.3%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.6%	11.4%	20.0%	31.4%	28.6%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	8.8%	8.8%	17.6%	38.2%	26.5%		34
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	2.9%	11.8%	14.7%	44.1%	26.5%		34
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	8.8%	11.8%	14.7%	41.2%	23.5%		34
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**			11.8%	58.8%	29.4%		34

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	2.9%	25.7%	11.4%	34.3%	25.7%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		5.7%	8.6%	51.4%	34.3%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**		11.4%	8.6%	40.0%	40.0%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	8.6%	5.7%	11.4%	31.4%	42.9%		35

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 25433

MG-GY 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Section: I2 | **Primary Instructor: Bentahar,Abdellatif** | **Response Rate: 9/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	22.2%		66.7%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**			44.4%		55.6%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		11.1%	33.3%		55.6%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 2776

MG-GY 6553: Telecommunications Management I

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bhattacharjee,Tushar** | **Response Rate: 11/15**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.2%	81.8%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		18.2%		18.2%	63.6%		11

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	27.3%			36.4%	36.4%		11

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**		36.4%	9.1%	36.4%	18.2%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**			9.1%	72.7%	18.2%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**			18.2%	63.6%	18.2%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**			27.3%	54.5%	18.2%		11

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%	9.1%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		27.3%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7173: Enterprise Data Systems

Class Number: 1383

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman** | **Response Rate: 14/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	28.6%	71.4%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.4%	78.6%		14

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	42.9%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	14.3%	35.7%		21.4%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%		50.0%	35.7%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.4**	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	35.7%	21.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**		21.4%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**		21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	14.3%		7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%		35.7%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%		35.7%	35.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	14.3%	7.1%		28.6%	50.0%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7703: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Class Number: 1417

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kuyan,Vyacheslav

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	7.7%		15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			23.1%	15.4%	61.5%		13

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		7.7%		7.7%	84.6%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7733: SERVICES INNOVATION

Class Number: 2186

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Sitten,Marshall S** | **Response Rate: 9/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1418

MG-GY 7871: INTRODUCTION TO MANAGING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Segalis,Boris** | **Response Rate: 9/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%	77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%	77.8%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	22.2%		11.1%	55.6%	11.1%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%		22.2%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	11.1%		66.7%	11.1%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.6**	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.8**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.7**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%			9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.5**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		11.1%	9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.6**	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%			9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%			9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.3**	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%			9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.3**	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%			9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1419

MG-GY 7873: MANGING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Segalis,Boris** | **Response Rate: 9/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	22.2%	77.8%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%	77.8%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	22.2%		11.1%	55.6%	11.1%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%		22.2%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%			9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	11.1%		66.7%	11.1%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.6**	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.8**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.7**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%			9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.5**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%		11.1%	9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.6**	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%			9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%			9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.3**	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%			9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.3**	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%			9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 7953: Global Innovation

Class Number: 2369

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 30/31

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%	96.7%		30

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	86.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.3%	10.0%	23.3%	63.3%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	13.3%	70.0%		30

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.3%	23.3%	63.3%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**	3.3%	6.7%	6.7%	30.0%	53.3%		30
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	36.7%	53.3%		30
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		6.7%	10.0%	30.0%	53.3%		30
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	63.3%	3.3%	30

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		10.0%		33.3%	56.7%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	3.3%	10.0%	3.3%	26.7%	56.7%		30

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1420

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Bracamonte,Denise A** | **Response Rate: 17/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%	94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%		47.1%	35.3%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	11.8%	11.8%		41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	35.3%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	23.5%	35.3%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	29.4%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	35.3%	29.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%	18.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	11.8%	5.9%		47.1%	35.3%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	35.3%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.8%		23.5%	11.8%	52.9%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1420

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Hoshovsky,Bohdan** | **Response Rate: 17/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%	94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%	93.8%		16

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%		47.1%	35.3%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	11.8%	11.8%		41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	35.3%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	23.5%	35.3%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	52.9%	29.4%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	35.3%	29.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%	18.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	11.8%	5.9%		47.1%	35.3%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	35.3%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.8%		23.5%	11.8%	52.9%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1671

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 17/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	93.3%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.9%		5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%		43.8%	43.8%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	35.3%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.8%		11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1528

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8643: NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

Class Number: 1421

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Paguaga,James** | **Response Rate: 15/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1579

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded** | **Response Rate: 8/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%	85.7%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**			37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%		37.5%	12.5%	8

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%		50.0%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	14.3%			57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.1**	14.3%	28.6%		42.9%	14.3%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%		57.1%	14.3%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%		57.1%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		25.0%		62.5%	12.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.9**	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1529

MG-GY 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Section: MOT | **Primary Instructor: Gilmont,Ernest R** | **Response Rate: 27/28**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.7%	96.3%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%	84.6%		26

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				36.0%	64.0%		25

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			14.8%	22.2%	63.0%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	19.2%	57.7%		26

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	3.7%	14.8%	7.4%	18.5%	55.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	64.0%		25

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	3.7%	11.1%	3.7%	29.6%	51.9%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**		18.5%	3.7%	25.9%	51.9%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		14.8%	11.1%	14.8%	59.3%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	18.5%	63.0%		27

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.4%	3.7%	18.5%	18.5%	51.9%		27

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		7.4%	11.1%	14.8%	66.7%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		11.5%	3.8%	34.6%	50.0%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	19.2%	57.7%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 8673: Technology Strategy

Class Number: 1422

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 22/23

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			9.1%	50.0%	40.9%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		13.6%	9.1%	31.8%	45.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			9.1%	31.8%	59.1%		22

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			31.8%	31.8%	36.4%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		9.1%	13.6%	36.4%	40.9%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	54.5%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		4.5%	18.2%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		4.5%	18.2%	31.8%	45.5%		22

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			13.6%	50.0%	36.4%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.5%	13.6%	22.7%	59.1%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**		9.1%	13.6%	22.7%	50.0%	4.5%	22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		4.5%	18.2%	13.6%	59.1%	4.5%	22

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 20163

MG-GY 8721: Introduction to Managing Growing Enterprises

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary** | **Response Rate: 2/9**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 20165

MG-GY 8731: INTRO TO CORPORATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary** | **Response Rate: 4/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 25530

MG-GY 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

Section: MOT

Response Rate: 10/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%	80.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			30.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**			44.4%	44.4%	11.1%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%		60.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**			40.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**			20.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	10.0%			50.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9433: QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS

Class Number: 2189

Section: BK01

Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure

Response Rate: 6/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 20170

MG-GY 9703: PROJECT IN STRATEGY & INNOVATION MGMT

Section: BKLN | **Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded** | **Response Rate: 20/24**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	21.1%	78.9%		19

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	15.0%	25.0%	5.0%	30.0%	25.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	5.3%	31.6%	15.8%	21.1%	26.3%		19

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	10.0%	15.0%	30.0%	15.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	20.0%	25.0%		35.0%	20.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	30.0%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	20.0%		20

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.2**	21.1%	10.5%	15.8%	31.6%	21.1%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.4**	21.1%	10.5%	5.3%	36.8%	26.3%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**	26.3%	10.5%		36.8%	26.3%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.4**	15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	26.3%	26.3%		19

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	20.0%	15.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	15.0%	20.0%	15.0%	30.0%	20.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.8**	30.0%	15.0%	20.0%	15.0%	20.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.7**	25.0%	30.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20.0%		20

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-GY 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2632

Section: 55BA | **Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek** | **Response Rate: 17/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.9%	94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.3%	86.7%		15

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	5.9%				94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%		17

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		5.9%			94.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 1359

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Deak,Anthony M.** | **Response Rate: 3/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 2947

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Deak,Anthony M.** | **Response Rate: 18/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.4%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		16.7%	5.6%	33.3%	44.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		27.8%	22.2%	27.8%	22.2%		18

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	5.6%		11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.6%	22.2%	11.1%	16.7%	44.4%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		11.8%		41.2%	47.1%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		5.9%		47.1%	47.1%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	29.4%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.6%		11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1360

MG-UY 2004: Management of Information Technology and Systems

Section: A **Primary Instructor: Hyman,Alan Samuel** **Response Rate: 36/45**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.2%	2.8%	36

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.8%	2.8%	16.7%	36.1%	41.7%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	33.3%	55.6%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			11.1%	52.8%	36.1%		36

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		8.3%	11.1%	44.4%	36.1%		36
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	44.4%		36

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	8.3%	2.8%	33.3%	25.0%	27.8%	2.8%	36
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	30.6%	2.8%	36
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**	5.6%	2.8%	25.0%	36.1%	27.8%	2.8%	36
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.7**	5.6%	5.6%	19.4%	47.2%	19.4%	2.8%	36

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.6%		33.3%	33.3%	27.8%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.6%	2.8%	16.7%	41.7%	30.6%	2.8%	36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	5.6%		25.0%	30.6%	33.3%	5.6%	36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	5.6%		27.8%	41.7%	22.2%	2.8%	36

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 2104: Organizational Behavior

Class Number: 1361

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Chazin,Ethan** | **Response Rate: 28/41**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.6%	3.6%	92.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 2204: Financial Accounting

Class Number: 1362

Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 26/41

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	3.8%		19.2%	11.5%	65.4%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		11.5%		7.7%	80.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		11.5%		19.2%	69.2%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	12.0%	64.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	44.0%	32.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%		44.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		8.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%	4.0%	25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			8.0%	36.0%	52.0%	4.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.5%	7.7%	34.6%	46.2%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			15.4%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			11.5%	42.3%	46.2%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 2304: Marketing

Class Number: 1363

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Kupferman,Howard A.** | **Response Rate: 11/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	27.3%	72.7%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		18.2%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%		18.2%	18.2%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.8**		18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.2**	36.4%		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	27.3%		9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 3002: Project Management

Class Number: 1364

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nelson,Carl** | **Response Rate: 26/32**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	34.6%	61.5%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	34.6%	65.4%		26

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	65.4%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	42.3%	38.5%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		19.2%	11.5%	30.8%	38.5%		26

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	3.8%	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	34.6%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	30.8%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	7.7%	3.8%	30.8%	23.1%	34.6%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**		19.2%	11.5%	30.8%	38.5%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		7.7%	26.9%	30.8%	34.6%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		3.8%	15.4%	46.2%	34.6%		26

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		11.5%	19.2%	38.5%	26.9%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	3.8%	15.4%	19.2%	23.1%	38.5%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	34.6%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	19.2%	30.8%	26.9%		26

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1365

MG-UY 3024: Management of Data Communications and Networking

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Hyman,Alan Samuel** | **Response Rate: 19/24**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.3%		5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.3%		5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			15.8%	26.3%	52.6%	5.3%	19

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	10.5%		10.5%	31.6%	47.4%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.5**	5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	47.4%	15.8%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**		5.3%	26.3%	52.6%	15.8%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.1**	10.5%	15.8%	36.8%	26.3%	10.5%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**			15.8%	52.6%	31.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	42.1%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	5.3%		10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	5.3%		21.1%	31.6%	36.8%	5.3%	19

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 3204: Introduction to Finance

Class Number: 1366

Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 21/37

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		81.0%	4.8%	21

	D1. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	71.4%		21

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	66.7%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		4.8%		28.6%	66.7%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**		4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	81.0%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	66.7%		21

	G1. Course Difficulty:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	61.9%		21

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**		4.8%		19.0%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

Class Number: 1367

MG-UY 4004: Management Strategy in Technology Sectors

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Cohen,Martin J** | **Response Rate: 10/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 4214: Financial Strategy

Class Number: 1372

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T** | **Response Rate: 11/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%		81.8%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MG-UY 4404: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Class Number: 1373

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schmidt,Thomas M

Response Rate: 23/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			8.7%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			13.0%	13.0%	73.9%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		8.7%		34.8%	56.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.7%		21.7%	69.6%		23

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				30.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	4.3%		8.7%	21.7%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	26.1%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		4.3%	21.7%	13.0%	56.5%	4.3%	23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		8.7%	4.3%	21.7%	60.9%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		13.0%	8.7%	21.7%	56.5%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	73.9%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	4.3%		4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN-GY 6513: DESIGN STRATEGIES

Class Number: 20591

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip** | **Response Rate: 10/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	90.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		20.0%		30.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**		30.0%		20.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		20.0%		30.0%	50.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN-GY 7503: TARGET COSTING

Class Number: 20134

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Albano,Robert** | **Response Rate: 9/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management

MN-GY 7893: Production Science

Class Number: 2546

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi

Response Rate: 25/25

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.0%	16.0%	12.0%	28.0%	40.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	36.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.0%		8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		8.0%		32.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**	4.0%			36.0%	60.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	16.0%	64.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**	4.0%		4.0%	40.0%	52.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	4.0%	4.0%		36.0%	56.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	4.0%		16.0%	24.0%	56.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

AH-UY 2114: History of Art as Techne

Class Number: 20185

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tannenbaum,Sondra

Response Rate: 6/27

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**		33.3%	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			20.0%		60.0%	20.0%	5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**4.2**			33.3%		50.0%	16.7%	6
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**4.2**		16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**3.6**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%		50.0%	16.7%	6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.0**	16.7%			16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%		50.0%	16.7%	6
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%		50.0%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM-UY 2204: Disability Studies

Class Number: 19988

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 6/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

CAM-UY 3004: Special Topics in Culture, Arts and Media

Class Number: 21458

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Mulcahy,Francis D** | **Response Rate: 3/25**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 6043: Theories and Cultural Impact of Media & Technology

Class Number: 20035

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Toolin,Jack Craig** | **Response Rate: 1/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 6063: Creative Coding

Class Number: 20036

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dubois,Roger Luke

Response Rate: 3/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 6103: Live Performance Studio

Class Number: 1486

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Johnson,Deborah** | **Response Rate: 1/12**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 6123: Cinema Studio

Class Number: 1487

Section: I | **Primary Instructor: Jones,Arthur** | **Response Rate: 3/14**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%	33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	66.7%	33.3%		3

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 21149

Section: K | **Primary Instructor: Abe,Kaho** | **Response Rate: 5/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		80.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 24990

Section: L | **Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L** | **Response Rate: 1/23**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20044

DM-GY 9963: MS Pre-Thesis in Digital Media: Research Methods

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L** | **Response Rate: 3/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-GY 9990: Graduate Colloquium

Class Number: 20045

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Macmillan,Brian** | **Response Rate: 6/51**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Class Number: 1442

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kato,HIdeki

Response Rate: 7/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		85.7%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**				71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Class Number: 1435

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Duff,Deangela L** | **Response Rate: 4/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%		50.0%	25.0%	4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20033

DM-UY 1133: Creative Coding

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dubois,Roger Luke

Response Rate: 1/20

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 2133: 3D Modeling

Class Number: 1446

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L

Response Rate: 2/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%	50.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 2193: Intro to Web Development

Class Number: 2062

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: John,Benson** | **Response Rate: 4/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 2263: Still and Moving Images

Class Number: 20599

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Winer,Gabriel

Response Rate: 3/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 3113: Contemporary Techniques in Sound Art

Class Number: 1751

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Schumacher,Michael J** | **Response Rate: 3/10**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	66.7%	33.3%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	66.7%	33.3%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 3153: Media in Game Design and Development

Class Number: 2916

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Pizza,Toni** | **Response Rate: 6/14**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 3193: Dynamic Web Applications

Class Number: 1640

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Duff,Deangela L** | **Response Rate: 5/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 4913: Special Topics in Digital Media

Class Number: 1643

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Kato,HIdeki

Response Rate: 2/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

DM-UY 4913: Special Topics in Digital Media

Class Number: 2693

Section: G | **Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L** | **Response Rate: 2/5**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		50.0%	50.0%	2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			100.0%	2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN-UY 1094W: Introduction to College Writing

Class Number: 19993

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 1/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course taught you techniques to improve your reading ability.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	This course taught you how to recognize and correct errors in your grammar.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	This course taught you how to recognize and improve weaknesses in your writing.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	This course taught you how to communicate your ideas more clearly in writing.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q31	This course challenged you to think more critically about your opinions and to support those opinions with evidence.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN-UY 1094W: Introduction to College Writing

Class Number: 25119

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Wishengrad,Cindy L

Response Rate: 1/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course taught you techniques to improve your reading ability.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	This course taught you how to recognize and correct errors in your grammar.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	This course taught you how to recognize and improve weaknesses in your writing.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q30	This course taught you how to communicate your ideas more clearly in writing.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q31	This course challenged you to think more critically about your opinions and to support those opinions with evidence.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 19989

EN-UY 2114W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I: POETRY AS DESIGN

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan** | **Response Rate: 4/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 19994

EN-UY 2134W: The Novella: Between the Short Story and the Novel

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia** | **Response Rate: 2/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course has taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q28	This course has taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	This course has taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.0**			100.0%				2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20187

EN-UY 2194W: THE RISE OF THE GRAPHIC NOVEL

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Feroli,Teresa M** | **Response Rate: 5/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN-UY 2334W: THE INVENTION OF THE WORD

Class Number: 20189

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 4/17

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN-UY 3144W: FORMAL APPROACHES TO ART & POETRY

Class Number: 20190

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan** | **Response Rate: 6/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN-UY 3154: Fantasy Workshop

Class Number: 19992

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schreiber,Samuel G

Response Rate: 9/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	66.7%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**				77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**			55.6%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

EN-UY 3194W: ETHICAL QUESTIONS IN LITERATURE

Class Number: 20191

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia** | **Response Rate: 3/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	This course taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q29	This course taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q30	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q31	This course helped you understand the ethical choices demanded of scientists.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**3.0**	33.3%			66.7%			3
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 19999

HI-UY 2234: Introduction to the History of Western Technology

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher** | **Response Rate: 7/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	14.3%		14.3%	71.4%			7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

HI-UY 2264: The Ship

Class Number: 19995

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 2/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%	50.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 2718

HI-UY 2353: HISTORY OF NYC TRANSIT SYSTEM

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Roess,Roger P.** | **Response Rate: 28/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.6%	96.4%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			3.6%	25.0%	60.7%	10.7%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	28

D1. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	60.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			17.9%	25.0%	57.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.6%	17.9%	7.1%	39.3%	32.1%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			10.7%	35.7%	53.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

HI-UY 3034: History of New York's Urban Infrastructure

Class Number: 19996

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Soffer,Jonathan M** | **Response Rate: 5/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**		60.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.3**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		40.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

HI-UY 3244: THE HISTORY OF LIGHT

Class Number: 19998

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Bain,Jonathan** | **Response Rate: 8/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		12.5%	12.5%	75.0%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			25.0%	62.5%	12.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20192

MD-UY 2164W: History and Social Impact of Mass Media Communications

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Hockenberry,Matthew** | **Response Rate: 4/13**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		75.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course introduced me to a variety of from the early modern period to the turn of the century, including the history of mass media technology, the mass dissemination of news and its effects on popular culture, the role of the media in the development	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	This course has taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q29	This course taught you how to read historical material critically.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

MD-UY 2314: Interactive Narrative

Class Number: 20000

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Despont,Catherine L** | **Response Rate: 4/16**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

MD-UY 4164W: Critical Studies in Digital Art

Class Number: 20926

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Chuk,Natasha A** | **Response Rate: 6/15**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			16.7%		66.7%	16.7%	6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course has taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	**3.8**	16.7%			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q28	This course has taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**3.6**		16.7%	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%	6
Q29	This course has challenged you to think more critically about your opinions and to support those opinions with evidence.	**4.2**			33.3%		50.0%	16.7%	6
Q30	This course has taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	**4.2**			33.3%		50.0%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	6

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL-UY 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 20194

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I** | **Response Rate: 7/37**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**			28.6%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.3%			71.4%	14.3%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL-UY 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 20195

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I** | **Response Rate: 9/39**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			44.4%	44.4%	11.1%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.6**		11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PL-UY 2254: Science and Pseudoscience

Class Number: 20002

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Caomhanach,Nuala** | **Response Rate: 7/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		85.7%	14.3%	7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			28.6%		57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS-UY 2324W: Environmental Psychology

Class Number: 20196

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Wener,Richard** | **Response Rate: 10/20**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**3.8**		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS-UY 2614: Psychology of the Internet

Class Number: 20197

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P** | **Response Rate: 11/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	9.1%		9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course has provided me with an understanding of the breadth of Psychology as a discipline.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	I was given the opportunity to think critically about the way psychology experiments are designed, the methods used, how data are collected, and the way in which data are interpreted.	**4.3**	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q29	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q30	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q31	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.0**			36.4%	27.3%	36.4%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	9.1%			54.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS-UY 3164: HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY

Class Number: 20004

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lytle,Ashley Elizabeth

Response Rate: 23/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.7%	13.0%	73.9%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.0%	82.6%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	60.9%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	47.8%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.3%		26.1%	65.2%	4.3%	23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**		4.3%		4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	69.6%	4.3%	23

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS-UY 3604: PSYCHOLOGY OF INTERNET SECURITY

Class Number: 20005

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P** | **Response Rate: 12/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		12

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		8.3%	41.7%	8.3%	41.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course has provided me with an understanding of the breadth of Psychology as a discipline.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q28	I was given the opportunity to think critically about the way psychology experiments are designed, the methods used, how data are collected, and the way in which data are interpreted.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

PS-UY 3694: HUMOR AND MODERN MEDIA

Class Number: 20006

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P** | **Response Rate: 7/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	28.6%		14.3%		57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

SEG-UY 2184: Beyond Oil: Fueling Tomorrow's Vehicles

Class Number: 20008

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Scheiner,Lowell** | **Response Rate: 3/14**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 1002: Introduction to Science and Technology Studies

Class Number: 20013

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Matz,Brendan** | **Response Rate: 5/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2214: MEDICAL ETHICS

Class Number: 20199

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Stein,Jordan

Response Rate: 4/29

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		25.0%	50.0%		25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2244W: MAGIC, MEDICINE, AND SCIENCE

Class Number: 20016

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Roane,James** | **Response Rate: 8/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2604: Ethics and Engineering

Class Number: 20014

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Silberman,Jared H

Response Rate: 10/39

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	10.0%		30.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2624W: THE RHETORIC OF SCIENCE

Class Number: 20019

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Holtzman,Geoffrey** | **Response Rate: 7/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	14.3%	85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20911

STS-UY 2904: Special Topics in STS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I** | **Response Rate: 9/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			44.4%	11.1%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 2904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 25070

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Andersen,Barbara Anne

Response Rate: 2/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 3214: SCIENCE & FEMINISM

Class Number: 20198

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Maldonado-Salcedo,Melissa

Response Rate: 5/28

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 3434W: Hypermedia in Context

Class Number: 20913

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher

Response Rate: 2/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

STS-UY 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 20912

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Matz,Brendan** | **Response Rate: 5/26**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB-UY 2034: Humans in the Urban Environment

Class Number: 25081

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S** | **Response Rate: 4/11**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q31	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB-UY 2054W: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN POLICY

Class Number: 20029

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Faber,Jacob William** | **Response Rate: 8/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.3**	12.5%		12.5%		75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

URB-UY 2224: Natural Environment of New York City

Class Number: 20201

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S** | **Response Rate: 5/30**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**3.4**			80.0%		20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20028

URB-UY 2334: INTRODUCTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Dittrick,Diane Kender** | **Response Rate: 4/18**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		75.0%	25.0%	4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20021

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: A | **Primary Instructor: Mamo,Thewodros Getachew** | **Response Rate: 3/17**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%	66.7%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20022

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: B | **Primary Instructor: Woods,David W** | **Response Rate: 2/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20023

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: C | **Primary Instructor: RAE,RUTH** | **Response Rate: 2/8**

	A. Procedures and Policies	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

	B. The Instructor	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	D. Instruction	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

	G1. Course Difficulty	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

	H. Overall Evaluations	Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20024

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: D | **Primary Instructor: Bloom,Nicholas D.** | **Response Rate: 4/29**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture and Society

Class Number: 20025

URB-UY 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Section: E | **Primary Instructor: Gladstone,Susan** | **Response Rate: 4/28**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Class Number: 2564

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Barnes,Melissa

Response Rate: 2/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Class Number: 2564

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Guyden,Lisa Jaye

Response Rate: 2/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Class Number: 2564

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Jemison,Nora Keita

Response Rate: 2/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Class Number: 3249

Section: D **Primary Instructor: Barnes,Melissa** **Response Rate: 2/19**

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Class Number: 3249

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Jemison,Nora Keita

Response Rate: 2/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 104: STUDY SKILLS

Class Number: 3249

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Norman,Isabel D

Response Rate: 2/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Class Number: 2566

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Barnes,Melissa

Response Rate: 3/84

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Class Number: 2566

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jemison,Nora Keita

Response Rate: 3/84

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Class Number: 2566

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kendall,Stephen

Response Rate: 3/84

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU POLYTECHNIC SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2014 Course Evaluation - Results

General Studies

GS-UY 105: MATH SEMINAR

Class Number: 2566

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lellis,Paulo

Response Rate: 3/84

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3