NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1032: CHEMISTRY, THE CENTRAL SCIENCE

Class Number: 17102

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Abraham

Response Rate: 24/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			91.7%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			25.0%		66.7%	8.3%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			25.0%	8.3%	58.3%	8.3%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	75.0%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		4.3%	34.8%		56.5%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	62.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		4.2%	20.8%	20.8%	54.2%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 8073: Organic Spectroscopy

Class Number: 16280

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Abraham

Response Rate: 14/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	7.1%		21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	50.0%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		21.4%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.1%		35.7%	21.4%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.1%		14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3313: Introduction to Transportation Systems

Class Number: 22247

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Sanchez,Luis

Response Rate: 13/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamental conceptual elements of transportation systems.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	I can describe the approach used to analyze and design transportation systems.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q29	I understand the context within which transportation systems operate and a characterization of their behavior.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8703: Managing and Leading in the 21st Century

Class Number: 23274

Section: EXEC

Primary Instructor: Di Bernardo,Albert

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	78.6%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 5303: INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 16231

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 6/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		83.3%	16.7%	6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	16.7%	33.3%		16.7%	33.3%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**2.5**	50.0%	16.7%			33.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**2.5**	50.0%	16.7%			33.3%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.8**	16.7%			33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.4**	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.2**		16.7%	66.7%		16.7%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**2.8**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		33.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.2**	50.0%			33.3%		16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 16535

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 22/33

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.5%	95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%	95.5%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	4.5%		13.6%	31.8%	50.0%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	9.1%	54.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	76.2%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			19.0%	33.3%	47.6%		21

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**	9.1%		22.7%	18.2%	50.0%		22
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.1**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	59.1%		22
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	27.3%	50.0%		22
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.9**	4.5%	13.6%	13.6%	27.3%	40.9%		22
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.2**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		22
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**	9.1%		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		22
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**	4.5%		22.7%	22.7%	50.0%		22
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**	4.5%		31.8%	18.2%	45.5%		22
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**	9.1%		22.7%	13.6%	54.5%		22
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.1**	9.1%		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		22
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.9**	4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	18.2%	40.9%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.1%	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	45.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Class Number: 17176

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 22/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.5%			90.9%	4.5%	22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	54.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.5%		31.8%	63.6%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		4.5%		22.7%	72.7%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	81.8%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Class Number: 17385

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 1/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Class Number: 17272

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 6/5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Class Number: 17273

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 6/5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Class Number: 17274

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 11/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Class Number: 17275

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 3/3

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Class Number: 17278

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 33/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.0%			97.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.0%			97.0%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	3.0%			6.1%	90.9%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	3.0%			12.1%	84.8%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	72.7%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**	3.0%			12.1%	81.8%	3.0%	33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.0%		15.2%	81.8%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	3.0%		6.1%	21.2%	69.7%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	30.3%	60.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		3.0%		15.2%	81.8%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**	3.0%			12.1%	84.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		6.1%	3.0%	15.2%	75.8%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4283: Wireless Information Systems Laboratory II

Class Number: 17729

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 15/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			13.3%	6.7%	73.3%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6373: Programming Languages

Class Number: 16240

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Viega,John Timothy

Response Rate: 31/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.7%			90.3%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	9.7%	9.7%	6.5%	35.5%	38.7%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	12.9%	12.9%	9.7%	29.0%	35.5%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	25.8%	22.6%	16.1%	19.4%	16.1%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	12.9%	29.0%	9.7%	22.6%	25.8%		31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	25.8%	9.7%		41.9%	22.6%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	19.4%	16.1%	19.4%	29.0%	16.1%		31

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	22.6%	25.8%	6.5%	25.8%	19.4%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	22.6%	12.9%	3.2%	29.0%	32.3%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.9**	29.0%	19.4%	3.2%	29.0%	19.4%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	25.8%	25.8%	6.5%	19.4%	22.6%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6831: COMPUTATIONAL FINANCE LABORATORY

Class Number: 16588

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Rubinraut,Moise

Response Rate: 9/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	11.1%		33.3%		55.6%		9

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	11.1%	22.2%			66.7%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6033: SELECTED TOPICS IN STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS I

Class Number: 24659

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Petrov,Georgi Ivanov

Response Rate: 7/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 3254W: PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

Class Number: 17508

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Stein,Jordan

Response Rate: 15/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.3**			6.7%	60.0%	33.3%		15
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1299

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 139/216

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		0.7%			96.4%	2.9%	138
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.7%			89.6%	0.7%	134

B. The Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.4%	4.4%	30.9%	59.6%	0.7%	136
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.6%	16.1%	26.3%	48.2%	2.9%	137

B. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.4%	9.3%	40.3%	44.2%	0.8%	129

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.3%	12.4%	10.2%	40.9%	28.5%	0.7%	137
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	5.8%	21.0%	14.5%	34.8%	22.5%	1.4%	138

D1. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	2.2%	8.7%	15.2%	40.6%	32.6%	0.7%	138
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.2%	8.7%	38.4%	40.6%	1.4%	138

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.2**	1.5%	5.4%	6.2%	46.2%	40.8%		130
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	13.1%	36.9%	43.1%	0.8%	130

F. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	0.8%	5.4%	4.6%	24.6%	64.6%		130
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.8%	13.1%	12.3%	40.0%	30.0%	0.8%	130

G1. Course Difficulty:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	1.4%	8.0%	16.7%	42.0%	30.4%	1.4%	138

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.1%	15.2%	41.3%	34.1%	1.4%	138
Q41	Overall, I would recommend the Instructor.	**4.1**	0.7%	3.6%	17.4%	37.0%	39.9%	1.4%	138
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	6.5%	7.2%	18.1%	33.3%	32.6%	2.2%	138

P. ABET-As a result of taking this course, I have enhanced my ability to:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**3.9**	1.5%	9.2%	16.2%	41.5%	30.8%	0.8%	130
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	1.5%	7.7%	19.2%	37.7%	33.1%	0.8%	130
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	7.7%	36.2%	50.0%	1.5%	130
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	2.3%	3.8%	8.5%	41.5%	43.1%	0.8%	130
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.5%	3.1%	12.3%	42.3%	39.2%	1.5%	130

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Class Number: 1299

Section: A2LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 139/216

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7893: Production Science

Class Number: 16566

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi

Response Rate: 18/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.6%			94.4%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			83.3%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	11.1%		5.6%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	50.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%	5.6%	44.4%	27.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		11.1%	5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		5.6%	16.7%	44.4%	33.3%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	50.0%	27.8%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	11.1%		5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%		33.3%	44.4%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		16.7%	22.2%	27.8%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.6%		5.6%	50.0%	38.9%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	33.3%	44.4%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.6%		16.7%	38.9%	38.9%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8263: Construction Cost Estimating

Class Number: 17001

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Hossain,Faruque

Response Rate: 26/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.0%			96.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.7%	3.8%	88.5%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	11.5%	65.4%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.0%	16.0%	4.0%	76.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		3.8%		7.7%	88.5%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 16199

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Apicella,Francis X

Response Rate: 33/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			96.9%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.0%	24.2%	72.7%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			12.1%	9.1%	78.8%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	21.2%	72.7%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.0%	30.3%	66.7%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.1%	21.9%	75.0%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**	3.0%		6.1%	30.3%	60.6%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**	3.0%			42.4%	54.5%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**	3.0%		3.0%	30.3%	63.6%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**	3.0%		3.0%	36.4%	57.6%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	69.7%	3.0%	33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			3.0%	27.3%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			3.0%	18.2%	75.8%	3.0%	33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 4824: TOPICS IN BIOLOGY

Class Number: 23881

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dura-Bernal,Salvadore

Response Rate: 16/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		18.8%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%	12.5%	12.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	31.3%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	18.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		18.8%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 16819

Section: I

BT 6063: Immunology: Concepts, Mechanisms and Applications in Biotechnology

Primary Instructor: Sumen,Cenk

Response Rate: 30/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.3%	6.7%	6.7%	36.7%	46.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	3.3%			20.0%	76.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			16.7%	26.7%	56.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 17662

Section: E3

Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane

Response Rate: 1/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2004: Symbolic Logic

Class Number: 17511

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bain,Jonathan

Response Rate: 17/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			17.6%	11.8%	70.6%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		11.8%		23.5%	64.7%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.4**			17.6%	29.4%	52.9%		17
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.2**			17.6%	41.2%	41.2%		17
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.2**			23.5%	29.4%	47.1%		17
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.2**			25.0%	31.3%	43.8%		16
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.0**			35.3%	23.5%	35.3%	5.9%	17
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.0**			41.2%	11.8%	41.2%	5.9%	17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2004: Symbolic Logic

Class Number: 17511

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bain,Jonathan

Response Rate: 17/28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**3.9**			41.2%	17.6%	35.3%	5.9%	17
A31	I have enhanced my ability to understand some important contemporary events/issues	**4.1**			35.3%	23.5%	41.2%		17
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			29.4%	23.5%	47.1%		17
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			35.3%	11.8%	47.1%	5.9%	17
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.9**			41.2%	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%	17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**			29.4%	29.4%	41.2%		17
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.0**			35.3%	29.4%	35.3%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			35.3%	29.4%	35.3%		17
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**			35.3%	17.6%	47.1%		17
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**			35.3%	23.5%	41.2%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**			35.3%	23.5%	41.2%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2274: Space and Spacetime

Class Number: 22259

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bain,Jonathan

Response Rate: 19/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		5.3%	10.5%		84.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			15.8%	10.5%	73.7%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	73.7%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 21573

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Simsek,Nil

Response Rate: 41/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	2.4%	2.4%		14.6%	80.5%		41
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%	2.5%	22.5%	65.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	9.8%	22.0%		19.5%	48.8%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.9%	7.3%	2.4%	29.3%	56.1%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.9%	7.3%		26.8%	61.0%		41
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	51.2%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		4.9%	2.4%	22.0%	70.7%		41
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		7.3%	2.4%	29.3%	61.0%		41
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		7.3%	7.3%	22.0%	63.4%		41
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		7.3%	9.8%	17.1%	65.9%		41

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.5%	7.5%	10.0%	22.5%	52.5%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.9%	2.4%	22.0%	70.7%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	22.0%	63.4%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.9%	4.9%		26.8%	63.4%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17309

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 11/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17310

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 11/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.1%			81.8%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11

A3. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.8**	18.2%		18.2%	9.1%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	18.2%		9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17326

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 16/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17327

Section: A3RC

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.1%			92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	80.0%	6.7%	15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	80.0%	6.7%	15

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	80.0%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**		6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	80.0%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	80.0%	6.7%	15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17574

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 16/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				6.3%	81.3%	12.5%	16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		6.3%		37.5%	43.8%	12.5%	16

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	81.3%	12.5%	16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**		6.3%			81.3%	12.5%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.8%	68.8%	12.5%	16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	62.5%	12.5%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.3%	31.3%	50.0%	12.5%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				6.3%	81.3%	12.5%	16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.8%	68.8%	12.5%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17575

Section: A4RC

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 16/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	80.0%	13.3%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					93.8%	6.3%	16

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					93.3%	6.7%	15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**5.0**					93.8%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

Class Number: 17191

Section: A

CBE 1002: INTRODUCTION TO CHEMICAL & BIOMOLECULAR ENGINEERING

Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan

Response Rate: 43/66

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.8%			92.9%	2.4%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		43

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.3%	16.3%	81.4%		43
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		2.4%	2.4%	38.1%	54.8%	2.4%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	2.3%		4.7%	11.6%	81.4%		43
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.6%	2.3%	23.3%	62.8%		43

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		7.0%	9.3%	37.2%	46.5%		43
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			4.7%	39.5%	55.8%		43

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have a better understanding of my chosen major	**4.4**		5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	60.0%		40
Q28	I have a fundamental understanding of many processes used in chem and bio engineering.	**4.3**			10.0%	45.0%	45.0%		40

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			11.6%	44.2%	44.2%		43
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		2.3%	18.6%	25.6%	53.5%		43
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		2.3%	18.6%	27.9%	51.2%		43

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4173: Polymeric Materials

Class Number: 17167

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan

Response Rate: 36/50

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.8%	97.2%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%	97.1%		34

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.8%		8.3%	88.9%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		8.3%	16.7%	22.2%	52.8%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	2.8%	2.8%	11.1%	16.7%	66.7%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	30.6%	11.1%	5.6%	19.4%	33.3%		36

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	33.3%	58.3%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.9%		5.7%	37.1%	54.3%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Through this course I developed a better understanding of my professional and ethical responsibilities.	**3.7**	8.3%	2.8%	27.8%	25.0%	33.3%	2.8%	36
Q28	My ability to prepare and present an oral report was enhanced through this course.	**2.9**	22.2%	13.9%	33.3%	8.3%	19.4%	2.8%	36
Q29	I am aware of current trends and contemporary issues with polymeric materials.	**4.2**	2.8%	5.6%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		36
Q30	I have a greater understanding of the fundamental principles of the science and engineering of macromolecules.	**4.5**	2.8%			38.9%	58.3%		36
Q31	I have an understanding of the physical, electrical and optical properties of polymers and polymer blends.	**4.3**	2.8%	5.6%		47.2%	44.4%		36

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.6%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	27.8%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.8%	5.6%	22.2%	69.4%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	19.4%	55.6%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	2.8%	2.8%	8.3%	33.3%	52.8%		36

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**	2.8%	5.6%	13.9%	25.0%	52.8%		36
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**	2.8%	5.6%	11.1%	30.6%	50.0%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6091: Financial Econometrics

Class Number: 16743

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 11/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			81.8%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		18.2%	36.4%	18.2%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			54.5%	9.1%	36.4%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	9.1%	18.2%		18.2%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.1%		18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6351: Econometrics and Time Series Analysis

Class Number: 16593

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 13/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6871: R in Finance

Class Number: 23251

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 5/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6163: Finite Element Methods

Class Number: 23232

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khair-Eldin,Omar

Response Rate: 6/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%	83.3%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 3214: SCIENCE & FEMINISM

Class Number: 17704

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Maldonado-Salcedo,Melissa

Response Rate: 28/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.6%	10.7%	85.7%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			3.6%	3.6%	92.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			3.6%	3.6%	92.9%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6203: Project Planning and Control (Project Management)

Class Number: 16564

Section: I

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 17/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			88.2%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				52.9%	47.1%		17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			6.3%	56.3%	37.5%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		11.8%	5.9%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	52.9%	23.5%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**		11.8%		58.8%	29.4%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	41.2%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%	6.3%	31.3%	37.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			5.9%	76.5%	17.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	35.3%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	17.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16335

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 33/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.1%			83.9%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		9.1%	21.2%	30.3%	39.4%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.0%	9.1%	15.2%	24.2%	45.5%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.0%	15.2%	21.2%	60.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.1%	12.1%	24.2%	15.2%	36.4%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		12.1%	30.3%	18.2%	39.4%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		33

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.6**	3.1%	18.8%	18.8%	28.1%	28.1%	3.1%	32
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%	3.1%	32
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**	3.1%	12.5%	21.9%	28.1%	31.3%	3.1%	32
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**		15.6%	21.9%	18.8%	40.6%	3.1%	32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.1%	6.1%	27.3%	36.4%	21.2%	3.0%	33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		6.1%	21.2%	36.4%	36.4%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%	12.1%	21.2%	24.2%	33.3%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	6.1%	6.1%	21.2%	21.2%	45.5%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 16379

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 37/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	2.8%	11.1%		38.9%	47.2%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.7%	8.1%	10.8%	27.0%	51.4%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	27.0%	62.2%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.4%			27.0%	67.6%		37

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.1%	8.1%	27.0%	56.8%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	2.7%		2.7%	29.7%	64.9%		37

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**	2.7%	5.4%	2.7%	27.0%	62.2%		37
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	5.4%	2.7%	5.4%	29.7%	56.8%		37
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	43.2%	48.6%		37
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	37.8%	48.6%		37
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	48.6%	43.2%		37
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**	2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	27.0%	56.8%		37
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	37.8%	54.1%		37
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**	2.7%	2.7%	13.5%	32.4%	48.6%		37
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**	2.8%		22.2%	30.6%	44.4%		36
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**	2.7%		13.5%	35.1%	48.6%		37
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.1**	5.4%	8.1%	16.2%	16.2%	54.1%		37
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.9**	8.1%	10.8%	16.2%	13.5%	48.6%	2.7%	37

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.7%	5.4%		51.4%	40.5%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.7%		8.1%	43.2%	45.9%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	35.1%	48.6%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	2.7%	2.7%	13.5%	27.0%	54.1%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16763

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 9/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			55.6%		44.4%		9
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			44.4%	11.1%	44.4%		9
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			44.4%	22.2%	33.3%		9
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 9413: SELCT TPCS CHEM & BIO ENGR II

Class Number: 22170

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Pine,David J

Response Rate: 12/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		41.7%			33.3%	25.0%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		33.3%			33.3%	33.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 17080

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 21/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.5%			85.7%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.8%		38.1%	23.8%	33.3%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		33.3%	23.8%	19.0%	23.8%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		9.5%	14.3%	42.9%	33.3%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		4.8%	4.8%	47.6%	42.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	14.3%	9.5%	14.3%	19.0%	33.3%	9.5%	21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.0**		9.5%	19.0%	33.3%	38.1%		21
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**3.6**	9.5%	9.5%	19.0%	33.3%	28.6%		21
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.3**			19.0%	28.6%	52.4%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	19.0%	23.8%	38.1%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			19.0%	14.3%	61.9%	4.8%	21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			19.0%	14.3%	61.9%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	23.8%	52.4%	9.5%	21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		9.5%	4.8%	28.6%	57.1%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		4.8%	23.8%	19.0%	52.4%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**		14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**		9.5%	23.8%	23.8%	42.9%		21

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			4.8%	57.1%	38.1%		21
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**			9.5%	47.6%	42.9%		21
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 17080

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 21/43

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**		4.8%	33.3%	19.0%	38.1%	4.8%	21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**	10.0%		35.0%	10.0%	35.0%	10.0%	20
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	47.6%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 17081

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 14/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		85.7%	14.3%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	71.4%	7.1%	14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			21.4%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		28.6%	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	21.4%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		21.4%	21.4%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.8**				23.1%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**3.3**		38.5%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	13
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.1**			30.8%	23.1%	38.5%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	7.1%		14.3%	7.1%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	57.1%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	30.8%	7.7%	13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**			53.8%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**			30.8%	30.8%	30.8%	7.7%	13

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			7.7%	38.5%	46.2%	7.7%	13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	38.5%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Number: 17081

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 14/26

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**			15.4%	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**			38.5%		46.2%	15.4%	13
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 16678

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 42/55

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.4%			95.2%	2.4%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.5%			90.5%		42

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.4%	9.5%	16.7%	71.4%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.8%	16.7%	23.8%	54.8%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	2.4%	4.8%	9.5%	31.0%	52.4%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	7.1%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	59.5%		42

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.1%	4.8%	31.0%	54.8%	2.4%	42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	2.4%	9.5%	16.7%	26.2%	45.2%		42

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.4%	16.7%	2.4%	26.2%	52.4%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.8%	2.4%	28.6%	64.3%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	4.8%		9.5%	23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	2.4%	4.8%	7.1%	19.0%	64.3%	2.4%	42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 4623: ANALYSIS II

Class Number: 17052

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong

Response Rate: 5/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	40.0%		20.0%	40.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		20.0%		80.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 6223: Elements of Real Analysis II

Class Number: 16222

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong

Response Rate: 2/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 21587

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Ducao,Arlene B

Response Rate: 7/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3214: CITIES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Class Number: 17544

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gladstone,Susan

Response Rate: 15/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.4**	6.7%		6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		13.3%		6.7%	80.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

Class Number: 24599

Section: B

URB 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Primary Instructor: Roane,James

Response Rate: 4/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		75.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3193: Introduction to Very Large Scale Integrated Circuits

Class Number: 17406

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth

Response Rate: 37/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.8%			94.4%	2.8%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.7%	5.4%	10.8%	81.1%		37
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.7%	5.4%	16.2%	21.6%	54.1%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		24.3%	24.3%	29.7%	21.6%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		8.3%	11.1%	38.9%	41.7%		36

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	2.7%		8.1%	27.0%	62.2%		37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	10.8%	5.4%	37.8%	10.8%	35.1%		37

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.4%	13.5%	27.0%	54.1%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.7%	5.4%	32.4%	59.5%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		2.7%	5.4%	24.3%	67.6%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		2.7%	8.1%	27.0%	62.2%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 22136

Section: BK02

Primary Instructor: Nelson,Lucas

Response Rate: 25/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.0%			96.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			72.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		20.0%		36.0%	44.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.0%		16.0%	28.0%	44.0%	8.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16830

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Nelson,Lucas

Response Rate: 25/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.0%				96.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				72.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		20.0%		36.0%	44.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.0%		16.0%	28.0%	44.0%	8.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Class Number: 17168

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wang,Xin

Response Rate: 15/15

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	66.7%	6.7%	15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**1.5**	66.7%	26.7%			6.7%		15
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		20.0%	20.0%	13.3%	46.7%		15
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	20.0%	33.3%	13.3%	6.7%	26.7%		15
	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	40.0%		15
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.2**	6.7%		6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.1**	6.7%	13.3%		26.7%	53.3%		15
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	20.0%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	20.0%		15
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**	6.7%			46.7%	46.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Class Number: 17396

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Wang,Xin

Response Rate: 12/12

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.1**	58.3%	16.7%		8.3%	16.7%		12
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		12
	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	50.0%		12
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 17181

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 31/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.7%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.3%			96.7%		30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	32.3%	51.6%		31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		12.9%	22.6%	29.0%	32.3%	3.2%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	9.7%	22.6%	12.9%	32.3%	22.6%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	3.2%	19.4%	22.6%	25.8%	29.0%		31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	9.7%	22.6%	16.1%	25.8%	25.8%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	16.1%	19.4%	3.2%	35.5%	25.8%		31

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.7%	12.9%	22.6%	35.5%	19.4%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	38.7%	29.0%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**	16.1%	9.7%	22.6%	29.0%	19.4%	3.2%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	9.7%	3.2%	35.5%	25.8%	22.6%	3.2%	31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 17386

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 10/9

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		10.0%	20.0%		70.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		30.0%		30.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%		10

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	10.0%		10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 17387

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 4/5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 17388

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 1/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Class Number: 17389

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 1/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6723: ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION AND ANTENNAS

Class Number: 16319

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 5/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Class Number: 17593

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew

Response Rate: 26/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.8%			96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.5%	3.8%	84.6%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.8%	19.2%	76.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		15.4%	11.5%	7.7%	65.4%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.7%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	65.4%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4553: Game Design

Class Number: 17595

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew

Response Rate: 23/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.7%			91.3%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.7%			91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			13.0%	8.7%	69.6%	8.7%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	81.8%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.6%	13.6%	72.7%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.3%		21.7%	73.9%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	4.3%	43.5%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	4.3%			34.8%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Class Number: 16242

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew

Response Rate: 26/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.8%			96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.5%	3.8%	84.6%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	76.9%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.8%	19.2%	76.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		15.4%	11.5%	7.7%	65.4%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.7%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	65.4%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6553: Game Design

Class Number: 16918

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nealen,Andrew

Response Rate: 23/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.7%			91.3%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.7%			91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			13.0%	8.7%	69.6%	8.7%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	81.8%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.6%	13.6%	72.7%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.3%		21.7%	73.9%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	4.3%	43.5%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	4.3%			34.8%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM 2014W: STEM & THEATER

Class Number: 17521

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 17/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.9%	88.2%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		5.9%			94.1%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		5.9%			94.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			11.8%		82.4%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17
Q28	I better understand the conflict scientists face between creative motivations and ethical/moral issues.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	82.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		5.9%			94.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	5.9%				94.1%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM 2204: Disability Studies

Class Number: 17522

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 25/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more confident in my ability to communicate with all kinds of people.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q28	I better understand the power of digital media.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q29	I am informed about the oppression faced by people with disabilities.	**5.0**					100.0%		25
Q30	I believe that disability is not an individual medical issue but a social issue.	**4.7**	4.0%		4.0%	4.0%	88.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM 2204: Disability Studies

Class Number: 17523

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 24/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	75.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		4.2%		12.5%	83.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.2%	20.8%	16.7%	58.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more confident in my ability to communicate with all kinds of people.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q28	I better understand the power of digital media.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q29	I am informed about the oppression faced by people with disabilities.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q30	I believe that disability is not an individual medical issue but a social issue.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	83.3%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	87.5%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3413: Automatic Control

Class Number: 17302

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 28/41

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.6%			96.4%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	71.4%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	71.4%	7.1%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.6%		17.9%	75.0%	3.6%	28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.1%	25.0%	67.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		3.6%	14.3%	28.6%	53.6%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems (e.g., mechanical, aerospace, electrical, robotic, thermo-fluid, and vibratory systems).	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems and acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations.	**4.5**	3.6%		3.6%	32.1%	60.7%		28
Q29	Learned and utilized specific tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems.	**4.4**	3.6%		3.6%	32.1%	57.1%	3.6%	28
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of automatic control.	**4.1**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	39.3%	42.9%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	64.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	71.4%	3.6%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.6%		17.9%	75.0%	3.6%	28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			3.6%	32.1%	64.3%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			3.6%	32.1%	64.3%		28
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**			10.7%	42.9%	46.4%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3513: Measurement Systems

Class Number: 17130

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 28/41

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			28.6%	10.7%	60.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		7.1%		32.1%	60.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.7%	18.5%	18.5%	18.5%	40.7%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Acquired and applied instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	**4.5**		3.6%		39.3%	57.1%		28
Q28	Learned fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments, fundamentals of data acquisition hardware, and became able to appropriately calibrate any given measurement device.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	57.1%		28
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	32.1%	53.6%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.6%		42.9%	53.6%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.1%	17.9%	75.0%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.6%			32.1%	64.3%		28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 5913: Mechatronics

Class Number: 23993

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			93.3%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			20.0%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	26.7%	53.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 136: Communication Networks

Class Number: 17159

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan

Response Rate: 28/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		89.3%	10.7%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		3.6%		3.6%	89.3%	3.6%	28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		3.6%	10.7%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		17.9%	17.9%	28.6%	32.1%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	7.1%	3.6%	28.6%	25.0%	32.1%	3.6%	28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	50.0%	35.7%	3.6%	28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		3.6%	21.4%	42.9%	28.6%	3.6%	28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	39.3%	28.6%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	50.0%	3.6%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		7.1%	10.7%	39.3%	39.3%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	25.0%	50.0%	3.6%	28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9333: SEL TPCS IN TELECOMM & NTWK FROM INFO SYS

Class Number: 16903

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan

Response Rate: 23/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.3%			95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		21.7%			78.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.3%		26.1%	69.6%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		13.0%	17.4%	8.7%	60.9%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	8.7%	26.1%	8.7%	30.4%	26.1%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		26.1%	4.3%	26.1%	43.5%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		13.0%	4.3%	34.8%	47.8%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		8.7%		39.1%	52.2%		23

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**		4.3%	17.4%	8.7%	69.6%		23
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		13.0%	13.0%	8.7%	65.2%		23
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	60.9%		23
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**		8.7%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		23
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		13.0%	13.0%	8.7%	65.2%		23
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.2**		8.7%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		23
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**		13.0%	8.7%	21.7%	56.5%		23
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**		4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	56.5%		23
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**		4.3%	34.8%	13.0%	47.8%		23
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**	4.3%	8.7%	30.4%	8.7%	47.8%		23
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	50.0%		22
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.8**	8.7%	8.7%	17.4%	21.7%	43.5%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	4.3%	47.8%	26.1%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	60.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		8.7%		17.4%	73.9%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		17.4%	4.3%	21.7%	56.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8293: Construction Operations Analysis

Class Number: 16265

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sehic,Adis

Response Rate: 25/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.0%			96.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.0%			84.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	44.0%	44.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		16.0%	16.0%	12.0%	56.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	4.0%	20.0%	8.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	8.0%		20.0%	24.0%	48.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	8.0%	16.0%	4.0%	48.0%	24.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	4.0%	16.0%	24.0%	24.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	4.2%	16.7%	12.5%	33.3%	29.2%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		28.0%	8.0%	20.0%	44.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	8.0%	20.0%	4.0%	16.0%	48.0%	4.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	8.0%	32.0%	4.0%	28.0%	28.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 17554

Section: A

Primary Instructor: JANG,IN

Response Rate: 30/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**			3.3%	43.3%	53.3%		30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.3%	6.7%	6.7%	83.3%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	86.7%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	23.3%	56.7%		30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	40.0%	50.0%		30
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	3.3%	16.7%	6.7%	30.0%	43.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	13.3%	3.3%	3.3%	40.0%	40.0%		30
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.7%	16.7%	13.3%	23.3%	33.3%	6.7%	30
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.7%	16.7%	3.3%	33.3%	36.7%	3.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	16.7%	6.7%	16.7%	30.0%	30.0%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**	6.7%		3.3%	40.0%	46.7%	3.3%	30
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**	6.7%		3.3%	50.0%	36.7%	3.3%	30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17567

Section: H

Primary Instructor: JANG,IN

Response Rate: 26/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**	3.8%	3.8%		15.4%	76.9%		26
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	61.5%		26

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	7.7%			19.2%	73.1%		26
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**	3.8%			3.8%	92.3%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	26.9%	53.8%		26
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	11.5%		7.7%	42.3%	38.5%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	50.0%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	19.2%	23.1%	34.6%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	11.5%	7.7%	42.3%	30.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**	7.7%	3.8%		19.2%	69.2%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.7%	15.4%	11.5%	26.9%	38.5%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	15.4%	26.9%	7.7%	19.2%	30.8%		26

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	47.8%		23
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**	8.7%	4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	43.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 17303

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sutton,Paul J.

Response Rate: 58/63

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.4%				87.9%	8.6%	58
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.4%				89.3%	5.4%	56

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.9%	93.1%		58
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.4%	5.2%	91.4%		58

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		1.7%	8.6%	6.9%	82.8%		58
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			1.7%	13.8%	82.8%	1.7%	58

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		1.7%	1.7%	17.2%	79.3%		58
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		1.7%	24.1%	5.2%	62.1%	6.9%	58

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property	**4.8**				15.5%	84.5%		58
Q28	Learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered by engineers.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		58
Q29	Learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	**4.8**			1.7%	15.5%	82.8%		58
Q30	Understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	**4.9**				13.8%	86.2%		58
Q31	Understood the value and benefits to be derived from past failures, and the use of failure in achieving success.	**4.8**			1.7%	15.5%	82.8%		58
Q32	Learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality	**4.7**			1.7%	22.4%	75.9%		58

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		3.4%	1.7%	10.3%	84.5%		58

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			1.7%	15.5%	82.8%		58
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			1.7%	3.4%	94.8%		58
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			1.7%	8.6%	89.7%		58

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 6123: Cinema Studio

Class Number: 21581

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jones,Arthur

Response Rate: 10/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			10.0%		80.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16636

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sahu,Sambit

Response Rate: 38/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				94.7%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%				97.4%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			7.9%	23.7%	68.4%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.6%	15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	55.3%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		5.3%	7.9%	26.3%	60.5%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.7%	76.3%		38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	2.6%	7.9%		26.3%	63.2%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	26.3%	60.5%		38

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.6%	5.3%	31.6%	60.5%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		7.9%	5.3%	10.5%	76.3%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	2.6%	7.9%	10.5%	5.3%	73.7%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		7.9%	5.3%	5.3%	81.6%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6191: Coaching in Organizations

Class Number: 23131

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 11/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			45.5%		54.5%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6361: MANAGING BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

Class Number: 16703

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 2/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6361: MANAGING BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

Class Number: 16770

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 5/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.7**	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6361: MANAGING BUSINESS PROCESS REENGINEERING

Class Number: 16770

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 5/11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 17700

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 40/56

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.5%		10.0%	85.0%	2.5%	40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.5%	5.0%	15.0%	75.0%	2.5%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.5%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	67.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	2.5%	5.0%		12.5%	77.5%	2.5%	40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.5%	5.0%		20.0%	72.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	2.5%		2.5%	15.0%	80.0%		40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**4.5**	3.1%			37.5%	59.4%		32
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**4.4**	3.1%		3.1%	43.8%	50.0%		32
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	3.1%	46.9%	40.6%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.5%			32.5%	62.5%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.5%			17.5%	77.5%	2.5%	40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	2.5%		2.5%	17.5%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%		20.0%	75.0%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 16709

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 40/56

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.5%		10.0%	85.0%	2.5%	40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.5%	5.0%	15.0%	75.0%	2.5%	40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.5%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	67.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	2.5%	5.0%		12.5%	77.5%	2.5%	40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.5%	5.0%		20.0%	72.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	2.5%		2.5%	15.0%	80.0%		40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**4.5**	3.1%			37.5%	59.4%		32
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**4.4**	3.1%		3.1%	43.8%	50.0%		32
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	3.1%	46.9%	40.6%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.5%			32.5%	62.5%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.5%			17.5%	77.5%	2.5%	40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	2.5%		2.5%	17.5%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%		20.0%	75.0%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 16311

Section: ILEC

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 52/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		1.9%			96.2%	1.9%	52
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.9%			96.2%	1.9%	52

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.0%	5.9%	17.6%	70.6%	3.9%	51
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.9%	7.8%	9.8%	74.5%	3.9%	51

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.8%	9.8%	19.6%	56.9%	5.9%	51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.8%	15.4%	25.0%	53.8%	1.9%	52

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.8%	28.8%	63.5%	3.8%	52
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	1.9%		9.6%	25.0%	59.6%	3.8%	52

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		7.7%	17.3%	42.3%	28.8%	3.8%	52

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.6%	28.8%	59.6%	1.9%	52
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			11.5%	19.2%	67.3%	1.9%	52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		3.8%	5.8%	23.1%	65.4%	1.9%	52

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

Class Number: 17502

Section: A

HI 3304: SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY AS A STRATEGIC RESOURCE IN WORLD WAR II

Primary Instructor: Sviedrys,Romualdas

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		35.7%			50.0%	14.3%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%			64.3%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.8**				15.4%	69.2%	15.4%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8673: Technology Strategy

Class Number: 16212

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Noveck,Beth S.

Response Rate: 29/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		17.2%			79.3%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.7%			85.7%	3.6%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.4%	10.3%	20.7%	62.1%	3.4%	29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			3.4%	31.0%	58.6%	6.9%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			10.3%	20.7%	65.5%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	6.9%	10.3%		31.0%	41.4%	10.3%	29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.9%		10.3%	41.4%	37.9%	3.4%	29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.9%	6.9%	17.2%	34.5%	31.0%	3.4%	29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	44.8%	41.4%	3.4%	29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	6.9%	3.4%	13.8%	34.5%	34.5%	6.9%	29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	6.9%	3.4%	10.3%	41.4%	34.5%	3.4%	29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	3.4%		3.4%	55.2%	34.5%	3.4%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	31.0%	41.4%	6.9%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	34.5%	44.8%	3.4%	29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	6.9%	6.9%	13.8%	17.2%	51.7%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	10.3%	3.4%	10.3%	20.7%	51.7%	3.4%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Class Number: 16813

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Noveck,Beth S.

Response Rate: 14/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		35.7%			42.9%	21.4%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	78.6%	14.3%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	57.1%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 2114W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I: POETRY AS DESIGN

Class Number: 17524

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 7/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%			71.4%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.3%		28.6%		42.9%	14.3%	7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	14.3%	14.3%			71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 2334W: THE INVENTION OF THE WORD

Class Number: 17526

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 6/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.4**			16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 3144W: FORMAL APPROACHES TO ART & POETRY

Class Number: 17528

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 10/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 16288

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Yan,Lok K

Response Rate: 6/8

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**		50.0%	16.7%	33.3%			6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.7**	16.7%	50.0%		16.7%	16.7%		6

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.8**	33.3%	16.7%		33.3%	16.7%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**1.7**	83.3%				16.7%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			83.3%		16.7%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			83.3%		16.7%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			83.3%		16.7%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.7**	83.3%				16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.3**	50.0%	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 23722

Section: BK04

Primary Instructor: Chunara,Rumi

Response Rate: 28/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				96.3%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	32.1%	46.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		18.5%	18.5%	33.3%	29.6%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16823

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Chunara,Rumi

Response Rate: 28/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%	96.3%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	32.1%	46.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		18.5%	18.5%	33.3%	29.6%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6013: Biotechnology and the Pharmaceutical Industry

Class Number: 16274

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny

Response Rate: 30/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.6%	3.4%	29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	86.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.3%	30.0%	66.7%		30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.3%		6.7%	90.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	76.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	3.3%			3.3%	93.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	3.3%	3.3%		13.3%	80.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	3.3%			6.7%	90.0%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BTE 6043: Biocatalysis in Industry

Class Number: 16277

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny

Response Rate: 32/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			9.4%	6.3%	84.4%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		9.4%	9.4%	9.4%	71.9%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.1%	9.4%	12.5%	71.9%	3.1%	32

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	15.6%	71.9%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	62.5%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		6.3%		21.9%	71.9%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		6.3%	3.1%	9.4%	81.3%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		9.4%	3.1%	18.8%	68.8%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Class Number: 23734

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Clarke,Anthony

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q28	Learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered by engineers.	**4.3**		10.5%	15.8%	10.5%	63.2%		19
Q29	Learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	**4.3**		15.8%	10.5%	5.3%	68.4%		19
Q30	Understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	**4.4**		5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q31	Understood the value and benefits to be derived from past failures, and the use of failure in achieving success.	**4.8**		5.6%		5.6%	88.9%		18
Q32	Learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality	**4.6**			15.8%	10.5%	73.7%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	10.0%	5.0%		20.0%	65.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	5.0%			10.0%	85.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 17196

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Suescun Florez,Eduardo Alfonso

Response Rate: 31/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.5%			93.5%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		16.7%	13.3%	43.3%	26.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	6.7%		16.7%	23.3%	53.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	20.7%	20.7%	13.8%	34.5%	10.3%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	23.3%	16.7%	13.3%	26.7%	16.7%	3.3%	30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	13.3%	13.3%	10.0%	33.3%	30.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	6.9%	20.7%	3.4%	31.0%	37.9%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**3.8**	3.2%	12.9%	6.5%	54.8%	22.6%		31
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.0**		6.5%	9.7%	64.5%	19.4%		31
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**3.8**		14.3%	17.9%	42.9%	25.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	36.7%	30.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	30.0%	23.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.2**	13.8%	27.6%	10.3%	17.2%	31.0%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	16.7%	13.3%	16.7%	26.7%	26.7%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	3.2%	6.5%	9.7%	64.5%	16.1%		31
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.4**		29.0%	16.1%	45.2%	9.7%		31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.5**	6.5%	12.9%	16.1%	48.4%	16.1%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 17197

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Suescun Florez,Eduardo Alfonso

Response Rate: 9/11

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		9
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%		9
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 17198

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Suescun Florez,Eduardo Alfonso

Response Rate: 12/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%		12

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		8.3%		66.7%	25.0%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		8.3%		58.3%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		12
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.0**	8.3%			66.7%	25.0%		12
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		12
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**		25.0%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Class Number: 17374

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Suescun Florez,Eduardo Alfonso

Response Rate: 11/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.7**	18.2%	45.5%		18.2%	18.2%		11

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	45.5%	9.1%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	9.1%	18.2%		18.2%	54.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.2**	9.1%			45.5%	45.5%		11
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 17026

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nelson,Carl

Response Rate: 21/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		42.9%			52.4%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		71.4%			19.0%	9.5%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	5.0%	50.0%	5.0%	25.0%	15.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	33.3%	28.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	33.3%	23.8%	19.0%	9.5%	14.3%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	19.0%	23.8%	14.3%	28.6%	9.5%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	9.5%	23.8%	9.5%	33.3%	23.8%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	42.1%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.6**	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	31.6%	26.3%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	31.6%	26.3%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		14.3%	33.3%	33.3%	19.0%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	14.3%	14.3%	19.0%	28.6%	23.8%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.2**	14.3%	23.8%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	19.0%	9.5%	19.0%	23.8%	23.8%	4.8%	21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3002: Project Management

Class Number: 17029

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nelson,Carl

Response Rate: 7/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		42.9%			57.1%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		28.6%			71.4%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Class Number: 17478

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schumacher,Michael J

Response Rate: 17/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.8%			82.4%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		47.1%			41.2%	11.8%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		11.8%		52.9%	35.3%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	41.2%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		17.6%	5.9%	47.1%	29.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	11.8%		35.3%	11.8%	41.2%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		23.5%	17.6%	11.8%	47.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Class Number: 23791

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Schumacher,Michael J

Response Rate: 10/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			70.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		44.4%			55.6%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**			30.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6103: Corporate Finance

Class Number: 16725

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 13/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		7.7%		7.7%	84.6%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6273: VALUATIONS & CORPORATE FINANCE

Class Number: 16934

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 4/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 6333: Transport Phenomena

Class Number: 16303

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Levicky,Rastislav

Response Rate: 15/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	6.7%		6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3113: INTRO TO GAME PROGRAMMING

Class Number: 17280

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Safrin,Ivan

Response Rate: 21/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.5%	9.5%	81.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	52.4%	14.3%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			47.6%	4.8%	42.9%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			9.5%	38.1%	52.4%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: Integrated Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17050

Section: K2

Primary Instructor: Lo,Tsz Chung

Response Rate: 1/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6871: R in Finance

Class Number: 16936

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Pawlowski,Jerzy

Response Rate: 14/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.8**	42.9%	50.0%			7.1%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7241: ALGORITHMIC PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16779

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Pawlowski,Jerzy

Response Rate: 16/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	25.0%	31.3%	18.8%	18.8%	6.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		6.3%	31.3%	25.0%	37.5%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		6.3%	43.8%	12.5%	37.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**			31.3%	25.0%	43.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4043: Sustainable Cities

Class Number: 17083

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Cantos,Wilmer

Response Rate: 11/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		36.4%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		27.3%		45.5%	27.3%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		9.1%	54.5%		36.4%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can identify urban infrastructure challenges in a sector (e.g. water management, congestion, safety and security of infrastructure)	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I know how to prepare, analyze and recommend potential for solutions for urban infrastructure challenges	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	I understand urban sustainability impact of deployed strategies	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q30	I understand the role of Innovative Technology	**4.0**	10.0%			60.0%	30.0%		10
Q31	I can apply principles of disaster resilience and software application	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Class Number: 16611

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 27/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	59.3%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	7.4%		3.7%	22.2%	66.7%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	7.4%	11.1%	11.1%	18.5%	51.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.4%	29.6%	63.0%		27

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	66.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.8%	23.1%	73.1%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	3.7%		18.5%	14.8%	63.0%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			14.8%	33.3%	51.9%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			7.4%	37.0%	55.6%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			14.8%	22.2%	63.0%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.7%	7.4%	40.7%	48.1%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.7%		25.9%	70.4%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		7.4%	7.4%	22.2%	63.0%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	59.3%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6823: Factory Simulation

Class Number: 16565

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 19/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.3%			94.7%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		21.1%		15.8%	63.2%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			15.8%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	78.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	5.3%	10.5%		10.5%	73.7%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	5.3%		5.3%	5.3%	84.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4092: Leadership, Business Principles, Policy and Ethics in Civil Engineering

Class Number: 17084

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher

Response Rate: 27/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.3%	3.7%	27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	14.8%	74.1%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	63.0%		27

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		7.4%	3.7%	22.2%	66.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		3.7%	37.0%	11.1%	44.4%	3.7%	27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	**4.6**			7.4%	29.6%	63.0%		27
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	**4.6**			11.1%	18.5%	70.4%		27
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	**4.6**			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	**4.3**		3.7%	18.5%	18.5%	59.3%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	18.5%	70.4%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			7.4%	11.1%	81.5%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	18.5%	70.4%		27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	77.8%		27
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**		7.4%	3.7%	14.8%	74.1%		27
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

Class Number: 17088

Section: AREC

CE 4092: Leadership, Business Principles, Policy and Ethics in Civil Engineering

Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher

Response Rate: 26/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.0%				72.0%	20.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.0%				72.0%	20.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			23.1%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			30.8%	15.4%	42.3%	11.5%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**			53.8%	7.7%	19.2%	19.2%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**			53.8%	7.7%	19.2%	19.2%	26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%	7.7%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		3.8%	38.5%	15.4%	23.1%	19.2%	26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	**3.9**		3.8%	34.6%	11.5%	34.6%	15.4%	26
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	**3.8**		3.8%	34.6%	19.2%	26.9%	15.4%	26
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	**3.9**		3.8%	34.6%	11.5%	34.6%	15.4%	26
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	**3.9**			38.5%	15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	26
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	**3.9**			38.5%	19.2%	26.9%	15.4%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			53.8%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		11.5%	15.4%	34.6%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		3.8%	26.9%	26.9%	30.8%	11.5%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		3.8%	26.9%	23.1%	38.5%	7.7%	26

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.8**		3.8%	42.3%	11.5%	30.8%	11.5%	26
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**		3.8%	38.5%	15.4%	30.8%	11.5%	26
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.8**		3.8%	38.5%	15.4%	30.8%	11.5%	26
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.9**		3.8%	34.6%	19.2%	30.8%	11.5%	26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 16197

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 33/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.1%	21.2%	72.7%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	75.8%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	12.5%	81.3%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.0%		21.2%	75.8%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		9.1%		30.3%	60.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	75.8%		33

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		6.1%	12.1%	15.2%	66.7%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		3.0%	15.2%	21.2%	60.6%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			12.1%	18.2%	69.7%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		3.0%	9.1%	24.2%	63.6%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.1%	36.4%	57.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	27.3%	66.7%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		3.0%	12.1%	12.1%	72.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	15.2%	78.8%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 16702

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 29/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	6.9%	93.1%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.8%	86.2%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.9%	13.8%	79.3%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		3.4%		10.3%	86.2%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				24.1%	75.9%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	65.4%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	69.2%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	73.1%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		3.8%	15.4%	7.7%	73.1%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	3.4%			24.1%	72.4%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	82.8%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.9%	10.3%	82.8%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3323: Transport II

Class Number: 17158

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gallaway,Joshua

Response Rate: 46/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.9%	91.1%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	2.2%		2.2%	26.1%	69.6%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.3%	6.5%	23.9%	65.2%		46

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**				4.4%	93.3%	2.2%	45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		10.9%	6.5%	21.7%	60.9%		46

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	19.6%	73.9%		46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.9%	89.1%		46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			2.2%	4.4%	93.3%		45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		46

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				7.0%	93.0%		43

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 4014: Introduction to E-Business

Class Number: 17033

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bentahar,Abdellatif

Response Rate: 31/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			70.0%	10.0%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		29.0%			58.1%	12.9%	31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.1**	35.5%	32.3%	22.6%	3.2%	6.5%		31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.3**	32.3%	32.3%	19.4%	9.7%	6.5%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	22.6%	25.8%	22.6%	16.1%	12.9%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.4**	23.3%	30.0%	33.3%	10.0%	3.3%		30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**1.8**	53.3%	30.0%	6.7%	3.3%	6.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	35.5%	25.8%	19.4%	16.1%	3.2%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.1**	40.0%	30.0%	13.3%	10.0%	6.7%		30
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.3**	36.7%	16.7%	23.3%	13.3%	6.7%	3.3%	30
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.2**	43.3%	20.0%	3.3%	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	30
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.3**	40.0%	20.0%	13.3%	16.7%	6.7%	3.3%	30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	23.3%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	6.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.7**	61.3%	16.1%	12.9%	6.5%	3.2%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**1.7**	61.3%	22.6%	9.7%		6.5%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.8**	64.5%	12.9%	6.5%	12.9%	3.2%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3064: Feedback Control

Class Number: 22456

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 29/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.6%	3.4%	29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.7%			92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		10.3%		37.9%	48.3%	3.4%	29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	17.2%	6.9%	27.6%	27.6%	13.8%	6.9%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	3.4%	24.1%	3.4%	41.4%	24.1%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	6.9%	13.8%	17.2%	41.4%	17.2%	3.4%	29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**	10.3%	27.6%	20.7%	24.1%	13.8%	3.4%	29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	13.8%	17.2%	6.9%	31.0%	27.6%	3.4%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	3.4%	17.2%	24.1%	34.5%	17.2%	3.4%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	10.3%	17.2%	3.4%	51.7%	13.8%	3.4%	29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.5**	31.0%	17.2%	20.7%	20.7%	6.9%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	13.8%	13.8%	17.2%	31.0%	20.7%	3.4%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6233: System Optimization Method

Class Number: 16898

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 12/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			83.3%	8.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.9**	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.3**	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.1**	41.7%	33.3%		25.0%			12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	8.3%		50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%			12

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**2.7**	33.3%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	16.7%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**2.3**	41.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.5**	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**2.7**	33.3%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%			12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.8**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%			12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.2**	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**	16.7%		41.7%	25.0%	16.7%		12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**	16.7%		58.3%	16.7%	8.3%		12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**	16.7%		66.7%		16.7%		12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.2**	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.1**	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.3**	50.0%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.3**	50.0%	8.3%	25.0%		16.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6253: Linear Systems

Class Number: 22442

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 18/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			88.2%	5.9%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		16.7%	11.1%	16.7%	44.4%	11.1%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	61.1%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	5.6%	16.7%		16.7%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	16.7%		38.9%	38.9%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%	5.6%	18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	5.6%	11.1%		38.9%	44.4%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		5.6%	27.8%	27.8%	38.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	33.3%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7503: TARGET COSTING

Class Number: 21579

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bird,Henry

Response Rate: 8/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7503: TARGET COSTING

Class Number: 21579

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bird,Henry

Response Rate: 8/11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 4003: Senior Project in Digital Media

Class Number: 17486

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Duff,Deangela L

Response Rate: 10/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%		20.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed conceptual thinking skills to generate ideas and content in order to solve problems or create opportunities.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	20.0%			10.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%		70.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16814

Section: MOT5

Primary Instructor: O'Reilly,Kieran T

Response Rate: 19/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.3%			94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**	5.3%			5.3%	89.5%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**	5.3%			10.5%	84.2%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**	5.3%			15.8%	78.9%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**	5.3%			15.8%	78.9%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				36.8%	57.9%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 24778

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: O'Reilly,Kieran T

Response Rate: 1/2

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 24778

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: O'Reilly,Kieran T

Response Rate: 1/2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17683

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Choy,Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 35/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.1%			93.9%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		15.2%			81.8%	3.0%	33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.9%	5.9%	23.5%	67.6%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.9%	8.8%	17.6%	67.6%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	2.9%	2.9%	8.8%	44.1%	41.2%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		23.5%	14.7%	20.6%	41.2%		34

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.8%	8.8%	29.4%	50.0%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.1%	6.1%	12.1%	30.3%	45.5%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	2.9%	17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	38.2%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	32.4%	50.0%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	11.8%	17.6%	64.7%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	2.9%	17.6%	11.8%	20.6%	47.1%		34

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	2.9%		11.4%	31.4%	54.3%		35
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			14.3%	37.1%	48.6%		35
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			22.9%	28.6%	48.6%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17685

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Choy,Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 11/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17687

Section: AREC

Primary Instructor: Choy,Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 36/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		5.7%	8.6%	34.3%	51.4%		35

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		5.7%	11.4%	34.3%	48.6%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.9%	5.7%	22.9%	20.0%	48.6%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.7%	17.1%	14.3%	22.9%	40.0%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	2.9%		20.0%	28.6%	48.6%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	11.4%	11.4%	20.0%	20.0%	37.1%		35

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			11.4%	28.6%	60.0%		35
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			8.6%	34.3%	57.1%		35
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			22.9%	25.7%	51.4%		35

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.7%	22.9%	71.4%		35
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**			8.6%	22.9%	68.6%		35
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	2.9%	17.1%	5.7%	45.7%	28.6%		35
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.4**	2.9%		17.1%	14.3%	62.9%	2.9%	35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17690

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Choy,Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 7/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8353: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

Class Number: 16377

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Otruba,Robert

Response Rate: 62/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		62
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.7%	3.3%	60

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		62
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		62

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	3.2%	32.3%	3.2%	29.0%	32.3%		62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.2%	6.5%	19.4%	71.0%		62

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.2%	9.7%	87.1%		62
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	80.6%		62

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.2%		25.8%	71.0%		62

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**		3.2%			96.8%		62
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			3.3%	3.3%	93.4%		61
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**		3.2%		3.2%	93.5%		62

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Class Number: 17576

Section: ALLB

Primary Instructor: Sidelev,Oleksiy V

Response Rate: 18/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	11.1%		5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	77.8%	5.6%	18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand basic principles of stress and strain in axial loading, shear, torsion and bending.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
Q28	I understand the principles of transformation of stress for design.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	29.4%	58.8%		17
Q29	I can evaluate deformation in typical solid elements subject to external forces.	**4.5**	5.9%			29.4%	64.7%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	11.1%	5.6%		27.8%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	5.6%		5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	5.6%			22.2%	72.2%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2193: Intro to Web Development

Class Number: 17484

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Adee,Kathryn A

Response Rate: 14/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	7.1%	71.4%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	57.1%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Class Number: 17232

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Aronov,Boris

Response Rate: 26/54

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.0%	8.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			3.8%	46.2%	50.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	34.6%	3.8%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			3.8%	57.7%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	7.7%	11.5%	15.4%	23.1%	42.3%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	7.7%	19.2%	15.4%	38.5%	19.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		19.2%	11.5%	53.8%	15.4%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	7.7%	26.9%	23.1%	19.2%	23.1%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		7.7%	19.2%	38.5%	34.6%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**	7.7%	19.2%	19.2%	34.6%	19.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	30.8%	26.9%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Class Number: 23721

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Aronov,Boris

Response Rate: 20/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			10.0%	35.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			35.0%	25.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	55.0%	25.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		15.0%	10.0%	50.0%	25.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		10.0%	25.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.0%		15.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	40.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		20.0%	15.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	45.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	15.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FIN 2003: Economic Foundations of Finance

Class Number: 17156

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 36/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.6%			88.9%	5.6%	36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			94.1%		34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		8.3%	33.3%	19.4%	38.9%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	5.6%	8.3%	27.8%	16.7%	41.7%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.3%		5.6%	30.6%	55.6%		36

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	2.8%	8.3%	2.8%	19.4%	66.7%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		8.3%	2.8%	25.0%	63.9%		36

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		2.8%	13.9%	16.7%	66.7%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	66.7%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6031: Money, Banking and Financial Markets

Class Number: 16741

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 4/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6391: MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS

Class Number: 16749

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 9/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6963: Digital Forensics

Class Number: 16290

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Budofsky,Marc R.

Response Rate: 10/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			70.0%	10.0%	20.0%		10
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			70.0%	10.0%	20.0%		10
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3311: Fluid Mechanics Laboratory

Class Number: 17125

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 9/18

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in fluid mechanics.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	Ability to write effective reports.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in fluid mechanics experiments	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3311: Fluid Mechanics Laboratory

Class Number: 17299

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 9/26

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in fluid mechanics.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q28	Ability to write effective reports.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in fluid mechanics experiments	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3311: Fluid Mechanics Laboratory

Class Number: 24001

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 15/26

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	13.3%		6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in fluid mechanics.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q28	Ability to write effective reports.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in fluid mechanics experiments	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3313: Fluid Mechanics

Class Number: 17300

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 28/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.3%	3.7%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.6%	3.6%	92.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		3.6%		10.7%	85.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.6%		17.9%	78.6%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the basic concepts related to fluids and stresses in fluids, hydrostatics and conservation equations for a control volume.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q28	Learned about potential flows, stream function, and Euler's and Bernoulli's equations	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q29	Learned about viscous flow in pipes and ducts, and flow over immersed bodies, and how to solve problems in piping systems	**4.8**			3.6%	10.7%	85.7%		28
Q30	Learned how to design piping systems	**4.6**			7.1%	25.0%	64.3%	3.6%	28
Q31	Learned about impact of hydropower in industrial and power production.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	26.9%	46.2%	3.8%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		3.6%		7.1%	89.3%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				10.7%	85.7%	3.6%	28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Class Number: 17392

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 4/4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood the basic modes of heat transfer	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	Learned to design heat transfer experiments	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q29	Was able to carry out heat transfer experiments and report the results in an effective manner	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 7133: Urban Public Transportation Systems

Class Number: 23236

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Chow,Ying Jun Joseph

Response Rate: 10/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Class Number: 17122

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mansoor-Baghaei,Shahab

Response Rate: 14/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understood the concept of Stress and Strain, Normal stress & strain, Shear stress & strain, General state of stress, Design considerations, design of simple corrections	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q28	Understood Stress analysis, Materials behavior, constitutive relationship, Hooke's law, stress concentration, Transformation equations, Mohr's circle	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q29	Learned about axially loaded members, Torsion, Change of length, angle of twist, transmission of power by shafts statically indeterminate structure	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q30	Understood bending, Shear and moment diagram, shear force, transverse loading relationship, flexure formula	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q31	Learned about Deflection of beams, Differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, Castigliano's theorem	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		7.1%			92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		7.1%	7.1%		85.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 1002: Introduction to Science and Technology Studies

Class Number: 22260

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Restivo,Sal P.

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.3%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	76.9%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 17514

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Restivo,Sal P.

Response Rate: 23/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.3%			95.7%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			13.0%	21.7%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			4.3%	34.8%	56.5%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 7133: Digital Signal Processing II

Class Number: 16795

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

Response Rate: 30/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.3%			96.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.3%	96.7%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		6.7%		23.3%	66.7%	3.3%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	3.3%			13.3%	80.0%	3.3%	30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	86.7%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			3.3%	3.3%	93.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			3.3%	23.3%	73.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2214W: Medical Ethics

Class Number: 22263

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Silberman,Jared H

Response Rate: 19/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				94.7%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.3%	5.3%		15.8%	73.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	5.3%	10.5%		15.8%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%	5.3%	19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 17650

Section: G2

Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel

Response Rate: 3/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		33.3%	66.7%	3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		66.7%	33.3%	3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 17652

Section: C2

Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel

Response Rate: 3/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.7**	33.3%	66.7%					3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3223: KINETICS AND REACTOR DESIGN

Class Number: 17192

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 34/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.1%	5.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	8.8%	35.3%	11.8%	35.3%	8.8%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	8.8%	8.8%	26.5%	44.1%	11.8%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		2.9%	8.8%	61.8%	26.5%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		9.1%	12.1%	51.5%	27.3%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	8.8%	14.7%	14.7%	41.2%	17.6%	2.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	2.9%	14.7%	5.9%	41.2%	32.4%	2.9%	34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have learned to make mole balances on batch reactors, CSTRs, PFRs and PBRs.	**4.2**			6.1%	66.7%	27.3%		33
Q28	I can use design equations, rate laws and stoichiometric relations to design isothermal reactors.	**4.1**		6.1%	3.0%	69.7%	21.2%		33
Q29	I can apply the first law to develop conversion, temperature and rate profiles for non-isothermal reactors.	**3.6**		18.2%	15.2%	54.5%	12.1%		33
Q30	I have a fundamental understanding of catalytic processes including adsorption and desorption, surface reactions and effectiveness factors.	**3.4**	6.1%	12.1%	30.3%	42.4%	9.1%		33
Q31	I understand the basic dynamics of CSTR's and PFR's	**4.0**		6.1%	15.2%	54.5%	24.2%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	2.9%	23.5%	14.7%	38.2%	20.6%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	61.8%	8.8%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.0**	11.8%	17.6%	41.2%	20.6%	8.8%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	5.9%	17.6%	20.6%	44.1%	11.8%		34

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**		6.1%	9.1%	69.7%	15.2%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Class Number: 17169

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 11/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.4**	54.5%	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%		11

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		18.2%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		18.2%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.2**			27.3%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4213: Engineering Laboratory II

Class Number: 17470

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 12/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.5**	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	41.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have improved my ability to plan and conduct experiments.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q28	I am much more confident in my ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.4**				58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	12
Q29	I have a much better understanding of data analysis techniques including error analysis and propagation.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	12
Q30	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	12
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**			16.7%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**				58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 16536

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rajagopalan,Siva Raj

Response Rate: 16/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	6.3%	18.8%		31.3%	43.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		12.5%		56.3%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**	6.7%	13.3%		13.3%	66.7%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			43.8%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%	16
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			43.8%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%	16
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			37.5%	18.8%	37.5%	6.3%	16
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		16
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.1**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	6.3%			31.3%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	6.3%			37.5%	56.3%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

HI 2514W: INTRODUCTION TO NEW YORK CITY HISTORY

Class Number: 17543

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Toler,Herbert

Response Rate: 7/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			28.6%		71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2104: Organizational Behavior

Class Number: 17674

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Landry,Dana J

Response Rate: 6/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4503: Construction Engineering

Class Number: 17089

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Prancl,Patrick J

Response Rate: 24/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	25.0%	45.8%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.3%		29.2%	62.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	16.7%	37.5%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I was exposed to various types of construction machinery.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q28	I understand the concepts related to construction planning and productivity.	**4.5**				45.8%	54.2%		24
Q29	I am now familiar with engineering aspects of construction.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	50.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	66.7%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3103: Fundamentals of Applied Nuclear Physics

Class Number: 17073

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sanchez,John

Response Rate: 8/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	62.5%				37.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	37.5%				50.0%	12.5%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			37.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2164: INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMING IN C

Class Number: 17239

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Sandoval,Gustavo

Response Rate: 34/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.9%			94.1%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			96.9%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.8%	26.5%	58.8%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		14.7%	20.6%	29.4%	35.3%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	8.8%	35.3%	14.7%	29.4%	11.8%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	5.9%		17.6%	47.1%	29.4%		34

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	8.8%	11.8%	20.6%	32.4%	26.5%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	8.8%	20.6%	20.6%	26.5%	20.6%	2.9%	34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	8.8%	5.9%	17.6%	44.1%	23.5%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		2.9%	11.8%	50.0%	35.3%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	14.7%	29.4%	38.2%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	5.9%	14.7%	5.9%	44.1%	29.4%		34

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.5%	70.6%	2.9%	34
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			2.9%	29.4%	67.6%		34
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	60.6%	3.0%	33
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.1**		8.8%	20.6%	17.6%	50.0%	2.9%	34
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**			38.2%	14.7%	44.1%	2.9%	34
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			8.8%	26.5%	64.7%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 3133: 3D Animation

Class Number: 17485

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L

Response Rate: 8/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		85.7%	14.3%	7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**			12.5%	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%			85.7%			7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 6133: Mobile Augmented Reality Studio

Class Number: 21582

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L

Response Rate: 11/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			36.4%	18.2%	45.5%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**		36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		18.2%	36.4%		45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 17660

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Parekh,Ankit

Response Rate: 6/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	6
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**		50.0%	16.7%	33.3%			6
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1001: Engineering and Technology Forum

Class Number: 17235

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lefer,David G

Response Rate: 236/275

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.5%			77.5%	5.9%	236
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.9%			74.8%	4.3%	230

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	1.3%	4.7%	11.9%	35.7%	46.0%	0.4%	235
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.0%	4.3%	23.3%	30.2%	37.1%	2.2%	232

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	0.4%	3.9%	4.8%	39.4%	51.1%	0.4%	231
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	1.7%	5.2%	9.0%	33.9%	49.8%	0.4%	233

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.9%	9.9%	10.3%	39.2%	32.8%	0.9%	232
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	8.7%	8.7%	34.5%	23.6%	18.3%	6.1%	229

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	After taking this course I have a better understanding of what invention, innovation, and entrepreneurship mean.	**4.0**	3.3%	4.3%	11.8%	50.2%	28.9%	1.4%	211
Q28	The guest lectures exposed me to new concepts and ideas I would not have encountered elsewhere.	**4.2**	1.4%	4.3%	10.6%	44.2%	38.5%	1.0%	208
Q29	After taking this course, I am more likely to tackle problems in an innovative way.	**3.6**	5.3%	12.9%	19.1%	38.3%	23.4%	1.0%	209
Q30	This course has influenced my long-term educational or career goals.	**3.2**	12.7%	18.0%	25.4%	23.9%	19.5%	0.5%	205
Q31	After taking this course, I am more interested in starting my own venture.	**3.4**	9.7%	12.6%	28.5%	26.6%	22.2%	0.5%	207

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	17.7%	25.0%	12.1%	26.7%	18.1%	0.4%	232

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	8.7%	12.6%	16.9%	41.1%	19.5%	1.3%	231
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	6.2%	6.6%	22.5%	33.0%	30.8%	0.9%	227
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	16.5%	16.5%	20.3%	28.1%	16.9%	1.7%	231

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3313: Fluid Mechanics

Class Number: 17126

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dressaire,Emilie

Response Rate: 38/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.2%	2.8%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		2.6%	2.6%	13.2%	81.6%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.6%	10.5%	86.8%		38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			2.6%	15.8%	81.6%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		2.6%	5.3%	15.8%	76.3%		38

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the basic concepts related to fluids and stresses in fluids, hydrostatics and conservation equations for a control volume.	**4.8**			2.7%	10.8%	86.5%		37
Q28	Learned about potential flows, stream function, and Euler's and Bernoulli's equations	**4.8**			2.7%	10.8%	86.5%		37
Q29	Learned about viscous flow in pipes and ducts, and flow over immersed bodies, and how to solve problems in piping systems	**4.8**			2.7%	16.2%	78.4%	2.7%	37
Q30	Learned how to design piping systems	**4.5**	2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	10.8%	73.0%		37
Q31	Learned about impact of hydropower in industrial and power production.	**4.2**	2.7%	8.1%	10.8%	18.9%	59.5%		37

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.6%	2.6%	13.2%	81.6%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		5.3%		7.9%	86.8%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		5.3%	2.6%	13.2%	78.9%		38

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**		2.7%		13.5%	83.8%		37
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**		2.7%		16.2%	81.1%		37
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.6**		2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	73.0%		37
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.7**		2.7%		18.9%	78.4%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6491: Credit Risk & Financial Risk Management

Class Number: 16752

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Des Etangs Roux,Frederic Pascal Lucien

Response Rate: 5/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7851: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING 2

Class Number: 23253

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Des Etangs Roux,Frederic Pascal Lucien

Response Rate: 8/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6373: Human Capital Big Data, Predictive Analytics, & ROI

Class Number: 16889

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul

Response Rate: 1/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6373: Human Capital Big Data, Predictive Analytics, & ROI

Class Number: 16961

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul

Response Rate: 4/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6373: Human Capital Big Data, Predictive Analytics, & ROI

Class Number: 16961

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul

Response Rate: 4/13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4193: Timber and Masonry Structures

Class Number: 17709

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Patricia A

Response Rate: 15/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	6.7%			53.3%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.7%		6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6863: FUNDAMENTALS OF SECURITY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 24463

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Vaidya,Mehul J.

Response Rate: 2/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				50.0%		50.0%	2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**2.0**		50.0%				50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3553: Non-Structural Building Systems

Class Number: 17199

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rodwick,William

Response Rate: 24/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.2%			95.8%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.3%			91.3%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	45.8%	25.0%	4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	4.2%	20.8%	33.3%	20.8%	16.7%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		20.8%	12.5%	33.3%	29.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	4.2%	25.0%	8.3%	37.5%	20.8%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.2%		16.7%	45.8%	33.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the basic principles of construction projects, interaction between design consultants and construction team	**3.9**		8.7%	8.7%	65.2%	17.4%		23
Q28	I acquired a basic understanding of building HVAC, plumbing, fire protection, electrical and specialty systems.	**3.9**		9.1%	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%		22
Q29	I understand how sustainable, energy efficient building systems are incorporated into the building design	**4.0**		8.7%	13.0%	52.2%	26.1%		23
Q30	I learned how to read mechanical and electrical drawings and coordination	**3.3**		26.1%	26.1%	34.8%	13.0%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	45.8%	16.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	4.2%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	20.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6123: Image and Video Processing

Class Number: 16316

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao

Response Rate: 20/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	5.0%		5.0%	55.0%	35.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	5.0%		5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	35.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3234: PLANNING FOR HEALTHY CITIES

Class Number: 22268

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Day,Kristen

Response Rate: 17/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.8%	11.8%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2211: Statics Laboratory

Class Number: 17119

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 36/37

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		36
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		8.6%	5.7%	25.7%	60.0%		35
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			5.6%	2.8%	91.7%		36
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**			5.7%	2.9%	91.4%		35
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		36
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.7%	17.1%	77.1%		35
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		2.8%	16.7%	16.7%	63.9%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		8.3%	13.9%	25.0%	52.8%		36
	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems	**4.7**			2.9%	25.7%	71.4%		35
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.6**			2.9%	37.1%	60.0%		35
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in statics problems	**4.5**		2.9%	2.9%	34.3%	60.0%		35
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.7**			2.9%	22.9%	74.3%		35
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.8%	11.1%	16.7%	69.4%		36
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**			5.6%	2.8%	91.7%		36
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.8%	8.3%	25.0%	63.9%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		2.8%	5.6%	33.3%	58.3%		36
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		2.9%	8.8%	35.3%	52.9%		34
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**			5.9%	32.4%	61.8%		34
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.4**			8.8%	44.1%	47.1%		34
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**		2.9%	2.9%	38.2%	55.9%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2211: Statics Laboratory

Class Number: 17296

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 18/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	5.6%		11.1%	11.1%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in statics problems	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		5.6%	22.2%	11.1%	61.1%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2211: Statics Laboratory

Class Number: 23733

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 32/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		3.1%		18.8%	78.1%		32
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		6.3%		34.4%	59.4%		32

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.1%		12.5%	84.4%		32
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**		3.1%		9.4%	87.5%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.1%	6.3%	28.1%	62.5%		32
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		6.3%		21.9%	71.9%		32

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	65.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		9.4%	6.3%	28.1%	56.3%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		30
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	40.0%	50.0%		30
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in statics problems	**4.4**		3.4%	3.4%	44.8%	48.3%		29
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.3**	3.4%	10.3%		24.1%	62.1%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.1%	3.1%	46.9%	46.9%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**		3.1%		6.3%	90.6%		32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.1%	9.4%	25.0%	62.5%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	65.6%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	36.7%	53.3%		30
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.3**		10.0%	6.7%	23.3%	60.0%		30
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.4**		3.3%	16.7%	16.7%	63.3%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		3.3%	13.3%	20.0%	63.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Class Number: 17121

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 13/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q28	Ability to write technical reports	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q29	Ability to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q30	Ability to work effectively in teams	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**		7.7%	7.7%		84.6%		13
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Class Number: 17127

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 29/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**	3.4%	3.4%		20.7%	72.4%		29
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		17.2%		34.5%	48.3%		29
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**	3.4%			20.7%	75.9%		29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.4%	6.9%	24.1%	65.5%		29
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	3.4%			24.1%	72.4%		29
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	20.7%	65.5%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.3%	6.9%	17.2%	65.5%		29
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems.	**4.4**	3.6%		7.1%	32.1%	57.1%		28
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated their control designs on experimental test beds.	**4.4**	3.6%		10.7%	25.0%	60.7%		28
Q29	Ability to write technical reports	**4.4**	3.6%		10.7%	25.0%	60.7%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	3.4%	37.9%	44.8%		29
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%		31.0%	62.1%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	6.9%			34.5%	58.6%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	55.2%		29
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%		33.3%	59.3%		27
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	59.3%		27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	59.3%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Class Number: 17301

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 34/41

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			2.9%	11.8%	85.3%		34
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		2.9%	5.9%	50.0%	38.2%	2.9%	34

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.9%	11.8%	85.3%		34
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			3.0%	18.2%	78.8%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.9%	17.6%	79.4%		34
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.8%	29.4%	55.9%		34

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		2.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	2.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			8.8%	26.5%	61.8%	2.9%	34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems.	**4.7**			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		33
Q28	Designed controllers using computer simulations and validated their control designs on experimental test beds.	**4.6**			6.1%	27.3%	66.7%		33
Q29	Ability to write technical reports	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		2.9%	8.8%	35.3%	52.9%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**			3.0%	15.2%	81.8%		33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			2.9%	26.5%	70.6%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.8%	23.5%	64.7%	2.9%	34

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			5.9%	32.4%	61.8%		34
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.6**			8.8%	23.5%	67.6%		34
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			2.9%	32.4%	64.7%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3511: Measurement Systems Laboratory

Class Number: 17129

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 33/39

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		33
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		9.1%	6.1%	18.2%	66.7%		33
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.1%	84.8%	3.0%	33
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				12.1%	84.8%	3.0%	33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.0%	15.2%	78.8%	3.0%	33
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	3.0%	9.1%	3.0%	15.2%	69.7%		33
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	30.3%	54.5%		33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Practiced instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	**4.6**	3.0%		6.1%	18.2%	72.7%		33
Q28	Exposed to fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments and calibrated given measurement devices.	**4.6**	3.0%			24.2%	72.7%		33
Q29	Utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design and used a computer-based data acquisition hardware and software to acquire, condition, and analyze experimental data.	**4.7**			6.1%	18.2%	75.8%		33
Q30	Ability to write technical reports	**4.5**	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	16.1%	74.2%		31
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		9.1%		30.3%	60.6%		33
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**		3.0%		9.1%	87.9%		33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	15.2%	75.8%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	3.0%	6.1%	3.0%	21.2%	66.7%		33
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	27.3%	63.6%		33
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		3.0%	3.0%	30.3%	63.6%		33
A39	I have enhanced my ability to communicate effectively	**4.6**			12.1%	18.2%	69.7%		33
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6043: Transport Phenomena

Class Number: 23732

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 23/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	56.5%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		8.7%		21.7%	69.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

MT 2811: Materials Science Laboratory

Class Number: 17154

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 1/8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of Optical and scanning electron microscopy of materials	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	Learned how to measure hardness and tensile strength	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	Learn how to determine the crystal structure of materials	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**				100.0%			1
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

MT 2811: Materials Science Laboratory

Class Number: 22345

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 4/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of Optical and scanning electron microscopy of materials	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	Learned how to measure hardness and tensile strength	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q29	Learn how to determine the crystal structure of materials	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 17117

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 13/24

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		15.4%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 17118

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 18/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%				88.9%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	17.6%				82.4%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		16.7%	16.7%	55.6%	11.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Class Number: 17242

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 5/8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	Understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawing.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q29	Learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2254: Science and Pseudoscience

Class Number: 22258

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Caomhanach,Nuala

Response Rate: 23/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	4.3%				95.7%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	4.3%		4.3%		91.3%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			8.7%	8.7%	82.6%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Class Number: 23798

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Song,Ji-young

Response Rate: 13/19

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.3%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3224: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTM

Class Number: 17098

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dolan-Gavitt,Brendan

Response Rate: 6/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6383: High-Speed Networks

Class Number: 16318

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Xu,Yang

Response Rate: 62/100

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		1.6%			98.4%		62
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.5%			93.5%		62

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	1.6%			21.0%	77.4%		62
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	1.6%		9.7%	12.9%	75.8%		62

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	9.7%	35.5%	45.2%		62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.8%	3.2%	32.3%	59.7%		62

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	1.6%	1.6%	4.8%	32.3%	59.7%		62
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	1.6%	1.6%	14.5%	33.9%	48.4%		62

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	1.6%	3.2%	9.7%	33.9%	51.6%		62

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	1.6%		9.7%	29.0%	59.7%		62
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	1.6%		11.3%	29.0%	58.1%		62
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	1.6%		4.8%	30.6%	62.9%		62

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6971: SEL TOPICS IN FINANCIAL ENGR

Class Number: 16624

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Hayes,Michael

Response Rate: 13/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	15.4%				76.9%	7.7%	13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	23.1%				61.5%	15.4%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			15.4%	23.1%	46.2%	15.4%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			7.7%	38.5%	46.2%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	15.4%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			23.1%		46.2%	30.8%	13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			15.4%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				46.2%	46.2%	7.7%	13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			15.4%	23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17598

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 29/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.7%			96.3%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.3%	3.7%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.7%		18.5%	74.1%	3.7%	27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.4%		18.5%	66.7%	7.4%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.1%		48.1%	37.0%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.7%	7.4%	7.4%	37.0%	40.7%	3.7%	27

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	29.6%	48.1%	3.7%	27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	7.4%	11.1%	29.6%	22.2%	22.2%	7.4%	27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.6**	3.4%		3.4%	24.1%	69.0%		29
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.2**	3.4%	6.9%	10.3%	24.1%	55.2%		29
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.5**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	17.2%	72.4%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	7.4%	22.2%	3.7%	25.9%	40.7%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.7%		22.2%	70.4%	3.7%	27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	51.9%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		3.7%	3.7%	44.4%	44.4%	3.7%	27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	58.6%		29
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**	3.4%		3.4%	44.8%	48.3%		29
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	6.9%	10.3%	24.1%	20.7%	37.9%		29
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	41.4%	41.4%		29

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	6.9%	13.8%	13.8%	27.6%	37.9%		29
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**	6.9%	6.9%	10.3%	31.0%	44.8%		29
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	10.3%	6.9%	10.3%	37.9%	34.5%		29
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.8**	10.3%	3.4%	24.1%	20.7%	37.9%	3.4%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17598

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 29/46

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**	3.4%		48.3%	17.2%	27.6%	3.4%	29
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.6**	10.3%	3.4%	27.6%	34.5%	24.1%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17600

Section: FLEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 25/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.0%			88.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.0%			96.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.0%		4.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.0%			40.0%	56.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	33.3%	37.5%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	4.0%		16.0%	44.0%	36.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	24.0%	36.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.5**	4.0%			32.0%	64.0%		25
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.2**	8.0%		8.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.4**	4.0%		8.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	4.0%	28.0%	12.0%	20.0%	36.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.0%		12.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	20.0%	52.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	12.0%	24.0%	48.0%		25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	4.0%		12.0%	48.0%	36.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	4.0%		20.0%	32.0%	44.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	24.0%	36.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	24.0%	44.0%		25

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	44.0%	32.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**	4.0%		4.0%	60.0%	32.0%		25
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	8.0%	4.0%	8.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.6**	8.0%	8.0%	28.0%	28.0%	28.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17600

Section: FLEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 25/45

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.6**	8.0%	4.0%	36.0%	20.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.7**	4.0%	8.0%	32.0%	28.0%	28.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3913: JAVA AND WEB DESIGN

Class Number: 17075

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 63/65

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.8%	3.2%	62
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.1%	4.9%	61

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	1.6%	1.6%	3.2%	34.9%	57.1%	1.6%	63
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	4.8%	3.2%	27.0%	22.2%	39.7%	3.2%	63

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.3**	44.4%	14.3%	15.9%	12.7%	9.5%	3.2%	63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	1.6%	11.1%	15.9%	33.3%	34.9%	3.2%	63

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	3.2%	7.9%	15.9%	36.5%	33.3%	3.2%	63
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	4.8%	9.5%	27.0%	19.0%	34.9%	4.8%	63

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	1.6%	3.2%	11.1%	46.0%	34.9%	3.2%	63

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.2%	1.6%	17.5%	34.9%	38.1%	4.8%	63
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	4.8%	1.6%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	4.8%	63
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	3.2%	4.8%	9.5%	34.9%	42.9%	4.8%	63

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 16239

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 41/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			95.0%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.4%	7.3%	4.9%	29.3%	56.1%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	10.3%	35.9%	48.7%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	19.5%	34.1%	9.8%	12.2%	24.4%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		2.4%	26.8%	29.3%	41.5%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.4%	2.4%	7.3%	43.9%	43.9%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	2.4%	4.9%	24.4%	29.3%	39.0%		41

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		9.8%	9.8%	43.9%	36.6%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.3%	2.4%	43.9%	46.3%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		19.5%	7.3%	26.8%	46.3%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	2.4%	7.3%	14.6%	31.7%	43.9%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Class Number: 16828

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 12/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		16.7%		8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			41.7%	8.3%	50.0%		12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			41.7%	8.3%	50.0%		12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			33.3%	8.3%	58.3%		12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.4**		16.7%		8.3%	75.0%		12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.4**		8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 4204: Management Science

Class Number: 17038

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Brabazon,Kevin

Response Rate: 14/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		15.4%			76.9%	7.7%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.1%		50.0%	42.9%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%	7.1%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	7.1%		21.4%	50.0%	21.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	7.1%		21.4%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	7.1%		14.3%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.5**	14.3%		35.7%	21.4%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	28.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**	7.1%		21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.1%		28.6%	50.0%	14.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6731: Basel 3 & Banking Assets Management

Class Number: 16756

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Makarov,Victor

Response Rate: 7/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4793: Computer Networking

Class Number: 17699

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 37/52

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.7%			97.3%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.8%			97.2%		36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.7%			29.7%	67.6%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	2.7%		2.7%	24.3%	67.6%	2.7%	37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	2.7%		2.7%	16.2%	78.4%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	32.4%	59.5%		37

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.4%	5.4%	2.7%	29.7%	56.8%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.4%		10.8%	18.9%	64.9%		37

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**4.8**				24.1%	75.9%		29
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**4.7**				34.5%	65.5%		29
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	34.5%	44.8%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.7%	5.4%	10.8%	40.5%	40.5%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.4%		5.4%	35.1%	54.1%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	25.0%	63.9%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	30.6%	52.8%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 16289

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 17/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.5%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**			11.8%	5.9%	82.4%		17
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		5.9%		35.3%	58.8%		17
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**		5.9%	29.4%	17.6%	47.1%		17
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			35.3%	17.6%	47.1%		17
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**		5.9%	41.2%	5.9%	47.1%		17
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				47.1%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 16289

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 17/28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6843: Computer Networking

Class Number: 16708

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 37/52

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.7%			97.3%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.8%			97.2%		36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.7%			29.7%	67.6%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	2.7%		2.7%	24.3%	67.6%	2.7%	37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	2.7%		2.7%	16.2%	78.4%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	32.4%	59.5%		37

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.4%	5.4%	2.7%	29.7%	56.8%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.4%		10.8%	18.9%	64.9%		37

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**4.8**				24.1%	75.9%		29
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**4.7**				34.5%	65.5%		29
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	34.5%	44.8%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.7%	5.4%	10.8%	40.5%	40.5%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.4%		5.4%	35.1%	54.1%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	25.0%	63.9%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	30.6%	52.8%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Class Number: 17450

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Shleykov,Ilya Borisovich

Response Rate: 10/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 17079

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 16/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			18.8%	12.5%	68.8%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		12.5%		18.8%	68.8%		16

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%		16
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 17205

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 11/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		27.3%		36.4%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2343: Transportation Engineering

Class Number: 17580

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 16/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced by ability to apply mathematics, science and engineering techniques to solve transportation related problems in transportation planning and highway design.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic freeway segments capacity analysis.	**4.3**		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the transportation planning process.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q30	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic engineering economic analysis.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q31	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		15
Q32	I learned to use Autodesk Civil 3D to perform parking layout design, as well as horizontal and vertical alignments	**4.2**			26.7%	26.7%	46.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%		43.8%	31.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.3%		56.3%	37.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			13.3%	60.0%	26.7%		15
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16800

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.3%			95.7%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		17.4%			82.6%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	8.7%	13.0%	8.7%	43.5%	26.1%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	9.1%	4.5%	27.3%	31.8%	27.3%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	8.7%	26.1%	8.7%	26.1%	30.4%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	34.8%	30.4%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	43.5%	30.4%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	8.7%	13.0%	8.7%	43.5%	26.1%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.5**	4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	27.3%	27.3%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	56.5%	21.7%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	56.5%	21.7%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	56.5%	30.4%		23

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.6**	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	30.4%	26.1%		23
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.2**	17.4%	13.0%	26.1%	21.7%	21.7%		23
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.6**	8.7%	17.4%	17.4%	21.7%	34.8%		23
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.4**	8.7%	17.4%	17.4%	39.1%	17.4%		23
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	13.0%	39.1%	26.1%		23
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.1**			17.4%	52.2%	30.4%		23
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**	4.3%		13.0%	56.5%	26.1%		23
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			17.4%	39.1%	34.8%	8.7%	23
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			26.1%	30.4%	34.8%	8.7%	23
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			26.1%	34.8%	34.8%	4.3%	23
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.4**	13.0%	13.0%	17.4%	34.8%	21.7%		23
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.3**	8.7%	21.7%	17.4%	34.8%	17.4%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	8.7%	21.7%	17.4%	39.1%	13.0%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16800

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 23/25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	4.3%	13.0%	21.7%	39.1%	21.7%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.2**	17.4%	8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	13.0%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	13.0%	13.0%	17.4%	30.4%	26.1%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Class Number: 21578

Section: EMT2

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 12/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Class Number: 17206

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ghandehari,Masoud

Response Rate: 9/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		22.2%			55.6%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.2**		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	22.2%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%		9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6711: Quantitative Portfolio Management

Class Number: 16754

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ndiaye,Papa Momar

Response Rate: 5/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16542

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Fazio,James

Response Rate: 22/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	68.2%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			5.0%	45.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 16789

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai

Response Rate: 15/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6013: Digital Communications

Class Number: 16790

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai

Response Rate: 25/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		4.0%	12.0%	24.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	4.0%		4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			8.7%	13.0%	78.3%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	12.0%	4.0%	12.0%	24.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	8.0%		12.0%	28.0%	52.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.0%		16.0%	28.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	8.0%		12.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	8.0%		20.0%	32.0%	40.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	8.0%		16.0%	32.0%	40.0%	4.0%	25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 16793

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai

Response Rate: 15/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 17656

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 2/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 17661

Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 3/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 23926

Section: CREC

Primary Instructor: Hegde,Suryanarayan

Response Rate: 14/26

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**		7.1%	21.4%		71.4%		14
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.5**	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9623: SELECTED TOPCS IN POWER ENGR

Class Number: 22451

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Babaei,Saman

Response Rate: 13/13

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		13
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			23.1%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			7.7%	53.8%	38.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8711: INTRO TO ENTREPRENEURIAL FINANCE

Class Number: 16213

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary

Response Rate: 9/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		22.2%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		11.1%	22.2%		66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8713: ENTREPRENEURIAL FINANCE

Class Number: 16214

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary

Response Rate: 9/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		22.2%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	11.1%		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		11.1%	22.2%		66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8743: ENTREPRENEURIAL MARKETING & SALES

Class Number: 16216

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary

Response Rate: 5/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1143: Ideation & Prototyping

Class Number: 17487

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Propati,Domenick G

Response Rate: 17/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	64.7%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 21589

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Propati,Domenick G

Response Rate: 14/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.1%			92.9%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			53.8%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	7.1%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 21643

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter

Response Rate: 28/31

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**			3.6%		96.4%		28
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		28
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.6%			35.7%	60.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.6%		7.1%	42.9%	46.4%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.6%		39.3%	53.6%	3.6%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	10.7%	3.6%	10.7%	42.9%	32.1%		28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**	3.8%			50.0%	46.2%		26
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.4**			3.8%	53.8%	42.3%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 17679

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 20/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.0%			90.0%	5.0%	20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.3%			94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			15.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		15.0%	15.0%	10.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	35.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.0%	10.0%		15.0%	70.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	10.0%		5.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	5.0%			10.0%	85.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	5.0%	5.0%			90.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	10.0%	10.0%		15.0%	65.0%		20

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**	5.0%		5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	5.0%		10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**	5.0%		10.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 17682

Section: AREC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 26/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.5%			84.6%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		15.4%			84.6%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.7%	3.8%	30.8%	23.1%	34.6%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	11.5%	19.2%	46.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	3.8%	23.1%	15.4%	23.1%	34.6%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	23.1%	38.5%	7.7%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	19.2%	57.7%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.5%	3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	42.3%		26

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		3.8%	19.2%	30.8%	46.2%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		3.8%	15.4%	30.8%	50.0%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	50.0%	3.8%	26

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.8%		23.1%	73.1%		26
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	23.1%	46.2%		26
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	15.4%	26.9%	3.8%	30.8%	23.1%		26
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.2**		11.5%	11.5%	23.1%	53.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3214: Microbiology

Class Number: 17305

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 9/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3214: Microbiology

Class Number: 17306

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 9/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3514: ORGANISMAL PHYSIOLOGY

Class Number: 17111

Section: ILEC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 10/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	50.0%				50.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	40.0%				60.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.9**		10.0%	10.0%	60.0%	20.0%		10
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**			30.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3514: ORGANISMAL PHYSIOLOGY

Class Number: 17112

Section: ILAB

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 10/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	10.0%		20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.9**	10.0%		20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	22.2%	22.2%		33.3%	22.2%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	10.0%	20.0%		40.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	10.0%	30.0%		20.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%		50.0%	20.0%	10.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.6**	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	30.0%	10.0%		20.0%	40.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.6**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.5**	20.0%		10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3223: Dynamics

Class Number: 17123

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria

Response Rate: 10/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		10.0%		20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.0%		30.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	Learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q28	Learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q29	Learned modeling of systems of particles	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q30	Learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies	**4.8**			11.1%		77.8%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			20.0%		70.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			11.1%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 5103: BIOMEDICAL DYNAMIC FLUIDS

Class Number: 16890

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Flamini,Vittoria

Response Rate: 8/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17318

Section: B3LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 15/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	13.3%			46.7%	40.0%		15
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	6.7%		6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	33.3%	6.7%	15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.8**	13.3%		6.7%	46.7%	26.7%	6.7%	15
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		20.0%		26.7%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	13.3%			40.0%	46.7%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	13.3%		6.7%	53.3%	26.7%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	13.3%		13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17319

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

A3. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	26.7%	33.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		13.3%		46.7%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.7%	13.3%		33.3%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.2**		13.3%		40.0%	46.7%		15
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.0**	6.7%		6.7%	53.3%	26.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	33.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	13.3%			60.0%	26.7%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	13.3%		6.7%	46.7%	33.3%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	14.3%		7.1%	50.0%	28.6%		14

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**	6.7%	13.3%		20.0%	60.0%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%		46.7%	40.0%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17320

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 30/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.3%		26.7%	70.0%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	6.7%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	43.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	6.7%		3.3%	30.0%	60.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.3%	6.7%	3.3%	50.0%	36.7%		30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	6.7%		10.0%	36.7%	46.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	40.0%	36.7%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		30
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**		6.7%		50.0%	43.3%		30
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.5**			3.3%	46.7%	50.0%		30
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.1**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.3%	13.3%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%	3.3%	30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	36.7%	33.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	6.7%	3.3%	6.7%	40.0%	43.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	6.7%	10.0%	10.0%	36.7%	36.7%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	6.7%	3.3%	20.0%	36.7%	33.3%		30
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	3.3%	3.3%	23.3%	36.7%	33.3%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	3.3%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	23.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17322

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 8/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17323

Section: A1RC

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17324

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 7/8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17325

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 6/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3413: Automatic Control

Class Number: 17128

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Luchtenburg,Dirk Martin

Response Rate: 24/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		4.3%	17.4%	34.8%	43.5%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		4.2%	4.2%	58.3%	33.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	50.0%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			4.2%	62.5%	33.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.8%	16.7%	20.8%	25.0%	16.7%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems (e.g., mechanical, aerospace, electrical, robotic, thermo-fluid, and vibratory systems).	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		24
Q28	Learned basic concepts of feedback control systems and acquired the necessary tools to design control systems using computer simulations.	**4.5**		4.2%		33.3%	62.5%		24
Q29	Learned and utilized specific tools to design feedback controllers for electro-mechanical systems.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		24
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of automatic control.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	45.8%	33.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		29.2%	12.5%	33.3%	25.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			4.2%	54.2%	41.7%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		4.2%	8.3%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		4.2%		62.5%	33.3%		24
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				54.2%	45.8%		24
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.9**		12.5%	20.8%	33.3%	33.3%		24
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		4.2%	4.2%	58.3%	33.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6113: ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY, & BIOPHYSICS II

Class Number: 16264

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert

Response Rate: 19/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	36.8%	36.8%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.3%	10.5%	42.1%	42.1%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	5.3%	21.1%		31.6%	42.1%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.3%	15.8%		31.6%	47.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		5.3%	5.3%	73.7%	15.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	10.5%	15.8%		47.4%	26.3%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	10.5%	10.5%		52.6%	26.3%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6753: Orthopaedic Biomechanics and Biomaterials

Class Number: 16266

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert

Response Rate: 10/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		20.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**		40.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	10.0%		30.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**			50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8283: Risk Analysis

Class Number: 16273

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.

Response Rate: 48/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.8%	4.2%	48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.2%		12.5%	79.2%	4.2%	48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	66.7%	4.2%	48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.2%	20.8%	4.2%	16.7%	50.0%	4.2%	48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				29.2%	66.7%	4.2%	48

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.2%	8.3%		29.2%	54.2%	4.2%	48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%	12.5%		20.8%	45.8%	4.2%	48

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%	4.2%	48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%		33.3%	50.0%	4.2%	48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		12.5%	4.2%	29.2%	50.0%	4.2%	48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	8.3%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	4.2%	48

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8283: Risk Analysis

Class Number: 24681

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.

Response Rate: 9/13

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		22.2%		33.3%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	11.1%	11.1%			77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		22.2%		44.4%	33.3%		9

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		9
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		9
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		9
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.8**		11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI 7623: Systems Biology: -Omes and -Omics

Class Number: 16995

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Smyth,Davida S

Response Rate: 12/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			41.7%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		12

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.5**		16.7%	41.7%	16.7%	25.0%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**			33.3%	58.3%	8.3%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.1**			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.4**			66.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.4**			66.7%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			75.0%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.6**	8.3%		33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.6**	8.3%		33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	8.3%		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%		75.0%	8.3%	12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Class Number: 16750

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Alizadeh,Sassan

Response Rate: 9/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		55.6%			22.2%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			50.0%	25.0%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	22.2%		11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		22.2%	44.4%		22.2%	11.1%	9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 6063: Creative Coding

Class Number: 16854

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Siwoff,Kevin Jacob

Response Rate: 16/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		93.8%	6.3%	16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.3%	16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		6.3%			93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			18.8%		75.0%	6.3%	16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		6.3%			93.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**			6.3%		93.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		6.3%			93.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 7353: Network Modeling and Analysis

Class Number: 22446

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 18/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.1%	88.9%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	5.6%			50.0%	44.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.6%		11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.6%	16.7%	5.6%	38.9%	33.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.6%			44.4%	50.0%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**			27.8%	16.7%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		5.6%	16.7%	33.3%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 16788

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 46/91

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.2%			95.7%	2.2%	46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.2%			93.5%	4.3%	46

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	89.1%	2.2%	46
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.2%	6.5%	13.0%	76.1%	2.2%	46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.3%	10.9%	15.2%	65.2%	4.3%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	76.1%	4.3%	46

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.3%	2.2%	19.6%	71.7%	2.2%	46
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.2%	6.5%	8.7%	17.4%	63.0%	2.2%	46

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.5%		37.0%	54.3%	2.2%	46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.2%	6.5%	23.9%	65.2%	2.2%	46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		2.2%		19.6%	76.1%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	67.4%	2.2%	46

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 16791

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 46/91

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.2%			95.7%	2.2%	46
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.2%			93.5%	4.3%	46

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	89.1%	2.2%	46
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.2%	6.5%	13.0%	76.1%	2.2%	46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.3%	10.9%	15.2%	65.2%	4.3%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.2%	4.3%	13.0%	76.1%	4.3%	46

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		4.3%	2.2%	19.6%	71.7%	2.2%	46
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.2%	6.5%	8.7%	17.4%	63.0%	2.2%	46

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.5%		37.0%	54.3%	2.2%	46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.2%	6.5%	23.9%	65.2%	2.2%	46
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		2.2%		19.6%	76.1%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	67.4%	2.2%	46

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Class Number: 16792

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 10/14

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			80.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		30.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.7**		10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**			10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.2**		10.0%		40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.2**			10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.2**			70.0%	20.0%		10.0%	10
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**			60.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.4**			70.0%	20.0%	10.0%		10
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.6**	10.0%		20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.2**		10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8353: CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING

Class Number: 24429

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Ergan,Semiha

Response Rate: 48/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.3%		40.4%	55.3%		47
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.2%	4.2%	45.8%	45.8%		48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	60.9%		46

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	66.7%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		48

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		16.7%	12.5%	33.3%	37.5%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	33.3%	54.2%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		48

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Class Number: 17611

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 15/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.3%	6.7%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	33.3%	33.3%	13.3%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%	6.7%	6.7%	15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%	6.7%	15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		13.3%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6673: RESONANT POWER CONVERTERS

Class Number: 16653

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 13/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	7.7%		7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		13

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	46.2%		13
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**		7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	46.2%		13
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.2**	15.4%		7.7%	7.7%	69.2%		13
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.6**	23.1%		15.4%	15.4%	46.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9053: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 16972

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Langel,Brian

Response Rate: 64/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					98.4%	1.6%	64
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			90.6%	3.1%	64

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	85.9%	1.6%	64
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.1%	7.8%	87.5%	1.6%	64

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		1.6%	1.6%	17.2%	78.1%	1.6%	64
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	7.8%	14.1%	3.1%	18.8%	54.7%	1.6%	64

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	79.7%	1.6%	64
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	14.1%	78.1%	1.6%	64

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		17.2%	4.7%	26.6%	50.0%	1.6%	64

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		1.6%		20.3%	76.6%	1.6%	64
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		1.6%	3.1%	10.9%	82.8%	1.6%	64
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		1.6%	6.3%	17.2%	73.4%	1.6%	64

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 16996

Section: J5

Primary Instructor: Malhotra,Naresh

Response Rate: 11/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	18.2%	81.8%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			18.2%		81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			45.5%		45.5%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			27.3%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7821: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE I

Class Number: 23260

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Malhotra,Naresh

Response Rate: 6/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 3154W: Fantasy Workshop

Class Number: 22256

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schreiber,Samuel G

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	20.0%	20.0%	26.7%	33.3%			15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			33.3%	13.3%	53.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%		33.3%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	6.7%		6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

AE 4613: Aerodynamics

Class Number: 17113

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender

Response Rate: 18/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		5.6%		5.6%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of potential flow theory	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q28	Know the characteristic parameters for airfoil and wing aerodynamics	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q29	Learned the fundamental of incompressible and compressible flow over wings, for both laminar and turbulent boundary layers	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q30	Learned how to design an airfoil to meet certain specification.	**4.1**	6.3%		12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.6%		11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		5.9%		35.3%	58.8%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	64.7%		17
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.5**		5.9%		35.3%	58.8%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5223: Sensor Based Robotics

Class Number: 16306

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad

Response Rate: 33/44

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			93.8%	3.1%	32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.1%	87.9%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	3.1%	15.6%	9.4%	28.1%	43.8%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	6.1%	27.3%	3.0%	30.3%	33.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.0%	9.1%	39.4%	48.5%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	30.3%	51.5%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.1%	9.1%	36.4%	42.4%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		15.2%	12.1%	33.3%	39.4%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%		33.3%	63.6%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	3.0%	9.1%	3.0%	36.4%	48.5%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	24.2%	48.5%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6253: Linear Systems

Class Number: 23877

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad

Response Rate: 4/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**		25.0%	25.0%	50.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%			75.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 7253: State Space Design for Linear Control Systems

Class Number: 16320

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.3%			91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.3%	16.7%		16.7%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6913: Introduction to Robot Mechanics

Class Number: 23995

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad

Response Rate: 33/44

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			93.8%	3.1%	32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.1%	87.9%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	3.1%	15.6%	9.4%	28.1%	43.8%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	6.1%	27.3%	3.0%	30.3%	33.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.0%	9.1%	39.4%	48.5%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	30.3%	51.5%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		12.1%	9.1%	36.4%	42.4%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		15.2%	12.1%	33.3%	39.4%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%		33.3%	63.6%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	3.0%	9.1%	3.0%	36.4%	48.5%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	24.2%	48.5%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7373: ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY & MICROBIOLOGY

Class Number: 23233

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Om,Joon

Response Rate: 16/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	12.5%				87.5%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%				93.8%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			6.3%	62.5%	31.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	18.8%			37.5%	43.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%		50.0%	25.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		18.8%	25.0%	31.3%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		18.8%	12.5%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%		43.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	18.8%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	43.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 24059

Section: INT4

Primary Instructor: O'Brien,Keith

Response Rate: 38/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.6%			97.4%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.7%	2.7%	94.6%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	2.6%	5.3%	2.6%	13.2%	76.3%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.9%	2.6%	23.7%	63.2%	2.6%	38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			2.6%	18.4%	76.3%	2.6%	38

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		38
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		38
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.9**				7.9%	92.1%		38
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**		2.6%	5.3%	10.5%	81.6%		38
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**		2.6%	5.3%	7.9%	84.2%		38
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**		2.6%	2.6%	18.4%	76.3%		38
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**		2.6%	2.6%	15.8%	78.9%		38
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**			23.7%	10.5%	65.8%		38
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**			23.7%	7.9%	68.4%		38
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**			21.1%	15.8%	63.2%		38
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.5**		2.6%	10.5%	21.1%	65.8%		38
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.4**		2.6%	15.8%	21.1%	60.5%		38

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			5.3%	28.9%	65.8%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.4%	81.6%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.9%	92.1%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4783: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 17596

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 16/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%		50.0%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	31.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	43.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6903: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 16246

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 16/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%		50.0%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	18.8%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	31.3%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	43.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6903: Applied Cryptography

Class Number: 16539

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 4/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			75.0%	25.0%			4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	50.0%		25.0%	25.0%			4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.3**	50.0%		25.0%	25.0%			4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7993: SUPPLY CHAIN ENGINEERING

Class Number: 21553

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Walker,William T

Response Rate: 19/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	5.3%			31.6%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	10.5%	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	57.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			15.8%	31.6%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.3%	15.8%	31.6%	47.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	78.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	5.3%		5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.3%		21.1%	73.7%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Class Number: 16794

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang

Response Rate: 21/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			95.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		10.0%	15.0%	45.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.8%		28.6%	23.8%	42.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			9.5%	42.9%	47.6%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		14.3%	9.5%	38.1%	38.1%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.8**		14.3%	19.0%	42.9%	23.8%		21
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.5**	4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	4.8%	21
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**			14.3%	19.0%	66.7%		21
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		21
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	52.4%		21
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		21
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**		9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	47.6%		21
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**		9.5%	47.6%	9.5%	23.8%	9.5%	21
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**		9.5%	47.6%	9.5%	23.8%	9.5%	21
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**	4.8%		52.4%	14.3%	19.0%	9.5%	21
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.1**	4.8%		23.8%	19.0%	52.4%		21
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.7**	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	14.3%	33.3%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	4.8%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	38.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%		28.6%	61.9%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		4.8%	14.3%	42.9%	38.1%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	52.4%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7503: Electronic Business Management

Class Number: 16208

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 23/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			8.7%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			17.4%	8.7%	73.9%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	69.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	73.9%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			8.7%	8.7%	82.6%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			4.3%	34.8%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			8.7%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7953: Global Innovation

Class Number: 24484

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 20/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			90.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	68.4%	5.3%	19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**		5.0%		15.0%	80.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**	5.0%			15.0%	80.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		10.0%		15.0%	75.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 16692

Section: MOT

VIG 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICI

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 22/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.5%			95.5%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			4.5%	4.5%	90.9%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				13.6%	81.8%	4.5%	22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**		4.5%		4.5%	90.9%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 2023B-Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 71/87

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.7%			81.7%	5.6%	71
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.0%			85.5%	1.4%	69

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	8.5%	2.8%	11.3%	31.0%	43.7%	2.8%	71
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	11.3%	11.3%	29.6%	22.5%	22.5%	2.8%	71

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.2%	4.2%	23.9%	23.9%	42.3%	1.4%	71
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.7%	12.7%	14.1%	28.2%	32.4%		71

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	18.3%	16.9%	7.0%	35.2%	22.5%		71
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.0%	7.0%	18.3%	29.6%	38.0%		71

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.7%	15.5%	12.7%	35.2%	23.9%		71

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	9.9%	14.1%	21.1%	35.2%	19.7%		71
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.9**	25.4%	19.7%	15.5%	18.3%	19.7%	1.4%	71
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	16.9%	11.3%	23.9%	33.8%	14.1%		71

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 2033C-Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 62/85

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	8.2%				88.5%	3.3%	61
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.1%				93.2%	1.7%	59

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	1.6%	8.1%	9.7%	40.3%	30.6%	9.7%	62
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	4.8%	8.1%	29.0%	25.8%	22.6%	9.7%	62

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.8%	4.8%	19.4%	25.8%	37.1%	8.1%	62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	4.8%	4.8%	14.5%	25.8%	45.2%	4.8%	62

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	8.2%	8.2%	13.1%	41.0%	29.5%		61
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.3%	9.8%	14.8%	32.8%	39.3%		61

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	1.6%	1.6%	16.1%	45.2%	32.3%	3.2%	62

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.5%	6.5%	14.5%	38.7%	33.9%		62
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.1**	16.1%	19.4%	16.1%	17.7%	22.6%	8.1%	62
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	11.3%	3.2%	21.0%	38.7%	22.6%	3.2%	62

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 4124: Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics

Class Number: 17342

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 5/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**		40.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**		40.0%		60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.6**	20.0%	40.0%		40.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.2**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Class Number: 21577

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 12/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**	8.3%			41.7%	50.0%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			33.3%	8.3%	58.3%		12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.7**	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Class Number: 21577

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 12/13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Class Number: 23880

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 2/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%			50.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					50.0%	50.0%	2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Class Number: 23880

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 2/5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Class Number: 17200

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.7%			91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.3%		8.7%	87.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		13.0%		34.8%	52.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	39.1%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		17.4%		21.7%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		8.7%		26.1%	65.2%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	65.2%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**				40.9%	59.1%		22
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Class Number: 17588

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.7%			91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.3%		8.7%	87.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		13.0%		34.8%	52.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	39.1%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		17.4%		21.7%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		8.7%		26.1%	65.2%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	65.2%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**				40.9%	59.1%		22
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Class Number: 17590

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph

Response Rate: 38/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.4%	2.6%	38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.5%			89.5%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.3%	2.6%	13.2%	13.2%	65.8%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	10.5%	13.2%	23.7%	39.5%	13.2%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	13.2%	13.2%	39.5%	15.8%	18.4%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	7.9%	23.7%	39.5%	15.8%	13.2%		38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	15.8%	39.5%	18.4%	7.9%	18.4%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	5.3%	18.4%	42.1%	23.7%	10.5%		38

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.3%	10.5%	28.9%	28.9%	26.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	28.9%	23.7%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.1**	13.2%	15.8%	26.3%	34.2%	10.5%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	21.1%	18.4%	18.4%	26.3%	15.8%		38

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.6**	11.1%	2.8%	22.2%	44.4%	19.4%		36
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	8.3%		19.4%	44.4%	27.8%		36
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.6**	8.3%	5.6%	27.8%	36.1%	22.2%		36
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	11.1%	2.8%	22.2%	41.7%	22.2%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2523: CONTRACTS & CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

Class Number: 17190

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence

Response Rate: 30/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.7%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.8%			82.8%	3.4%	29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	86.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		3.3%	13.3%	33.3%	50.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	3.3%	13.3%	6.7%	43.3%	33.3%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	40.0%	16.7%	3.3%	30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**		16.7%	23.3%	30.0%	30.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	3.3%	3.3%	60.0%	16.7%	6.7%	10.0%	30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the functions and contents of construction documents	**4.3**		3.3%		56.7%	40.0%		30
Q28	I understand the various project delivery systems and document requirements for each	**4.2**		6.7%	10.0%	43.3%	40.0%		30
Q29	I know how specifications are composed	**4.3**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	36.7%	50.0%		30
Q30	I understand the relationship of construction documents to construction quality	**4.5**		3.3%		40.0%	56.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	6.7%	23.3%	20.0%	33.3%	16.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.3%		10.0%	43.3%	43.3%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		3.3%	16.7%	50.0%	30.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	6.7%	16.7%	16.7%	40.0%	20.0%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4533: Construction Law

Class Number: 17090

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence

Response Rate: 28/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.4%	88.9%	3.7%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.6%	10.7%	85.7%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			10.7%	25.0%	64.3%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		3.6%	10.7%	60.7%	25.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		3.6%	10.7%	32.1%	53.6%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			17.9%	17.9%	64.3%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the operation of the legal system on construction.	**4.4**		3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	55.6%		27
Q28	I can identify relevant legal issues.	**4.5**			3.6%	42.9%	53.6%		28
Q29	I can address and solve problems encountered in construction using the law.	**4.5**			7.1%	39.3%	53.6%		28
Q30	I can avoid mistakes commonly made in construction.	**4.5**			10.7%	32.1%	57.1%		28
Q31	I understand parties' responsibilities and liabilities.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	35.7%	39.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.6%		3.6%	14.3%	78.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		7.1%	3.6%	21.4%	67.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.6%		7.1%	25.0%	64.3%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3563: CONSTRUCTION MODELING AND DATA STRUCTURES II

Class Number: 17249

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Rowshanrad,Ashkan

Response Rate: 8/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	12.5%	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3233: CHEM & BIOMOLECULAR ENG SEPARATIONS

Class Number: 17193

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Stiel,Leonard I

Response Rate: 43/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.3%				93.0%	4.7%	43
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.3%				90.7%		43

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	4.7%	7.0%	20.9%	37.2%	30.2%		43
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.6%	11.6%	18.6%	37.2%	20.9%		43

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	2.4%	11.9%	7.1%	47.6%	31.0%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	17.1%	26.8%	17.1%	22.0%	17.1%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.6**	28.6%	16.7%	23.8%	19.0%	9.5%	2.4%	42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	23.8%	28.6%	11.9%	19.0%	16.7%		42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamentals of diffusive and convective mass transfer.	**3.1**	14.3%	21.4%	16.7%	31.0%	16.7%		42
Q28	I can apply rate-based methods to the design of packed tower absorption columns.	**3.1**	11.9%	23.8%	16.7%	35.7%	11.9%		42
Q29	I can design absorption, distillation and extraction columns using equilibrium stage methods	**2.7**	23.8%	21.4%	23.8%	21.4%	9.5%		42
Q30	I understand the fundamentals of multi-component distillation systems	**3.0**	16.7%	19.0%	23.8%	26.2%	14.3%		42
Q31	I am familiar with the design principles used for membrane separation processes.	**2.8**	23.8%	16.7%	26.2%	26.2%	7.1%		42

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	31.7%	17.1%	26.8%	17.1%	7.3%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.7**	26.2%	19.0%	23.8%	21.4%	9.5%		42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.2**	42.9%	11.9%	21.4%	7.1%	9.5%	7.1%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.5**	33.3%	11.9%	26.2%	14.3%	9.5%	4.8%	42

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.1**	19.0%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	2.4%	42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Class Number: 16297

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Galy,Sebastien

Response Rate: 12/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%			83.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%	12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%		33.3%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6623: Power Systems Economics and Planning

Class Number: 16616

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Suresh,Sindhu

Response Rate: 5/9

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			20.0%	80.0%			5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.6**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			60.0%		20.0%	20.0%	5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			60.0%		20.0%	20.0%	5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			60.0%		20.0%	20.0%	5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.0**	20.0%		60.0%		20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			5

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	20.0%			20.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6921: Selected Topics in Financial Engineering

Class Number: 23264

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Hoff,Benjamin

Response Rate: 9/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Class Number: 16296

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele

Response Rate: 21/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			23.8%	23.8%	52.4%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**			38.1%	28.6%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			28.6%	33.3%	38.1%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	66.7%	4.8%	21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	71.4%	4.8%	21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		9.5%	4.8%	33.3%	52.4%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	76.2%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Class Number: 16726

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele

Response Rate: 10/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			30.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	10.0%		10.0%		80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6211: Financial Market Regulation

Class Number: 16298

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele

Response Rate: 6/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2224W: SCIENCE AND SEXUALITY

Class Number: 17505

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benezra,Amber

Response Rate: 32/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		30

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		6.3%			93.8%		32

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		30
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		30
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 2114W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I: POETRY AS DESIGN

Class Number: 22250

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Gallagher,Jean

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			87.5%	6.3%	16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			18.8%		75.0%	6.3%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 17441

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Kupferman,Howard A.

Response Rate: 10/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2304: Marketing

Class Number: 17028

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kupferman,Howard A.

Response Rate: 14/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			85.7%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.1%			85.7%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	7.1%	14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	7.1%	14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**			42.9%	14.3%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**		7.1%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%	7.1%	14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		7.1%	28.6%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**			28.6%	35.7%	28.6%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	21.4%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6403: Fundamentals of Analog Integrated Circuit Design

Class Number: 23140

Section: A

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 28/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.6%			96.4%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	67.9%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%		32.1%	57.1%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	75.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	67.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	75.0%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%	3.6%	39.3%	42.9%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	25.0%	67.9%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	3.6%		7.1%	21.4%	67.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	67.9%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6173: Performance Management and Reward Systems

Class Number: 16380

Section: I

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 8/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6173: Performance Management and Reward Systems

Class Number: 16766

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 1/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6173: Performance Management and Reward Systems

Class Number: 16766

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: DeLuca,Matthew

Response Rate: 1/4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 17653

Section: C3

Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T

Response Rate: 3/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17685

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Kevin

Response Rate: 11/14

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 17246

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Kutt,Lembit M

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.0%			80.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			33.3%	26.7%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	40.0%	6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	13.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	26.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

HI 2364: HISTORY OF AVIATION & AVIATION TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 22248

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Kinney,Jeremy Ryan

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		25.0%		16.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		12
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			41.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			41.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.6**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.6**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6923: Simulation Tools and Software for Mechatronics and Robotics

Class Number: 23998

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mummolo,Carlotta

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			25.0%	8.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Class Number: 16234

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cao,Houwei

Response Rate: 33/60

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	3.0%	6.1%	9.1%	51.5%	30.3%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	42.4%	45.5%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.0%	6.1%	12.1%	21.2%	57.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		9.1%		30.3%	60.6%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	33.3%	36.4%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.0%		12.1%	36.4%	48.5%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.0%	6.1%	12.1%	36.4%	42.4%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.0%	3.0%	12.1%	39.4%	42.4%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	6.1%	6.1%	21.2%	30.3%	36.4%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			15.2%	36.4%	48.5%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17063

Section: B2RC

Primary Instructor: Debroy,Partha

Response Rate: 23/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	4.3%			4.3%	91.3%		23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.5**	4.3%		13.0%	4.3%	78.3%		23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	4.3%		8.7%	8.7%	78.3%		23
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.6**	4.3%	4.3%		8.7%	82.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 17294

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Debroy,Partha

Response Rate: 19/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17229

Section: C2RC

Primary Instructor: Debroy,Partha

Response Rate: 19/28

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.9**			5.3%		94.7%		19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**5.0**					100.0%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6133: Computer Architecture I

Class Number: 16537

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Padman,Vikram

Response Rate: 21/21

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.8%	90.5%	4.8%	21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	42.9%	23.8%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	9.5%	33.3%	4.8%	38.1%	14.3%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.4**	33.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	9.5%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.8%	4.8%	38.1%	14.3%	38.1%		21

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	23.8%	19.0%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	9.5%	23.8%	9.5%	33.3%	23.8%		21

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.1**	28.6%	14.3%	4.8%	23.8%	28.6%		21
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.3**	14.3%	23.8%	4.8%	28.6%	28.6%		21
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**2.7**	33.3%	19.0%	4.8%	28.6%	14.3%		21
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.1**	28.6%	4.8%	14.3%	33.3%	19.0%		21
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	19.0%	19.0%	23.8%	14.3%	23.8%		21
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**	14.3%	4.8%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%		21
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.0**	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	28.6%	14.3%		21
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**	4.8%		42.9%	19.0%	33.3%		21
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**	4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	9.5%	33.3%		21
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	19.0%	28.6%	4.8%	21
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.4**	23.8%		19.0%	28.6%	28.6%		21
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.5**	19.0%		23.8%	28.6%	28.6%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	23.8%	19.0%	14.3%	33.3%	9.5%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	19.0%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	33.3%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.9**	33.3%	9.5%	14.3%	23.8%	19.0%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	9.5%	23.8%	19.0%	14.3%	33.3%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6153: THERMODYNAMICS OF HVAC SYSTEMS

Class Number: 16544

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Review of the thermodynamics principles	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q28	In-Depth Psychometric analysis, air conditioning processes, HVAC systems, and indoor air quality	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q29	HVAC systems	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q30	Term Project	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q31	Energy analysis and Bin Method. Analysis of absorption and direct expansion cooling systems	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6163: FLUID MECHANICS FOR HVAC SYSTEMS

Class Number: 16545

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali

Response Rate: 8/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Review of the fluid mechanics principles including fully developed internal flow	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	Piping design: 1) pipe sizing methods, 2) pump performance analysis and selection, and 3) hydronic system design	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q29	Air distribution design: 1) air duct sizing methods, 2) fan performance analysis, elections and sizing, and 3) air variable volume systems	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q30	Term Project	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6213: Introduction to Solid Mechanics

Class Number: 16285

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gupta,Nikhil

Response Rate: 41/44

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		19.5%			78.0%	2.4%	41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.8%			87.8%	2.4%	41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.4%	2.4%	26.8%	68.3%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		12.2%	7.3%	17.1%	63.4%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		12.2%	12.2%	19.5%	56.1%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.4%	7.3%	4.9%	29.3%	56.1%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	2.4%	7.3%	4.9%	34.1%	48.8%	2.4%	41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.4%		36.6%	61.0%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the concept of stress and strain in three-dimensional continua	**4.5**			2.7%	40.5%	56.8%		37
Q28	Understand the use of energy methods to compute displacements in structures	**4.1**	2.7%	5.4%	13.5%	35.1%	43.2%		37
Q29	Understand the phenomenon of cross-section warping in the torsion of beams and learn design fundamentals for thin-walled structures	**3.9**	5.4%	13.5%	10.8%	21.6%	48.6%		37
Q30	Understand uniform and non-uniform bending of beams with a focus on thin-walled structures	**4.0**	5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	19.4%	52.8%		36
Q31	Understand the phenomenon of buckling and learn design elements of slender columns	**4.6**		2.8%	2.8%	27.8%	66.7%		36

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		12.2%	9.8%	26.8%	51.2%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	2.4%	7.3%	4.9%	34.1%	51.2%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	7.3%	4.9%	7.3%	24.4%	56.1%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	4.9%		17.1%	29.3%	48.8%		41

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		2.7%	2.7%	35.1%	59.5%		37
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	2.7%	13.5%	8.1%	29.7%	45.9%		37
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	36.1%	47.2%		36
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**		2.8%	8.3%	44.4%	44.4%		36
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		8.3%	11.1%	25.0%	55.6%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 7243: Advanced Composite Materials

Class Number: 23999

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gupta,Nikhil

Response Rate: 4/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6051: Insurance Finance and Actuarial Science

Class Number: 16669

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lessing,Brian R

Response Rate: 7/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	14.3%		14.3%		71.4%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

MD 2314: Interactive Narrative

Class Number: 17531

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Howard,Christian

Response Rate: 18/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%			77.8%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		22.2%			72.2%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%	5.6%	18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	5.6%		5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.1%	11.1%	5.6%	72.2%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	61.1%	5.6%	18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	5.6%			16.7%	77.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	5.6%			22.2%	72.2%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 17047

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Esposito,Joseph F

Response Rate: 1/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 16196

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Feffer,Jonathan

Response Rate: 23/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	4.3%			21.7%	73.9%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	4.3%			21.7%	73.9%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	4.3%		13.0%	13.0%	69.6%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	73.9%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	4.3%			26.1%	69.6%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	13.6%	4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	45.5%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	40.9%	40.9%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	13.6%		9.1%	31.8%	45.5%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	40.9%	4.5%	22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	47.8%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	8.7%			47.8%	43.5%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	8.7%		8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	8.7%		8.7%	43.5%	39.1%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Class Number: 17475

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Hellerstein,Lisa

Response Rate: 25/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.0%			96.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		4.0%	12.0%	36.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.0%	8.0%	40.0%	40.0%	4.0%	25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		12.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	24.0%	56.0%		25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	16.0%	8.0%	8.0%	32.0%	36.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.0%	8.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	12.0%	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6923: Machine Learning

Class Number: 16247

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hellerstein,Lisa

Response Rate: 34/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			94.1%		34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.0%	93.9%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		14.7%	17.6%	26.5%	41.2%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			2.9%	26.5%	70.6%		34

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.9%		2.9%	20.6%	73.5%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.8%	8.8%	11.8%	67.6%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.9%	8.8%	26.5%	61.8%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			2.9%	26.5%	70.6%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			2.9%	8.8%	88.2%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6883: Financial Computing

Class Number: 16937

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 31/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.3%	13.3%	83.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.9%	87.1%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.2%	9.7%	87.1%		31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			3.2%	9.7%	87.1%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.2%	16.1%	80.6%		31

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	6.5%		3.2%	25.8%	64.5%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	3.2%		3.2%	9.7%	83.9%		31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	3.2%		3.2%	9.7%	83.9%		31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	3.2%		3.2%	9.7%	83.9%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6883: Financial Computing

Class Number: 16997

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 16/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	81.3%	6.3%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7831: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING I

Class Number: 16942

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 20/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			5.0%		95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3161: Materials Engineering Laboratory

Class Number: 17581

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 5/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.4**		80.0%		20.0%			5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3162: Materials Engineering

Class Number: 17585

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 5/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6023: Materials for Civil Engineers

Class Number: 16268

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 26/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.7%			92.3%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.7%			92.3%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			11.5%	7.7%	73.1%	7.7%	26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%	15.4%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		7.7%	3.8%	34.6%	53.8%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%	3.8%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	26.9%	50.0%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	61.5%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17059

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 24/28

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	71.4%	4.8%	21
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**		4.8%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	9.5%	21
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	28.6%	57.1%	4.8%	21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17221

Section: A2RC

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 19/21

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**			29.4%	17.6%	52.9%		17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.4**	5.6%			33.3%	61.1%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17227

Section: C1RC

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 25/29

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.0%	4.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**		8.0%	24.0%	24.0%	44.0%		25
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.0%	28.0%	64.0%		25
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.6**	4.0%		4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 21642

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 16/21

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	50.0%	6.3%	16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	6.3%		12.5%	31.3%	43.8%	6.3%	16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	6.3%		12.5%	12.5%	68.8%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**		6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	37.5%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		25.0%	18.8%	31.3%	25.0%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	31.3%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%		16
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	6.3%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.5**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	18.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	25.0%	18.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	18.8%	12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	6.3%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**	7.1%		14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.6**		14.3%	21.4%	50.0%	14.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17566

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 31/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				32.3%	64.5%	3.2%	31
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		6.7%	3.3%	30.0%	56.7%	3.3%	30

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.2%		25.8%	67.7%	3.2%	31
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**	3.2%	9.7%	3.2%	41.9%	38.7%	3.2%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	3.2%	16.1%	12.9%	41.9%	22.6%	3.2%	31
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	12.9%	22.6%	6.5%	29.0%	25.8%	3.2%	31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		19.4%	12.9%	32.3%	32.3%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		6.5%	12.9%	41.9%	35.5%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.5%	19.4%	9.7%	35.5%	25.8%	3.2%	31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.4**	6.5%	16.1%	35.5%	12.9%	25.8%	3.2%	31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	3.2%	19.4%	25.8%	29.0%	19.4%	3.2%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	12.9%	22.6%	19.4%	25.8%	16.1%	3.2%	31

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.1**	3.4%		10.3%	51.7%	31.0%	3.4%	29
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**	6.9%	3.4%	13.8%	48.3%	24.1%	3.4%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1122: Introduction to Computer Science

Class Number: 17085

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Memon,Nasir

Response Rate: 86/150

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.8%				90.7%	3.5%	86
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.7%				91.9%	3.5%	86

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	5.9%	2.4%	10.6%	35.3%	45.9%		85
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.7%	1.2%	31.4%	37.2%	19.8%	5.8%	86

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	8.2%	20.0%	8.2%	54.1%	9.4%		85
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	5.8%	4.7%	16.3%	44.2%	27.9%	1.2%	86

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	10.6%	16.5%	12.9%	45.9%	12.9%	1.2%	85
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	17.4%	15.1%	34.9%	22.1%	3.5%	7.0%	86

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	23.3%	17.4%	18.6%	32.6%	7.0%	1.2%	86

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	9.3%	7.0%	17.4%	44.2%	18.6%	3.5%	86
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	7.0%	5.8%	14.0%	44.2%	26.7%	2.3%	86
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	14.0%	12.8%	22.1%	32.6%	16.3%	2.3%	86

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3923: COMPUTER SECURITY

Class Number: 17696

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Memon,Nasir

Response Rate: 41/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.6%			82.9%	2.4%	41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.3%			87.8%	4.9%	41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	9.8%	9.8%	12.2%	24.4%	43.9%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	14.6%	31.7%	41.5%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	22.0%	24.4%	4.9%	34.1%	14.6%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	7.3%	12.2%	24.4%	29.3%	26.8%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.5%	17.5%	12.5%	27.5%	30.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.2%	17.1%	22.0%	24.4%	24.4%		41

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	9.8%	24.4%	19.5%	26.8%	19.5%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.8%	7.3%	19.5%	24.4%	39.0%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**	12.2%	2.4%	19.5%	31.7%	34.1%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	14.6%	17.1%	14.6%	22.0%	31.7%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Class Number: 16796

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Memon,Nasir

Response Rate: 41/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.6%			82.9%	2.4%	41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.3%			87.8%	4.9%	41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	9.8%	9.8%	12.2%	24.4%	43.9%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	7.3%	4.9%	14.6%	31.7%	41.5%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	22.0%	24.4%	4.9%	34.1%	14.6%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	7.3%	12.2%	24.4%	29.3%	26.8%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	12.5%	17.5%	12.5%	27.5%	30.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.2%	17.1%	22.0%	24.4%	24.4%		41

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	9.8%	24.4%	19.5%	26.8%	19.5%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	9.8%	7.3%	19.5%	24.4%	39.0%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**	12.2%	2.4%	19.5%	31.7%	34.1%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	14.6%	17.1%	14.6%	22.0%	31.7%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7873: LEAN MANUFACTURING

Class Number: 16885

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gupta,Suresh

Response Rate: 17/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			88.2%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					94.1%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**	5.9%				94.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	64.7%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**	5.9%				94.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FIN 2203: Corporate Finance and Financial Markets

Class Number: 17157

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Larrymore,Norris L.

Response Rate: 19/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		15.8%		42.1%	42.1%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	10.5%	26.3%	5.3%	42.1%	15.8%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	31.6%	36.8%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		21.1%	15.8%	21.1%	42.1%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	10.5%	26.3%	15.8%	15.8%	31.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		10.5%	10.5%	57.9%	21.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		21.1%	5.3%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	10.5%	10.5%	21.1%	26.3%	31.6%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		21.1%	10.5%	15.8%	52.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 16362

Section: EMOT

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 43/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		43
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.4%			97.6%		42

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.3%	90.7%		43
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.4%			16.7%	78.6%	2.4%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	2.3%	4.7%	2.3%	25.6%	65.1%		43
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.8%	26.2%	69.0%		42

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			2.3%	20.9%	76.7%		43
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		2.3%	2.3%	30.2%	65.1%		43
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				41.9%	58.1%		43
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				32.6%	67.4%		43
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**	2.3%			25.6%	72.1%		43

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.7%	11.6%	30.2%	53.5%		43

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.6%	81.4%		43
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		2.3%		16.3%	81.4%		43
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		2.3%		27.9%	69.8%		43

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 16966

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 7/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Class Number: 16966

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 7/12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 2064: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN PLANNING

Class Number: 17540

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bloom,Nicholas D.

Response Rate: 23/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.7%			91.3%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.2**		13.0%	13.0%	8.7%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q31	I developed an ability to work professionally in urban agencies.	**4.6**			8.7%	17.4%	69.6%	4.3%	23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16970

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Juan C

Response Rate: 14/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	21.4%	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**			35.7%	21.4%	28.6%	14.3%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**	14.3%		7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			28.6%	14.3%	50.0%	7.1%	14
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			35.7%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			35.7%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	14
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	35.7%	7.1%	14
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.2**	7.1%		14.3%	21.4%	50.0%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	7.1%	14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 16361

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 40/41

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.5%	2.5%	15.0%	80.0%		40
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	2.5%	10.0%	7.5%	20.0%	60.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.0%	2.5%	20.0%	72.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	75.0%		40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		10.0%	2.5%	25.0%	62.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.5%	7.5%	17.5%	72.5%		40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		40
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**	2.5%	2.5%	10.0%	32.5%	52.5%		40
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		2.5%	5.0%	35.0%	57.5%		40
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		2.5%	7.5%	30.0%	60.0%		40

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		2.5%	7.5%	32.5%	55.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	70.0%	2.5%	40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	2.5%	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%	75.0%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	2.5%	5.0%	5.0%	12.5%	72.5%	2.5%	40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 16965

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	46.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		15.4%		15.4%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	7.7%		7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	7.7%		7.7%		84.6%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	7.7%			46.2%	46.2%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**	7.7%		15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	61.5%		13
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	7.7%	7.7%		15.4%	69.2%		13
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	7.7%	38.5%		13
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%		13
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	7.7%	23.1%		23.1%	46.2%		13
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	46.2%		13
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	7.7%			23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**	15.4%		23.1%	15.4%	38.5%	7.7%	13
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	15.4%	38.5%	7.7%	13
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**	23.1%			30.8%	46.2%		13
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.8**	15.4%		23.1%	7.7%	53.8%		13
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.5**	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%		46.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%	7.7%		53.8%	30.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 16965

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 13/14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	7.7%			15.4%	76.9%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	15.4%			15.4%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	7.7%		7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Class Number: 16998

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 35/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		5.7%		5.7%	88.6%		35
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.7%	5.7%	11.4%	77.1%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**	2.9%			11.4%	85.7%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	2.9%			14.3%	80.0%	2.9%	35

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	2.9%		2.9%	31.4%	62.9%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	2.9%			22.9%	74.3%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	20.0%	65.7%		35
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%		31.4%	62.9%		35
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**	2.9%	5.7%	5.7%	20.0%	65.7%		35
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%		25.7%	68.6%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	22.9%	62.9%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.9%		5.7%	25.7%	65.7%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	2.9%		2.9%	14.3%	80.0%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.9%		2.9%	25.7%	68.6%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 17720

Section: BREC

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 20/26

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.4**	15.8%	10.5%	5.3%	42.1%	21.1%	5.3%	19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	10.5%		10.5%	36.8%	36.8%	5.3%	19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**3.3**	21.1%	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	26.3%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 17211

Section: A1RC

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 19/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**			38.9%	16.7%	44.4%		18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17231

Section: C3RC

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 18/28

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.6%	5.6%		16.7%	72.2%		18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.3**	11.1%		11.1%	5.6%	72.2%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 17555

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 14/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.4**	35.7%	35.7%		14.3%	14.3%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**		7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.1%		21.4%	50.0%	21.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%	7.1%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**			7.1%	64.3%	28.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17563

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 12/14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A1. Regarding The Laboratory									
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor									
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.8**	8.3%	16.7%		33.3%	41.7%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.9**	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%		12
D. Instruction									
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
G1. Course Difficulty									
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%		41.7%	33.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations									
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	8.3%		41.7%	8.3%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**		8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	33.3%		12
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 2024W: Design of Cities

Class Number: 17538

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Enigbokan,Olatokunbo Adeola

Response Rate: 19/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.5%			89.5%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.3%			94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			5.3%	42.1%	47.4%	5.3%	19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	11.1%	5.6%		22.2%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.8**		5.9%		5.9%	82.4%	5.9%	17
Q32	I developed an ability to work professionally in urban agencies.	**4.5**			17.6%	17.6%	64.7%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.5%	5.3%	47.4%	31.6%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		5.3%		5.3%	89.5%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		10.5%		10.5%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 2044: METHODS FOR STUDYING URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 17539

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Enigbokan,Olatokunbo Adeola

Response Rate: 10/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		30.0%			60.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			80.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7223: HYDROLOGY

Class Number: 16923

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vasiliadis,Haralambos V.

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	33.3%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	5.6%	16.7%		38.9%	38.9%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	5.6%	16.7%	5.6%	44.4%	27.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the principles of ground water hydrology.	**4.2**		5.9%		58.8%	35.3%		17
Q28	I have developed the introduction to frequency analysis of floods and droughts.	**4.2**		5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	41.2%		17
Q29	I can understand analysis of precipitation, runoff, unit hydrographs, flood routing and reservoir storage.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q30	I can understand the concept of hydraulic cycle and meteorological considerations.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	27.8%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		5.6%	22.2%	33.3%	38.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.6%		44.4%	50.0%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3553: Non-Structural Building Systems

Class Number: 17199

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tamayo,Fred

Response Rate: 24/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.2%			95.8%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.3%			91.3%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	45.8%	25.0%	4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	4.2%	20.8%	33.3%	20.8%	16.7%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		20.8%	12.5%	33.3%	29.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	4.2%	25.0%	8.3%	37.5%	20.8%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.2%		16.7%	45.8%	33.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the basic principles of construction projects, interaction between design consultants and construction team	**3.9**		8.7%	8.7%	65.2%	17.4%		23
Q28	I acquired a basic understanding of building HVAC, plumbing, fire protection, electrical and specialty systems.	**3.9**		9.1%	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%		22
Q29	I understand how sustainable, energy efficient building systems are incorporated into the building design	**4.0**		8.7%	13.0%	52.2%	26.1%		23
Q30	I learned how to read mechanical and electrical drawings and coordination	**3.3**		26.1%	26.1%	34.8%	13.0%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	45.8%	16.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	4.2%	33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	20.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5463: Introduction to RF/Microwave Integrated Circuits

Class Number: 16314

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping

Response Rate: 37/60

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.7%	2.7%	16.2%	78.4%		37
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	2.7%		5.4%	18.9%	73.0%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	2.7%		5.4%	21.6%	70.3%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	2.7%		10.8%	13.5%	73.0%		37

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	2.7%		8.1%	24.3%	64.9%		37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	2.7%		5.4%	24.3%	67.6%		37

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.4%	13.5%	10.8%	70.3%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	13.5%	75.7%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	2.7%		8.1%	16.2%	73.0%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	73.0%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI 7643: COMPUTATIONAL TOOLS PERL & BIOPERL

Class Number: 16838

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Brathwaite,Mgavi Elombe

Response Rate: 8/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.5**	37.5%	25.0%		25.0%	12.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.5**	25.0%	37.5%		37.5%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.6**	12.5%	50.0%		37.5%			8

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**2.3**	37.5%	37.5%		12.5%	12.5%		8
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.1**	37.5%			37.5%	25.0%		8
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.3**	37.5%	37.5%		12.5%	12.5%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**2.4**	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%		8
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**2.5**	25.0%	37.5%		37.5%			8
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.1**			87.5%	12.5%			8
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.1**			87.5%	12.5%			8
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.1**			87.5%	12.5%			8
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.6**		12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	12.5%	37.5%		25.0%	25.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.1**	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%		12.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.5**	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2193: Intro to Web Development

Class Number: 23134

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Sigal,Jason M

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 3153: Media in Game Design and Development

Class Number: 21624

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pizza,Toni

Response Rate: 18/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			35.3%		64.7%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 16661

Section: EMOT

MG 6933: Information Technologies, Systems and Management in Organizations

Primary Instructor: Kauber,Frederick

Response Rate: 8/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6353: Special Topics in Biomedical Engineering

Class Number: 24016

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Carter,John Neil

Response Rate: 10/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			80.0%	10.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				70.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17314

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 14/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.8**		7.7%	38.5%	15.4%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**		15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	38.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17315

Section: B1RC

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 8/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

A3. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.9**			50.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1024: General Chemistry II

Class Number: 17321

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 10/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2614: Physical Chemistry I

Class Number: 17329

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 21/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%	4.8%	19.0%	61.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		4.8%		9.5%	85.7%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2444: HISTORY & PHILOSOPHY OF INTERNET TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 22261

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher

Response Rate: 16/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		13.3%			86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	6.7%	6.7%		13.3%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.7%		13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided me with an understanding of the interactions among science, technology, and society.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
Q28	This course introduced me to major topics in the history of technology in the twentieth century.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q29	This course included a representative range of topics from the history of the Internet, from its origins in communication networks to the present day.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q30	This course gave me an international perspective on the history of the Internet.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q31	This course contained topics and authors that I'd like to learn more about on my own.	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%		40.0%	46.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	6.7%	6.7%		13.3%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**3.9**		13.3%	13.3%	40.0%	33.3%		15
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	26.7%		15
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.6**				40.0%	53.3%	6.7%	15
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.1**		13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 3004W: SEMINAR IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY STUDIES

Class Number: 17518

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher

Response Rate: 19/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	5.3%			10.5%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided me with an understanding of the interactions among science, technology, and society.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q28	This course introduced me to major topics in the history of science and technology from a range of historical periods and genres.	**4.5**	5.3%			26.3%	68.4%		19
Q29	This course demonstrated different approaches to the field of STS and challenged my preconceptions about what STS could be and is.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q30	The writing component of this course was more challenging than introductory writing courses.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q31	This course contained topics and authors that I'd like to learn more about on my own.	**4.2**	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	63.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			21.1%	42.1%	36.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	5.3%		5.3%	10.5%	78.9%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3304: Introduction to Supply Chain Management

Class Number: 17031

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael

Response Rate: 6/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6463: Supply Chain Management

Class Number: 16206

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Greenstein,Michael

Response Rate: 14/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			21.4%	7.1%	71.4%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17684

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 16/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%		16

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**		12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	12.5%	31.3%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	6.3%	25.0%	18.8%	43.8%	6.3%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	18.8%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	12.5%	31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**		6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	56.3%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	43.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	37.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		6.3%	18.8%	18.8%	56.3%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	6.3%		31.3%	18.8%	43.8%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**	6.3%		31.3%	12.5%	50.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17689

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 12/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	8.3%			8.3%	75.0%	8.3%	12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**	8.3%			33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	8.3%				83.3%	8.3%	12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**	8.3%				83.3%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%		25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%		33.3%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**	8.3%			8.3%	83.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	8.3%			8.3%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	16.7%		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**	8.3%			33.3%	58.3%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**	8.3%			41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 17680

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 13/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		15.4%		23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		30.8%	7.7%		53.8%	7.7%	13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			23.1%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			7.7%	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%	13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			15.4%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**		7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	30.8%	7.7%	13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	15.4%	13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		7.7%	38.5%	7.7%	38.5%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 17681

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 6/8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Class Number: 22149

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 13/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		15.4%		23.1%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 9433: Protein Engineering

Class Number: 22173

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Montclare,Jin Kim

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			20.0%	53.3%	26.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	6.7%		20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	13.3%	20.0%	33.3%	26.7%	6.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	6.7%	33.3%	6.7%	33.3%	20.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	20.0%	33.3%	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.7%	13.3%		53.3%	26.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**	13.3%		20.0%	60.0%	6.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	13.3%		26.7%	40.0%	20.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 16307

Section: O2LB

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 4/4

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
	E1. Online Course Module	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 16309

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 6/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			50.0%	33.3%	16.7%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.2**			83.3%	16.7%			6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Class Number: 23139

Section: O1LB

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 2/4

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
	E1. Online Course Module	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9333: SEL TPCS IN TELECOMM & NTWK FROM INFO SYS

Class Number: 22449

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 57/97

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		57
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		57

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		1.8%		7.0%	91.2%		57
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			1.8%	10.5%	87.7%		57

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	17.5%	1.8%	21.1%	15.8%	43.9%		57
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	1.8%		15.8%	19.3%	61.4%	1.8%	57

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			1.8%	22.8%	75.4%		57
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.6%	1.8%	1.8%	20.0%	72.7%		55

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			1.8%	26.3%	71.9%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	1.8%			19.3%	78.9%		57
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		1.8%		12.3%	86.0%		57
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	1.8%		1.8%	12.3%	84.2%		57

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 16207

Section: I

MG 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Primary Instructor: Buttigieg,William J

Response Rate: 24/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.7%	4.3%	23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	62.5%	4.2%	24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	70.8%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.8%	75.0%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				25.0%	70.8%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	58.3%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	45.8%	4.2%	24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	50.0%	4.2%	24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		8.3%		45.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				37.5%	58.3%	4.2%	24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.2%		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.2%		4.2%	33.3%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	8.3%	4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	8.3%	4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	54.2%	4.2%	24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3233: Machine Design

Class Number: 17124

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 30/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.7%			90.0%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.7%	3.3%	30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.0%	26.7%	63.3%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	6.7%		16.7%	16.7%	60.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		6.7%	16.7%	26.7%	50.0%		30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	3.3%	23.3%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	10.0%	3.3%	16.7%	30.0%	40.0%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the concepts on stress, strain, elastic and plastic ranges of material behavior, stress-strain relationship, and engineering materials	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		30
Q28	Learned static failure theories for ductile and brittle materials	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	50.0%	3.3%	30
Q29	Learned about application of static and dynamics failure theories to mechanical design problems	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	43.3%	3.3%	30
Q30	Learned about the fundamentals of machine elements, such as columns, shafts, gears, and bearings	**4.5**			3.3%	40.0%	50.0%	6.7%	30
Q31	Learned how to design mechanical systems based on a set of requirements	**4.1**	3.4%	3.4%	6.9%	44.8%	37.9%	3.4%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		3.3%	23.3%	40.0%	33.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	23.3%	50.0%	3.3%	30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		3.3%	16.7%	30.0%	43.3%	6.7%	30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**		3.3%	13.3%	23.3%	56.7%	3.3%	30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	26.7%	50.0%	3.3%	30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		3.3%	13.3%	33.3%	50.0%		30
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.2**		3.3%	13.3%	43.3%	36.7%	3.3%	30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4863: CORROSION & NON-DESTRUCTIVE EVALUATION OF MATERIALS

Class Number: 22344

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn how corrosion occurs in metals and alloys	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q28	Learn the various forms of corrosion	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q29	Learn how to prevent corrosion	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q30	Learn the methods used to inspect structures non-destructively	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9173: SEL TOPCS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Class Number: 16988

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bello,Juan

Response Rate: 9/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

Class Number: 16920

Section: I

CE 6073: Instrumentation, Monitoring and Condition Assessment of Civil Infrastructure

Primary Instructor: Iskander,Magued G

Response Rate: 17/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			17.6%	23.5%	58.8%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	17.6%	23.5%	17.6%	11.8%	29.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				52.9%	41.2%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.9%			41.2%	47.1%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			23.5%	29.4%	47.1%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9781: Selected Topics in Management

Class Number: 23128

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Coleman,Vaughan

Response Rate: 2/5

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9781: Selected Topics in Management

Class Number: 23128

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Coleman,Vaughan

Response Rate: 2/5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6073: Genetic Engineering

Class Number: 16817

Section: LAB

Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M

Response Rate: 19/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		5.3%		21.1%	73.7%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		18
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	47.4%	10.5%		19
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	5.3%	26.3%		21.1%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	10.5%	15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	36.8%	5.3%	19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	10.5%		5.3%	21.1%	57.9%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6073: Genetic Engineering

Class Number: 16818

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M

Response Rate: 19/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**		31.6%	10.5%	36.8%	21.1%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			21.1%	26.3%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			15.8%	10.5%	73.7%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		10.5%		10.5%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 2033A-DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 53/68

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.2%	3.8%	53
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					98.0%	2.0%	50

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.8%		20.8%	75.5%		53
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			11.5%	21.2%	59.6%	7.7%	52

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	1.9%		7.5%	15.1%	73.6%	1.9%	53
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.9%	5.9%	3.9%	21.6%	64.7%		51

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	5.8%		5.8%	34.6%	53.8%		52
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		1.9%	15.4%	28.8%	53.8%		52

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	1.9%	7.5%	5.7%	35.8%	49.1%		53

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.7%	9.4%	34.0%	50.9%		53
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	1.9%	1.9%	5.8%	15.4%	73.1%	1.9%	52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	5.7%	1.9%	13.2%	24.5%	52.8%	1.9%	53

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 6683: QUANTUM MECHANCIS II

Class Number: 21650

Section: A

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 9/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					88.9%	11.1%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.1%	11.1%		77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		22.2%			77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		11.1%	11.1%		77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17042

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina

Response Rate: 3/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 17045

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina

Response Rate: 2/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: Integrated Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17048

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken

Response Rate: 1/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			100.0%	1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: Integrated Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17049

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken

Response Rate: 1/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Class Number: 16723

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		25.0%		12.5%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		12.5%	12.5%		75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2813: Astronomy and Astrophysics

Class Number: 17332

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael

Response Rate: 20/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			20.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			45.0%	20.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			5.0%	60.0%	35.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			5.0%	35.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4613: Artificial Intelligence

Class Number: 17695

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming

Response Rate: 48/53

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.1%			97.9%		48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.1%	2.1%	20.8%	75.0%		48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.1%	10.4%	12.5%	72.9%	2.1%	48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.2%	2.1%	22.9%	70.8%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		2.1%	14.6%	8.3%	72.9%	2.1%	48

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.4%	2.1%	33.3%	54.2%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.1%	6.3%	6.3%	22.9%	62.5%		48

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%	10.4%	20.8%	56.3%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.1%	10.4%	2.1%	22.9%	62.5%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	4.2%	6.3%	4.2%	22.9%	62.5%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.2%	6.3%	10.4%	14.6%	64.6%		48

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6613: Artificial Intelligence I

Class Number: 16243

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Wong,Edward Kin-ming

Response Rate: 48/53

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.1%			97.9%		48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.1%	2.1%	20.8%	75.0%		48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.1%	10.4%	12.5%	72.9%	2.1%	48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.2%	2.1%	22.9%	70.8%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		2.1%	14.6%	8.3%	72.9%	2.1%	48

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.4%	2.1%	33.3%	54.2%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.1%	6.3%	6.3%	22.9%	62.5%		48

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%	10.4%	20.8%	56.3%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.1%	10.4%	2.1%	22.9%	62.5%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	4.2%	6.3%	4.2%	22.9%	62.5%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.2%	6.3%	10.4%	14.6%	64.6%		48

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Class Number: 17095

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ahmed,Mahmoud Abdelrahim

Response Rate: 15/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			93.3%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	33.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			20.0%	46.7%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6251: NUMERICAL & SIMULATION TECHNIQUES IN FINANCE

Class Number: 16299

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Weinberger,Edward

Response Rate: 8/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6613: ELECTRICAL TRANSMISSION & DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS

Class Number: 22445

Section: I

Primary Instructor: De Leon,Francisco

Response Rate: 22/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.5%			95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	77.3%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			27.3%	13.6%	54.5%	4.5%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	68.2%	4.5%	22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	13.6%	4.5%	22.7%	18.2%	40.9%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834W: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 22270

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Woods,David W

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4043: Sustainable Cities

Class Number: 17083

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 11/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		36.4%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		27.3%		45.5%	27.3%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		9.1%	54.5%		36.4%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can identify urban infrastructure challenges in a sector (e.g. water management, congestion, safety and security of infrastructure)	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I know how to prepare, analyze and recommend potential for solutions for urban infrastructure challenges	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	I understand urban sustainability impact of deployed strategies	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
Q30	I understand the role of Innovative Technology	**4.0**	10.0%			60.0%	30.0%		10
Q31	I can apply principles of disaster resilience and software application	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	45.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 17448

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso

Response Rate: 32/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.1%			96.9%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			96.9%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.2%	9.7%	9.7%	32.3%	45.2%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	6.3%	25.0%	15.6%	34.4%	18.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	6.3%	28.1%	25.0%	21.9%	18.8%		32

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	15.6%	25.0%	12.5%	28.1%	18.8%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	21.9%	12.5%	34.4%	18.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	12.5%	15.6%	3.1%	40.6%	28.1%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	43.8%	34.4%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**	3.1%	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	28.1%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	9.4%	15.6%	9.4%	31.3%	34.4%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**		9.4%	12.5%	46.9%	31.3%		32
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.7**		15.6%	18.8%	50.0%	15.6%		32
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.3**	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	37.5%	18.8%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 17449

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso

Response Rate: 12/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.3%			91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		25.0%	33.3%	41.7%			12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	50.0%			12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%			12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		33.3%		58.3%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		16.7%		58.3%	25.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.9**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	8.3%		25.0%	41.7%	25.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**		16.7%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%		12
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.3**		16.7%	33.3%	50.0%			12
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**2.8**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%			12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

AE 4633: Aerospace Propulsion

Class Number: 17114

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kalkhoran,Iraj M

Response Rate: 18/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	11.1%	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of thermodynamics of breathing engines	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q28	Know about ramjet, turbojet and turbofan engines	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q29	Learned the fundamental of inlet and nozzle flows	**4.5**		11.1%		16.7%	72.2%		18
Q30	Learned about the flow through compressors and turbines	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q31	Understand the performance of rocket vehicles	**2.9**	27.8%	16.7%	22.2%	5.6%	27.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		5.6%	22.2%	11.1%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	61.1%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			5.6%	50.0%	44.4%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Class Number: 17091

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun

Response Rate: 7/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**1.9**	42.9%	42.9%		14.3%			7

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		57.1%	14.3%		28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Class Number: 17096

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeong Eun

Response Rate: 10/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the impact to our health and well-being caused by environmental issues, such as water pollution, air pollution, and solid waste	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q28	Identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems by applying scientific and engineering methods, such as risk assessment, solid waste management.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	Gain an understanding of the interrelationships of different areas (climate change/carbon Emission, energy production/use, water quality) affecting the environment.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q30	Conduct experiments (i.e. water quality analysis including conductivity, turbidity, pH, alkalinity, hardness), as well as analyze and interpret data.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**		10.0%			90.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17564

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Shakya,Naresh M

Response Rate: 29/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		3.4%	6.9%	10.3%	79.3%		29
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		6.9%	6.9%	41.4%	44.8%		29
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.4%	6.9%	10.3%	79.3%		29
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	17.2%	65.5%		29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.9%	6.9%	13.8%	31.0%	41.4%		29
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.4%	10.3%	3.4%	27.6%	55.2%		29
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	10.3%	13.8%	20.7%	27.6%	27.6%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	10.3%	17.2%	13.8%	31.0%	27.6%		29
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	13.8%	31.0%	20.7%	10.3%	24.1%		29
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**	6.9%	6.9%	13.8%	17.2%	55.2%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	20.7%	10.3%	20.7%	24.1%	24.1%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.6**	34.5%	17.2%	17.2%	17.2%	13.8%		29
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	6.9%	3.4%	20.7%	62.1%	6.9%		29
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**	3.4%	6.9%	17.2%	51.7%	20.7%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 17209

Section: AREC

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 17/27

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 17213

Section: B1RC

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 26/30

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**	3.8%		7.7%	11.5%	76.9%		26
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	11.5%	73.1%		26
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.6**	3.8%		7.7%	7.7%	80.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17225

Section: B2RC

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 21/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.8%	9.5%		14.3%	71.4%		21
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	14.3%	57.1%	4.8%	21
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.8%	9.5%		23.8%	57.1%	4.8%	21
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.1**	4.8%	19.0%		9.5%	61.9%	4.8%	21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 16746

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 8/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 16747

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 24/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			95.8%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	70.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.2%	8.3%		83.3%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		4.2%		16.7%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Class Number: 16748

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 6/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7821: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE I

Class Number: 16953

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 19/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16655

Section: D

Primary Instructor: McIntosh,Suzanne

Response Rate: 48/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		48
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				2.1%	97.9%		48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		48

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		48

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		48
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				2.1%	97.9%		48
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				2.1%	97.9%		48

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 24297

Section: H

Primary Instructor: Silva,Claudio

Response Rate: 30/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		13.3%			86.7%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		13.8%			82.8%	3.4%	29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	3.3%		3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	26.7%	53.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%	6.7%	33.3%	50.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	3.3%		3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	10.0%	23.3%	53.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%		36.7%	50.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	10.0%	3.3%		40.0%	46.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.3%	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	56.7%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	6.7%		10.0%	30.0%	53.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	60.0%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 1012: INTRO TO COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Class Number: 17195

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina

Response Rate: 34/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.9%			88.2%	5.9%	34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			85.3%	8.8%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	20.6%	17.6%	11.8%	20.6%	26.5%	2.9%	34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	20.6%	38.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	8.8%	11.8%	5.9%	47.1%	26.5%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.9%	20.6%	29.4%	41.2%		34

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	20.6%	26.5%	8.8%	32.4%	11.8%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.9%	8.8%	20.6%	41.2%	23.5%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	29.4%	23.5%	17.6%	26.5%	2.9%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.9**	23.5%	8.8%	26.5%	26.5%	11.8%	2.9%	34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.6**	29.4%	17.6%	23.5%	14.7%	11.8%	2.9%	34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.7**	26.5%	20.6%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 16327

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.7%		84.6%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	69.2%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			15.4%		84.6%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			15.4%		84.6%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 16765

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 1/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6123: Human Resource Management

Class Number: 16765

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 1/3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4113: Senior Design II

Class Number: 17131

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 30/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				3.3%	96.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	86.7%	3.3%	30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			10.0%	13.3%	70.0%	6.7%	30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to apply numerical analysis via modern software tools to a design project	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		30
Q28	Learn to put together a Test and Evaluation Plan and Report and about Design of Experiments	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30
Q29	Learn about designing for the environment	**4.6**				36.7%	63.3%		30
Q30	Learn about the relevance of continuing education	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		30
Q31	Learn the process of building and testing to specifications an engineering product	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		30
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**		3.3%		20.0%	76.7%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				23.3%	76.7%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4113: Senior Design II

Class Number: 17452

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 35/44

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.1%	2.9%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.4%	88.6%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.7%	8.6%	85.7%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.9%	77.1%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.9%	2.9%	14.3%	80.0%		35

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		2.9%		22.9%	74.3%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		2.9%	22.9%	14.3%	60.0%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to apply numerical analysis via modern software tools to a design project	**4.6**		2.9%		34.3%	62.9%		35
Q28	Learn to put together a Test and Evaluation Plan and Report and about Design of Experiments	**4.7**				34.3%	65.7%		35
Q29	Learn about designing for the environment	**4.5**			11.4%	31.4%	57.1%		35
Q30	Learn about the relevance of continuing education	**4.6**			11.8%	20.6%	67.6%		34
Q31	Learn the process of building and testing to specifications an engineering product	**4.7**				31.4%	68.6%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.9%	2.9%	5.7%	22.9%	65.7%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	22.9%	71.4%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		2.9%		14.3%	82.9%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		2.9%	2.9%	17.1%	77.1%		35

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data	**4.7**			2.9%	28.6%	68.6%		35
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**		2.9%		29.4%	67.6%		34
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**			8.6%	34.3%	57.1%		35
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			5.9%	32.4%	61.8%		34
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				22.9%	77.1%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1013A-Mugglin,David

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 42/55

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.8%			90.5%	4.8%	42
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			90.0%	5.0%	40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.4%	11.9%	83.3%	2.4%	42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			21.4%	9.5%	61.9%	7.1%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		4.8%	16.7%	14.3%	61.9%	2.4%	42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.8%	4.8%	7.1%	14.3%	66.7%	2.4%	42

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	2.4%	2.4%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%	2.4%	42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.4%	2.4%	14.3%	23.8%	47.6%	9.5%	42

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.4%	11.9%		42.9%	40.5%	2.4%	42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.4%		2.4%	42.9%	47.6%	4.8%	42
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			4.8%	11.9%	81.0%	2.4%	42
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	23.8%	66.7%	2.4%	42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 2033B-Mugglin,David

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 63/99

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.3%			93.7%		63
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.6%			96.8%	1.6%	62

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		1.6%	3.2%	12.7%	82.5%		63
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	1.6%	4.8%	11.1%	12.7%	68.3%	1.6%	63

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		1.6%	3.2%	20.6%	74.6%		63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.3%	3.2%	20.6%	69.8%		63

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	4.8%	11.1%	4.8%	22.2%	57.1%		63
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	1.6%	4.8%	11.1%	19.0%	60.3%	3.2%	63

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.5%	6.5%	30.6%	56.5%		62

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	6.3%	7.9%	4.8%	25.4%	55.6%		63
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	1.6%	4.8%	3.2%	17.7%	72.6%		62
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	9.5%	4.8%	3.2%	23.8%	55.6%	3.2%	63

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2344: INTRODUCTION TO MODERN AND SOLID STATE PHYSICS

Class Number: 17072

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 16/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 17292

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel

Response Rate: 1/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2414: Basic Practice of Statistics for Social Science

Class Number: 17447

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel

Response Rate: 1/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 21584

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Bennett,Katherine

Response Rate: 6/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9963: MS Pre-Thesis in Digital Media: Research Methods

Class Number: 16859

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bennett,Katherine

Response Rate: 10/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	10.0%		30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		40.0%		30.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2054: Applied Business Data Analysis I

Class Number: 17051

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang

Response Rate: 2/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

P. AACSB-As a result of taking tthis course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A47	I have learned foundation knowledge for business in Math & Statistics	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 17390

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 13/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		13
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**5.0**					100.0%		13
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**5.0**					100.0%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Class Number: 17391

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 12/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q28	Learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
Q29	Learned modeling using Ansys.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q30	Learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q31	Learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

MT 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Class Number: 17155

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.3%			93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		6.3%		37.5%	56.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		6.3%		43.8%	50.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q28	Learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q29	Learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q30	Learned about alloy phase diagrams	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%		37.5%	50.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**		12.5%	31.3%	18.8%	37.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17551

Section: D2RC

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 21/29

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.9%	55.6%	5.6%	18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**			11.1%	50.0%	33.3%	5.6%	18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				38.9%	55.6%	5.6%	18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%	5.6%	18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 23848

Section: B4RC

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 23/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%		13.0%	73.9%	4.3%	23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	65.2%	4.3%	23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.3%	4.3%		26.1%	60.9%	4.3%	23
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.6**	4.3%		8.7%	4.3%	78.3%	4.3%	23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17061

Section: B1RC

Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir

Response Rate: 16/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**		6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17549

Section: D1RC

Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir

Response Rate: 24/29

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	82.6%		23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**		8.7%	17.4%	30.4%	39.1%	4.3%	23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	43.5%		23
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.3**		8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	56.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17223

Section: B1RC

Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir

Response Rate: 21/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**			35.0%	5.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.7**		5.0%		15.0%	80.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS 3164: HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY

Class Number: 22265

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lytle,Ashley Elizabeth

Response Rate: 26/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			3.8%		96.2%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			3.8%		96.2%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 2124W: The Short Story

Class Number: 22251

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia

Response Rate: 18/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		88.9%	11.1%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 2174W: THE WORLD'S GREATEST JOURNEYS

Class Number: 22252

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia

Response Rate: 3/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 16724

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Papanicolaou,Andrew

Response Rate: 4/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6311: Dynamic Assets and Options Pricing

Class Number: 23262

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Papanicolaou,Andrew

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8213: INFORMATION SECURITY FOR MANAGERS

Class Number: 17000

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Felberbaum,Arnold Louis

Response Rate: 4/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	25.0%			75.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**2.5**	25.0%		75.0%				4
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**	25.0%		50.0%		25.0%		4
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	25.0%	25.0%			50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8213: INFORMATION SECURITY FOR MANAGERS

Class Number: 17000

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Felberbaum,Arnold Louis

Response Rate: 4/6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Class Number: 17479

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Seegers,Jesse T

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	33.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		61.1%	5.6%	18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	11.1%			16.7%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	11.1%		16.7%	5.6%	50.0%	16.7%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	11.1%			16.7%	66.7%	5.6%	18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	11.8%			17.6%	70.6%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 21573

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 41/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		41
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	2.4%	2.4%		14.6%	80.5%		41
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%	2.5%	22.5%	65.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	9.8%	22.0%		19.5%	48.8%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.9%	7.3%	2.4%	29.3%	56.1%		41

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.9%	7.3%		26.8%	61.0%		41
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.9%		7.3%	36.6%	51.2%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		4.9%	2.4%	22.0%	70.7%		41
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		7.3%	2.4%	29.3%	61.0%		41
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		7.3%	7.3%	22.0%	63.4%		41
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		7.3%	9.8%	17.1%	65.9%		41

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.5%	7.5%	10.0%	22.5%	52.5%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.9%	2.4%	22.0%	70.7%		41
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	22.0%	63.4%		41
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	4.9%	4.9%		26.8%	63.4%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 21574

Section: EMOT

Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 25/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.5%	4.5%	22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	8.0%	16.0%	4.0%	48.0%	24.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		12.0%	16.0%	36.0%	36.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		4.0%		56.0%	40.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	44.0%	36.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**	4.2%		4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		4.2%	8.3%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%		37.5%	54.2%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		4.2%		33.3%	62.5%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	40.0%	36.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				36.0%	64.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	4.0%		8.0%	44.0%	44.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			12.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3214: Advanced Corporate Finance

Class Number: 17030

Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 11/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 21559

Section: EMOT

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 37/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.3%	2.7%	37
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.2%	2.8%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		36
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		8.3%		19.4%	69.4%	2.8%	36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		8.1%	2.7%	16.2%	73.0%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.8%	5.4%	21.6%	62.2%		37

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	8.1%	24.3%		27.0%	40.5%		37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.4%	8.1%		29.7%	56.8%		37

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	10.8%	5.4%	8.1%	24.3%	51.4%		37
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		8.1%	8.1%	32.4%	51.4%		37
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	5.4%	2.7%		37.8%	54.1%		37
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	2.7%	5.4%	5.4%	43.2%	43.2%		37

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.4%	13.5%	5.4%	37.8%	35.1%	2.7%	37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		8.1%		32.4%	59.5%		37
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	5.4%	16.2%	13.5%	21.6%	43.2%		37
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	8.1%	10.8%	8.1%	18.9%	51.4%	2.7%	37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6483: Real Time Embedded Systems

Class Number: 16919

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Krishnamurthy,Prashanth

Response Rate: 65/96

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		65
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.6%			98.4%		63

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	1.5%	3.1%	1.5%	18.5%	75.4%		65
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		1.5%	4.6%	10.8%	83.1%		65

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	4.6%	15.4%	4.6%	23.1%	52.3%		65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		1.5%	3.1%	26.2%	69.2%		65

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	1.5%	3.1%	4.6%	27.7%	63.1%		65
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.6%	12.3%	24.6%	58.5%		65

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.1%	4.6%	15.4%	35.4%	41.5%		65

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		1.5%	6.2%	24.6%	67.7%		65
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		4.6%	1.5%	23.1%	70.8%		65
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		6.2%	3.1%	16.9%	73.8%		65

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9033: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16786

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bickford,Jeffrey

Response Rate: 30/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.7%	3.3%	30
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			86.7%	6.7%	30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	80.0%	3.3%	30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.3%	6.7%	76.7%	13.3%	30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%	10.0%	30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	70.0%	10.0%	30

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				16.7%	80.0%	3.3%	30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	66.7%	3.3%	30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	3.3%			26.7%	66.7%	3.3%	30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	86.7%	3.3%	30
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				3.3%	93.3%	3.3%	30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**			3.3%	6.7%	86.7%	3.3%	30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1502: LEADERSHIP & FOUNDATIONS OF CONST MGMT

Class Number: 17189

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Pennella,Ronald J.

Response Rate: 24/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		20.8%			66.7%	12.5%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			95.8%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.3%	29.2%	62.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%		37.5%	54.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the construction management profession.	**4.3**		4.2%	4.2%	50.0%	41.7%		24
Q28	I understand the roles of the construction process participants.	**4.4**	4.2%			45.8%	50.0%		24
Q29	Know the basic terminology of the construction industry.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q30	Recognize the importance of leadership in construction.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	29.2%	41.7%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.2%		50.0%	45.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	58.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

Class Number: 23234

Section: I

CE 7723: SELECTED TOPICS IN ENVIRONMENTAL AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING II

Primary Instructor: Messaros,Roy C

Response Rate: 21/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	4.8%			9.5%	85.7%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	4.8%			9.5%	85.7%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**	4.8%				95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**	4.8%			4.8%	90.5%		21

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	4.8%			28.6%	66.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	4.8%		4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamental concepts of hydrology, hydrologic cycle, water balance/budget, and hydraulics as it relates to lakes.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q28	I understand how lakes and wetlands are different and their individual function for environmental sustainability.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q29	I became knowledgeable for water quality and ecosystem health and value.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding/skills for water quality evaluation and how to improve water quality in aquatic ecosystems.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%		33.3%	57.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	4.8%		4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	4.8%		4.8%	14.3%	76.2%		21
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 21589

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L

Response Rate: 14/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.1%			92.9%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.6**	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			53.8%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	7.1%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9963: MS Pre-Thesis in Digital Media: Research Methods

Class Number: 16859

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L

Response Rate: 10/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		10.0%			90.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	10.0%		30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		40.0%		30.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		20.0%	40.0%	10.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4513: Software Engineering

Class Number: 17233

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 36/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.8%	16.7%	80.6%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			2.9%	37.1%	60.0%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		2.8%	11.1%	36.1%	50.0%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.6%	2.8%	30.6%	61.1%		36

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	2.8%	5.6%	5.6%	30.6%	55.6%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	47.2%	44.4%		36

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with describing the principles of modern software engineering, the software development life cycles, and project management	**4.2**		3.0%	3.0%	63.6%	30.3%		33
Q28	I am comfortable writing software requirements and specifications	**4.2**		6.1%	9.1%	48.5%	36.4%		33
Q29	I am comfortable in working in a team to develop a “real-world” solution to a problem	**4.4**		3.0%	6.1%	39.4%	51.5%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		2.8%	13.9%	38.9%	44.4%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	16.7%	75.0%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		8.3%	2.8%	25.0%	63.9%		36

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.3**		3.0%	12.1%	39.4%	45.5%		33
A29	I have enhanced my ability to understand how engineering solutions impact society	**4.3**			12.1%	42.4%	45.5%		33
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**		3.0%	9.1%	48.5%	39.4%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4523: Design Project

Class Number: 17099

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 19/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.3%			89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.3%		21.1%	73.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		5.3%	15.8%	31.6%	42.1%	5.3%	19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable implementing a software solution for a team project and presenting the results.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19
Q28	I am comfortable using software design techniques including Object-Oriented, Agile and life cycle models to function-based system in a team environment	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
Q29	I am comfortable with describing the principles of modern software engineering, the software development life cycles, and project management	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	47.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			10.5%	5.3%	84.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.1**	5.3%		15.8%	36.8%	42.1%		19
A12	I have enhanced my ability to design a digital system that meets the desired speed, space and cost constraints.	**4.1**		5.3%	10.5%	52.6%	31.6%		19
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.1**	5.3%		15.8%	36.8%	42.1%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	42.1%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6063: Software Engineering I

Class Number: 16783

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 26/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.8%			96.2%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.8%			92.3%	3.8%	26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		7.7%	3.8%	7.7%	80.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.7%	11.5%	7.7%	73.1%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		7.7%		42.3%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	26.9%	57.7%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	11.5%	19.2%		23.1%	46.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.7%	19.2%		23.1%	50.0%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable describing the management issues including software cost estimating and project management for a software system	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%		54.2%	33.3%		24
Q28	I am comfortable with describing the principles of modern software engineering, the software development life cycles, and project management	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		24
Q29	I am comfortable with taking a project proposal and creating a set of business and system requirement, and performing a system analysis.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	50.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.8%	15.4%	3.8%	26.9%	50.0%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	3.8%	19.2%		23.1%	53.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	11.5%	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	57.7%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	11.5%	15.4%		15.4%	57.7%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Class Number: 16236

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 26/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			95.8%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	34.6%	42.3%	3.8%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	11.5%	7.7%	11.5%	23.1%	42.3%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	61.5%	3.8%	26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	7.7%	3.8%		42.3%	42.3%	3.8%	26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	46.2%	34.6%	3.8%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.8%		3.8%	34.6%	53.8%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	3.8%		3.8%	30.8%	57.7%	3.8%	26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	7.7%	11.5%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	3.8%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.8%			34.6%	57.7%	3.8%	26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Class Number: 16233

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Morarescu,Paul

Response Rate: 36/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.6%			94.4%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.3%	5.7%	35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	2.8%	5.6%	8.3%	38.9%	44.4%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	2.8%	5.6%	25.0%	36.1%	27.8%	2.8%	36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.4%	8.6%	31.4%	48.6%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.0%	9.1%	9.1%	30.3%	45.5%	3.0%	33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	8.3%	5.6%	25.0%	36.1%	25.0%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.8%	11.1%	2.8%	27.8%	55.6%		36

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	13.9%	16.7%	19.4%	19.4%	30.6%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	2.8%	11.1%	13.9%	41.7%	30.6%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	11.1%	8.3%	22.2%	25.0%	33.3%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	13.9%	11.1%	16.7%	22.2%	36.1%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Class Number: 17591

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Morarescu,Paul

Response Rate: 24/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.3%			91.7%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			87.5%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.2%	4.2%	41.7%	50.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		4.2%	41.7%	33.3%	20.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	4.2%	20.8%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	20.8%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	8.3%	20.8%	20.8%	37.5%	12.5%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			20.8%	45.8%	29.2%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.9**	8.3%	12.5%	58.3%	8.3%	4.2%	8.3%	24
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	33.3%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%			24
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**	4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	25.0%	41.7%	4.2%	24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	8.3%	29.2%	12.5%	20.8%	25.0%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	4.2%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	20.8%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	37.5%	20.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	8.3%	25.0%	20.8%	37.5%	8.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Class Number: 23135

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Morarescu,Paul

Response Rate: 36/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%				94.4%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%				91.7%	5.6%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	2.8%	13.9%	11.1%	47.2%	25.0%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	13.9%	22.2%	27.8%	19.4%	16.7%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.8**	27.8%	19.4%	8.3%	38.9%	5.6%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.7**	25.0%	22.2%	19.4%	22.2%	11.1%		36

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	19.4%	22.2%	13.9%	36.1%	8.3%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	13.9%	19.4%	11.1%	30.6%	22.2%	2.8%	36

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.6**	20.0%	22.9%	37.1%	17.1%	2.9%		35
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	42.9%	8.6%	40.0%	2.9%		5.7%	35
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.8**	5.7%	8.6%	20.0%	28.6%	37.1%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	30.6%	19.4%	19.4%	25.0%	5.6%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	25.0%	5.6%	19.4%	41.7%	8.3%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.6**	25.0%	22.2%	25.0%	25.0%	2.8%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.6**	30.6%	13.9%	25.0%	27.8%	2.8%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17313

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 31/53

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		32.3%			64.5%	3.2%	31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		19.4%			71.0%	9.7%	31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.5%		29.0%	61.3%	3.2%	31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.2%	3.2%	22.6%	25.8%	38.7%	6.5%	31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	19.4%	3.2%	25.8%	16.1%	32.3%	3.2%	31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.9%	12.9%	16.1%	22.6%	32.3%	3.2%	31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	9.7%	12.9%	16.1%	29.0%	29.0%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	29.0%	38.7%	3.2%	31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.2**		12.9%	3.2%	32.3%	48.4%	3.2%	31
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.1**		12.9%	3.2%	38.7%	41.9%	3.2%	31
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.2**		9.7%	3.2%	41.9%	41.9%	3.2%	31
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.2**	19.4%	12.9%	12.9%	32.3%	19.4%	3.2%	31

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	9.7%	16.1%	19.4%	19.4%	32.3%	3.2%	31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	12.9%	16.1%	12.9%	25.8%	25.8%	6.5%	31
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		9.7%	9.7%	22.6%	51.6%	6.5%	31
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	19.4%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	38.7%	3.2%	31

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	12.9%	9.7%	9.7%	25.8%	38.7%	3.2%	31
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	32.3%	35.5%	3.2%	31
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	22.6%	3.2%	12.9%	22.6%	35.5%	3.2%	31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2221: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY II

Class Number: 17234

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 11/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2221: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY II

Class Number: 23924

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 9/11

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9

	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	11.1%		11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 3514: Analytical Chemistry

Class Number: 17109

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 17/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	5.9%			41.2%	52.9%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	35.3%		17

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.9**	23.5%	17.6%	5.9%	47.1%	5.9%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.9**	29.4%	17.6%	5.9%	29.4%	17.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	29.4%	11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	5.9%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	23.5%	35.3%	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	17.6%	17.6%		41.2%	23.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.7**	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	11.8%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17686

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 13/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		23.1%		7.7%	69.2%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	15.4%	23.1%			61.5%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Class Number: 17691

Section: B3LB

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 14/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			28.6%	21.4%	50.0%		14
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		7.1%		57.1%	35.7%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8703: Managing and Leading in the 21st Century

Class Number: 23274

Section: EXEC

Primary Instructor: Lombardi,Francis J

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	78.6%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6263: Human Resource Information Systems

Class Number: 16203

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Reilly,John

Response Rate: 10/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		80.0%	20.0%	10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.0%		60.0%	30.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		20.0%		10.0%	70.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6263: Human Resource Information Systems

Class Number: 16768

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Reilly,John

Response Rate: 3/6

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6263: Human Resource Information Systems

Class Number: 16768

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Reilly,John

Response Rate: 3/6

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4753: Application Security

Class Number: 17701

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A

Response Rate: 20/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.0%			95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			90.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.0%	10.0%		25.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	20.0%	10.0%		40.0%	30.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		10.0%		25.0%	65.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	40.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.0%	10.0%		30.0%	55.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	10.0%		15.0%	10.0%	65.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9163: Application Security

Class Number: 16617

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A

Response Rate: 18/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		11.1%		27.8%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**		11.8%		11.8%	76.5%		17
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			58.8%		35.3%	5.9%	17
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**		5.9%	58.8%	11.8%	23.5%		17
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**			64.7%	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	17
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.8**	11.8%		29.4%	11.8%	47.1%		17
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.5**	11.8%		47.1%		35.3%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	5.9%		5.9%	47.1%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	5.6%	5.6%		11.1%	77.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9163: Application Security

Class Number: 16973

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A

Response Rate: 20/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.0%			95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.0%			90.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.0%	10.0%		25.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	20.0%	10.0%		40.0%	30.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		10.0%		25.0%	65.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	50.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	40.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.0%	10.0%		30.0%	55.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	10.0%		15.0%	10.0%	65.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2213: Statics

Class Number: 17120

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Park,Kee Moon

Response Rate: 62/58

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.2%			93.5%	3.2%	62
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		60

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.2%	16.1%	80.6%		62
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.5%	12.9%	80.6%		62

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.4%	80.6%		62

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			6.5%	25.8%	67.7%		62
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			16.1%	19.4%	61.3%	3.2%	62

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.8**			3.4%	10.3%	86.2%		58
Q28	Learned about particle and rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.8**			3.4%	10.3%	86.2%		58
Q29	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures; trusses, frames, &beams	**4.8**			3.4%	10.3%	86.2%		58
Q30	Learned about friction and the method of virtual work	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		58
Q31	Learned about the need for life-long learning	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	20.7%	58.6%	3.4%	58

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.5%	38.7%	54.8%		62

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.2%		25.8%	71.0%		62
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			6.5%	22.6%	71.0%		62
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		3.2%	9.7%	29.0%	58.1%		62

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	69.0%		58
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			6.9%	24.1%	69.0%		58
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**		6.9%	6.9%	24.1%	62.1%		58

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3233: Machine Design

Class Number: 17298

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Park,Kee Moon

Response Rate: 28/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	67.9%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the concepts on stress, strain, elastic and plastic ranges of material behavior, stress-strain relationship, and engineering materials	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
Q28	Learned static failure theories for ductile and brittle materials	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27
Q29	Learned about application of static and dynamics failure theories to mechanical design problems	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27
Q30	Learned about the fundamentals of machine elements, such as columns, shafts, gears, and bearings	**4.6**		7.4%	3.7%	14.8%	74.1%		27
Q31	Learned how to design mechanical systems based on a set of requirements	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	70.4%	3.7%	27

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	17.9%	67.9%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.6%		32.1%	60.7%	3.6%	28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	71.4%		28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**			7.4%	14.8%	77.8%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3404: Fundamentals of Communication Theory

Class Number: 22459

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep

Response Rate: 35/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			91.2%	2.9%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		35
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.9%	5.7%	22.9%	68.6%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	2.9%	22.9%	20.0%	37.1%	17.1%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.9%		8.6%	37.1%	51.4%		35

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	2.9%	8.6%	5.7%	48.6%	34.3%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	22.9%	5.7%	35

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	14.3%	45.7%	34.3%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.7%	2.9%	37.1%	54.3%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.4%	25.7%	60.0%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		2.9%	11.4%	40.0%	45.7%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 22137

Section: BK03

Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico

Response Rate: 32/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.2%			96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.2%			96.8%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	3.1%			6.3%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.1%	9.4%	3.1%	84.4%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	3.1%	25.0%		25.0%	46.9%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.5%	9.7%	12.9%	71.0%		31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.3%	3.1%	25.0%	65.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	68.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.1%	6.3%		25.0%	65.6%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	21.9%	68.8%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	3.1%		6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.1%	3.1%		28.1%	65.6%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6313: INFORMATION VISUALIZATION

Class Number: 16945

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico

Response Rate: 32/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.2%			96.8%		31
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.2%			96.8%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	3.1%			6.3%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.1%	9.4%	3.1%	84.4%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	3.1%	25.0%		25.0%	46.9%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.5%	9.7%	12.9%	71.0%		31

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.3%	3.1%	25.0%	65.6%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	68.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.1%	6.3%		25.0%	65.6%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	21.9%	68.8%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**	3.1%		6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	3.1%	3.1%		28.1%	65.6%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6313: INFORMATION VISUALIZATION

Class Number: 16969

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico

Response Rate: 19/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.3%		5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	5.3%		21.1%	21.1%	52.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	47.4%	26.3%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.3%	15.8%	31.6%	42.1%	5.3%	19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**	5.3%			42.1%	52.6%		19
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.5**	5.3%	5.3%		15.8%	73.7%		19
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**	5.3%		15.8%	21.1%	57.9%		19
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.9**	5.3%		26.3%	31.6%	36.8%		19
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	47.4%		19
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.9**	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	36.8%		19
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.4**	5.3%		57.9%	5.3%	21.1%	10.5%	19
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**	5.3%		63.2%	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	19
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**	5.3%		63.2%	5.3%	15.8%	10.5%	19
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.4**	15.8%	15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	31.6%	5.3%	19
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.3**	15.8%	15.8%	21.1%	10.5%	31.6%	5.3%	19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	15.8%	15.8%		36.8%	31.6%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	5.3%		21.1%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	15.8%	42.1%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17040

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna

Response Rate: 7/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%		28.6%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	28.6%		57.1%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.3**	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%				7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		28.6%	14.3%	57.1%			7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 17046

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna

Response Rate: 3/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					66.7%	33.3%	3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FIN 4903: SPECIAL TOPICS IN FINANCE AND RISK ENGINEERING

Class Number: 23811

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ivan,Mirela M

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Class Number: 16596

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Ivan,Mirela M

Response Rate: 24/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			91.7%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	79.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	4.2%	12.5%	33.3%	16.7%	29.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	62.5%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.2%	12.5%	20.8%	62.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	75.0%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2213: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY I

Class Number: 17336

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M

Response Rate: 5/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Class Number: 17105

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M

Response Rate: 26/102

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		19.2%			73.1%	7.7%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		46.2%			53.8%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	24.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		11.5%	15.4%	19.2%	53.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	7.7%	23.1%	19.2%	23.1%	26.9%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	42.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	3.8%	11.5%	19.2%	23.1%	42.3%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	7.7%	11.5%	7.7%	42.3%	30.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**		19.2%	11.5%	26.9%	42.3%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.7%	15.4%	19.2%	23.1%	34.6%		26

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**	15.4%	11.5%	15.4%	26.9%	30.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 4011: INFORMATION SOURCES FOR THE CHEMICAL SCIENCES

Class Number: 17110

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Green,Mark M

Response Rate: 16/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	60.0%				40.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		18.8%	25.0%	43.8%	12.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	20.0%	6.7%	15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	12.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		12.5%	31.3%	43.8%	12.5%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	6.3%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	12.5%		75.0%			12.5%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			37.5%	37.5%	18.8%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	31.3%	6.3%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	25.0%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.8**	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9093: Biometrics

Class Number: 16762

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Sutcu,Yagiz

Response Rate: 2/2

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**1.0**	50.0%					50.0%	2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8263: Construction Cost Estimating

Class Number: 16613

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Meehan,Michael Patrick

Response Rate: 29/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.6%			96.4%		28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	58.6%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	69.0%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.9%	24.1%	69.0%		29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.9%	6.9%	37.9%	48.3%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.4%		3.4%	34.5%	58.6%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%		42.9%	39.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		13.8%	13.8%	27.6%	44.8%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		17.2%	10.3%	24.1%	48.3%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	6.9%	10.3%	10.3%	24.1%	48.3%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 22135

Section: BK01

Primary Instructor: Togelius,Julian

Response Rate: 26/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.8%			92.3%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.5%			88.5%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	65.4%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	73.1%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.8%		3.8%	26.9%	65.4%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	69.2%	7.7%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	11.5%	42.3%	30.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.8%	3.8%		11.5%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	11.5%	69.2%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 17011

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Togelius,Julian

Response Rate: 26/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.8%				92.3%	3.8%	26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.5%				88.5%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	65.4%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	73.1%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	3.8%		3.8%	26.9%	65.4%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	69.2%	7.7%	26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	11.5%	42.3%	30.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.8%	3.8%		11.5%	80.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	11.5%	69.2%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 16941

Section: J4

Primary Instructor: Paul,Asha

Response Rate: 12/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Class Number: 23874

Section: EMT3

Primary Instructor: Ginsberg,Ari

Response Rate: 32/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.1%			93.8%	3.1%	32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			90.6%	3.1%	32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	75.0%	3.1%	32
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			3.1%	18.8%	75.0%	3.1%	32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			3.1%	25.0%	71.9%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	71.9%		32

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.1%	12.5%	25.0%	59.4%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	25.0%	46.9%		32
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	3.1%	43.8%	43.8%		32
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	43.8%	46.9%		32
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**	3.1%		6.3%	25.0%	65.6%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.4%	12.5%	31.3%	46.9%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.3%	3.1%	34.4%	56.3%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%	21.9%	62.5%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	3.1%	12.5%	12.5%	15.6%	56.3%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 17649

Section: G

Primary Instructor: Sher,Abraham

Response Rate: 2/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7173: Enterprise Data Systems

Class Number: 16333

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman

Response Rate: 18/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					94.4%	5.6%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		22.2%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		11.1%	16.7%	22.2%	50.0%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	16.7%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%	16.7%	50.0%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%		38.9%	50.0%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		16.7%	11.1%	27.8%	44.4%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		16.7%	5.6%	27.8%	50.0%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%		27.8%	55.6%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		22.2%	16.7%	22.2%	38.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	61.1%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**		16.7%	22.2%	22.2%	38.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		11.1%	16.7%	27.8%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6153: FOUNDATIONS OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Class Number: 16745

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 19/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	5.3%			31.6%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

Class Number: 16595

Section: I

FRE 7211: FORENSIC FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY AND REGULATORY SYSTEMS

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 12/24

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	8.3%			8.3%	83.3%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	8.3%			8.3%	83.3%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**	8.3%				91.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	8.3%				91.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7251: ALGORITHMIC TRADING & HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCE

Class Number: 23252

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 17/22

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				23.5%	70.6%	5.9%	17

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				41.2%	58.8%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	64.7%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7261: NEWS ANALYTICS & STRATEGIES

Class Number: 16940

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 20/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.0%				95.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Class Number: 17282

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 52/69

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		51
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		51

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.9%	96.1%		51
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	14.0%	80.0%	2.0%	50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.9%	3.9%	25.5%	66.7%		51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.0%	17.6%	80.4%		51

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		2.0%	2.0%	19.6%	74.5%	2.0%	51
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	2.0%	7.8%	13.7%	15.7%	60.8%		51

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding binary and hexadecimal number systems.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		52
Q28	Ability to design and analyze combinational circuits by using switching algebra and Karnaugh maps.	**4.7**			1.9%	26.9%	71.2%		52
Q29	Understanding sequential circuits and their analysis.	**4.6**		1.9%	5.8%	26.9%	65.4%		52
Q30	Ability to use the finite-state machine technique to design and analyze digital systems	**4.4**		1.9%	3.8%	42.3%	51.9%		52
Q31	Ability to use programmable logic components and to analyze circuits with programmable components.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		52

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		2.0%	5.9%	25.5%	66.7%		51

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		2.0%		13.7%	84.3%		51
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				5.9%	94.1%		51
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	2.0%	2.0%	3.9%	13.7%	78.4%		51

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.6**		1.9%	1.9%	30.8%	65.4%		52
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.6**		1.9%	5.8%	25.0%	67.3%		52
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.6**		1.9%	3.8%	28.8%	65.4%		52
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		1.9%		30.8%	67.3%		52
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**		1.9%	5.8%	25.0%	67.3%		52
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**		1.9%	3.8%	17.3%	76.9%		52

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Class Number: 17282

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 52/69

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			1.9%	19.2%	78.8%		52
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		52
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			3.9%	21.6%	74.5%		51
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			1.9%	21.2%	76.9%		52
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			5.8%	15.4%	78.8%		52
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**			3.8%	13.5%	82.7%		52

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2214: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND ORGANIZATION

Class Number: 17287

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 59/96

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		57

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		58
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	1.7%	1.7%	12.1%	15.5%	69.0%		58

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			3.4%	28.8%	67.8%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.5%	25.4%	66.1%		59

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		5.1%	6.8%	28.8%	59.3%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		11.9%	13.6%	32.2%	42.4%		59

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to design and analyze computer architecture.	**4.3**	1.8%	3.6%	8.9%	35.7%	48.2%	1.8%	56
Q28	Ability to design and analyze an unpipelined central processing unit.	**4.3**	1.8%	3.6%	5.4%	37.5%	50.0%	1.8%	56
Q29	Understanding measuring computer performance.	**4.5**			7.1%	30.4%	60.7%	1.8%	56
Q30	Understanding pipelined central processing units.	**4.5**	1.8%	1.8%	5.4%	28.6%	60.7%	1.8%	56
Q31	Understanding a computer memory hierarchy.	**4.6**			8.9%	25.0%	64.3%	1.8%	56

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	1.7%	5.1%	10.2%	40.7%	42.4%		59

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	1.7%	1.7%	8.5%	33.9%	54.2%		59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		1.7%	6.8%	15.3%	76.3%		59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	8.5%	30.5%	54.2%		59

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.4**	1.8%		12.5%	30.4%	53.6%	1.8%	56
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.2**	3.6%	1.8%	16.1%	26.8%	50.0%	1.8%	56
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	1.8%	1.8%	14.3%	33.9%	46.4%	1.8%	56
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**	1.8%	1.8%	21.4%	25.0%	48.2%	1.8%	56

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6133: Computer Architecture I

Class Number: 16237

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 52/66

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		52
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					98.1%	1.9%	52

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		1.9%		1.9%	96.2%		52
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	1.9%		1.9%	5.8%	88.5%	1.9%	52

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**		1.9%	1.9%	5.8%	90.4%		52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**	1.9%			7.7%	88.5%	1.9%	52

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**	1.9%		1.9%	11.5%	84.6%		52
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	3.8%		3.8%	7.7%	82.7%	1.9%	52

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		1.9%	1.9%	13.5%	82.7%		52

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.8%		1.9%	21.2%	71.2%	1.9%	52
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**	1.9%			7.7%	90.4%		52
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	1.9%		5.8%	13.5%	76.9%	1.9%	52

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6643: Computer Vision and Scene Analysis

Class Number: 23724

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gerig,Guido

Response Rate: 27/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	74.1%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.4%	25.9%	66.7%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			3.7%	7.4%	88.9%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**		3.7%		14.8%	81.5%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 2234: NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL CATASTROPHES & CITIES

Class Number: 17542

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Schechtman,Judd

Response Rate: 12/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			41.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	33.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834W: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Class Number: 23725

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Schechtman,Judd

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		87.5%	12.5%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%		50.0%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Class Number: 16295

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes

Response Rate: 5/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6233: OPTIONS PRICING & STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

Class Number: 23242

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes

Response Rate: 24/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			95.8%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	4.2%			4.2%	91.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	4.2%				95.8%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**	4.2%		4.2%	8.3%	83.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	4.2%		4.2%	8.3%	83.3%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**	4.2%			16.7%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	4.2%			16.7%	79.2%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	4.2%		4.2%	20.8%	70.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	4.2%			12.5%	83.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	4.2%		4.2%	4.2%	87.5%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	4.2%			4.2%	91.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6233: OPTIONS PRICING & STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

Class Number: 23243

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes

Response Rate: 17/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		23.5%	5.9%	23.5%	47.1%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			17.6%		82.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		11.8%		11.8%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9183: SEL TOPCS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Class Number: 24342

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Fang,Yi

Response Rate: 11/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					90.9%	9.1%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		18.2%		9.1%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6313: ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY & DESIGN

Class Number: 16205

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Grant,Sara

Response Rate: 7/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6313: ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY & DESIGN

Class Number: 16769

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Grant,Sara

Response Rate: 3/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6313: ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY & DESIGN

Class Number: 16769

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Grant,Sara

Response Rate: 3/7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI 7553: Bioinformatics III: Functional Prediction

Class Number: 16287

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Seto,Jeremy

Response Rate: 5/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	20.0%	40.0%			40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			60.0%		40.0%		5

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.8**	20.0%		20.0%		60.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			40.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			40.0%	40.0%		20.0%	5
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 17721

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Porfiri,Maurizio

Response Rate: 19/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			26.3%	42.1%	31.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		5.3%	31.6%	21.1%	36.8%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	21.1%	31.6%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	5.3%	21.1%		42.1%	31.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	5.3%	26.3%	26.3%	21.1%	21.1%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	22.2%	33.3%	16.7%	5.6%	22.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	52.6%	31.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	42.1%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2014: Operations Management

Class Number: 17027

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cohen,Martin J

Response Rate: 25/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.0%			80.0%	8.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		8.0%	4.0%	32.0%	48.0%	8.0%	25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	64.0%	8.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	64.0%	8.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		8.0%	16.0%	24.0%	44.0%	8.0%	25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	32.0%	36.0%	8.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	20.8%	45.8%	8.3%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	40.9%	27.3%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**		18.2%	13.6%	45.5%	22.7%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	45.5%	27.3%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		4.0%	8.0%	48.0%	28.0%	12.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	32.0%	40.0%	8.0%	25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	28.0%	40.0%	8.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	28.0%	40.0%	8.0%	25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17065

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra

Response Rate: 20/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.6**		5.0%	40.0%	45.0%	10.0%		20
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%		20
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**3.8**		5.0%	30.0%	35.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 17217

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra

Response Rate: 23/28

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	30.4%	17.4%	8.7%	30.4%	13.0%		23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**2.9**	13.0%	26.1%	34.8%	13.0%	13.0%		23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	17.4%	26.1%	26.1%	17.4%	13.0%		23
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**2.5**	34.8%	13.0%	34.8%	4.3%	13.0%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 17557

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra

Response Rate: 27/30

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**	3.7%	7.4%	7.4%	29.6%	51.9%		27
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**	3.7%		7.4%	51.9%	33.3%	3.7%	27
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	7.4%	14.8%	7.4%	29.6%	40.7%		27
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.3**	14.8%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	25.9%	3.7%	27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	11.1%	29.6%	25.9%	22.2%	7.4%	3.7%	27
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.0**	37.0%	37.0%	18.5%	3.7%	3.7%		27
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	37.0%	18.5%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	3.7%	11.1%	25.9%	33.3%	22.2%	3.7%	27
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	18.5%	14.8%	14.8%	29.6%	22.2%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**2.5**	29.6%	14.8%	29.6%	18.5%	3.7%	3.7%	27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	18.5%	11.1%	25.9%	37.0%	7.4%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.4**	29.6%	29.6%	14.8%	18.5%	7.4%		27
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	7.4%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	14.8%		27
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.6**	7.4%	14.8%	14.8%	37.0%	25.9%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2183: Contemporary Techniques in Digital Photography and Imaging

Class Number: 21623

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Toolin,Jack Craig

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	7.1%				92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	23.1%				76.9%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%	7.1%	14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			7.1%	71.4%	21.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 17078

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.6%			92.9%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			82.1%	3.6%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.6%		10.7%	85.7%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		14.3%	7.1%	10.7%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	3.6%	28.6%	53.6%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	10.7%	3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	46.4%		28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		3.6%	25.0%	25.0%	46.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		28
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		28
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	60.7%		28
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**		14.3%	10.7%	28.6%	46.4%		28
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	71.4%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	10.7%	3.6%	17.9%	42.9%	25.0%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.6%	7.1%	10.7%	25.0%	53.6%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	3.6%	10.7%	3.6%	28.6%	53.6%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	17.9%	53.6%		28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		10.7%	14.3%	35.7%	39.3%		28
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	39.3%	50.0%		28
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	28.6%	53.6%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 17079

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 16/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			18.8%	12.5%	68.8%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		12.5%		18.8%	68.8%		16

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		16
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%		16
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Class Number: 17205

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 11/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		18.2%		45.5%	36.4%		11
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		27.3%		36.4%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 17244

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 6/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**1.8**	66.7%		16.7%	16.7%			6
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%		33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		16.7%		6
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%		66.7%			6
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		6
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Class Number: 17245

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 8/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**		37.5%		50.0%	12.5%		8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.1**	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%			8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	12.5%			87.5%			8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		37.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		8
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	12.5%		25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	12.5%			62.5%	25.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3243: WATER RESOURCE ENGINEERING

Class Number: 17082

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 35/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.1%	2.9%	35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.7%	94.3%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	85.7%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		2.9%		28.6%	68.6%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		20.0%	2.9%	25.7%	48.6%	2.9%	35

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.9%	77.1%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.6%	14.3%	31.4%	45.7%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I continued and extended fluid mechanics, with a focus on applications of hydraulic and hydrologic engineering.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.6%	76.5%		34
Q28	I have developed the fundamental principles of surface and ground-water hydrology.	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34
Q29	I know how to analyze pipe flows, pipe networks, open channel flow with elementary design applications.	**4.8**			2.9%	17.6%	79.4%		34
Q30	I have explored water resources engineering professional challenges and ethical issues.	**4.5**	2.9%			35.3%	61.8%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.7%		34.3%	57.1%	2.9%	35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	77.1%	2.9%	35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				25.7%	74.3%		35

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	20.6%	73.5%		34
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				26.5%	73.5%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7703: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Class Number: 16209

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kuyan,Vyacheslav

Response Rate: 10/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		10.0%	10.0%		80.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	10.0%	10.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		10.0%	10.0%		80.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6243: Change Management Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 16201

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Seppalainen,Johanna

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6243: Change Management Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 16962

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Seppalainen,Johanna

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					66.7%	33.3%	3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6243: Change Management Systems in Organizations

Class Number: 16962

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Seppalainen,Johanna

Response Rate: 3/5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17560

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sheverev,Valery A

Response Rate: 25/31

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		8.0%		28.0%	64.0%		25

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**				64.0%	36.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	12.0%	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	48.0%	4.0%	25
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	4.0%		4.0%	72.0%	20.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			8.0%	56.0%	32.0%	4.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	48.0%	24.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**	4.0%			56.0%	40.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	8.0%		8.0%	68.0%	16.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	16.0%		24.0%	40.0%	20.0%		25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			4.8%	61.9%	28.6%	4.8%	21
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**		4.8%	9.5%	52.4%	33.3%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17565

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Sheverev,Valery A

Response Rate: 30/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		3.3%	3.3%	36.7%	53.3%	3.3%	30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.3%		3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	33.3%	43.3%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		30
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		3.3%		36.7%	60.0%		30
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			13.8%	41.4%	44.8%		29
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.7%	13.3%	3.3%	50.0%	26.7%		30
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	10.0%	43.3%	33.3%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	43.3%	16.7%		30
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	13.3%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.1**	8.3%			54.2%	37.5%		24
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.0**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 17651

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey

Response Rate: 3/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**	33.3%	33.3%			33.3%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Class Number: 17654

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey

Response Rate: 5/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**		20.0%	60.0%			20.0%	5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			60.0%		40.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.8**	60.0%		40.0%				5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.2**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Class Number: 16227

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Posner,Michael

Response Rate: 16/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	6.3%		6.3%	6.3%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	6.3%		6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.3%		12.5%	18.8%	62.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			6.3%	56.3%	37.5%		16
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	31.3%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	31.3%	31.3%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Class Number: 16583

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Posner,Michael

Response Rate: 7/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Class Number: 16583

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Posner,Michael

Response Rate: 7/9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 3904: Special Topics in STS

Class Number: 17721

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Landrus,Matthew H

Response Rate: 19/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			26.3%	42.1%	31.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		5.3%	31.6%	21.1%	36.8%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	21.1%	31.6%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	5.3%	21.1%		42.1%	31.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	5.3%	26.3%	26.3%	21.1%	21.1%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	22.2%	33.3%	16.7%	5.6%	22.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	52.6%	31.6%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	42.1%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16635

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					93.3%	6.7%	15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			86.7%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.7**	40.0%	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	26.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	7.1%		35.7%	14.3%	35.7%	7.1%	14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		13.3%		26.7%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	13.3%			33.3%	53.3%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	13.3%		20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**	13.3%		13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	13.3%		6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16605

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas

Response Rate: 28/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			81.5%	7.4%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	71.4%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	67.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	14.3%	32.1%	14.3%	14.3%	25.0%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		10.7%	39.3%	10.7%	35.7%	3.6%	28

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			10.7%	32.1%	57.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	57.1%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		3.6%	7.1%	35.7%	53.6%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		3.6%	10.7%	32.1%	53.6%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		3.6%	7.1%	46.4%	42.9%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	57.1%		28

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.1%	3.6%	28.6%	60.7%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	64.3%		28
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	64.3%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			17.9%	25.0%	57.1%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 6211: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES

Class Number: 16975

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Prassas,Elena S.

Response Rate: 22/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		21
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.9%	59.1%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	4.5%		9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		9.1%	4.5%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.5%	4.5%	40.9%	50.0%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			13.6%	45.5%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			4.5%	45.5%	50.0%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 8011: SPECIAL TOPICS IN TRANSP A

Class Number: 23235

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Prassas,Elena S.

Response Rate: 34/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.9%			94.1%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			88.2%	5.9%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	76.5%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			35.3%		52.9%	11.8%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			29.4%	11.8%	58.8%		34

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	5.9%	11.8%		17.6%	58.8%	5.9%	34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.9%		29.4%	17.6%	47.1%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	64.7%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		34
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		34
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	52.9%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 17664

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam

Response Rate: 4/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			66.7%			33.3%	3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.7**	66.7%		33.3%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 17666

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam

Response Rate: 2/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 4912: Senior Seminar in Physics

Class Number: 17339

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 5/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			60.0%	20.0%	5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 5663: Physics of Alternative Energy

Class Number: 16229

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 20/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		15.8%		15.8%	68.4%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.0%	45.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.0%		45.0%	50.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 9541: GRADUATE SEMINAR II

Class Number: 16604

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 3/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5663: Physics of Alternative Energy

Class Number: 16230

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 20/22

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning						100.0%		20
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		20
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		15.8%		15.8%	68.4%		19
G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.0%	45.0%	50.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.0%		45.0%	50.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Class Number: 16211

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			73.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	6.7%		6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		6.7%	20.0%	40.0%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9703: PROJECT IN STRATEGY & INNOVATION MGMT

Class Number: 16218

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded

Response Rate: 35/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.1%	2.9%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	20.0%	34.3%	40.0%		35
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	5.7%	5.7%	14.3%	31.4%	42.9%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	5.7%	2.9%	11.4%	34.3%	45.7%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	2.9%		25.7%	28.6%	37.1%	5.7%	35

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	8.6%	5.7%	5.7%	37.1%	42.9%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	11.4%		20.0%	22.9%	42.9%	2.9%	35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	5.7%	5.7%	14.3%	34.3%	37.1%	2.9%	35
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	5.7%	40.0%	48.6%		35
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		11.4%	5.7%	40.0%	40.0%	2.9%	35
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	5.7%	40.0%	48.6%		35

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.6%	5.7%	40.0%	40.0%	2.9%	35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	8.6%		11.4%	31.4%	48.6%		35
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	11.4%	5.7%	14.3%	22.9%	42.9%	2.9%	35
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	8.6%	8.6%	5.7%	31.4%	42.9%	2.9%	35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1013D-Barinov,Victor

Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor

Response Rate: 45/58

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	2.3%				95.5%	2.3%	44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.3%				95.3%	2.3%	43

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	8.9%	11.1%	20.0%	28.9%	26.7%	4.4%	45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**	11.4%	20.5%	22.7%	18.2%	20.5%	6.8%	44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		2.2%	24.4%	28.9%	42.2%	2.2%	45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.7%	15.6%	40.0%	35.6%	2.2%	45

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	6.7%	11.1%	22.2%	42.2%	15.6%	2.2%	45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	4.4%	6.7%	28.9%	31.1%	24.4%	4.4%	45

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	4.4%	6.7%	17.8%	42.2%	26.7%	2.2%	45

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	4.4%	13.3%	26.7%	33.3%	17.8%	4.4%	45
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	22.2%	20.0%	24.4%	17.8%	13.3%	2.2%	45
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	6.7%	13.3%	28.9%	28.9%	20.0%	2.2%	45

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2221: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY II

Class Number: 17104

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor

Response Rate: 9/12

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		11.1%		11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		11.1%		11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	9
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2221: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY II

Class Number: 23809

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor

Response Rate: 10/11

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		10
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		10
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
	D. Instruction	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
	G1. Course Difficulty	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Class Number: 21588

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Ricca,Chris

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	14.3%		21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	7.1%	14.3%		21.4%	57.1%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 4123: Experimental Cinema

Class Number: 17483

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dyer,Maria G

Response Rate: 11/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%	90.9%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	18.2%		18.2%	9.1%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	9.1%		27.3%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	45.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%		27.3%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	9.1%	18.2%		27.3%	45.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2113: Statics

Class Number: 17371

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 10/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		10
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%		40.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3133: Structural Analysis

Class Number: 17373

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 36/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		34
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			83.3%	11.1%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		16.7%	11.1%	16.7%	55.6%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		27.8%	11.1%	22.2%	38.9%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%	11.1%		50.0%	5.6%	36

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.6%	16.7%	5.6%	22.2%	50.0%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	17.6%	47.1%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	47.1%		34
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	**4.1**		12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	37.5%		32
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		34
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	**4.1**		11.8%		52.9%	35.3%		34
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	41.2%		34

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	16.7%	22.2%		16.7%	38.9%	5.6%	36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	55.6%		36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	22.2%	5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	38.9%		36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%	16.7%	50.0%		36

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 17448

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 32/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.1%			96.9%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.1%			96.9%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.1%	28.1%	68.8%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.2%	9.7%	9.7%	32.3%	45.2%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.3**	6.3%	25.0%	15.6%	34.4%	18.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	6.3%	28.1%	25.0%	21.9%	18.8%		32

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	15.6%	25.0%	12.5%	28.1%	18.8%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	21.9%	12.5%	34.4%	18.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	12.5%	15.6%	3.1%	40.6%	28.1%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	43.8%	34.4%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**	3.1%	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	28.1%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	9.4%	15.6%	9.4%	31.3%	34.4%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**		9.4%	12.5%	46.9%	31.3%		32
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.7**		15.6%	18.8%	50.0%	15.6%		32
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.3**	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	37.5%	18.8%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Class Number: 17449

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 12/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		8.3%			91.7%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**		25.0%	33.3%	41.7%			12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	50.0%			12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.1**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%			12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		33.3%		58.3%	8.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		16.7%		58.3%	25.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.9**	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	8.3%		25.0%	41.7%	25.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**		16.7%	8.3%	66.7%	8.3%		12
A41	I have enhanced my ability to develop a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.3**		16.7%	33.3%	50.0%			12
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**2.8**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%			12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8363: BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING PROJECT CONTROLS

Class Number: 16710

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Aslani,Pooyan

Response Rate: 9/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			66.7%	22.2%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	22.2%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%		33.3%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	11.1%		66.7%		11.1%	11.1%	9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.8**	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.4**		44.4%		11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	22.2%	22.2%		22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 7033: Media Law

Class Number: 16855

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dykema,Erik

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%			83.3%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	5.6%			44.4%	50.0%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		33.3%	55.6%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.6%		22.2%	27.8%	38.9%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	33.3%	44.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Class Number: 17200

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.7%			91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.3%		8.7%	87.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		13.0%		34.8%	52.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	39.1%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		17.4%		21.7%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		8.7%		26.1%	65.2%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	65.2%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**				40.9%	59.1%		22
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Class Number: 17588

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.7%			91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.3%		8.7%	87.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		13.0%		34.8%	52.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	39.1%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		22.7%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		17.4%		21.7%	60.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		8.7%		26.1%	65.2%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	65.2%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**				40.9%	59.1%		22
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	63.6%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Class Number: 17590

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 38/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.4%	2.6%	38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.5%			89.5%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.3%	2.6%	13.2%	13.2%	65.8%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	10.5%	13.2%	23.7%	39.5%	13.2%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	13.2%	13.2%	39.5%	15.8%	18.4%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	7.9%	23.7%	39.5%	15.8%	13.2%		38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**	15.8%	39.5%	18.4%	7.9%	18.4%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	5.3%	18.4%	42.1%	23.7%	10.5%		38

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.3%	10.5%	28.9%	28.9%	26.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	28.9%	23.7%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.1**	13.2%	15.8%	26.3%	34.2%	10.5%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	21.1%	18.4%	18.4%	26.3%	15.8%		38

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.6**	11.1%	2.8%	22.2%	44.4%	19.4%		36
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.8**	8.3%		19.4%	44.4%	27.8%		36
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.6**	8.3%	5.6%	27.8%	36.1%	22.2%		36
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	11.1%	2.8%	22.2%	41.7%	22.2%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6003: Financial Accounting

Class Number: 16294

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 4/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6003: Financial Accounting

Class Number: 16620

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 26/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		26
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.8%			96.2%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	3.8%		3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	84.6%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	3.8%		7.7%	7.7%	80.8%		26

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	3.8%			15.4%	80.8%		26

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	26.9%	57.7%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	3.8%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	3.8%	26
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	3.8%		15.4%	23.1%	53.8%	3.8%	26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

SEG 2194W: WRITING ABOUT NATURE & THE ENVIRONMENT

Class Number: 17537

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cherry,Steven M

Response Rate: 11/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		18.2%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.4**		9.1%	18.2%		72.7%		11
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Interdisciplinary Studies

BI 7523: Biological Foundation for Bioinformatics

Class Number: 16286

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Hughes,Benjamin

Response Rate: 2/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 17667

Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex

Response Rate: 5/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.4**			60.0%	40.0%			5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.4**	60.0%	40.0%					5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 24397

Section: B3

Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex

Response Rate: 3/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Class Number: 17165

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 18/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			77.8%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		5.6%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			16.7%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		16.7%	22.2%	38.9%	22.2%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**		22.2%	16.7%	38.9%	22.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	22.2%	22.2%	5.6%	38.9%	11.1%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	16.7%	22.2%	16.7%	27.8%	16.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		16.7%	11.1%	44.4%	27.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**		22.2%	22.2%	27.8%	27.8%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	33.3%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5613: Introduction to Electric Power Systems

Class Number: 23878

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 8/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					87.5%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					75.0%	25.0%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**		12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.1**	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		8
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**			62.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**			62.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.7**			50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6683: Electric Drives

Class Number: 16772

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 11/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	9.1%	90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	18.2%			9.1%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	18.2%			9.1%	72.7%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	45.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	9.1%		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 1002: INTRODUCTION TO ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 17164

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 38/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		14.3%			77.1%	8.6%	35
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.6%			94.7%	2.6%	38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.9%	92.1%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.5%	13.2%	73.7%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	5.3%	2.6%	26.3%	18.4%	47.4%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		2.6%	26.3%	31.6%	39.5%		38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**	2.6%		2.6%	23.7%	71.1%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	5.3%		57.9%	13.2%	15.8%	7.9%	38

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	2.6%	2.6%	18.4%	50.0%	26.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	28.9%	57.9%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	5.3%		5.3%	23.7%	65.8%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17171

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 63/91

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		1.6%			95.2%	3.2%	63
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.6%			96.8%	1.6%	63

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		63
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.5%	11.1%	76.2%	3.2%	63

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		1.6%	6.3%	42.9%	49.2%		63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.2%	1.6%	39.7%	55.6%		63

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**		1.6%	3.2%	19.0%	76.2%		63
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		9.5%	9.5%	30.2%	50.8%		63

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	6.5%	6.5%	11.3%	30.6%	43.5%	1.6%	62

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			1.6%	20.6%	76.2%	1.6%	63
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.7%	87.3%		63
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**	1.6%		1.6%	14.3%	82.5%		63

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17376

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 14/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.7%		53.8%	38.5%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	7.7%	30.8%		23.1%	38.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			23.1%	46.2%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**			23.1%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	50.0%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17377

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 4/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17379

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 1/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17380

Section: C1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 9/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17381

Section: C2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 5/4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17412

Section: D1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 14/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Class Number: 17413

Section: D2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 14/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**			21.4%	21.4%	57.1%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		14

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**			21.4%	21.4%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			21.4%	28.6%	50.0%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	7.1%		21.4%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			21.4%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	7.1%		28.6%	21.4%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3054: Signals and Systems

Class Number: 17174

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 15/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	6.7%				93.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	7.1%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6811: FINANCIAL SOFTWARE LABORATORY

Class Number: 16598

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Yarmish,Jason Andrew

Response Rate: 7/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		16.7%			83.3%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**		28.6%		14.3%	57.1%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

Class Number: 16943

Section: I

FRE 7871: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL INFORMATION SERVICES AND TECHNOLOGY

Primary Instructor: Yarmish,Jason Andrew

Response Rate: 8/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			87.5%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6933: Advanced Mechatronics

Class Number: 22343

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kapila,Vikram

Response Rate: 6/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		6
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 9753: BIOETHICS SEMINAR

Class Number: 16801

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 11/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%	81.8%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 17503

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 16/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	18.8%	56.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		6.3%	37.5%	31.3%	18.8%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.3%		25.0%	31.3%	31.3%	6.3%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		12.5%	6.3%	56.3%	18.8%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	37.5%	6.3%	16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	6.3%		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2144: Ethics and Technology

Class Number: 17504

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 25/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					96.0%	4.0%	25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.0%			92.0%	4.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.0%	84.0%	8.0%	25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	64.0%	8.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		8.0%	8.0%	36.0%	40.0%	8.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		12.0%		48.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		8.0%		48.0%	36.0%	8.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.0%	4.0%	36.0%	48.0%	8.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.0%	12.0%	12.0%	32.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		4.0%	20.0%	36.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		4.0%	16.0%	40.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**		12.0%	24.0%	24.0%	36.0%	4.0%	25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3113: Advanced Linear Algebra and Complex Variables

Class Number: 17669

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Miller,Edward

Response Rate: 3/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9053: SELECTED TPCS IN WIRELESS COMM

Class Number: 24425

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ashikhmin,Alexei

Response Rate: 11/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		18.2%			63.6%	18.2%	11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			70.0%	20.0%	10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	63.6%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8423: Ground Improvement

Class Number: 23276

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Marinucci,Antonio

Response Rate: 5/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.0%	80.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.2**		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am familiar with foundation engineering practice, foundation rehabilitation, and emerging ground-improvement technologies.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I know how to design and analyze different foundation problems using ground improvement techniques.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I understand how to monitor and perform evaluations on foundations.	**3.4**	20.0%	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	40.0%			40.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4373: INTRO TO NUCLEAR ENGINEERING

Class Number: 17132

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Speyer,Daniel M.

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		25.0%			66.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		36.4%			54.5%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		25.0%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the fundamentals of nuclear reactions	**4.2**		9.1%		54.5%	36.4%		11
Q28	Learned the fundamentals of reactor kinetics	**4.2**	8.3%			50.0%	41.7%		12
Q29	Learned the different types of nuclear reactors and how they operate	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q30	Learned the basic design principles for nuclear reactors	**4.3**	8.3%			41.7%	50.0%		12
Q31	I understand the issues pertaining to nuclear power	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**	8.3%		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8253: Project Management for Construction

Class Number: 16670

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rozenberg,Salvador Julius

Response Rate: 19/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		36.8%			63.2%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			82.4%	5.9%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		5.3%		10.5%	84.2%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.3%			31.6%	63.2%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	5.3%	10.5%		36.8%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%		21.1%	68.4%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the legal tax business entities for various types of construction business models and strategies.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		18
Q28	I can perform basic estimating and cost controls of construction projects	**4.1**		5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	33.3%		18
Q29	I understand contract administration, risk management and payment schedules	**4.2**		5.6%	5.6%	55.6%	33.3%		18
Q30	I developed project management concepts with the work breakdown structure (WBS) and Gantt Chart project scheduling.	**4.2**		5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	44.4%		18
Q31	I understand construction labor relations and employee safety protocols and procedures.	**4.2**			11.1%	61.1%	27.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		10.5%	10.5%	31.6%	47.4%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6523: Nanoelectronic Devices

Class Number: 22443

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rakheja,Shaloo

Response Rate: 23/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	4.3%		4.3%	8.7%	82.6%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.3%	8.7%		13.0%	73.9%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	4.3%	4.3%		17.4%	73.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	65.2%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	8.7%	65.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	52.2%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		21.7%	8.7%	39.1%	26.1%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	82.6%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	69.6%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**		8.7%	21.7%	17.4%	52.2%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Class Number: 22136

Section: BK02

Primary Instructor: Heron,Alexandra

Response Rate: 25/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.0%			96.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.0%			72.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		20.0%		36.0%	44.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.0%		16.0%	28.0%	44.0%	8.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Class Number: 16830

Section: F

Primary Instructor: Heron,Alexandra

Response Rate: 25/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	4.0%				96.0%		25
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				72.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**		20.0%		36.0%	44.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		8.0%		24.0%	68.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.0%		16.0%	28.0%	44.0%	8.0%	25

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	80.0%		25
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 6813: Chemical Reactor Analysis and Design

Class Number: 16304

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kim,Jin Ryoun

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 16538

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mak,Phillip

Response Rate: 33/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.0%	3.0%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.0%			93.9%	3.0%	33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**	3.0%				97.0%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	3.0%		6.1%		90.9%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			3.0%	27.3%	69.7%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	12.1%	78.8%	3.0%	33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	87.9%	3.0%	33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.0%		3.0%	21.2%	72.7%		33

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.9**				6.1%	93.9%		33
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**			3.0%		97.0%		33
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**			6.1%	6.1%	87.9%		33
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.9**				6.1%	90.9%	3.0%	33
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**				3.0%	93.9%	3.0%	33
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.9**				6.1%	90.9%	3.0%	33
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.9**				9.1%	87.9%	3.0%	33
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			27.3%	12.1%	54.5%	6.1%	33
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			27.3%	9.1%	60.6%	3.0%	33
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			30.3%	18.2%	51.5%		33
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.7**		3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	84.8%		33
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.5**		3.0%	18.2%	6.1%	72.7%		33

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	3.0%			36.4%	60.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	3.0%			3.0%	93.9%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**	3.0%			3.0%	93.9%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**				3.0%	97.0%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17237

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem,Ghania

Response Rate: 8/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			12.5%		75.0%	12.5%	8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q28	Learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q29	Learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	**4.0**		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q30	Learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q31	Analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	**4.0**			25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q32	Learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field	**3.5**		12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17237

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem,Ghania

Response Rate: 8/19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17086

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 40/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.5%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.6%			94.9%	2.6%	39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.5%	5.0%	92.5%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.0%	15.0%	10.0%	70.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	7.5%	27.5%	55.0%		40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**		7.5%	5.0%	25.0%	62.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.5%		37.5%	12.5%	40.0%	2.5%	40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.7**		2.5%	2.5%	15.0%	80.0%		40
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	2.5%	5.0%	2.5%	12.5%	75.0%	2.5%	40
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.6**	2.5%		7.5%	17.5%	70.0%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.0%	17.5%	5.0%	42.5%	22.5%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.5%	7.5%	15.0%	75.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**		5.0%	2.5%	15.0%	77.5%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		7.5%	7.5%	17.5%	67.5%		40

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			15.0%	35.0%	47.5%	2.5%	40
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		2.5%	10.0%	37.5%	47.5%	2.5%	40
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	2.5%	7.5%	22.5%	35.0%	30.0%	2.5%	40
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**		10.3%	12.8%	25.6%	48.7%	2.6%	39

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	5.0%	15.0%	5.0%	27.5%	47.5%		40
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	27.5%	47.5%		40
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	52.5%	2.5%	40
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**	2.5%	7.5%	20.0%	27.5%	42.5%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17086

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 40/46

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**		2.5%	52.5%	12.5%	30.0%	2.5%	40
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.6**	10.0%	7.5%	25.0%	20.0%	35.0%	2.5%	40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17087

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 33/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					97.0%	3.0%	33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			84.4%	9.4%	32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	69.7%	3.0%	33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.1%	6.1%	27.3%	60.6%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		15.2%	9.1%	36.4%	39.4%		33

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.1%	39.4%	54.5%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.1%	9.4%	28.1%	31.3%	28.1%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	3.3%			23.3%	73.3%		30
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.7**			3.3%	23.3%	73.3%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	15.2%	21.2%	6.1%	33.3%	24.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	27.3%	63.6%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	27.3%	66.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	9.1%	6.1%	6.1%	30.3%	48.5%		33

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	30.0%	53.3%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	50.0%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	3.3%	6.7%	30.0%	23.3%	36.7%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	30.0%	43.3%		30

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	6.5%	6.5%	9.7%	38.7%	38.7%		31
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		30
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.2%	9.7%	16.1%	19.4%	51.6%		31
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**	3.2%	3.2%	16.1%	19.4%	51.6%	6.5%	31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17087

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 33/46

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**	3.2%	3.2%	45.2%	3.2%	35.5%	9.7%	31
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**	6.5%	6.5%	9.7%	22.6%	51.6%	3.2%	31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17281

Section: ELEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 32/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		32
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		3.1%			96.9%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.1%	9.4%	6.3%	81.3%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	3.1%		3.1%	31.3%	62.5%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.3%	9.4%		37.5%	46.9%		32

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.1%	18.8%	12.5%	62.5%	3.1%	32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.6**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	76.7%	3.3%	30
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.8**		3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	90.0%		30

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	15.6%	9.4%	3.1%	21.9%	50.0%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	87.5%		32
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	84.4%		32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	3.1%	3.1%		9.4%	84.4%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**		3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	70.0%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	26.7%	66.7%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	60.0%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		30

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	3.3%	10.0%		36.7%	50.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		30
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%	3.3%	30.0%	53.3%		30
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**		10.0%	3.3%	23.3%	63.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Class Number: 17281

Section: ELEC

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 32/46

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	3.3%	3.3%	43.3%	6.7%	40.0%	3.3%	30
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**	3.3%	10.0%	3.3%	23.3%	56.7%	3.3%	30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3254: Introduction to Parallel and Distributed Systems

Class Number: 17702

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sterling,John

Response Rate: 22/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		13.6%			86.4%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		40.9%			59.1%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.6%	27.3%	59.1%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	40.9%	40.9%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**			13.6%	36.4%	45.5%	4.5%	22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16210

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bracamonte,Denise A

Response Rate: 29/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.9%			93.1%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.9%			89.7%	3.4%	29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		17.2%	3.4%	41.4%	37.9%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	34.5%	41.4%	3.4%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		6.9%	6.9%	24.1%	62.1%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	3.4%	13.8%	13.8%	24.1%	44.8%		29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	10.3%	24.1%	17.2%	24.1%	24.1%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	10.3%	13.8%	10.3%	17.2%	44.8%	3.4%	29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.2**	13.8%	20.7%	17.2%	24.1%	24.1%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.3**	13.8%	20.7%	13.8%	27.6%	24.1%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.2**	13.8%	27.6%	6.9%	31.0%	20.7%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**	17.2%	10.3%	3.4%	34.5%	34.5%		29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	13.8%	13.8%	6.9%	34.5%	31.0%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	13.8%	31.0%	3.4%	27.6%	20.7%	3.4%	29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**	17.2%	20.7%	3.4%	27.6%	27.6%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	10.3%	17.2%	13.8%	20.7%	34.5%	3.4%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16964

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bracamonte,Denise A

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16964

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Bracamonte,Denise A

Response Rate: 3/5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17307

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 12/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.3%		25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**3.6**	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%		11
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.0**	9.1%		9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	18.2%	27.3%		11
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.3%		25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**	8.3%			33.3%	58.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	8.3%		8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	25.0%	41.7%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	36.4%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17308

Section: A1RC

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 12/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		16.7%			83.3%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		12

A3. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		16.7%		8.3%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	8.3%		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.1**	9.1%		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.1**	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	18.2%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	11

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17311

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 14/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.0**		23.1%		30.8%	46.2%		13
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.1**	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%		12
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		21.4%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	8.3%		16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**		15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		7.7%	15.4%	46.2%	30.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17312

Section: A3RC

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 14/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		28.6%			71.4%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		23.1%			69.2%	7.7%	13

A3. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	69.2%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		14.3%	7.1%	57.1%	14.3%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	7.1%	14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		41.7%	8.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		21.4%	14.3%	57.1%	7.1%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	7.1%		42.9%	28.6%	21.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.5**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	8.3%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**		9.1%	18.2%	72.7%			11

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	14
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		14
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17316

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 15/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			26.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.0**	7.1%		14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			6.7%	60.0%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	26.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**		13.3%	26.7%	40.0%	20.0%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Class Number: 17317

Section: B2RC

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

A3. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			6.7%	60.0%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		13.3%	20.0%	53.3%	13.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**		26.7%	20.0%	40.0%	13.3%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			35.7%	28.6%	35.7%		14

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.1**		20.0%		33.3%	46.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

Class Number: 17039

Section: A

MG 4504: Global Perspectives on Technology Management: A Capstone Project Course

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 22/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			13.6%	27.3%	59.1%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**	4.5%			22.7%	72.7%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	31.8%	59.1%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Class Number: 16204

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 19/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	47.4%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	5.3%			42.1%	52.6%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7953: Global Innovation

Class Number: 16991

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 19/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			5.3%		94.7%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Class Number: 16968

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Giura,Paul

Response Rate: 33/36

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		33
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	6.1%	3.0%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		9.1%	6.1%	21.2%	63.6%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**	3.0%		9.1%	18.2%	69.7%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	3.0%		3.0%	15.2%	78.8%		33

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	9.1%	3.0%	3.0%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	6.1%	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	69.7%		33

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**	6.1%	3.0%	12.1%	24.2%	54.5%		33
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**		3.0%	30.3%	15.2%	51.5%		33
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**	3.0%		12.1%	9.1%	75.8%		33
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**		6.1%	12.1%	15.2%	66.7%		33
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	27.3%	57.6%		33
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	3.0%		9.1%	15.2%	72.7%		33
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	6.3%	3.1%	6.3%	15.6%	68.8%		32
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**		3.1%	28.1%	9.4%	59.4%		32
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			31.3%	12.5%	56.3%		32
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**	3.1%		31.3%	6.3%	59.4%		32
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.0**	6.1%	3.0%	27.3%	12.1%	51.5%		33
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.9**	6.3%		31.3%	18.8%	43.8%		32

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.0%	3.0%		54.5%	39.4%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.0%		3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	6.1%	6.1%	12.1%	9.1%	66.7%		33
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	24.2%	63.6%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 17115

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 56/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			82.1%	10.7%	56
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.8%			88.5%	7.7%	52

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	67.9%	3.6%	56
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		3.6%	28.6%	32.1%	32.1%	3.6%	56

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		3.6%	39.3%	35.7%	21.4%		56
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	3.6%	16.1%	23.2%	32.1%	25.0%		56

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	14.3%	17.9%	10.7%	28.6%	28.6%		56
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	10.7%	17.9%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	7.1%	56

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned consistent units; forces and moments.	**4.0**		3.8%	19.2%	50.0%	26.9%		52
Q28	Learned about the materials response to application of force and engineering materials.	**4.2**		3.8%	11.5%	50.0%	34.6%		52
Q29	Learned about fluids engineering and thermal & energy systems.	**4.1**		7.7%	11.5%	42.3%	38.5%		52
Q30	Learned about motion and transmission.	**4.0**		11.5%	19.2%	30.8%	38.5%		52
Q31	Learned about ethical responsibilities of engineers.	**4.2**		3.8%	15.4%	34.6%	46.2%		52

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	3.6%	28.6%	17.9%	28.6%	21.4%		56

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	3.6%	14.3%	10.7%	39.3%	32.1%		56
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		3.6%	21.4%	17.9%	53.6%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	7.1%	10.7%	28.6%	21.4%	32.1%		28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	11.5%	3.8%	7.7%	50.0%	26.9%		52
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**	11.5%	7.7%	15.4%	34.6%	30.8%		52
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.1**	8.0%		4.0%	48.0%	40.0%		50

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Class Number: 17116

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 64/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.3%			93.8%		64
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.2%			96.8%		62

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.1%	9.4%	15.6%	71.9%		64
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	21.9%	18.8%	46.9%		64

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**	6.3%		40.6%	15.6%	34.4%	3.1%	64
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	3.2%	12.9%	19.4%	22.6%	41.9%		62

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	9.4%	12.5%	9.4%	21.9%	46.9%		64
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.2%	3.2%	32.3%	19.4%	38.7%	3.2%	62

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned consistent units; forces and moments.	**4.1**	3.6%		10.7%	53.6%	32.1%		56
Q28	Learned about the materials response to application of force and engineering materials.	**4.1**		7.1%		64.3%	28.6%		56
Q29	Learned about fluids engineering and thermal & energy systems.	**4.0**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%		56
Q30	Learned about motion and transmission.	**3.9**	3.6%	3.6%	17.9%	46.4%	28.6%		56
Q31	Learned about ethical responsibilities of engineers.	**4.3**	3.6%			60.7%	35.7%		56

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	9.4%	15.6%	3.1%	43.8%	28.1%		64

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	34.4%	43.8%		64
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		9.4%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%	3.1%	32
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	12.5%	12.5%	9.4%	25.0%	40.6%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		7.1%	10.7%	60.7%	21.4%		56
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.7**	7.1%	10.7%	10.7%	50.0%	21.4%		56
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.2**	3.6%		3.6%	60.7%	32.1%		56

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2724: DINOSAURS: RESURRECTING AN EXTINCT SPECIES

Class Number: 17513

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 17/26

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		94.1%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.1%	5.9%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				29.4%	64.7%	5.9%	17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			29.4%	11.8%	52.9%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	52.9%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			23.5%	23.5%	41.2%	11.8%	17

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%	5.9%	17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**3.5**		6.3%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.0**			25.0%	43.8%	25.0%	6.3%	16
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.2**			12.5%	50.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.2**			12.5%	50.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.1**			18.8%	43.8%	31.3%	6.3%	16
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	37.5%	6.3%	16

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		17.6%	17.6%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	29.4%	5.9%	17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**			17.6%	29.4%	41.2%	11.8%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**			29.4%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Class Number: 16198

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Watson,Edward G

Response Rate: 11/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6573: Penetration Testing and Vulnerability Analysis

Class Number: 16328

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Antoniewicz,Brad

Response Rate: 15/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.7%			93.3%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	13.3%	46.7%		15

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			80.0%	6.7%	13.3%		15
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			86.7%		13.3%		15
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			86.7%		13.3%		15
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.2**	6.7%		13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.9**	6.7%		26.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	6.7%			33.3%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2213: Statics

Class Number: 17297

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Kim,Joo Hyun

Response Rate: 44/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		44
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		44

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	18.2%	31.8%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	4.5%			50.0%	45.5%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%		44

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	4.5%			9.1%	86.4%		44

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned algebra of vectors	**4.6**		4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	72.7%		44
Q28	Learned about particle and rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		44
Q29	Learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures; trusses, frames, &beams	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		44
Q30	Learned about friction and the method of virtual work	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	44
Q31	Learned about the need for life-long learning	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	59.1%	4.5%	44

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		44
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	59.1%		44
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**		4.5%	13.6%	13.6%	68.2%		44

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		44
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		44
A41	I have enhanced my ability to recognize the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 7923: Robotic Gait and Manipulation

Class Number: 24000

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kim,Joo Hyun

Response Rate: 7/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		14.3%	14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3474: Introduction to Modern Optics

Class Number: 17568

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Arnold,Stephen

Response Rate: 9/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	11.1%	11.1%			77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	22.2%				77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	22.2%			11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3474: Introduction to Modern Optics

Class Number: 17727

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Arnold,Stephen

Response Rate: 9/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	11.1%	11.1%			77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**	22.2%				77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	22.2%			11.1%	66.7%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Class Number: 17697

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 13/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	7.7%	7.7%			76.9%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%	15.4%		30.8%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 16640

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 2/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 16804

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 13/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	7.7%	7.7%			76.9%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		15.4%	7.7%	53.8%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%	15.4%		30.8%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**	7.7%			7.7%	84.6%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6503: BIOMEDICAL INSTRUMENTATION

Class Number: 16370

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 4/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			50.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6503: BIOMEDICAL INSTRUMENTATION

Class Number: 16569

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 4/5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2102: MOLECULAR MODELING IN CHEMISTRY

Class Number: 17103

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 19/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	52.6%				47.4%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	73.7%				26.3%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			21.1%	42.1%	36.8%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	10.5%		68.4%	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.1**	22.2%		50.0%	5.6%	22.2%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.3**	33.3%	16.7%	22.2%	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.4**	38.9%	16.7%	16.7%	22.2%	5.6%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.6**	38.9%	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.6**	66.7%	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%			18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	33.3%	27.8%	5.6%	22.2%	11.1%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.7**	27.8%	22.2%	11.1%	27.8%	11.1%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.8**	61.1%	16.7%	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 3514: Analytical Chemistry

Class Number: 17108

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 17/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	58.8%				35.3%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	76.5%				11.8%	11.8%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	11.8%			41.2%	47.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		29.4%	47.1%	17.6%	5.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.2**	41.2%	29.4%		29.4%			17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.2**	47.1%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%			17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**1.8**	52.9%	29.4%		17.6%			17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.7**	47.1%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%			17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	23.5%	35.3%	17.6%	11.8%	11.8%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.4**	29.4%	11.8%	35.3%	17.6%		5.9%	17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**1.7**	58.8%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%		5.9%	17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 7813: Characterization of Macromolecules

Class Number: 22172

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 17/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%	18.8%		56.3%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.9**	5.9%	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	52.9%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	56.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	35.3%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.9%		11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**	23.5%		23.5%	11.8%	41.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	17.6%	29.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 1013B-Rothman,Tony

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 59/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	3.4%	89.8%	6.8%	59
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.5%	86.4%	5.1%	59

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	8.5%	3.4%	6.8%	39.0%	40.7%	1.7%	59
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	10.3%	6.9%	22.4%	32.8%	27.6%		58

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	6.8%	3.4%	16.9%	37.3%	35.6%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.8%	3.4%	11.9%	42.4%	33.9%	1.7%	59

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	11.9%	5.1%	20.3%	37.3%	25.4%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.5%	3.4%	27.1%	37.3%	23.7%		59

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	10.2%	10.2%	13.6%	37.3%	27.1%	1.7%	59

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	8.5%	8.5%	15.3%	37.3%	30.5%		59
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**	15.3%	6.8%	27.1%	32.2%	16.9%	1.7%	59
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	6.8%	5.1%	25.4%	35.6%	27.1%		59

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 2023A-Rothman,Tony

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 38/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		2.6%			97.4%		38
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.9%			92.1%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	7.9%	13.2%	13.2%	21.1%	44.7%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	10.5%	21.1%	21.1%	18.4%	28.9%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	5.3%	2.6%	31.6%	18.4%	39.5%	2.6%	38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	7.9%	10.5%	15.8%	31.6%	34.2%		38

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	13.2%	13.2%	21.1%	26.3%	26.3%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.4%	10.8%	16.2%	27.0%	37.8%	2.7%	37

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	7.9%	23.7%	13.2%	28.9%	26.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	10.5%	10.5%	18.4%	31.6%	28.9%		38
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.9**	28.9%	10.5%	23.7%	18.4%	18.4%		38
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	10.5%	13.2%	26.3%	34.2%	15.8%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17219

Section: A1RC

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 15/24

X. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**			13.3%	26.7%	53.3%	6.7%	15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.4**		6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 17092

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 63/73

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		1.6%			96.8%	1.6%	63
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			90.5%	1.6%	63

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	1.6%	1.6%	9.5%	27.0%	60.3%		63
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.8%	6.3%	25.4%	25.4%	34.9%	3.2%	63

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**	3.2%	4.8%	7.9%	38.1%	46.0%		63
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	11.3%	21.0%	14.5%	25.8%	27.4%		62

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	6.3%	15.9%	11.1%	27.0%	39.7%		63
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.6%	15.9%	23.8%	15.9%	20.6%	3.2%	63

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**3.9**	6.6%	1.6%	11.5%	50.8%	26.2%	3.3%	61
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**3.9**	6.6%	3.3%	13.1%	41.0%	32.8%	3.3%	61
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.2**	5.0%	1.7%	10.0%	31.7%	48.3%	3.3%	60
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.1**	4.9%	3.3%	9.8%	34.4%	44.3%	3.3%	61
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.8**	6.6%	3.3%	21.3%	36.1%	29.5%	3.3%	61

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	12.7%	20.6%	19.0%	27.0%	20.6%		63

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.3%	9.5%	12.7%	36.5%	34.9%		63
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	25.4%	39.7%	1.6%	63
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	17.5%	12.7%	14.3%	25.4%	30.2%		63

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.0**	1.6%	4.8%	22.6%	35.5%	32.3%	3.2%	62
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	3.2%	3.2%	25.8%	38.7%	25.8%	3.2%	62
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	3.2%	3.2%	22.6%	38.7%	29.0%	3.2%	62
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**	3.2%	6.5%	21.0%	37.1%	29.0%	3.2%	62

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		1.6%	13.1%	23.0%	59.0%	3.3%	61
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		1.6%	11.3%	11.3%	72.6%	3.2%	62
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	1.7%	1.7%	18.3%	20.0%	53.3%	5.0%	60

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 17092

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 63/73

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			15.0%	18.3%	61.7%	5.0%	60
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**			27.4%	9.7%	58.1%	4.8%	62
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			9.8%	14.8%	70.5%	4.9%	61

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 17093

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 68/93

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		3.0%			91.0%	6.0%	67
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.1%			87.9%	6.1%	66

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		1.5%	3.0%	23.9%	67.2%	4.5%	67
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	1.5%	6.0%	22.4%	32.8%	32.8%	4.5%	67

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	1.5%	16.4%	7.5%	26.9%	43.3%	4.5%	67
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	1.5%	12.1%	13.6%	31.8%	36.4%	4.5%	66

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.8**	3.0%	13.4%	16.4%	32.8%	29.9%	4.5%	67
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	9.0%	11.9%	25.4%	23.9%	25.4%	4.5%	67

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**3.8**	1.5%	19.4%	6.0%	37.3%	31.3%	4.5%	67
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.0**		14.9%	6.0%	40.3%	34.3%	4.5%	67
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.3**		9.0%	4.5%	35.8%	46.3%	4.5%	67
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.2**		8.8%	4.4%	38.2%	44.1%	4.4%	68
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.8**	1.5%	14.7%	11.8%	39.7%	27.9%	4.4%	68

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.4%	16.4%	14.9%	25.4%	28.4%	4.5%	67

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	7.5%	10.4%	7.5%	26.9%	43.3%	4.5%	67
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.8**	6.0%	11.9%	14.9%	26.9%	35.8%	4.5%	67
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	13.4%	17.9%	14.9%	23.9%	25.4%	4.5%	67

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.1**		13.2%	2.9%	45.6%	35.3%	2.9%	68
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		13.2%	10.3%	38.2%	35.3%	2.9%	68
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**		11.8%	11.8%	38.2%	35.3%	2.9%	68
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**		14.7%	10.3%	41.2%	30.9%	2.9%	68

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	67.6%	2.9%	68
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		4.4%	2.9%	20.6%	69.1%	2.9%	68
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		2.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	2.9%	68

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Class Number: 17093

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 68/93

Y. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**		1.5%	11.8%	27.9%	55.9%	2.9%	68
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**			26.5%	11.8%	55.9%	5.9%	68
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**		1.5%	4.5%	20.9%	71.6%	1.5%	67

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 4423: Introductory Numerical Analysis

Class Number: 17194

Section: A

Primary Instructor: O'Neil,Michael

Response Rate: 3/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		66.7%	33.3%	3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17717

Section: K3

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri,Nicholas E

Response Rate: 1/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 17657

Section: B2

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri,Nicholas E

Response Rate: 1/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 16530

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Eisenhardt,Roger D.

Response Rate: 17/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.9%	5.9%		5.9%	82.4%		17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	11.8%		5.9%	5.9%	76.5%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	11.8%		5.9%	5.9%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**	5.9%	5.9%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	16.7%			8.3%	75.0%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	16.7%			8.3%	75.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	5.9%			35.3%	58.8%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	11.8%			17.6%	70.6%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	11.8%		5.9%		82.4%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**	11.8%			17.6%	70.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6991: SEL TPCS IN FINANCIAL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 16757

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Fisher,Gregg S

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	64.3%				35.7%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	78.6%				21.4%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**			28.6%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%	7.1%	14.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	7.1%		71.4%		21.4%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	50.0%	14.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	21.4%	14.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.1%		28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.3**	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%	14.3%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%	14.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Class Number: 17369

Section: L

Primary Instructor: Gurtas,Yusuf

Response Rate: 1/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6181: Talent Management Systems

Class Number: 16767

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Caputo,Pat Mauro

Response Rate: 11/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		9.1%		63.6%	27.3%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**				54.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.1**		18.2%		36.4%	45.5%		11
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.2**		10.0%		50.0%	40.0%		10
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		11
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			36.4%	18.2%	45.5%		11
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			36.4%	18.2%	45.5%		11
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		18.2%		36.4%	45.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6181: Talent Management Systems

Class Number: 16767

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Caputo,Pat Mauro

Response Rate: 11/18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 7863: SPECIAL TOPICS

Class Number: 16896

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang

Response Rate: 5/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8493: Environmental Geotechnology

Class Number: 16541

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen

Response Rate: 14/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.1%			92.9%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	7.1%	14.3%		28.6%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 5403: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 16232

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Coskun,Baris

Response Rate: 13/16

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**				38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.6**		7.7%	7.7%		84.6%		13
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		13
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			61.5%		23.1%	15.4%	13
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			53.8%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	13
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			53.8%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	13
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%	23.1%		7.7%	61.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 23726

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 22/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.5%	4.5%	22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.5%	13.6%	81.8%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	4.5%		9.1%	4.5%	81.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			4.5%	31.8%	63.6%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		4.5%		45.5%	50.0%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	13.6%	40.9%	9.1%	22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.5**			4.5%	40.9%	54.5%		22
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	9.1%	4.5%		13.6%	72.7%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**			22.7%	31.8%	45.5%		22
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.3**		4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	54.5%		22
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			13.6%	27.3%	59.1%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 23727

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 40/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.5%			97.5%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.5%	2.5%	95.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			2.5%	10.0%	87.5%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.5**		2.5%	2.5%	35.0%	60.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	2.5%		15.0%	27.5%	55.0%		40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		40
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		40
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.8**				17.5%	82.5%		40
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.8**				22.5%	77.5%		40

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			2.5%	30.0%	65.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.5%	92.5%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				7.5%	92.5%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		40

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.5**	2.5%		7.5%	25.0%	65.0%		40
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.5**	2.5%		12.5%	20.0%	65.0%		40
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**	2.5%		17.5%	15.0%	65.0%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Class Number: 23728

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 9/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		9
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 3324: Biochemistry II

Class Number: 17107

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.

Response Rate: 12/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				8.3%	83.3%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 5040: Chemical Laboratory Safety

Class Number: 16279

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.

Response Rate: 39/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		7.7%			89.7%	2.6%	39
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.1%			92.3%	2.6%	39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.6%			5.1%	92.3%		39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.7%	10.3%	79.5%	2.6%	39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			5.1%	23.1%	71.8%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.8%	87.2%		39

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				20.5%	79.5%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		39

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.6%	7.7%	28.2%	61.5%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		39
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		39
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		39

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.7**			3.4%	20.7%	75.9%		29
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.8**			3.4%	10.3%	86.2%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6143: Steel Structures

Class Number: 23231

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lou,Jong J

Response Rate: 17/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	11.8%	82.4%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Class Number: 16679

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nyambuu,Unurjargal

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					87.5%	12.5%	8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3314: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology I

Class Number: 22151

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 8/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4263: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design II

Class Number: 17170

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	21.7%	60.9%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	8.7%		8.7%	30.4%	52.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	21.7%	52.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	30.4%	47.8%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.3**	8.7%	21.7%	17.4%	30.4%	21.7%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member.	**4.3**	4.3%		8.7%	39.1%	47.8%		23
Q28	I am more confident in my ability to prepare and present oral and written reports on technical topics.	**4.4**			8.7%	39.1%	52.2%		23
Q29	I have improved my understanding and skills in process design, including the use of advanced tools such as ASPEN.	**3.0**	21.7%	26.1%	4.3%	26.1%	21.7%		23
Q30	I have learned to assess the profitability of a process including safety and environmental constraints.	**3.8**	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	52.2%	26.1%		23
Q31	Based on my experience in this course I am ready to address more complex design problems.	**3.6**	8.7%	17.4%	4.3%	43.5%	26.1%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.7%	4.3%	17.4%	34.8%	34.8%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	47.8%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.6**	13.0%	17.4%	8.7%	17.4%	43.5%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**	8.7%	13.0%	17.4%	21.7%	34.8%	4.3%	23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.1**		8.7%	13.0%	39.1%	39.1%		23
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.0**		13.0%	8.7%	43.5%	34.8%		23
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.7**	8.7%	17.4%	4.3%	34.8%	34.8%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4263: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design II

Class Number: 17397

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N

Response Rate: 22/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	45.5%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.5%	13.6%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	4.5%			45.5%	50.0%		22

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	13.6%	22.7%	4.5%	31.8%	27.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	50.0%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more comfortable working on a team, both as a team leader and as a team member.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	50.0%		22
Q28	I am more confident in my ability to prepare and present oral and written reports on technical topics.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	22.7%	45.5%		22
Q29	I have improved my understanding and skills in process design, including the use of advanced tools such as ASPEN.	**3.4**	22.7%	9.1%	4.5%	31.8%	31.8%		22
Q30	I have learned to assess the profitability of a process including safety and environmental constraints.	**4.0**		13.6%		59.1%	27.3%		22
Q31	Based on my experience in this course I am ready to address more complex design problems.	**3.7**	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	23.8%		21

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	45.5%	36.4%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	31.8%	36.4%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**	4.5%	13.6%	22.7%	22.7%	36.4%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.4**	9.1%	22.7%	22.7%	13.6%	31.8%		22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.7**	13.6%		18.2%	36.4%	31.8%		22
A40	I have enhanced my ability to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	40.9%	31.8%		22
A42	I have increased my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17561

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga

Response Rate: 29/33

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				6.9%	86.2%	6.9%	29
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**	3.6%	3.6%		28.6%	57.1%	7.1%	28

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	51.7%	6.9%	29
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				27.6%	65.5%	6.9%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	6.9%	20.7%	3.4%	20.7%	41.4%	6.9%	29
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		10.3%	13.8%	27.6%	41.4%	6.9%	29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		3.4%	13.8%	37.9%	37.9%	6.9%	29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.4%	6.9%	17.2%	24.1%	41.4%	6.9%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	6.9%	13.8%	10.3%	31.0%	27.6%	10.3%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**	3.4%	3.4%	6.9%	34.5%	44.8%	6.9%	29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.9%	3.4%	27.6%	27.6%	27.6%	6.9%	29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	17.2%	13.8%	20.7%	17.2%	20.7%	10.3%	29

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		3.6%	14.3%	32.1%	42.9%	7.1%	28
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**	3.6%		14.3%	32.1%	42.9%	7.1%	28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Class Number: 17562

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga

Response Rate: 28/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	75.0%	7.1%	28
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		10.7%	7.1%	25.0%	53.6%	3.6%	28
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	3.6%		10.7%	25.0%	57.1%	3.6%	28
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**		3.6%		14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	32.1%	25.0%		28
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	10.7%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	46.4%		28
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**	21.4%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	35.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.3%	7.1%	10.7%	32.1%	35.7%		28
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.1%	17.9%	17.9%	21.4%	28.6%	7.1%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**3.6**	14.3%	10.7%	14.3%	25.0%	35.7%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	21.4%	17.9%	17.9%	25.0%	17.9%		28
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.4**	42.9%	10.7%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	3.6%	28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.6**	10.7%	17.9%	7.1%	32.1%	32.1%		28
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.5**	14.3%	17.9%	3.6%	35.7%	28.6%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 16589

Section: J2

Primary Instructor: Matthei,Warren D.

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 16761

Section: J1

Primary Instructor: Matthei,Warren D.

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		11
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Class Number: 17291

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Feklistova,Mariya

Response Rate: 1/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2133: 3D Modeling

Class Number: 23127

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cea,Cristobal Jaime

Response Rate: 7/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2613: FUND OF ELEC PWR ENG FOR NON EE STUDENTS

Class Number: 17203

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bochynski,Zdzislaw

Response Rate: 29/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	20.7%				79.3%		29
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%				64.3%	7.1%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.4%	6.9%	3.4%	31.0%	55.2%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	10.3%	20.7%	55.2%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	6.9%	10.3%	10.3%	31.0%	37.9%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	13.8%	20.7%	58.6%		29

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.6**	10.3%	17.2%	6.9%	34.5%	31.0%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.9%	10.3%	27.6%	20.7%	31.0%	3.4%	29

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		7.1%	21.4%	39.3%	28.6%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.9%	6.9%	6.9%	44.8%	34.5%		29
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.7**	13.8%	6.9%	17.2%	17.2%	44.8%		29
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	6.9%	3.4%	17.2%	31.0%	41.4%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 7043: Linear Algebra II

Class Number: 16221

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lutwak,Erwin

Response Rate: 2/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		2
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6253: Seminar in Organization and Career Change

Class Number: 16202

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G

Response Rate: 5/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		5
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.5**		40.0%	40.0%			20.0%	5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**			60.0%	20.0%		20.0%	5

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			20.0%	5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.0**	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		20.0%		80.0%			5
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.0**		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6253: Seminar in Organization and Career Change

Class Number: 16960

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**2.3**	33.3%		66.7%				3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				3

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**2.3**		66.7%	33.3%				3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6253: Seminar in Organization and Career Change

Class Number: 16960

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G

Response Rate: 3/5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Class Number: 17076

Section: A

Primary Instructor: McCoy,Damon Liwanu

Response Rate: 40/59

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.5%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			2.6%	5.1%	92.3%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.0%		27.5%	67.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.5%	10.0%	87.5%		40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.5%	5.0%	17.5%	72.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		2.5%		15.0%	82.5%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			2.5%	2.5%	95.0%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.5%	92.5%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Class Number: 16619

Section: I

Primary Instructor: McCoy,Damon Liwanu

Response Rate: 40/59

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		97.5%	2.5%	40
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			2.6%	5.1%	92.3%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**		5.0%		27.5%	67.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			2.5%	10.0%	87.5%		40

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		2.5%	5.0%	17.5%	72.5%	2.5%	40

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		2.5%		15.0%	82.5%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		40
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**			2.5%	2.5%	95.0%		40
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				7.5%	92.5%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6043: Design and Analysis of Algorithms II

Class Number: 16235

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Chiang,Yi-jen

Response Rate: 27/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		27
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.4%	7.4%	81.5%	3.7%	27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		27

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			7.4%	11.1%	81.5%		27

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	29.6%	59.3%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		3.7%		7.4%	88.9%		27
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			18.5%	7.4%	74.1%		27
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.5**			7.4%	37.0%	55.6%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 7033: Media Law

Class Number: 16855

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rosenberg,Beth L

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	16.7%				83.3%		18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	5.6%			44.4%	50.0%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		33.3%	55.6%		18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.6%		22.2%	27.8%	38.9%	5.6%	18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	33.3%	44.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3404: Innovation Management

Class Number: 17032

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bensedrine,Jabril

Response Rate: 15/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.7%			93.3%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	66.7%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	13.3%		13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	6.7%		6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.9**	20.0%			26.7%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 16195

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 23/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		23
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%	95.7%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			8.7%	26.1%	65.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 16764

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 7/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	14.3%		14.3%		71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%		42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.7**	14.3%		28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**			28.6%		71.4%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.5**			14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.8**				14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.7**		42.9%			57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 16764

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 7/12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Class Number: 21555

Section: EMOT

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		21.4%		14.3%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		21.4%		28.6%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		21.4%		35.7%	42.9%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS 3814: Social Psychology of Virtual Worlds

Class Number: 17536

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P

Response Rate: 24/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					95.8%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.3%			91.7%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**	4.2%	12.5%		29.2%	50.0%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.2%	16.7%	75.0%	4.2%	24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			4.2%	37.5%	58.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course provided you with helpful feedback on your writing and communication skills.	**4.3**		4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	47.8%	4.3%	23
Q28	This course has taught you how to participate more actively in class discussion.	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
Q29	This course helped you develop an understanding of how to do library research and evaluate sources.	**4.1**		4.3%	26.1%	17.4%	47.8%	4.3%	23
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.6**		4.3%		30.4%	65.2%		23

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				29.2%	66.7%	4.2%	24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	70.8%	4.2%	24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	70.8%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	66.7%	4.2%	24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.3**			21.7%	21.7%	52.2%	4.3%	23
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.3**			17.4%	30.4%	52.2%		23
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.4**			8.7%	39.1%	52.2%		23
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.4**			13.0%	34.8%	47.8%	4.3%	23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7653: Human Factors in Engineering Design

Class Number: 21552

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Lee,Mark

Response Rate: 3/4

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		3
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7653: Human Factors in Engineering Design

Class Number: 21552

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Lee,Mark

Response Rate: 3/4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 17663

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 4/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		75.0%		25.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	25.0%		50.0%			25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.8**	75.0%			25.0%			4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2224: Data Analysis

Class Number: 17668

Section: E3

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 4/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		4
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		25.0%		75.0%			4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**				25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.3**		75.0%	25.0%				4

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2113: Sound Design for Media

Class Number: 17481

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kato,HIdeki

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			6.3%		93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7883: Manufacturing Systems Engineering

Class Number: 16888

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Soukup,David

Response Rate: 22/22

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		4.5%			95.5%		22
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			9.1%	4.5%	86.4%		22

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**		4.5%		9.1%	86.4%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**		4.5%			95.5%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			4.5%	36.4%	59.1%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			9.1%	13.6%	77.3%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			9.1%	13.6%	77.3%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	86.4%		22
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		22
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

MT 4853: Manufacturing Engineering and Processes

Class Number: 23735

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew

Response Rate: 14/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learned the history of manufacturing and the direction of current manufacturing	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q28	Learned the processing of bulk materials into desirable basic materials	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q29	Learned the relationship between product design and manufacturing processes	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q30	Learned about joining processes and mechanical joining processes	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q31	Learned about manufacturing automation and integrated manufacturing systems	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7923: Design for Manufacturability

Class Number: 16886

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		15.4%			84.6%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.7%	7.7%		84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		23.1%		15.4%	61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**		23.1%		7.7%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		23.1%		23.1%	53.8%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	46.2%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			15.4%	53.8%	30.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	61.5%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	61.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7923: Design for Manufacturability

Class Number: 16887

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew

Response Rate: 7/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.7**			42.9%	42.9%	14.3%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.3**			85.7%		14.3%		7
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			57.1%	14.3%	28.6%		7
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			42.9%	28.6%	28.6%		7
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**3.7**		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**3.6**		28.6%	28.6%		42.9%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7923: Design for Manufacturability

Class Number: 16887

Section: LVL1

Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew

Response Rate: 7/10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**	14.3%		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2614: Physical Chemistry I

Class Number: 17106

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Garetz,Bruce A

Response Rate: 36/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		36
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.7%			94.3%		35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				2.8%	94.4%	2.8%	36
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.8%		2.8%	8.3%	80.6%	5.6%	36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			2.8%	11.1%	83.3%	2.8%	36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.8%		25.0%	69.4%	2.8%	36

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**			2.8%	11.1%	83.3%	2.8%	36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		2.8%	2.8%	30.6%	61.1%	2.8%	36

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	52.8%	2.8%	36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.8%	2.8%	25.0%	66.7%	2.8%	36
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**		2.8%		2.8%	91.7%	2.8%	36
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**			5.6%	19.4%	69.4%	5.6%	36

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			2.8%	25.0%	69.4%	2.8%	36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3234: Electricity and Magnetism

Class Number: 17343

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 7/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		7
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 5553: Physics of Quantum Computing

Class Number: 16228

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 16/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	6.3%		12.5%		81.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5553: Physics of Quantum Computing

Class Number: 16315

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 16/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	6.3%		12.5%		81.3%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	62.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5213: Introduction to Systems Engineering

Class Number: 16963

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan

Response Rate: 19/24

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	42.1%	36.8%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.3**	10.5%		5.3%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	15.8%	68.4%		19

D. Instruction		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.3%		15.8%	21.1%	57.9%		19

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	26.3%	47.4%		19
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.9**	5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	21.1%	42.1%		19
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**	5.3%		5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**	10.5%		21.1%	31.6%	36.8%		19
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	73.7%		19
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	15.8%	68.4%		19
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			21.1%	21.1%	52.6%	5.3%	19
L28	NYU Tandon Online (formerly NYU-ePoly) support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			21.1%	21.1%	52.6%	5.3%	19
L29	The Live Virtual Learning support staff was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**			21.1%	10.5%	63.2%	5.3%	19
L30	I am likely to take another online course.	**4.6**	5.3%			15.8%	78.9%		19
L31	I am likely to take another Live Virtual Learning course.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19

G1. Course Difficulty		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	10.5%		5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**	10.5%		5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**	5.3%		5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9213: SEL TOPCS CONTROL ENGINEERING

Class Number: 22448

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		13
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	7.7%	7.7%		7.7%	76.9%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	15.4%		7.7%	15.4%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	69.2%		13

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%		69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	7.7%	15.4%		23.1%	53.8%		13

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		30.8%		15.4%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	15.4%	7.7%		7.7%	69.2%		13
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.9**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	69.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9353: SEL TOP: TELECOM NETWORK

Class Number: 22450

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna

Response Rate: 8/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			37.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Class Number: 16751

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**			13.3%	6.7%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6571: Asset-backed Securities and Securitization

Class Number: 16753

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo

Response Rate: 16/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			12.5%	6.3%	81.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1154: Calculus II with Pre-calculus

Class Number: 17718

Section: E

Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh

Response Rate: 8/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**		25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.4**	25.0%		12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.8**	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.9**	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.9**	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%		50.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**			37.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Class Number: 17658

Section: B3

Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh

Response Rate: 8/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			75.0%	12.5%	8
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			75.0%	12.5%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		8

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	37.5%		12.5%		37.5%	12.5%	8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			12.5%	75.0%	12.5%		8
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.6**			50.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

SEG 2184W: BEYOND OIL: FUELING TOMORROW'S VEHICLES

Class Number: 22264

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sweet,William W

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.3%			93.8%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**			6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		6.3%	12.5%		68.8%	12.5%	16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q28	Plan and deliver oral presentations effectively.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q29	Plan and deliver written presentations effectively.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q30	Learn how to communicate effectively.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q31	Gain knowledge of contemporary issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				25.0%	68.8%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.2**			25.0%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%	16
A29	I have enhanced my ability to understand how engineering solutions impact society	**4.5**	6.3%		6.3%	12.5%	68.8%	6.3%	16
A30	I have enhanced my ability to understand the potentially global implications of engineering solutions	**4.6**		6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16
A31	I have enhanced my ability to understand some important contemporary events/issues	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7121: Statistical Arbitrage

Class Number: 16939

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Totouom Tangho,Daniel H

Response Rate: 12/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					91.7%	8.3%	12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		36.4%			63.6%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			33.3%	16.7%	41.7%	8.3%	12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6041: Extreme Risk Analytics

Class Number: 24713

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Taleb,Nassim N

Response Rate: 18/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.6%				83.3%	11.1%	18
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%				72.2%	11.1%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		5.6%	5.6%		88.9%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.2**		16.7%	11.1%	5.6%	66.7%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	11.1%	66.7%	5.6%	18

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.6**		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3083: Introduction to Databases

Class Number: 17074

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Suel,Torsten

Response Rate: 24/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		12.5%			83.3%	4.2%	24
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			79.2%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	54.2%	4.2%	24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	16.7%	4.2%	16.7%	29.2%	29.2%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.2**	25.0%	12.5%		41.7%	20.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	29.2%		8.3%	33.3%	29.2%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	25.0%	16.7%		29.2%	29.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.8%	20.8%	12.5%	25.0%	20.8%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.3**	50.0%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	12.5%	16.7%	4.2%	33.3%	33.3%		24
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	29.2%	20.8%	12.5%	16.7%	20.8%		24
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1054: Calculus I with Pre-calculus

Class Number: 17044

Section: K

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 1/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning					100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2054: Applied Business Data Analysis I

Class Number: 17648

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe

Response Rate: 1/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		1
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

P. AACSB-As a result of taking tthis course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A47	I have learned foundation knowledge for business in Math & Statistics	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 6011: FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS IN TRANSPORTATION

Class Number: 16711

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Falcocchio,John Carlo

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	6.7%		6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	26.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.7%			40.0%	53.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.1**	6.7%		13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 6231: TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PRINCIPLES & PRACTICE

Class Number: 16712

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Falcocchio,John Carlo

Response Rate: 12/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		12
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**		8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.3%		58.3%	33.3%		12

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.2**	8.3%	8.3%		25.0%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%		50.0%		12

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	8.3%	8.3%		25.0%	58.3%		12
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	33.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 7123: MANAGEMENT OF URBAN TRAFFIC CONGESTION

Class Number: 16614

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Falcocchio,John Carlo

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		100.0%		15
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	6.7%		6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	6.7%	20.0%		46.7%	26.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		20.0%	26.7%	20.0%	33.3%		15

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		26.7%	13.3%	33.3%	26.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			26.7%	40.0%	33.3%		15
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**3.5**	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	20.0%		15
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.5**	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	33.3%	26.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Class Number: 16780

Section: J3

Primary Instructor: Zissu,Anne Marie

Response Rate: 17/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.9%			94.1%		17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			94.1%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.9%			17.6%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.4**	5.9%		17.6%		76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**	5.9%				94.1%		17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	5.9%			11.8%	82.4%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 6053: Ideation & Prototyping

Class Number: 16861

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Joshua,Wexler

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		6.3%			93.8%		16
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	6.7%	13.3%		20.0%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.7**		25.0%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**4.3**		12.5%		31.3%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an ability to collaborate actively and to effectively work in a team or group.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**4.4**	6.3%		6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 1213A-Shopova,Siyka

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 17/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		5.9%			88.2%	5.9%	17
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			88.2%	5.9%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		5.9%	41.2%	23.5%	29.4%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**4.1**			29.4%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.5**	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	23.5%	5.9%	17

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	23.5%	17.6%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	23.5%	23.5%		17
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.7**	23.5%	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%		17
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.1**	17.6%	11.8%	35.3%	17.6%	17.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 1213B-Shopova,Siyka

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 20/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning	5.3%				89.5%	5.3%	19
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		5.0%	15.0%	30.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	15.0%	5.0%	35.0%	15.0%	30.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	15.0%		20.0%	35.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	45.0%	35.0%		20

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.2**	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	15.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%	5.0%	40.0%	25.0%	10.0%		20

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	5.0%	25.0%	15.0%	35.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.7**	35.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	15.0%		20
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**2.8**	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%		20
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.9**	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	25.0%	20.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1213: MOTION AND SOUND

Class Number: 1213C-Shopova,Siyka

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 14/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	A detailed online course syllabus was provided at the beginning		92.9%	7.1%	14
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	7.1%	35.7%	7.1%	28.6%	21.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.6**	28.6%	28.6%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%	14.3%	14.3%		14

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**2.6**	35.7%	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	35.7%		21.4%	35.7%	7.1%		14

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.2**	35.7%	42.9%		7.1%	14.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.9**	21.4%	21.4%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		14
Q41	Overall, I would recommend this Lecture Instructor.	**1.8**	57.1%	28.6%		7.1%	7.1%		14
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**2.7**	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%			14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Class Number: 17057

Section: A1RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 18/27

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**			44.4%	16.7%	33.3%	5.6%	18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		11.1%	27.8%	22.2%	38.9%		18
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**3.8**		11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Class Number: 17215

Section: B2RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 22/29

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%		31.8%	59.1%		22
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	40.9%	4.5%	22
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	22.7%	13.6%	4.5%	27.3%	31.8%		22
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**3.5**	22.7%	4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17409

Section: B3RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 20/25

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**		5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**4.1**		15.0%	10.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Class Number: 17559

Section: A3RC

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 19/23

X. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R05	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	15.8%		5.3%	31.6%	47.4%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.7**	21.1%			36.8%	36.8%	5.3%	19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	26.3%			26.3%	47.4%		19
R41	Overall, I would recommend this recitation instructor to other students.	**3.8**	21.1%	5.3%		21.1%	52.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Spring 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Class Number: 17556

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 25/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	8.0%		8.0%	8.0%	76.0%		25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	8.3%	4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	50.0%		24

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L05	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	12.0%		4.0%	16.0%	68.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**	12.5%	4.2%		16.7%	66.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	8.0%	8.0%	16.0%	20.0%	48.0%		25
Q15	Feedback on assignments was provided in a timely manner.	**3.9**	4.2%	16.7%	12.5%	20.8%	45.8%		24

D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q21	The course was effectively organized to promote the learning objectives.	**3.7**	4.0%	16.0%	16.0%	32.0%	32.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%		12.5%	41.7%	29.2%		24

G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	44.0%	20.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall, I would recommend this Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**	12.0%		4.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	12.0%	8.0%	20.0%	28.0%	24.0%	8.0%	25
Q43	Overall, I would recommend the Course.	**3.0**	20.0%	12.0%	36.0%	4.0%	24.0%	4.0%	25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		24
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	8.3%	41.7%	29.2%	4.2%	24