NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16007
Section: ALEC

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 4324: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology II

Response Rate: 9/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%	87.5%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%			9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.1%		44.4%	22.2%	22.2%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		33.3%		22.2%	44.4%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**		25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.9**		33.3%	44.4%	22.2%			9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

BE 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Lecture

Class Number: 15227

Section: A2

Response Rate: 69/72

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.4%				92.6%		68

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.9%		4.4%	25.0%	67.6%		68
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	2.9%	1.4%	4.3%	23.2%	68.1%		69
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	2.9%	7.2%	5.8%	30.4%	53.6%		69

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	5.8%	8.7%	7.2%	36.2%	42.0%		69
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.4%	7.2%	15.9%	33.3%	42.0%		69

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	1.4%	31.9%	60.9%		69
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	1.4%	5.8%	4.3%	30.4%	58.0%		69
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	2.9%	4.3%	4.3%	33.3%	55.1%		69
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	1.4%	7.2%	13.0%	37.7%	40.6%		69
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	18.8%	18.8%	5.8%	30.4%	26.1%		69
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.4%	2.9%	4.4%	33.8%	52.9%	1.5%	68

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	4.3%	1.4%	7.2%	37.7%	49.3%		69
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	4.3%	2.9%	17.4%	37.7%	37.7%		69

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 15900

Section: A

General Studies

GS 105: MATH SEMINAR

Response Rate: 7/55

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				71.4%	14.3%	7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**			42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**			14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		16.7%	6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**		14.3%	42.9%		14.3%	28.6%	7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

AE 4653: Aircraft Flight Mechanics

Lecture

Class Number: 16146

Section: A

Primary Instructor: ---

Response Rate: 23/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	43.5%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	43.5%	47.8%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		4.5%		18.2%	77.3%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%		47.8%	39.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.5%		40.9%	54.5%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamental equations of motion for flying objects.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q28	I understand various flight conditions.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q29	I understand static stability for aircraft.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q30	I am able to calculate stability conditions for aircraft.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q31	I understand the various modes of motion of aircraft.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**		4.3%	21.7%	34.8%	39.1%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	47.8%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21735
Section: A

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6823: Energy Policy, Regulations, and Incentives

Primary Instructor: Cekirge, Huseyin Murat

Response Rate: 12/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%				91.7%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	41.7%	16.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	16.7%	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.2**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	33.3%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	8.3%		8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	25.0%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	16.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2213: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY I

Lecture
Class Number: 15768
Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Abraham

Response Rate: 39/93

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		20.5%			71.8%	7.7%	39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.1%		5.1%	20.5%	66.7%	2.6%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	12.8%	10.3%	7.7%	33.3%	30.8%	5.1%	39
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.9**	21.1%	26.3%	7.9%	28.9%	13.2%	2.6%	38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	25.6%	17.9%	7.7%	25.6%	20.5%	2.6%	39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	17.9%	10.3%	17.9%	33.3%	17.9%	2.6%	39

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**	10.3%	7.7%	12.8%	35.9%	30.8%	2.6%	39
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.6**	25.6%	33.3%	10.3%	15.4%	12.8%	2.6%	39
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	12.8%	15.4%	10.3%	30.8%	25.6%	5.1%	39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	2.6%	5.1%	5.1%	20.5%	64.1%	2.6%	39
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	15.4%	5.1%	20.5%	30.8%	25.6%	2.6%	39
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	12.8%	12.8%	12.8%	25.6%	30.8%	5.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.1**	12.8%	25.6%	20.5%	20.5%	17.9%	2.6%	39
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**	12.8%	15.4%	28.2%	25.6%	15.4%	2.6%	39

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	7.9%	7.9%	15.8%	39.5%	26.3%	2.6%	38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6233: Training Systems in Organizations

Lecture

Class Number: 15125

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Dorin,Philip A

Response Rate: 2/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20368
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6253: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Morgan,Troy

Response Rate: 21/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					95.2%	4.8%	21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 5303: INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAMMING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 14615
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 7/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.0**	28.6%		28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**		28.6%		14.3%	57.1%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**		14.3%		14.3%	57.1%	14.3%	7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6003: Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture

Class Number: 15163

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ozkan,Ozgur

Response Rate: 20/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				85.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	20.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	5.0%	15.0%	25.0%	30.0%	25.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.8**	15.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	40.0%	30.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	15.0%				85.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	30.0%	25.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	40.0%	30.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	5.0%	25.0%	10.0%	35.0%	25.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	5.0%	35.0%	5.0%	30.0%	25.0%		20

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.2**	15.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	25.0%		20
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.4**	15.0%	10.0%	20.0%	35.0%	20.0%		20
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.3**	10.0%	25.0%	25.0%	10.0%	30.0%		20
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.3**	5.0%	25.0%	20.0%	30.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.6**	15.0%	5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	30.0%		20
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.6**	5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	35.0%	25.0%		20
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**	5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	25.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	20.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	40.0%	10.0%	5.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6623: Power Systems Economics and Planning

Lecture

Class Number: 21199

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Dvorkin,Yury Valentinovich

Response Rate: 15/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		13.3%		13.3%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		13.3%	33.3%	26.7%	26.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.7%		6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Lecture

Class Number: 15797

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 24/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.7%	78.3%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	66.7%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.2%	8.3%	87.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Laboratory

Class Number: 15799

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 6/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Laboratory

Class Number: 15800

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 6/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		6
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Laboratory

Class Number: 16010

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 5/5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3124: Fundamentals of Electronics II

Laboratory

Class Number: 16021

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 2/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4183: WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Lecture

Class Number: 15601

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Knox,Michael E.

Response Rate: 14/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			35.7%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 14694
Section: I1

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6831: COMPUTATIONAL FINANCE LABORATORY

Primary Instructor: Rubinraut,Moise

Response Rate: 4/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2604: Ethics and Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15960

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Stein,Jordan

Response Rate: 21/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.5%				85.7%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.8%		4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		4.8%	4.8%	42.9%	47.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		4.8%		28.6%	66.7%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			23.8%	23.8%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.8%			47.6%	47.6%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.8%		38.1%	57.1%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		4.8%		28.6%	66.7%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Lecture
Class Number: 15650
Section: A1LC

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 208/298

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.3%				83.8%	4.9%	204

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	0.5%	2.5%	14.2%	21.1%	61.3%	0.5%	204
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	2.9%	6.8%	32.7%	19.0%	36.6%	2.0%	205
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	3.4%	3.9%	17.0%	31.6%	43.7%	0.5%	206

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	4.9%	8.9%	22.7%	31.0%	32.0%	0.5%	203
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.9%	10.7%	13.7%	35.6%	34.1%	1.0%	205

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	1.5%	4.4%	4.9%	37.3%	52.0%		204
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	5.4%	7.9%	6.9%	34.7%	45.0%		202
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	6.8%	4.4%	10.7%	35.1%	42.4%	0.5%	205
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.9%	7.9%	13.3%	29.1%	42.4%	0.5%	203
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.9%	10.8%	10.8%	38.9%	32.0%	0.5%	203
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.4%	11.7%	35.1%	41.5%	0.5%	205

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gain an understanding of the design process.	**4.3**	2.0%	4.4%	5.9%	38.7%	49.0%		204
Q28	In the course project we gained an understanding of contemporary engineering practice.	**4.1**	2.5%	5.0%	8.9%	42.6%	41.1%		202

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	0.5%	1.5%	15.7%	35.3%	46.6%	0.5%	204
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	4.9%	3.4%	21.0%	42.0%	28.3%	0.5%	205

L1. Regarding the Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**	0.5%	4.9%	7.9%	25.6%	60.6%	0.5%	203
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.6**	9.4%	16.7%	9.4%	36.5%	28.1%		203

L2. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		3.9%	8.9%	30.5%	56.7%		203
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**	0.5%	1.5%	2.5%	20.8%	74.8%		202
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	2.0%	1.5%	6.0%	23.0%	67.5%		200
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**	2.0%	4.0%	13.9%	25.2%	54.5%	0.5%	202
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	1.0%	3.4%	11.3%	34.0%	49.8%	0.5%	203

L3. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**		1.5%	7.5%	26.0%	65.0%		200

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15650
Section: A1LC

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1003: Introduction to Engineering and Design

Primary Instructor: Georgi,Gunter W

Response Rate: 208/298

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.0**	2.5%	3.4%	15.8%	44.8%	33.5%		203
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.0**	4.0%	5.4%	13.4%	45.5%	31.7%		202
A26	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver oral presentations effectively	**4.3**	2.5%	3.0%	7.4%	31.0%	55.2%	1.0%	203
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**4.2**	3.9%	3.9%	10.3%	34.0%	47.8%		203
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	1.5%	4.0%	13.1%	42.2%	39.2%		199

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	1.5%	2.0%	7.4%	23.6%	65.0%	0.5%	203
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**	1.5%	2.0%	17.2%	18.2%	60.1%	1.0%	203
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	2.5%	3.0%	9.5%	27.4%	57.2%	0.5%	201
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	1.5%	5.0%	9.4%	27.2%	55.9%	1.0%	202
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.0%	12.9%	30.7%	49.5%	1.5%	202

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.4**	0.5%	2.5%	11.4%	23.9%	61.7%		201

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7893: Production Science

Lecture

Class Number: 15438

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi

Response Rate: 29/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.8%				86.2%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.4%		24.1%	72.4%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		13.8%	3.4%	34.5%	44.8%	3.4%	29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	10.3%		17.2%	27.6%	44.8%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		3.4%	6.9%	48.3%	41.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.4%	13.8%	34.5%	44.8%	3.4%	29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		3.4%	13.8%	34.5%	48.3%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		17.2%	3.4%	44.8%	34.5%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	6.9%		17.2%	24.1%	51.7%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.9%	10.3%	3.4%	34.5%	44.8%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	10.3%	10.3%		37.9%	41.4%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.4%	6.9%	6.9%	27.6%	48.3%	6.9%	29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	10.7%	3.6%	28.6%	21.4%	35.7%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.6**	10.7%	3.6%	25.0%	32.1%	28.6%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	46.4%	25.0%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	3.6%	10.7%	7.1%	39.3%	39.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	3.6%	7.1%	17.9%	46.4%	25.0%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		17.9%	10.7%	39.3%	32.1%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7893: Production Science

Lecture

Class Number: 21204

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Sathaye,Shashi

Response Rate: 4/7

A. Procedures and Policies									
			No				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		50.0%				50.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.3**	25.0%	50.0%		25.0%			4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**2.0**	75.0%				25.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**2.5**	25.0%	50.0%			25.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**2.0**	75.0%				25.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%	25.0%		25.0%			4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**1.8**	75.0%			25.0%			4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			50.0%			50.0%	4
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.3**	25.0%	50.0%		25.0%			4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 24480
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8263: Construction Cost Estimating

Primary Instructor: Hossain,Faruque

Response Rate: 25/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	80.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	60.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	4.0%	16.0%	8.0%	20.0%	52.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		4.0%	28.0%	12.0%	56.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				36.0%	64.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	20.0%	60.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.0%	16.0%	32.0%	48.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			12.0%	36.0%	48.0%	4.0%	25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Lecture

Class Number: 14699

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Apicella,Francis X

Response Rate: 22/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		9.1%	22.7%	40.9%	27.3%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		9.5%	33.3%	19.0%	38.1%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**		14.3%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		13.6%	4.5%	36.4%	45.5%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**		9.1%	22.7%	40.9%	27.3%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	4.5%	13.6%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	4.5%	22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	4.5%	13.6%	13.6%	36.4%	31.8%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	4.5%	18.2%	13.6%	31.8%	31.8%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	4.5%	18.2%	13.6%	31.8%	31.8%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**	4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	22.7%	36.4%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**		18.2%	13.6%	31.8%	31.8%	4.5%	22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.8**		18.2%	13.6%	36.4%	31.8%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		13.6%	13.6%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		13.6%	27.3%	31.8%	27.3%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Lecture
Class Number: 21244
Section: MOT3

Primary Instructor: Apicella,Francis X

Response Rate: 23/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	52.2%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	69.6%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			13.0%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		4.3%	13.0%	39.1%	43.5%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	43.5%	43.5%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	52.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			13.0%	26.1%	60.9%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 6223: Intelligent Transportation Systems and Their Applications

Lecture
Class Number: 14728
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Patel,Raman

Response Rate: 22/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				95.2%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	4.5%			50.0%	40.9%	4.5%	22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.5%	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	27.3%	40.9%	4.5%	22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		9.1%		36.4%	50.0%	4.5%	22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%	4.5%	22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	4.5%	22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	22.7%	27.3%	9.1%	22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		13.6%	22.7%	36.4%	22.7%	4.5%	22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		14.3%	9.5%	42.9%	28.6%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	22.7%	22.7%	9.1%	22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		13.6%	18.2%	50.0%	13.6%	4.5%	22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15608
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 1002: The Art of Mathematics

Primary Instructor: Yang,Deane

Response Rate: 1/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16098
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2004: Science, Technology and Society

Primary Instructor: Bain,Jonathan

Response Rate: 19/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			15.8%		84.2%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	5.3%	10.5%			84.2%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	77.8%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		10.5%		10.5%	78.9%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		10.5%		5.3%	84.2%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	68.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15565

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 17/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	64.7%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			11.8%	5.9%	82.4%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			23.5%	35.3%	41.2%		17
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		11.8%		47.1%	41.2%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		11.8%	23.5%	17.6%	47.1%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	5.9%		17.6%	47.1%	29.4%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**				64.3%	35.7%		14
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	64.7%	5.9%	17
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			17.6%	47.1%	35.3%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15916

Section: B3LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 16/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	81.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.7**	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	37.5%	31.3%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			18.8%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	18.8%	56.3%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.2**	6.3%		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	6.3%	16
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%	6.3%	16
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.3**			12.5%	37.5%	43.8%	6.3%	16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	6.3%		12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15991

Section: A5LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 15/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**		20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%		15
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		6.7%	26.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			26.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		15
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	46.7%	26.7%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			13.3%	60.0%	26.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	33.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15589

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Roy,Debasish

Response Rate: 18/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		5.6%	16.7%	44.4%	33.3%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.2**	16.7%	11.1%	22.2%	38.9%	11.1%		18
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		16.7%	16.7%	44.4%	22.2%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**		11.1%	16.7%	38.9%	33.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	22.2%	44.4%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			22.2%	50.0%	27.8%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		17
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**	5.9%			47.1%	47.1%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		5.9%	35.3%	29.4%	29.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15961
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Primary Instructor: RAE,RUTH

Response Rate: 20/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.0%		15.0%	80.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			15.0%	15.0%	70.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		10.0%		25.0%	65.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

Office of Assessment and Institutional Research

13-February-2017

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21467
Section: LEC

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3354: History of New York City Transit System

Primary Instructor: Sansone,Gennaro

Response Rate: 8/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21468
Section: A

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

HI 2434: History of New York City Transit System

Primary Instructor: Sansone,Gennaro

Response Rate: 8/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 2124: Analysis of Chemical and Biomolecular Processes

Lecture
Class Number: 15531
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan

Response Rate: 24/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.2%		16.7%	25.0%	54.2%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	4.2%	16.7%	4.2%	29.2%	45.8%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	8.3%	25.0%	12.5%	20.8%	33.3%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	66.7%	4.2%	24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	33.3%	50.0%	4.2%	24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	45.8%	4.2%	24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				29.2%	66.7%	4.2%	24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	4.2%	8.3%	25.0%	20.8%	37.5%	4.2%	24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	25.0%	45.8%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand significant figures, dimensional and unit consistency and simple data fitting operations.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	59.1%		22
Q28	Learn to identify and calculate the main process variables.	**4.5**			4.5%	36.4%	59.1%		22
Q29	Learn to apply the principles of mass conservation to simple processes.	**4.5**			9.1%	31.8%	59.1%		22
Q30	Learn to perform material balances on multi-unit (non-reacting) processes.	**4.4**			13.6%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q31	Learn to perform material balances on processes with reactions.	**4.2**	4.5%		13.6%	31.8%	50.0%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	37.5%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	30.4%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	50.0%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**		13.6%	31.8%	27.3%	27.3%		22
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.9**		13.6%	22.7%	22.7%	40.9%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 9413: SELCT TPCS CHEM & BIO ENGR II

Lecture

Class Number: 14911

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Mijovic,Jovan

Response Rate: 15/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	46.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15136
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6091: Financial Econometrics

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 6/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%	83.3%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15144
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6351: Econometrics and Time Series Analysis

Primary Instructor: Dessertine,Segolene

Response Rate: 4/8

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2214: MEDICAL ETHICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15999

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Maldonado-Salcedo,Melissa

Response Rate: 24/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				83.3%	12.5%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.2%		12.5%	25.0%	58.3%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			8.3%	37.5%	54.2%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	8.3%	12.5%		33.3%	45.8%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			16.7%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.2%		25.0%	37.5%	33.3%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	8.3%	37.5%	41.7%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			17.4%	30.4%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		24
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.3**			16.7%	37.5%	45.8%		24
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.4**			16.7%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		24
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		24
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.4**			16.7%	29.2%	54.2%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		4.2%	25.0%	25.0%	45.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20238
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
STS 2624W: THE RHETORIC OF SCIENCE

Primary Instructor: Maldonado-Salcedo,Melissa

Response Rate: 7/18

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		83.3%	16.7%	6

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q31	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q32	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**5.0**					100.0%		7

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14753
Section: I2

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 24/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.8%				79.2%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	41.7%	29.2%		24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.2%	20.8%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	29.2%	29.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	29.2%	37.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	4.2%	12.5%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**		8.3%	12.5%	50.0%	29.2%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	4.2%	25.0%	4.2%	33.3%	33.3%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	37.5%	20.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	4.2%	12.5%	4.2%	45.8%	33.3%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.3%	4.2%	20.8%	37.5%	29.2%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	16.7%	12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	33.3%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.3**	8.3%	29.2%	16.7%	16.7%	29.2%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.5**	12.5%	4.2%	29.2%	29.2%	25.0%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**	8.3%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.5**	12.5%	8.3%	20.8%	37.5%	20.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	4.2%	25.0%	20.8%	25.0%	25.0%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**		29.2%	25.0%	16.7%	29.2%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 6513: DESIGN STRATEGIES

Lecture

Class Number: 15206

Section: I

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 6513: DESIGN STRATEGIES

Lecture

Class Number: 20264

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: De Lessio,Mark Philip

Response Rate: 4/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 14976

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 28/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	59.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	7.4%		7.4%	29.6%	55.6%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	29.6%	48.1%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	29.6%	55.6%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	7.4%	3.7%	11.1%	18.5%	59.3%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**	3.6%				96.4%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	59.3%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	7.4%	11.1%	7.4%	22.2%	51.9%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	11.1%		7.4%	25.9%	51.9%	3.7%	27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	48.1%	37.0%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	7.4%	11.1%	7.4%	33.3%	40.7%		27

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**	11.1%		3.7%	29.6%	55.6%		27
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.5**	3.7%			33.3%	63.0%		27
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	33.3%	55.6%		27
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**	3.7%		14.8%	29.6%	51.9%		27
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	7.4%		14.8%	25.9%	51.9%		27
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%		29.6%	63.0%		27
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	7.4%		3.7%	22.2%	66.7%		27
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**	3.7%	7.4%	29.6%	18.5%	40.7%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		3.7%	7.4%	44.4%	40.7%	3.7%	27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		7.4%	7.4%	44.4%	40.7%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture

Class Number: 15114

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Baliga,Arati

Response Rate: 9/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.1**		11.1%		44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			55.6%	11.1%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			22.2%	55.6%	22.2%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			11.1%	66.7%	22.2%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 15833
Section: ILC1

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 23/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.7%				87.0%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.3%		39.1%	56.5%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.3%		39.1%	30.4%	21.7%	4.3%	23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		13.0%	13.0%	47.8%	26.1%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	43.5%	17.4%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		8.7%	13.0%	39.1%	39.1%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**		13.0%	13.0%	47.8%	26.1%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**			13.0%	69.6%	17.4%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	30.4%	43.5%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	4.3%	8.7%	39.1%	34.8%	13.0%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	13.0%	30.4%	34.8%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	52.2%	34.8%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.2**	4.3%		8.7%	43.5%	43.5%		23
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%		47.8%	39.1%		23
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	52.2%	30.4%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		8.7%	13.0%	47.8%	30.4%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	4.3%		26.1%	47.8%	21.7%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	43.5%	39.1%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	4.3%	13.0%	4.3%	43.5%	34.8%		23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	30.4%	26.1%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.8**	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	43.5%	26.1%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 16047
Section: ILC4

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 20/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			30.0%	15.0%	55.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	5.0%	20.0%		25.0%	50.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			15.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	10.0%			35.0%	55.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		20.0%		25.0%	55.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.4**		11.1%		27.8%	61.1%		18
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.1**		22.2%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%		18
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	61.1%		18
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		27.8%	55.6%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 24644
Section: ILC5

Primary Instructor: Tal,Itay

Response Rate: 17/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.8%	11.8%		29.4%	47.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	23.5%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.8**	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	11.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	5.9%	11.8%		41.2%	41.2%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	47.1%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	35.3%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.2**			11.8%	58.8%	29.4%		17
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	41.2%	23.5%		17
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**3.4**	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**3.5**	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	47.1%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	11.8%	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	29.4%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**		17.6%	23.5%	35.3%	23.5%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	29.4%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	23.5%	23.5%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2104: Organizational Behavior

Lecture

Class Number: 15635

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chazin,Ethan

Response Rate: 26/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				96.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	65.4%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		7.7%	11.5%	15.4%	65.4%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		3.8%	3.8%	42.3%	50.0%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.8%	11.5%	3.8%	26.9%	53.8%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			11.5%	23.1%	65.4%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	53.8%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	19.2%	57.7%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	11.5%	50.0%	3.8%	26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		11.5%	7.7%	26.9%	50.0%	3.8%	26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	42.3%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			3.8%	57.7%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		11.5%	15.4%	34.6%	34.6%	3.8%	26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**		3.8%	11.5%	23.1%	61.5%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**		3.8%	19.2%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		7.7%	19.2%	23.1%	50.0%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15530
Section: I

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 4613: Elements of Real Analysis I / Analysis I

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong

Response Rate: 3/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.5**		33.3%	33.3%			33.3%	3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			66.7%			33.3%	3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	33.3%		33.3%			33.3%	3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14627
Section: I

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 6213: Elements of Real Analysis I / Analysis I

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Gaoyong

Response Rate: 3/28

A. Procedures and Policies				*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.							100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.5**		33.3%	33.3%			33.3%	3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**	33.3%	33.3%		33.3%			3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			66.7%			33.3%	3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	33.3%		33.3%			33.3%	3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**				100.0%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Lecture

Class Number: 20287

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Ducao,Arlene B

Response Rate: 10/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		30.0%		20.0%	50.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%		50.0%	30.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15963
Section: C

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Primary Instructor: Gladstone,Susan

Response Rate: 21/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.8%			95.2%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.5%	9.5%	76.2%	4.8%	21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		4.8%		9.5%	85.7%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		9.5%		4.8%	85.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			19.0%	14.3%	66.7%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.8**		4.8%		9.5%	85.7%		21
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		21
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.6**			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	71.4%		21

Office of Assessment and Institutional Research

13-February-2017

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 25184
Section: A

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6133: Computer Architecture I

Primary Instructor: Garg,Siddharth

Response Rate: 208/262

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.0%				96.5%	1.5%	201

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	0.5%	2.4%	5.3%	24.2%	67.1%	0.5%	207
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	3.4%	3.4%	6.7%	23.6%	61.5%	1.4%	208
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	2.4%	3.4%	5.8%	26.6%	61.4%	0.5%	207

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	4.3%	5.3%	13.0%	27.9%	49.5%		208
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	3.4%	10.1%	13.9%	26.0%	45.7%	1.0%	208

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	1.0%	4.8%	6.3%	30.8%	57.2%		208
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	1.9%	8.2%	8.7%	33.2%	48.1%		208
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	1.9%	2.9%	9.1%	35.6%	50.5%		208
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.3%	11.6%	11.1%	24.2%	46.9%	1.0%	207
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.8%	12.0%	11.5%	30.3%	42.3%		208
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.4%	3.4%	9.7%	30.6%	53.9%		206

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.9%	9.1%	27.9%	57.7%		208
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	1.9%	6.3%	11.6%	35.3%	44.9%		207

Office of Assessment and Institutional Research

13-February-2017

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15045
Section: BKLN

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 6113: Forecasting Urban Travel Demand

Primary Instructor: Ozbay,Kaan

Response Rate: 22/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	68.2%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%		40.9%	50.0%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.5%		18.2%	77.3%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			4.5%	18.2%	77.3%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	54.5%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	40.9%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	63.6%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**	4.5%		9.1%	13.6%	72.7%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15930

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Wang,Xin

Response Rate: 16/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		12.5%		6.3%	81.3%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.3**	37.5%	37.5%		6.3%	18.8%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		6.3%	25.0%	43.8%	25.0%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Lecture

Class Number: 15602

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 18/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		5.6%		5.6%	88.9%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.6%		11.1%	11.1%	72.2%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		16.7%	5.6%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	50.0%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	5.6%			33.3%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%			33.3%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	5.6%		11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Laboratory

Class Number: 15870

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 7/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**			57.1%	14.3%	28.6%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**			42.9%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**		28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	14.3%	28.6%		14.3%	42.9%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Laboratory

Class Number: 15871

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 3/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	33.3%		33.3%	33.3%			3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 16156

Section: A2LB

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 4/4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3604: Electromagnetic Waves

Laboratory

Class Number: 16157

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 3/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.7**		66.7%		33.3%			3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6713: Electromagnetic Theory and Applications

Lecture

Class Number: 14651

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Das,Nirod K

Response Rate: 30/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.3%	10.0%	86.7%		30
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	73.3%		30
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	70.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			3.3%	20.0%	76.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	3.3%			16.7%	80.0%		30

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	33.3%	56.7%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	10.0%	3.3%		43.3%	43.3%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	66.7%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.3%	10.0%		30.0%	56.7%		30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	10.0%	3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	70.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**	3.4%			17.2%	79.3%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	17.2%	69.0%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15998
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS 2324W: Environmental Psychology

Primary Instructor: Wener,Richard

Response Rate: 11/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**		9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	9.1%		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**3.3**		20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	20.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3034W: EVIDENCE-BASED DESIGN

Lecture

Class Number: 20250

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wener,Richard

Response Rate: 10/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				80.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**		20.0%	10.0%	60.0%	10.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	20.0%		10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16086
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
CAM 2014W: STEM & THEATER

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q28	I better understand the conflict scientists face between creative motivations and ethical/moral issues.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM 2204: Disability Studies

Lecture

Class Number: 15954

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 25/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	83.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	4.0%		4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	68.0%	8.0%	25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	64.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more confident in my ability to communicate with all kinds of people.	**4.6**			4.3%	34.8%	60.9%		23
Q28	I better understand the power of digital media.	**4.4**			13.0%	30.4%	56.5%		23
Q29	I am informed about the oppression faced by people with disabilities.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
Q30	I believe that disability is not an individual medical issue but a social issue.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**		4.0%		16.0%	80.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM 2204: Disability Studies

Lecture

Class Number: 16084

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Goldstein,Allan B

Response Rate: 20/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				95.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			15.0%	25.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am more confident in my ability to communicate with all kinds of people.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q28	I better understand the power of digital media.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q29	I am informed about the oppression faced by people with disabilities.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q30	I believe that disability is not an individual medical issue but a social issue.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3513: Measurement Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 15615
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 32/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	81.3%		32
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		3.1%		15.6%	81.3%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		12.5%	3.1%	31.3%	53.1%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	78.1%		32

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				21.9%	78.1%		32
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	81.3%		32
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		3.1%	9.4%	9.4%	78.1%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%	15.6%	15.6%	46.9%	3.1%	32
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.3%	34.4%	59.4%		32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.5%	12.9%	80.6%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I acquired and applied instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	**4.5**			3.2%	41.9%	54.8%		31
Q28	I learned fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments, fundamentals of data acquisition hardware, and became able to appropriately calibrate any given measurement device.	**4.4**			9.7%	38.7%	51.6%		31
Q29	I utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design.	**4.2**		3.2%	16.1%	38.7%	41.9%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			6.3%	9.4%	84.4%		32
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			9.4%	34.4%	56.3%		32

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**			3.2%	9.7%	87.1%		31
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**		3.2%		32.3%	64.5%		31
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		6.5%	3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**		6.5%		19.4%	74.2%		31
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**		3.2%		19.4%	77.4%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3513: Measurement Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 15824

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 24/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	79.2%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	75.0%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		12.5%	20.8%	20.8%	45.8%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		8.3%		20.8%	70.8%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I acquired and applied instrumentation fundamentals to analyze and design measurement instrumentation.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	43.5%		23
Q28	I learned fundamental operational aspects of measurement instruments, fundamentals of data acquisition hardware, and became able to appropriately calibrate any given measurement device.	**4.3**		8.7%	4.3%	39.1%	47.8%		23
Q29	I utilized modern computer-aided analysis and design tools to perform measurement system analysis and design.	**4.3**		8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	52.2%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			4.3%	39.1%	56.5%		23
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			13.0%	43.5%	43.5%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**			4.3%	47.8%	47.8%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				47.8%	52.2%		23
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			17.4%	34.8%	47.8%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			4.3%	47.8%	47.8%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 5643: Mechatronics

Lecture
Class Number: 15044
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lee,Sang-Hoon

Response Rate: 40/40

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		40

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			10.0%	27.5%	62.5%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.5%	5.0%	27.5%	65.0%		40
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		2.5%	7.5%	30.0%	60.0%		40
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		2.5%	12.5%	27.5%	57.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		2.5%	2.5%	55.0%	40.0%		40
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		2.5%	2.5%	37.5%	57.5%		40
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	2.5%	7.5%	5.0%	40.0%	42.5%	2.5%	40
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	2.5%	7.5%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	7.5%	7.5%	5.0%	40.0%	40.0%		40
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.5%	7.5%	10.0%	42.5%	32.5%		40
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.1%	10.3%		30.8%	53.8%		39
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I understand key building blocks of mechatronics systems.	**4.4**		2.6%	2.6%	44.7%	50.0%		38
Q28	I understand principal of operation of mechanical, electrical, electronic, and opto-electronic components.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		38
Q29	I understand physical laws governing the operations of sensors and actuators including signal conditioning and power electronics.	**4.2**	2.6%	2.6%	5.3%	55.3%	34.2%		38
Q30	I gained proficiency in microcontrollers—fundamentals, operation, programming, and interfacing.	**4.3**		5.4%	5.4%	40.5%	48.6%		37
Q31	I acquired ability to work with control analysis and design software (e.g., Matlab and Simulink) for feedback control, data analysis, system monitoring, virtual instrumentation, rapid control prototyping, hardware-in-the-loop simulation, etc.	**3.6**	5.3%	21.1%	7.9%	42.1%	23.7%		38
Q32	I designed, constructed, and evaluated a prototype mechatronics system involving e.g., industrial automation, machinery monitoring/fault detection, embedded control, robotics, etc.	**4.3**		7.9%	2.6%	42.1%	47.4%		38

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			10.0%	32.5%	57.5%		40
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	5.0%	2.5%	25.0%	35.0%	32.5%		40
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		38
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**	2.6%		7.9%	36.8%	52.6%		38
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**	2.6%		5.3%	50.0%	42.1%		38
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**	2.6%		7.9%	50.0%	36.8%	2.6%	38
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**	2.6%		5.3%	52.6%	39.5%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 7373: High Performance Switches and Routers

Lecture

Class Number: 15299

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan

Response Rate: 41/69

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.8%				90.2%		41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.4%	4.9%	92.7%		41
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.8%	14.6%	75.6%		41
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	4.9%	90.2%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	2.4%		4.9%	26.8%	65.9%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.9%	4.9%	24.4%	65.9%		41

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		2.4%	2.4%	14.6%	80.5%		41
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		4.9%	2.4%	14.6%	78.0%		41
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**	2.4%		2.4%	14.6%	80.5%		41
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		7.3%		14.6%	78.0%		41
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		2.6%	5.1%	17.9%	74.4%		39
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**	2.4%			19.5%	78.0%		41
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**	2.4%			22.0%	75.6%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9333: SEL TPCS IN TELECOMM & NTWK FROM INFO SYS

Lecture
Class Number: 15394
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chao,Jonathan

Response Rate: 26/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.5%	3.8%	15.4%	69.2%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			30.8%	19.2%	50.0%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%	3.8%	26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	30.8%	38.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		11.5%	11.5%	30.8%	46.2%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**	7.7%				92.3%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	7.7%		15.4%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			11.5%	34.6%	53.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	34.6%	42.3%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	26.9%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		7.7%		42.3%	50.0%		26

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	50.0%		26
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	3.8%		19.2%	15.4%	61.5%		26
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**			23.1%	26.9%	50.0%		26
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**		11.5%	19.2%	23.1%	46.2%		26
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	7.7%	3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	46.2%		26
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	7.7%		11.5%	38.5%	42.3%		26
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**	3.8%		7.7%	42.3%	46.2%		26
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			26.9%	19.2%	50.0%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.8%	11.5%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	30.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 15831
Section: EXLC

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 25/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					96.0%	4.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			8.0%	52.0%	40.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.0%	16.0%	20.0%	24.0%	24.0%	4.0%	25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.2%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%	4.2%	24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.0%		4.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.4**			16.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			16.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	52.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			8.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	8.0%	4.0%	44.0%	8.0%	36.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 15832
Section: ILC2

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 18/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		11.8%		23.5%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	5.9%		17.6%	5.9%	70.6%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	17.6%	47.1%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	17.6%	41.2%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.4**		5.6%		38.9%	55.6%		18
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.2**		5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	50.0%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	5.9%		23.5%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	41.2%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	66.7%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		5.6%	16.7%	11.1%	66.7%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**		16.7%	11.1%	22.2%	50.0%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 16046
Section: ILC3

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 22/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%				95.5%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	4.5%		18.2%	45.5%	31.8%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		4.5%	22.7%	27.3%	45.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		4.5%	22.7%	36.4%	36.4%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	4.5%			40.9%	54.5%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	9.1%	4.5%	13.6%	36.4%	36.4%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	50.0%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%	18.2%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	40.9%	36.4%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	4.5%		4.5%	9.1%	81.8%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.6**	4.8%			23.8%	71.4%		21
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.2**	4.8%	9.5%		33.3%	52.4%		21
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.0**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	23.8%	47.6%		21
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	33.3%	42.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			4.5%	40.9%	54.5%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	4.5%		18.2%	31.8%	40.9%	4.5%	22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	38.1%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.4**	15.0%		35.0%	35.0%	15.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**	4.8%		23.8%	38.1%	33.3%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 16047
Section: ILC4

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 20/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			30.0%	15.0%	55.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	5.0%	20.0%		25.0%	50.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			15.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	10.0%			35.0%	55.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		20.0%		25.0%	55.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.4**		11.1%		27.8%	61.1%		18
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.1**		22.2%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%		18
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	61.1%		18
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	55.6%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	55.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	50.0%		20

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		16.7%		27.8%	55.6%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 24644
Section: ILC5

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 17/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	11.8%	11.8%		29.4%	47.1%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	23.5%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.8**	29.4%	17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	11.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	5.9%	11.8%		41.2%	41.2%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	47.1%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	17.6%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	35.3%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	35.3%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.2**			11.8%	58.8%	29.4%		17
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	41.2%	23.5%		17
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**3.4**	17.6%	17.6%	5.9%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**3.5**	11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	47.1%	17.6%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	11.8%	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	29.4%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**		17.6%	23.5%	35.3%	23.5%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	47.1%	29.4%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	29.4%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	23.5%	23.5%		17
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3083: Introduction to Databases

Lecture

Class Number: 15518

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Frankl,Phyllis

Response Rate: 58/66

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		58

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			1.7%	20.7%	77.6%		58
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		1.7%	10.3%	12.1%	75.9%		58
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		1.7%	1.7%	22.4%	74.1%		58

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		3.4%	12.1%	24.1%	60.3%		58
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	1.7%	5.2%	5.2%	31.0%	56.9%		58

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			1.7%	22.4%	75.9%		58
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		1.7%	5.2%	31.0%	62.1%		58
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			7.0%	33.3%	59.6%		57
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		1.8%	15.8%	28.1%	54.4%		57
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		57
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			5.3%	19.3%	75.4%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			3.5%	21.1%	75.4%		57
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		57

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15976
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: JANG,IN

Response Rate: 13/13

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	7.7%		7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.5**	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%		13
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%		13
	D. Course	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		15.4%		53.8%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	30.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	23.1%		15.4%	30.8%	30.8%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		23.1%	23.1%	38.5%	15.4%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	23.1%		15.4%	38.5%	23.1%		13
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.7**		15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	23.1%		13
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**		7.7%	61.5%	15.4%	15.4%		13
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15984
Section: J

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: JANG,IN

Response Rate: 31/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				38.7%	61.3%		31
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		16.1%	3.2%	35.5%	45.2%		31
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		6.5%	3.2%	19.4%	71.0%		31
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			3.2%	22.6%	74.2%		31
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	3.2%		6.5%	41.9%	48.4%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**		12.9%	12.9%	29.0%	45.2%		31
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		22.6%	16.1%	38.7%	22.6%		31
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		6.5%	9.7%	29.0%	54.8%		31
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		6.5%	6.5%	32.3%	54.8%		31
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	3.2%	6.5%	25.8%	29.0%	32.3%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		3.2%	25.8%	29.0%	41.9%		31
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.5%	19.4%	9.7%	38.7%	25.8%		31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	3.2%	9.7%	6.5%	51.6%	29.0%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**		3.2%	6.5%	51.6%	38.7%		31
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	6.5%	6.5%	25.8%	45.2%	16.1%		31
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		3.3%	13.3%	40.0%	43.3%		30
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**		3.3%	13.3%	50.0%	33.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Lecture

Class Number: 15826

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sutton,Paul J.

Response Rate: 45/55

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.2%	2.2%	95.6%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.7%	2.2%	91.1%		45
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**			2.2%	6.7%	91.1%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			6.7%	8.9%	84.4%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			2.2%	8.9%	88.9%		45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**			4.4%	4.4%	91.1%		45
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			6.7%	8.9%	84.4%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		2.2%	2.2%	20.0%	75.6%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			31.1%	6.7%	60.0%	2.2%	45
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			6.7%	8.9%	84.4%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.4%	13.3%	82.2%		45

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the ethical considerations encountered by engineers involving intellectual property.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		45
Q28	I learned about contracts and agreements involving intellectual property rights which are routinely encountered in practice.	**4.9**				8.9%	91.1%		45
Q29	I learned about effective communication of intellectual property ideas and concepts.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		45
Q30	I understood the nature and value of intellectual property legal opinions.	**4.8**			2.2%	11.1%	86.7%		45
Q31	I learned about risk management and strategies for avoiding liability and illegality.	**4.9**			2.2%	8.9%	88.9%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			2.2%	6.7%	91.1%		45
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			6.7%	15.6%	77.8%		45

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2512: Biostatistics

Lecture

Class Number: 15592

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ahmed,Faraz

Response Rate: 20/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.0%	10.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			10.0%	25.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.0%	25.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		5.0%		10.0%	80.0%	5.0%	20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	65.0%	5.0%	20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	15.0%	5.0%	20.0%	10.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		15.0%	5.0%	30.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			15.0%	45.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3114: Genetics

Laboratory

Class Number: 15807

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Ahmed,Faraz

Response Rate: 14/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	46.2%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	7.1%	14.3%		21.4%	57.1%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**	14.3%			21.4%	64.3%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	14.3%	21.4%		21.4%	42.9%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%		42.9%	28.6%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	64.3%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%		42.9%	42.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%		21.4%	64.3%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.1**	7.1%		7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.4**	7.1%			35.7%	57.1%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15965
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 2054W: INTRODUCTION TO URBAN POLICY

Primary Instructor: Mcenaney,Elizabeth

Response Rate: 14/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16197
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 1133: Creative Coding

Primary Instructor: Morris,Caitlin Anne

Response Rate: 10/18

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		10.0%			90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		10.0%			90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	10.0%				90.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**		10.0%			90.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15164
Section: D

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Primary Instructor: Sahu,Sambit

Response Rate: 25/57

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		12.0%	8.0%	20.0%	60.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		4.0%		20.0%	76.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		4.0%	8.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	4.0%		4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		4.0%		24.0%	72.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	4.0%	4.0%		32.0%	60.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	4.2%			20.8%	75.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6343: HUMAN CAPITAL ENGINEERING & ANALYTICS

Lecture
Class Number: 15127
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Conte,Vincent

Response Rate: 4/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 14681

Section: J5LB

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 15/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		6.7%		13.3%	80.0%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	60.0%	6.7%	15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	53.3%	6.7%	15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	42.9%	7.1%	14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	53.3%	6.7%	15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14754

Section: J1LB

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 15/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**	6.7%	33.3%		40.0%	20.0%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	73.3%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	6.7%		6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	6.7%			33.3%	60.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%		42.9%	42.9%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14755

Section: J2LB

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 17/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			5.9%	5.9%	88.2%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		17.6%		29.4%	52.9%		17
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.8%	82.4%	5.9%	17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				29.4%	64.7%	5.9%	17
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				23.5%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		11.8%		11.8%	70.6%	5.9%	17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%	5.9%	17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				29.4%	64.7%	5.9%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14756

Section: J3LB

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 15/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14757

Section: J4LB

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 11/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Lecture

Class Number: 14758

Section: JLEC

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 82/95

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				95.0%	2.5%	80

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.4%		25.6%	70.7%	1.2%	82
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			8.5%	19.5%	70.7%	1.2%	82
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	1.2%	3.7%	9.8%	26.8%	57.3%	1.2%	82

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		3.7%	6.1%	25.6%	63.4%	1.2%	82
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.7%	7.3%	26.8%	61.0%	1.2%	82

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		2.4%	1.2%	25.6%	69.5%	1.2%	82
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			6.1%	34.1%	58.5%	1.2%	82
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		2.4%	8.5%	28.0%	59.8%	1.2%	82
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.9%	3.7%	19.8%	70.4%	1.2%	81
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.7%	8.5%	34.1%	52.4%	1.2%	82
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	1.2%		1.2%	31.7%	64.6%	1.2%	82

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		1.2%	2.4%	30.5%	63.4%	2.4%	82
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		1.2%	1.2%	48.8%	46.3%	2.4%	82

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 15433

Section: J6LB

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Zhengxue

Response Rate: 16/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				43.8%	56.3%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 25742
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

CAM 3004W: Special Topics in Culture, Arts and Media

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	12.5%	12.5%		12.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	12.5%		25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	12.5%	25.0%			62.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.6**	25.0%		62.5%	12.5%			8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	25.0%			37.5%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	25.0%		37.5%	25.0%		12.5%	8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	25.0%		37.5%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15955
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 2114W: MACHINES MADE OF WORDS I: POETRY AS DESIGN

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 9/16

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		9

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			22.2%		77.8%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20214
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 3144W: FORMAL APPROACHES TO ART & POETRY

Primary Instructor: Nadler,Alan

Response Rate: 12/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			8.3%	8.3%	75.0%	8.3%	12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			8.3%	16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		8.3%	33.3%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	33.3%	8.3%	12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6813: Information, Security and Privacy

Lecture

Class Number: 14774

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Yan,Lok K

Response Rate: 11/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				81.8%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		18.2%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	27.3%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	18.2%				81.8%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**	9.1%		36.4%	36.4%	18.2%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	36.4%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	18.2%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.2**	54.5%	18.2%		9.1%	18.2%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.7**		18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%		72.7%		11
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.6**		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.7**		18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.1**	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	18.2%		11
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	36.4%		18.2%	18.2%	27.3%		11
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**2.0**	54.5%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%			11
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.1**			90.9%	9.1%			11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE / SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture
Class Number: 24482
Section: C

Primary Instructor: Chunara,Rumi

Response Rate: 29/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	3.4%	17.2%		31.0%	48.3%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	10.3%	3.4%	20.7%	27.6%	37.9%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	6.9%	17.2%	6.9%	41.4%	27.6%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	10.3%	10.3%	13.8%	34.5%	31.0%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	6.9%	13.8%	3.4%	27.6%	48.3%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	3.4%			24.1%	72.4%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%		51.7%	37.9%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	6.9%	6.9%	20.7%	27.6%	37.9%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	13.8%	20.7%	27.6%	13.8%	20.7%	3.4%	29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.3%	13.8%	10.3%	44.8%	20.7%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.9%		3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	3.4%	10.3%	27.6%	41.4%	17.2%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	3.4%	10.3%	24.1%	37.9%	24.1%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE / SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture
Class Number: 24483
Section: G

Primary Instructor: Chunara,Rumi

Response Rate: 29/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	3.4%	17.2%		31.0%	48.3%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	10.3%	3.4%	20.7%	27.6%	37.9%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	6.9%	17.2%	6.9%	41.4%	27.6%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	10.3%	10.3%	13.8%	34.5%	31.0%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	6.9%	13.8%	3.4%	27.6%	48.3%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	3.4%			24.1%	72.4%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	3.4%	6.9%		51.7%	37.9%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	6.9%	6.9%	20.7%	27.6%	37.9%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	13.8%	20.7%	27.6%	13.8%	20.7%	3.4%	29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	10.3%	13.8%	10.3%	44.8%	20.7%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	6.9%		3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	3.4%	10.3%	27.6%	41.4%	17.2%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	3.4%	10.3%	24.1%	37.9%	24.1%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6023: Biotechnology and Health Care

Lecture

Class Number: 14662

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny

Response Rate: 17/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		5.9%		5.9%	88.2%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			17.6%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.9%		11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**		5.9%			94.1%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6033: Biosensors and Biochips

Lecture

Class Number: 14663

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny

Response Rate: 21/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		9.5%		4.8%	85.7%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	4.8%		4.8%	4.8%	85.7%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	4.8%			33.3%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	23.8%	61.9%	4.8%	21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	4.8%		14.3%	19.0%	61.9%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BTE 6023: Biotechnology and Health Care

Lecture

Class Number: 14660

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny

Response Rate: 17/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		5.9%		5.9%	88.2%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	5.9%			5.9%	88.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			17.6%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		5.9%		11.8%	76.5%	5.9%	17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	5.9%		11.8%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**		5.9%			94.1%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BTE 6033: Biosensors and Biochips

Lecture

Class Number: 14661

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vulfson,Evgeny

Response Rate: 21/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		9.5%		4.8%	85.7%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	4.8%		4.8%	4.8%	85.7%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	4.8%			33.3%	61.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	23.8%	61.9%	4.8%	21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	47.6%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	71.4%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	4.8%		14.3%	19.0%	61.9%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15552
Section: ALEC

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Primary Instructor: Rappaport,Theodore

Response Rate: 54/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.7%				94.3%		53

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		1.9%		18.9%	79.2%		53
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	1.9%	3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	51.9%	1.9%	54
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		7.5%	7.5%	26.4%	58.5%		53

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	3.7%	18.5%	13.0%	35.2%	29.6%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.7%	7.5%	9.4%	24.5%	52.8%		53

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		1.9%	1.9%	33.3%	63.0%		54
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		14.8%	16.7%	29.6%	38.9%		54
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	1.9%	1.9%	11.1%	25.9%	59.3%		54
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.4%	5.6%	24.1%	63.0%		54
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.3%	18.5%	1.9%	25.9%	44.4%		54
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		3.7%	13.0%	29.6%	53.7%		54

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**		7.4%	9.3%	37.0%	46.3%		54
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	1.9%	7.4%	14.8%	33.3%	42.6%		54

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15553

Section: AREC

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2013: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits I

Primary Instructor: Rappaport,Theodore

Response Rate: 44/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%				95.5%		44

B1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.5%	2.3%	27.3%	65.9%		44
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		44
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	4.5%	6.8%	13.6%	31.8%	43.2%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	9.1%	6.8%	6.8%	29.5%	47.7%		44
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	2.3%	11.4%	6.8%	29.5%	50.0%		44

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			6.8%	36.4%	56.8%		44
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	2.3%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	34.1%		44
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	2.3%	2.3%	13.6%	34.1%	47.7%		44
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.3%	4.5%	15.9%	27.3%	50.0%		44
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	9.1%	15.9%	6.8%	29.5%	34.1%	4.5%	44
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	2.3%		20.5%	29.5%	47.7%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.5**	4.5%		9.1%	15.9%	70.5%		44
R43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		6.8%	22.7%	29.5%	38.6%	2.3%	44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4983: SPECIAL TOPICS IN MECH ENGR

Lecture

Class Number: 15890

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Clarke,Anthony

Response Rate: 28/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		14.3%	3.6%	25.0%	57.1%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%	10.7%	3.6%	25.0%	53.6%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to carry out multi-body modelling and 3D Sketch in Solid-Works.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q28	I learned how to model multiple design concepts using configurations.	**4.7**	3.7%		7.4%	3.7%	85.2%		27
Q29	I learned the drawing release process and how to track revisions.	**4.6**	3.7%		11.1%	7.4%	77.8%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	82.1%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3002: Project Management

Lecture

Class Number: 15638

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Nelson,Carl

Response Rate: 25/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.0%				87.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	4.0%		12.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	4.0%	16.0%	16.0%	32.0%	32.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	8.0%	16.0%	12.0%	28.0%	36.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	16.0%	12.0%	12.0%	24.0%	36.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	4.0%	16.0%	24.0%	16.0%	40.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	44.0%	32.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**	8.0%	16.0%	28.0%	16.0%	32.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	8.0%	8.0%	28.0%	32.0%	24.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	12.0%	8.0%	28.0%	24.0%	28.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			20.0%	48.0%	32.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	12.0%	4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	32.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	8.3%	4.2%	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**	8.3%	12.5%	8.3%	45.8%	25.0%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.7**	8.3%	12.5%	8.3%	45.8%	25.0%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	37.5%	41.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	37.5%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	8.3%	4.2%	25.0%	29.2%	33.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15789
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 3113: Contemporary Techniques in Sound Art

Primary Instructor: Schumacher,Michael J

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	72.7%				27.3%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**		36.4%		18.2%	45.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		18.2%		27.3%	54.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			27.3%	27.3%	45.5%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.0**	18.2%	36.4%		18.2%	27.3%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.8**	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	18.2%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**		18.2%	18.2%	45.5%	18.2%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	9.1%		36.4%	27.3%	27.3%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	27.3%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6103: Corporate Finance

Lecture

Class Number: 15033

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 29/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.8%	86.2%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				13.8%	86.2%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**			3.4%	6.9%	89.7%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			10.3%	13.8%	75.9%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				24.1%	75.9%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				24.1%	75.9%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			3.4%	20.7%	75.9%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6273: VALUATIONS & CORPORATE FINANCE

Lecture
Class Number: 15034
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Xu,Zhaoxia

Response Rate: 7/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 9443: Tissue Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15407

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Levon,Kalle

Response Rate: 33/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				69.7%	12.1%	33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.3%	69.7%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	3.0%		30.3%	21.2%	45.5%		33
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		3.0%	12.1%	36.4%	48.5%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**		18.2%	12.1%	36.4%	33.3%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.0%	6.1%	6.1%	39.4%	42.4%	3.0%	33

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	6.1%	12.1%	9.1%	39.4%	33.3%		33
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		12.1%	3.0%	27.3%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.4%	12.5%	12.5%	21.9%	37.5%	6.3%	32
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	12.1%	15.2%	9.1%	24.2%	39.4%		33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	27.3%	57.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		3.0%	9.1%	39.4%	48.5%		33
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	3.0%	12.1%	9.1%	36.4%	39.4%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8243: Construction Modeling Techniques

Lecture

Class Number: 25958

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Gallo,Giulia

Response Rate: 28/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	32.1%				60.7%	7.1%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	17.9%	21.4%	39.3%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	7.4%	18.5%	14.8%	25.9%	33.3%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	7.4%	11.1%	25.9%	29.6%	25.9%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.3**	17.9%	10.7%	10.7%	39.3%	17.9%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	10.7%	3.6%	10.7%	39.3%	35.7%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	10.7%	17.9%	10.7%	39.3%	21.4%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	3.6%	17.9%	10.7%	35.7%	32.1%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	39.3%	25.0%	3.6%	28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			14.8%	51.9%	33.3%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		3.6%	7.1%	42.9%	46.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the concept of BIM and their associated database, using state-of-the-art design and management systems.	**3.8**	7.4%	11.1%	3.7%	51.9%	25.9%		27
Q28	I can successfully develop a 3D model with all information in the model.	**4.0**	3.7%	7.4%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		27
Q29	Using the Building Information Model I can successfully extract the design Documents.	**3.6**	3.7%	14.8%	18.5%	40.7%	22.2%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	7.1%	10.7%	21.4%	35.7%	25.0%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	3.6%	17.9%	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 2124: Analysis of Chemical and Biomolecular Processes

Lecture
Class Number: 16198
Section: B

Primary Instructor: Levicky,Rastislav

Response Rate: 24/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand significant figures, dimensional and unit consistency and simple data fitting operations.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q28	Learn to identify and calculate the main process variables.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q29	Learn to apply the principles of mass conservation to simple processes.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q30	Learn to perform material balances on multi-unit (non-reacting) processes.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q31	Learn to perform material balances on processes with reactions.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	75.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			4.2%	25.0%	70.8%		24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			20.8%	20.8%	58.3%		24
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3113: INTRO TO GAME PROGRAMMING

Lecture

Class Number: 15857

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Safrin,Ivan

Response Rate: 24/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.7%				91.3%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	4.2%		8.3%	25.0%	62.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	75.0%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			4.2%	12.5%	83.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			26.1%	26.1%	43.5%	4.3%	23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.2%	4.2%	50.0%	41.7%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			4.2%	16.7%	79.2%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Lecture

Class Number: 16052

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Safrin,Ivan

Response Rate: 29/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%				96.6%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.3%	13.8%	75.9%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				44.8%	55.2%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		6.9%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.4%	34.5%	62.1%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.6%	72.4%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			24.1%	41.4%	34.5%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			6.9%	24.1%	69.0%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			3.4%	37.9%	58.6%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6533: Interactive Computer Graphics

Lecture

Class Number: 14626

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Safrin,Ivan

Response Rate: 29/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%				96.6%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.3%	13.8%	75.9%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				44.8%	55.2%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		6.9%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.4%	34.5%	62.1%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.6%	72.4%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			3.4%	24.1%	72.4%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	55.2%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			24.1%	41.4%	34.5%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			6.9%	24.1%	69.0%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			3.4%	37.9%	58.6%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16128
Section: B

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2414: Basic Practice of Statistics for Social Science

Primary Instructor: Stepp,Elizabeth

Response Rate: 3/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	33.3%		33.3%		33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Lecture
Class Number: 15516
Section: C

Primary Instructor: Lo,Tsz Chung

Response Rate: 8/52

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	12.5%		12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**		25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**		37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.1**		50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	37.5%		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**	37.5%			50.0%	12.5%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.4**	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%			8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6871: R in Finance

Laboratory

Class Number: 15211

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Pawlowski,Jerzy

Response Rate: 16/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	87.5%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**		6.3%		6.3%	87.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		37.5%		18.8%	43.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			18.8%	6.3%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15121
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7241: ALGORITHMIC PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

Primary Instructor: Pawlowski,Jerzy

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6103: Corporate Finance

Lecture

Class Number: 15304

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Pepenella,Antonio

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.7%		33.3%	53.3%	6.7%	15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		13.3%		13.3%	73.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		13.3%		13.3%	73.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			20.0%	26.7%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21028
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 4153: Experimental Game Narratives

Primary Instructor: Montclare,Brandon

Response Rate: 13/13

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		13

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	7.7%		23.1%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	7.7%		7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.7%		15.4%	30.8%	23.1%	23.1%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Lecture

Class Number: 15195

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 26/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%				84.6%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	3.8%	15.4%	3.8%	38.5%	38.5%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	3.8%	19.2%	7.7%	11.5%	57.7%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		11.5%		30.8%	57.7%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		15.4%	11.5%	11.5%	61.5%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		3.8%	15.4%	26.9%	53.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	7.7%	3.8%	3.8%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	30.8%	38.5%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.8%	7.7%		34.6%	53.8%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	8.0%	16.0%	4.0%	24.0%	48.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		8.0%	12.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		8.0%	8.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		15.4%	23.1%	19.2%	42.3%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		15.4%	15.4%	26.9%	42.3%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Lecture

Class Number: 15228

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 7/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**				85.7%	14.3%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**		28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			28.6%	71.4%			7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.9**			28.6%	57.1%	14.3%		7
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.9**		14.3%		71.4%	14.3%		7
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.6**		14.3%	14.3%	71.4%			7
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			85.7%			14.3%	7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			28.6%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6823: Factory Simulation

Lecture
Class Number: 14733
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ikhmeis,Sufian

Response Rate: 24/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				95.8%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	33.3%	41.7%		24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	20.8%	41.7%	4.2%	24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**		16.7%	25.0%	12.5%	41.7%	4.2%	24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	8.3%	8.3%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%	4.2%	24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**			12.5%	41.7%	45.8%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	4.2%	20.8%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	34.8%	39.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	12.5%	58.3%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	45.8%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.2%		4.2%	45.8%	45.8%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	4.2%	16.7%	12.5%	29.2%	37.5%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.8**	4.2%	12.5%	20.8%	25.0%	37.5%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	4.2%	12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	45.8%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.0**	4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	12.5%	50.0%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**		25.0%	20.8%	12.5%	37.5%	4.2%	24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		12.5%	29.2%	25.0%	33.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4092: Leadership, Business Principles, Policy and Ethics in Civil Engineering

Lecture
Class Number: 15544
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Griffis,Fletcher

Response Rate: 24/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.2%		20.8%	75.0%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.2%		12.5%	20.8%	62.5%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	4.2%		4.2%	33.3%	58.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.2%		33.3%	62.5%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		12.5%		33.3%	54.2%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	8.3%	4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	4.2%		33.3%	8.3%	50.0%	4.2%	24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	29.2%	54.2%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can apply the ASCE Code of Ethics to the solution of ethical problems confronting a practicing engineer.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		24
Q28	I can explain the elements of project management in the public service and private sectors.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	25.0%	66.7%		24
Q29	I can demonstrate an appreciation of the challenges facing civil engineers in current professional practice.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		24
Q30	I can develop short-term and long-term professional goals, to include consideration of continuing education and professional registration.	**4.6**		4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	75.0%		24
Q31	I can communicate effectively in writing.	**4.6**		4.3%		30.4%	65.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	75.0%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	4.2%	8.3%	4.2%	20.8%	62.5%		24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	66.7%		24
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.6**		4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	75.0%		24
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**		4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	79.2%		24
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15166

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 25/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		24
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			16.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		12.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.0%	4.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			8.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		8.0%	8.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		8.0%	20.0%	24.0%	48.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			8.0%	40.0%	52.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	32.0%	44.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.0%		8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		12.0%	8.0%	48.0%	32.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		8.0%	8.0%	52.0%	32.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		8.0%	12.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			4.0%	44.0%	52.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			16.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 25310

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Dreifus,Kenneth

Response Rate: 26/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				92.3%	3.8%	26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	3.8%	3.8%		26.9%	65.4%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.5%	15.4%	69.2%	3.8%	26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		3.8%		30.8%	65.4%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		3.8%		19.2%	73.1%	3.8%	26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		3.8%		11.5%	84.6%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	19.2%	65.4%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	57.7%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		3.8%	11.5%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	57.7%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	36.0%	36.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		4.0%	8.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		8.0%	12.0%	36.0%	44.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**		12.0%	12.0%	16.0%	60.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.8%	15.4%	15.4%	65.4%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	57.7%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3313: Transport I

Lecture

Class Number: 15700

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gallaway,Joshua

Response Rate: 59/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		1.7%			96.6%	1.7%	58

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.8%	93.2%		59
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.8%	93.2%		59
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		59

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**		3.4%		13.6%	83.1%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		1.7%		15.3%	83.1%		59

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.2%	89.8%		59
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				11.9%	88.1%		59
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				8.5%	91.5%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.4%	1.7%	3.4%	16.9%	74.6%		59
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	23.7%	71.2%		59
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.9%	88.1%		59

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to derive velocity and shear stress profiles in different geometries using the differential element approach?	**4.8**				19.6%	80.4%		56
Q28	Learn to analyze head losses due to friction forces for fluid flow in pipes?	**4.7**			1.8%	26.8%	71.4%		56
Q29	Understand the basic concept of boundary layer in transport processes?	**4.8**		1.8%		10.7%	87.5%		56
Q30	Learn to apply the Navier-Stokes equations for fluid flow analysis?	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		56
Q31	Learn how to apply differential equations of heat transfer to steady and unsteady conduction and convection?	**4.7**	1.8%		1.8%	17.9%	76.8%	1.8%	56

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.5%	91.5%		59
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			1.7%	16.9%	81.4%		59

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**			1.8%	7.1%	91.1%		56

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15633

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Deak,Anthony M.

Response Rate: 6/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.2**		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			16.7%	83.3%			6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.5**		16.7%	16.7%	66.7%			6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 1002: FOUNDATIONS OF MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15932

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Deak,Anthony M.

Response Rate: 19/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		5.3%	10.5%	63.2%	21.1%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	10.5%	15.8%	31.6%	21.1%	10.5%	10.5%	19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		10.5%	21.1%	36.8%	31.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.9**	15.8%	26.3%	21.1%	26.3%	10.5%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	27.8%	22.2%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		5.3%	10.5%	42.1%	42.1%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.2**	5.3%	31.6%	21.1%	21.1%	21.1%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	31.6%	5.3%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		15.8%	10.5%	47.4%	26.3%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		21.1%	21.1%	31.6%	26.3%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.3**		26.3%	26.3%	36.8%	10.5%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.6**		15.8%	15.8%	63.2%	5.3%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.4**		21.1%	31.6%	36.8%	10.5%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**		15.8%	21.1%	47.4%	15.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**		10.5%	31.6%	36.8%	21.1%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**		10.5%	63.2%	21.1%	5.3%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14703
Section: I

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Primary Instructor: Bentahar,Abdellatif

Response Rate: 18/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15217
Section: INET

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6503: MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Primary Instructor: Bentahar,Abdellatif

Response Rate: 3/3

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

D. Course		Avg Of Results	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	66.7%	33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	33.3%	66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		33.3%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E1. Online Course Module		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5253: APPLIED MATRIX THEORY

Lecture
Class Number: 14759
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 35/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.9%	3.1%	32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.7%	2.9%	2.9%	45.7%	42.9%		35
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	2.9%	2.9%	20.6%	32.4%	38.2%	2.9%	34
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	6.1%	3.0%	6.1%	48.5%	36.4%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	37.1%	48.6%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.9%		5.7%	37.1%	54.3%		35

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		8.6%	11.4%	34.3%	45.7%		35
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	2.9%		5.7%	71.4%	20.0%		35
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		2.9%	14.3%	45.7%	37.1%		35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	2.9%	17.1%	11.4%	31.4%	37.1%		35
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		17.1%	5.7%	34.3%	42.9%		35
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.9%	2.9%	8.6%	51.4%	34.3%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	5.7%	2.9%	14.3%	42.9%	34.3%		35
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	5.7%	2.9%	17.1%	40.0%	34.3%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6233: System Optimization Method

Lecture

Class Number: 15401

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Jiang,Zhong-ping

Response Rate: 6/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.3**	33.3%		16.7%		50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.7**		33.3%	16.7%		50.0%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4543: Human Computer Interaction

Lecture

Class Number: 16134

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lutzky,Raymond Allen

Response Rate: 42/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	2.4%	92.9%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.4%		7.1%	4.8%	85.7%		42
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	4.8%	90.5%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	2.4%	92.9%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			2.4%	9.5%	88.1%		42

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		42
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**			2.4%	4.8%	92.9%		42
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**			2.4%	7.1%	90.5%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		42
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	81.0%		42
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			7.3%	9.8%	82.9%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**			2.4%		97.6%		42
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.8%	7.1%	88.1%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6543: Human Computer Interaction

Lecture

Class Number: 15339

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lutzky,Raymond Allen

Response Rate: 42/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	2.4%	92.9%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.4%		7.1%	4.8%	85.7%		42
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	4.8%	90.5%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**	2.4%		2.4%	2.4%	92.9%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			2.4%	9.5%	88.1%		42

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**			4.8%	4.8%	90.5%		42
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**			2.4%	4.8%	92.9%		42
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**			2.4%	7.1%	90.5%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		42
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	81.0%		42
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			7.3%	9.8%	82.9%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**			2.4%		97.6%		42
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.8%	7.1%	88.1%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MN 7503: TARGET COSTING

Lecture
Class Number: 20265
Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Bird,Henry

Response Rate: 3/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 4413: Polymer Science

Lecture

Class Number: 15595

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lujan-Upton,Hannia

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		81.8%	18.2%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Lecture

Class Number: 15683

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Duff,Deangela L

Response Rate: 19/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.7%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	89.5%	5.3%	19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	57.9%	5.3%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			15.8%	10.5%	73.7%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		15.8%	5.3%	15.8%	63.2%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	68.4%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1143: Ideation & Prototyping

Lecture

Class Number: 23522

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Duff,Deangela L

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			50.0%	7.1%	42.9%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15009

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: O'Reilly,Kieran T

Response Rate: 31/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		31
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		31
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		31
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		31
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.7%	90.3%		31
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**				3.2%	96.8%		31
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		31
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Lecture
Class Number: 24457
Section: MOT3

Primary Instructor: O'Reilly,Kieran T

Response Rate: 22/26

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		21
B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**		4.5%			95.5%		22
D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**				4.5%	95.5%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		4.5%		4.5%	90.9%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**		4.5%		13.6%	81.8%		22
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.5%	9.1%	86.4%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15883
Section: B1LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Choy,Brenda Gee

Response Rate: 10/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%		40.0%	40.0%		10
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.1**	40.0%	40.0%		10.0%	10.0%		10
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	30.0%			20.0%	50.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	40.0%		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	20.0%			30.0%	50.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**		20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	20.0%		10
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	33.3%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2123: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS

Lecture

Class Number: 15967

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Sidelev,Oleksiy V

Response Rate: 18/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%		27.8%	55.6%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	5.6%	11.1%		38.9%	44.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	44.4%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	33.3%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%		38.9%	50.0%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	5.6%		11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	16.7%		22.2%	33.3%	27.8%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	11.1%			27.8%	61.1%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand basic mechanical properties of materials.	**4.2**	5.6%			55.6%	38.9%		18
Q28	I understand principles of stress and strain.	**4.2**	5.6%			55.6%	38.9%		18
Q29	I understand principles of stress distribution within cross sections in structural members due to internal forces components.	**4.1**	5.6%		11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18
Q30	I developed skills to find stresses and deformations in statically indeterminate rods, beams and shafts.	**3.9**	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	27.8%		18
Q31	I understand relationship between load, internal forces, stresses and deformations	**4.1**	5.6%			66.7%	27.8%		18
Q32	I develop basic skills for stress and strain plane transformations.	**3.8**	5.6%		22.2%	55.6%	16.7%		18
Q33	I understand the principles of the theories of material failure.	**4.1**	5.6%		5.6%	55.6%	33.3%		18
Q34	I understand basic concepts of the structural design.	**3.8**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	55.6%	22.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	44.4%	22.2%		18

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	11.1%		5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	38.9%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15828
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 2193: Intro to Web Development

Primary Instructor: Adee,Kathryn A

Response Rate: 15/19

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	6.7%				93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		6.7%		6.7%	86.7%		15
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	6.7%			13.3%	80.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	6.7%		6.7%		86.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	6.7%			20.0%	66.7%	6.7%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	6.7%		13.3%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**	6.7%			6.7%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**	6.7%		6.7%	6.7%	80.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2413: DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

Lecture

Class Number: 21187

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Aronov,Boris

Response Rate: 28/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	3.6%		21.4%	50.0%	17.9%	7.1%	28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	3.6%	10.7%	28.6%	39.3%	14.3%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	50.0%	21.4%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	3.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	25.0%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**		14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	39.3%	3.6%	28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	3.6%	28.6%	21.4%	21.4%	25.0%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	39.3%	42.9%	3.6%	28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	10.7%	32.1%	3.6%	35.7%	17.9%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		11.1%	22.2%	37.0%	25.9%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.5**	3.6%	7.1%	46.4%	21.4%	21.4%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	3.6%	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%	10.7%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16042
Section: D

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Yang,Yisong

Response Rate: 5/45

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	40.0%			40.0%	20.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		60.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	40.0%			60.0%			5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.5**	40.0%	40.0%				20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16180
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 3113: Advanced Linear Algebra and Complex Variables

Primary Instructor: Yang,Yisong

Response Rate: 1/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15629
Section: A

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FIN 2003: Economic Foundations of Finance

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 16/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**		6.3%	37.5%	18.8%	37.5%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	68.8%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15145
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6391: MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS

Primary Instructor: Biederman,Paul

Response Rate: 7/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		16.7%			83.3%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		28.6%		14.3%	57.1%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		28.6%	14.3%		57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**		14.3%	42.9%		42.9%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**		28.6%		14.3%	57.1%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**		42.9%			57.1%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	14.3%	14.3%		14.3%	57.1%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%	28.6%			57.1%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	14.3%	28.6%			57.1%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		28.6%	14.3%		57.1%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	14.3%	28.6%			57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6963: Digital Forensics

Lecture

Class Number: 14775

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Budofsky,Marc R.

Response Rate: 11/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		9.1%		27.3%	54.5%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**		90.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**		90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		90.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**		100.0%		11

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**			60.0%		30.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6963: Digital Forensics

Lecture

Class Number: 24488

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Budofsky,Marc R.

Response Rate: 2/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

BI 7653: NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

Lecture
Class Number: 15106
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Flowers,Jonathan

Response Rate: 3/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15617

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 26/31

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		3.8%		15.4%	80.8%		26
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		16.0%	8.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		26
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			7.7%	11.5%	80.8%		26
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			8.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		11.5%	7.7%	23.1%	57.7%		26
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		26
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	73.1%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			11.5%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			11.5%	26.9%	61.5%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			7.7%	19.2%	73.1%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in heat transfer.	**4.7**			3.8%	23.1%	73.1%		26
Q28	I am able to write effective reports.	**4.7**			3.8%	26.9%	69.2%		26
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in heat transfer experiments.	**4.6**			3.8%	34.6%	61.5%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	69.2%		26
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			15.4%	26.9%	57.7%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			7.7%	26.9%	65.4%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15825

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 17/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**			5.9%		94.1%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%	5.9%	17
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**		11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	70.6%		17
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			5.9%	11.8%	82.4%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in heat transfer.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
Q28	I am able to write effective reports.	**4.4**		5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	64.7%		17
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in heat transfer experiments.	**4.5**			11.8%	29.4%	58.8%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	70.6%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	11.8%	76.5%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4311: Heat Transfer Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 16151

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 30/34

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**	3.3%		6.7%	10.0%	80.0%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**	3.3%	6.7%	3.3%	26.7%	60.0%		30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	70.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**	3.3%		3.3%	13.3%	80.0%		30
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	70.0%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**	6.7%		6.7%	16.7%	70.0%		30
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			6.7%	16.7%	76.7%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			10.0%	33.3%	56.7%		30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	70.0%		30
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental equipment and measurement systems in heat transfer.	**4.5**	3.3%		3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30
Q28	I am able to write effective reports.	**4.6**	3.3%		6.7%	16.7%	73.3%		30
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in heat transfer experiments.	**4.4**	3.3%		6.7%	30.0%	60.0%		30
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	70.0%	3.3%	30
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	60.0%	3.3%	30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	23.3%	60.0%		30
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	3.3%		10.0%	23.3%	63.3%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	20.0%	63.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4313: Heat Transfer

Lecture

Class Number: 15618

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 25/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.0%	96.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			8.0%	12.0%	80.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		4.0%		12.0%	84.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			8.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		8.0%	4.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.0%	8.0%	88.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the various mechanisms of heat transfer.	**4.6**			4.0%	32.0%	64.0%		25
Q28	I understand the fundamental laws governing transport, storage and conservation of thermal energy.	**4.6**				36.0%	64.0%		25
Q29	I am able to analyze and solve well-posed heat transfer problems.	**4.5**			12.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25
Q30	I designed heat transfer systems such as fins and heat exchangers.	**4.1**		8.0%	20.0%	24.0%	48.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			4.0%	32.0%	64.0%		25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		4.0%		16.0%	80.0%		25
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4313: Heat Transfer

Lecture

Class Number: 15953

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Tafuni,Angelantonio

Response Rate: 37/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		37

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.7%	10.8%	86.5%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.7%		16.2%	13.5%	67.6%		37
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			5.4%	16.2%	75.7%	2.7%	37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	35.1%	54.1%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	2.7%	2.7%		35.1%	59.5%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			5.4%	18.9%	75.7%		37
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	2.7%	5.4%	2.7%	24.3%	64.9%		37
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.4%	10.8%	8.1%	75.7%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			2.7%	24.3%	73.0%		37
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.8%	2.7%	29.7%	56.8%		37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.4%	5.4%	21.6%	67.6%		37

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the various mechanisms of heat transfer.	**4.4**		2.7%	10.8%	29.7%	56.8%		37
Q28	I understand the fundamental laws governing transport, storage and conservation of thermal energy.	**4.4**			16.2%	24.3%	59.5%		37
Q29	I am able to analyze and solve well-posed heat transfer problems.	**4.2**		10.8%	8.1%	27.0%	54.1%		37
Q30	I designed heat transfer systems such as fins and heat exchangers.	**3.9**	5.4%	8.1%	18.9%	29.7%	37.8%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	73.0%		37
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		5.4%	5.4%	29.7%	59.5%		37

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		2.7%	8.1%	27.0%	62.2%		37
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			13.5%	27.0%	59.5%		37
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		5.4%	8.1%	24.3%	62.2%		37
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		5.4%	10.8%	27.0%	56.8%		37
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.4**		5.4%	8.1%	24.3%	62.2%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 8013: Selected Topics in Transportation I

Lecture

Class Number: 21031

Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Chow,Ying Jun Joseph

Response Rate: 6/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	16.7%	16.7%			66.7%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	16.7%		16.7%		66.7%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can articulate the needs of shippers, carriers and receivers.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q28	I can outline the major steps within the logistics process.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q29	I can assess the characteristics of each major mode of transportation.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
Q30	I can evaluate national, regional and local freight needs.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q31	I can outline the needs stated in the previous objectives superimposed on community and environmental needs.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**	16.7%	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15429
Section: A

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 8023: Selected Topics in Transportation II

Primary Instructor: Chow,Ying Jun Joseph

Response Rate: 16/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		6.3%			93.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		6.3%	6.3%		87.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		18.8%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Lecture

Class Number: 15888

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Mansoor-Baghaei,Shahab

Response Rate: 27/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%				84.6%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.7%	92.6%	3.7%	27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				14.8%	81.5%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			7.4%	7.4%	81.5%	3.7%	27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			7.4%	18.5%	70.4%	3.7%	27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the concept of stress & strain, general state of stress and design of simple connections.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q28	I learned stress analysis, materials behavior, Hooke's law, stress concentration and Mohr's circle.	**4.7**		3.7%		18.5%	77.8%		27
Q29	I learned about axially loaded members, torsion and transmission of power by shafts.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q30	I learned about bending, shear and moment diagrams, and flexure formula.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q31	I learned about differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, and Castigliano's theorem.	**4.5**		7.4%	3.7%	14.8%	70.4%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	74.1%	3.7%	27
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.9**			3.7%	3.7%	92.6%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3223: Dynamics

Lecture

Class Number: 24463

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Mansoor-Baghaei,Shahab

Response Rate: 20/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q28	I learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact, and modeling of single and systems of particles.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q29	I learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				45.0%	55.0%		20

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20239
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 3354: Brain, Behavior, and the Mind: The History and Development of Neuroscience

Primary Instructor: Restivo,Sal P.

Response Rate: 19/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%				89.5%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	5.3%		5.3%	10.5%	78.9%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	52.6%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	5.3%		15.8%	31.6%	47.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		5.3%	15.8%	26.3%	52.6%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	31.6%	36.8%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	5.3%		10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.3%		31.6%	10.5%	52.6%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.3%	21.1%	26.3%	47.4%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	5.3%		10.5%	10.5%	73.7%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	5.3%		10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	5.3%		10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

BE 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Lecture

Class Number: 15432

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

Response Rate: 81/99

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		98.7%	1.3%	78

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	1.2%	1.2%		8.6%	87.7%	1.2%	81
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.5%	1.2%	1.2%	11.1%	82.7%	1.2%	81
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%		19.8%	74.1%	1.2%	81

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	2.5%		2.5%	12.3%	81.5%	1.2%	81
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	1.2%	2.5%	4.9%	19.8%	69.1%	2.5%	81

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		2.5%		19.8%	76.5%	1.2%	81
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**	2.5%		1.2%	22.2%	72.8%	1.2%	81
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	2.5%		3.7%	19.8%	72.8%	1.2%	81
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	2.5%	3.7%	4.9%	22.2%	65.4%	1.2%	81
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	1.2%	7.4%	2.5%	22.2%	65.4%	1.2%	81
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.5%		1.2%	13.6%	81.5%	1.2%	81

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.5%	12.3%	84.0%	1.2%	81
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	72.8%	1.2%	81

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16160
Section: A

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4163: SIGNAL AND IMAGE PROCESSING / Digital Signal Processing Laboratory

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

Response Rate: 42/66

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.6%	2.4%	42

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	83.3%	2.4%	42
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			4.8%	21.4%	71.4%	2.4%	42
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	69.0%	2.4%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		7.1%	4.8%	26.2%	61.9%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.8%	31.0%	64.3%		42

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		2.4%		26.2%	71.4%		42
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		4.9%	9.8%	17.1%	68.3%		41
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			7.1%	11.9%	81.0%		42
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	19.0%	57.1%	2.4%	42
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		42
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.4%	16.7%	81.0%		42
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			9.5%	16.7%	73.8%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Lecture

Class Number: 15431

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

Response Rate: 81/99

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		98.7%	1.3%	78

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	1.2%	1.2%		8.6%	87.7%	1.2%	81
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**	2.5%	1.2%	1.2%	11.1%	82.7%	1.2%	81
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%		19.8%	74.1%	1.2%	81

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	2.5%		2.5%	12.3%	81.5%	1.2%	81
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	1.2%	2.5%	4.9%	19.8%	69.1%	2.5%	81

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		2.5%		19.8%	76.5%	1.2%	81
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**	2.5%		1.2%	22.2%	72.8%	1.2%	81
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	2.5%		3.7%	19.8%	72.8%	1.2%	81
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	2.5%	3.7%	4.9%	22.2%	65.4%	1.2%	81
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	1.2%	7.4%	2.5%	22.2%	65.4%	1.2%	81
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.5%		1.2%	13.6%	81.5%	1.2%	81

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.5%	12.3%	84.0%	1.2%	81
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			3.7%	22.2%	72.8%	1.2%	81

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15423
Section: I

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6183: SIGNAL AND IMAGE PROCESSING / Digital Signal Processing Laboratory

Primary Instructor: Selesnick,Ivan W

Response Rate: 42/66

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.6%	2.4%	42

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	83.3%	2.4%	42
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			4.8%	21.4%	71.4%	2.4%	42
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			4.8%	23.8%	69.0%	2.4%	42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		7.1%	4.8%	26.2%	61.9%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.8%	31.0%	64.3%		42

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		2.4%		26.2%	71.4%		42
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		4.9%	9.8%	17.1%	68.3%		41
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			7.1%	11.9%	81.0%		42
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	19.0%	57.1%	2.4%	42
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		42
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.4%	16.7%	81.0%		42
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			9.5%	16.7%	73.8%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20237
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2264W: Addressing Public Policy Issues in Sci, Eng, & Med

Primary Instructor: Silberman,Jared H

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15944
Section: C2

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel

Response Rate: 2/35

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16120
Section: F2

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Primary Instructor: Jacobovits,Rachel

Response Rate: 4/22

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**No**				**Yes**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	25.0%			50.0%		25.0%	4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4143: Process Dynamics and Control

Lecture
Class Number: 15535
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Hartman,Ryan Lee

Response Rate: 45/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.7%	2.3%	44

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.2%		20.0%	77.8%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.4%	15.6%	80.0%		45
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			4.4%	17.8%	77.8%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			4.4%	15.6%	80.0%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.2%		24.4%	73.3%		45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	2.2%			6.7%	91.1%		45
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	8.9%	86.7%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		4.4%	8.9%	17.8%	68.9%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		6.7%	2.2%	13.3%	77.8%		45
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		2.2%	4.4%	22.2%	71.1%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.8%	82.2%		45

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to formulate and solve fundamental dynamic models of realistic processes.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		44
Q28	Learn to perform empirical modeling experiments, parameter estimation, and comparison with fundamental models.	**4.7**			2.3%	25.0%	72.7%		44
Q29	Learn to define chemical process operating goals as categories of control objectives.	**4.7**			2.3%	22.7%	75.0%		44
Q30	Learn to select variables for measurement and manipulation, along with instrumentation for automatic control.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		44
Q31	Learn to design single loop PID control systems.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.2%	13.3%	84.4%		45
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			4.4%	17.8%	77.8%		45

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15533

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 2/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.0**			100.0%				2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15534

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 5/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%		60.0%			5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.2**	40.0%	40.0%			20.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.6**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.0**	60.0%		20.0%	20.0%			5
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.4**	40.0%				60.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**		40.0%	40.0%	20.0%			5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**2.6**	40.0%		20.0%	40.0%			5
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**2.4**	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**2.8**	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**2.6**	40.0%		40.0%		20.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4113: Engineering Laboratory I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15886

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Song,Qing

Response Rate: 5/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.2**	20.0%		20.0%	60.0%			5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.8**	40.0%	20.0%			40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.0**	40.0%	40.0%		20.0%			5
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.8**	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.8**	40.0%	20.0%			40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	40.0%	20.0%			40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.6**	60.0%				40.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Learn to prepare and conduct an experiment in a team setting and to accomplish the objectives in the time provided.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	Improve your ability to prepare written technical reports.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
Q29	Improve your ability to prepare appropriate graphs, tables, etc for written reports that provides the needed evidence to support your conclusions.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5
Q30	Improve your ability to present clear and concise oral reports on your experiments.	**3.2**	40.0%			20.0%	40.0%		5
Q31	Improve your ability to analyze the errors in your experimental results including error propagation and confidence interval estimation.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.4**	60.0%			20.0%	20.0%		5
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Lecture

Class Number: 14959

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rajagopalan,Siva Raj

Response Rate: 22/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		13.6%	4.5%	31.8%	50.0%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	22.7%	40.9%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	31.8%	40.9%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	22.7%	31.8%		18.2%	27.3%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	13.6%	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**		31.8%		40.9%	27.3%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	4.5%	18.2%	18.2%	31.8%	27.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	13.6%	13.6%	13.6%	22.7%	36.4%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	4.5%	40.9%	4.5%	36.4%	13.6%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	9.1%	18.2%	4.5%	31.8%	36.4%		22

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.9**		22.7%		40.9%	36.4%		22
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		22
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	31.8%	40.9%	4.5%	22
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	31.8%	36.4%		22
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	31.8%	36.4%		22
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	50.0%	31.8%		22
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.5**	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%		22
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.6**			59.1%	13.6%	22.7%	4.5%	22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		4.5%	22.7%	40.9%	31.8%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		9.1%	27.3%	40.9%	22.7%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6233: Introduction to Operating Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 14624

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sandoval,Gustavo

Response Rate: 44/72

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		42

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	2.3%	6.8%	4.5%	38.6%	47.7%		44
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	2.3%	2.3%	15.9%	36.4%	40.9%	2.3%	44
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	2.3%	6.8%	6.8%	50.0%	34.1%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		2.3%	11.4%	34.1%	52.3%		44
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		2.3%	6.8%	15.9%	75.0%		44

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		2.3%	11.4%	36.4%	50.0%		44
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	2.3%	6.8%	11.4%	50.0%	29.5%		44
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	4.5%	6.8%	11.4%	45.5%	31.8%		44
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.7%	4.7%	48.8%	39.5%	2.3%	43
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.3%	4.5%	11.4%	47.7%	34.1%		44
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	2.3%	6.8%	11.4%	43.2%	36.4%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		6.8%	15.9%	52.3%	25.0%		44
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		9.1%	22.7%	43.2%	25.0%		44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6923: Machine Learning

Lecture

Class Number: 15180

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Sandoval,Gustavo

Response Rate: 34/67

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%				94.1%	2.9%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	2.9%	8.8%	11.8%	44.1%	32.4%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	2.9%	2.9%	8.8%	38.2%	47.1%		34
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.8%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	47.1%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	23.5%	61.8%	2.9%	34

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		8.8%	8.8%	38.2%	44.1%		34
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	5.9%	8.8%	14.7%	38.2%	32.4%		34
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	8.8%	8.8%	11.8%	20.6%	50.0%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.9%	5.9%	11.8%	38.2%	41.2%		34
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.8%	8.8%	14.7%	29.4%	38.2%		34
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	11.8%	5.9%	2.9%	35.3%	44.1%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**	2.9%	2.9%	26.5%	32.4%	35.3%		34
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	8.8%	5.9%	8.8%	52.9%	23.5%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15687
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 2133: 3D Modeling

Primary Instructor: Skwarek,Mark L

Response Rate: 13/18

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		13

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	38.5%	15.4%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%		61.5%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%		13
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.8**	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	15.4%	7.7%	38.5%		38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	30.8%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%			13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	23.1%	15.4%		15.4%	46.2%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**	7.7%	30.8%	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	30.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of General Engineering

EG 1001: Engineering and Technology Forum

Seminar

Class Number: 15626

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Lefer,David G

Response Rate: 167/340

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.7%	90.9%	2.4%	164

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	0.6%	2.4%	3.6%	28.7%	64.1%	0.6%	167
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	1.2%	4.8%	29.5%	24.1%	36.7%	3.6%	166
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	1.2%	4.8%	9.6%	35.5%	48.2%	0.6%	166

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	3.0%	7.2%	16.8%	29.3%	40.1%	3.6%	167
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	0.6%	5.4%	8.4%	27.7%	56.6%	1.2%	166

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	1.8%	3.0%	3.0%	35.9%	55.1%	1.2%	167
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	2.4%	6.6%	5.4%	34.1%	50.3%	1.2%	167
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	7.8%	7.2%	10.2%	34.7%	38.3%	1.8%	167
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	5.4%	9.0%	36.1%	19.3%	23.5%	6.6%	166
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.6%	6.0%	13.3%	35.5%	37.3%	1.2%	166
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	6.6%	7.2%	12.0%	31.7%	41.3%	1.2%	167

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	After taking this course I have a better understanding of what invention, innovation, and entrepreneurship mean.	**4.3**	0.6%	4.4%	5.0%	41.5%	47.2%	1.3%	159
Q28	The guest lectures exposed me to new concepts and ideas I would not have encountered elsewhere.	**4.3**	1.3%	6.3%	4.4%	36.5%	50.3%	1.3%	159
Q29	After taking this course, I am more likely to tackle problems in an innovative way.	**4.0**	2.5%	6.9%	11.9%	44.0%	33.3%	1.3%	159
Q30	This course has influenced my long-term educational or career goals.	**3.6**	7.5%	9.4%	20.1%	39.0%	22.6%	1.3%	159
Q31	After taking this course, I am more interested in starting my own venture.	**3.6**	10.7%	8.8%	21.4%	30.8%	27.0%	1.3%	159

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	1.2%	0.6%	13.2%	36.5%	46.7%	1.8%	167
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	6.6%	1.8%	24.6%	32.3%	33.5%	1.2%	167

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3213: Mechanics of Materials

Lecture

Class Number: 15611

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dressaire,Emilie

Response Rate: 41/44

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.4%	2.4%	95.1%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			2.4%	2.4%	95.1%		41
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		2.4%	4.9%	7.3%	85.4%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		2.4%	2.4%	19.5%	75.6%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		2.4%	2.4%	14.6%	80.5%		41

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				12.2%	87.8%		41
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.4%	4.9%	2.4%	90.2%		41
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**		5.0%	2.5%	2.5%	90.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.9%	9.8%	12.2%	14.6%	53.7%	4.9%	41
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.4%	4.9%	9.8%	29.3%	53.7%		41
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	2.4%		4.9%	9.8%	82.9%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the concept of stress & strain, general state of stress and design of simple connections.	**5.0**				4.9%	95.1%		41
Q28	I learned stress analysis, materials behavior, Hooke's law, stress concentration and Mohr's circle.	**4.9**				7.3%	92.7%		41
Q29	I learned about axially loaded members, torsion and transmission of power by shafts.	**4.9**				9.8%	90.2%		41
Q30	I learned about bending, shear and moment diagrams, and flexure formula.	**4.9**				9.8%	90.2%		41
Q31	I learned about differential equation of deflection curve, method of superposition, and Castigliano's theorem.	**4.6**	4.9%	2.4%		17.1%	73.2%	2.4%	41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			2.4%	4.9%	92.7%		41
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			4.9%	26.8%	68.3%		41

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				12.2%	87.8%		41
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**		2.4%	4.9%	9.8%	82.9%		41
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**		2.4%	2.4%	9.8%	85.4%		41
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**	2.4%		4.9%	7.3%	85.4%		41
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.8**			4.9%	12.2%	82.9%		41

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20245
Section: E

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Primary Instructor: Holzman,David

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.3%	33.3%		58.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.9**			36.4%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15361
Section: I2

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7851: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING 2

Primary Instructor: Des Etangs Roux,Frederic Pascal Lucien

Response Rate: 4/9

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%	75.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course						
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	25.0%	75.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		50.0% 50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		50.0% 50.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6333: Research Methods in Organizational Behavior & Human Capital Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 15336
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Squires,Paul

Response Rate: 5/12

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies		*No*					*Yes*		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module									
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			60.0%		20.0%	20.0%	5
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3173: STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Lecture
Class Number: 15972
Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Patricia A

Response Rate: 12/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	8.3%		41.7%	25.0%	25.0%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	8.3%		8.3%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		16.7%	8.3%	58.3%	16.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.7**		18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6863: FUNDAMENTALS OF SECURITY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Lecture
Class Number: 15014
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Vaidya,Mehul J.

Response Rate: 5/8

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**			60.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	20.0%	40.0%			40.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
E1. Online Course Module		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.4**	20.0%			80.0%			5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.4**			60.0%	40.0%			5
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4153: MULTIMEDIA

Lecture

Class Number: 21180

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Wang,Yao

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		6.3%	25.0%	18.8%	50.0%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.3%	25.0%	18.8%	50.0%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	37.5%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		12.5%	31.3%	12.5%	43.8%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		12.5%	31.3%	18.8%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		7.1%	35.7%	21.4%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**			25.0%	31.3%	37.5%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		12.5%	31.3%	12.5%	37.5%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8373: CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE

Lecture
Class Number: 15316
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Amicucci,Ralph D

Response Rate: 24/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	8.3%			4.2%	87.5%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		4.2%		16.7%	79.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				16.7%	79.2%	4.2%	24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			8.3%	12.5%	79.2%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

BI 7633: Transcriptomics

Lecture

Class Number: 15208

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Katari,Manpreet Singh

Response Rate: 5/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**2.8**		20.0%	60.0%			20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2211: Statics Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15696

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 8/8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental methods and techniques for statics problems.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I am able to write technical reports.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in statics problems.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q30	I am able to work effectively in teams.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		8
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15622

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 28/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.6**	10.7%	10.7%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%		28
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	21.4%	21.4%	3.6%	35.7%	17.9%		28
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.1**	14.8%	18.5%	25.9%	22.2%	18.5%		27
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.2**	14.3%	21.4%	17.9%	25.0%	21.4%		28
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.1**	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	28.6%	14.3%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.6**	39.3%	7.1%	21.4%	17.9%	14.3%		28
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	21.4%	17.9%	17.9%	28.6%	14.3%		28
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.4**	14.3%	10.7%	21.4%	32.1%	21.4%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	10.7%	28.6%	17.9%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.0**	17.9%	17.9%	25.0%	21.4%	17.9%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	25.0%	10.7%	10.7%	35.7%	17.9%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	25.0%	10.7%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	25.0%	21.4%	14.3%	25.0%	14.3%		28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems.	**3.8**	10.7%	3.6%	7.1%	53.6%	25.0%		28
Q28	I am able to write technical reports.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	28.6%	42.9%	3.6%	28
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	39.3%	35.7%	3.6%	28
Q30	I am able to work effectively in teams.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	35.7%	46.4%	3.6%	28
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.0**	14.3%	25.0%	28.6%	14.3%	17.9%		28
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**	17.9%	14.3%	28.6%	25.0%	14.3%		28
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**	7.1%	10.7%	17.9%	35.7%	25.0%	3.6%	28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.7**	10.7%	3.6%	10.7%	46.4%	25.0%	3.6%	28
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.0**	3.6%		17.9%	46.4%	32.1%		28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	39.3%	32.1%		28
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	7.1%	7.1%	25.0%	32.1%	28.6%		28
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	32.1%	32.1%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15821
Section: BLAB

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 13/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				23.1%	69.2%	7.7%	13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.8**				23.1%	69.2%	7.7%	13
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	13
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	7.7%			23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	7.7%			23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	61.5%	7.7%	13
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q28	I am able to write technical reports.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems.	**4.6**				33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12
Q30	I am able to work effectively in teams.	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				7.7%	84.6%	7.7%	13
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	7.7%			30.8%	53.8%	7.7%	13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**	8.3%			8.3%	75.0%	8.3%	12
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	12
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	58.3%	8.3%	12
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3211: Mechanics of Materials Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 16149

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Thorsen,Richard S.

Response Rate: 31/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	30.0%	60.0%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	3.3%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	43.3%	6.7%	30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	10.0%	26.7%	50.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.0**	10.0%	6.7%	6.7%	23.3%	53.3%		30
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	16.7%	16.7%	46.7%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.6**	20.0%	3.3%	10.0%	30.0%	36.7%		30
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		30
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	36.7%	50.0%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	3.3%	16.7%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	30.0%	30.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	10.0%	6.7%	10.0%	36.7%	36.7%		30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			23.3%	33.3%	43.3%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.7%	10.0%	13.3%	33.3%	36.7%		30
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to use experimental methods and techniques for mechanics of materials problems.	**4.1**	3.2%	6.5%	3.2%	51.6%	35.5%		31
Q28	I am able to write technical reports.	**4.5**		3.2%	3.2%	35.5%	58.1%		31
Q29	I am able to analyze and interpret data in mechanics of materials problems.	**4.4**			3.2%	54.8%	41.9%		31
Q30	I am able to work effectively in teams.	**4.4**		3.2%	9.7%	29.0%	54.8%	3.2%	31
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**	10.0%	6.7%	13.3%	23.3%	46.7%		30
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	3.3%	10.0%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	38.7%	45.2%		31
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**	3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	32.3%	48.4%		31
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.3**		3.2%	19.4%	16.1%	58.1%	3.2%	31
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		3.2%	12.9%	29.0%	54.8%		31
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		3.2%	16.1%	25.8%	51.6%	3.2%	31
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		3.2%	12.9%	25.8%	54.8%	3.2%	31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15152
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7851: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING 2

Primary Instructor: Itkin,Andrey L

Response Rate: 7/9

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Laboratory

Class Number: 15610

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 25/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			12.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**	4.0%	16.0%	28.0%	20.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**		16.0%	4.0%	36.0%	44.0%		25
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		8.0%	16.0%	36.0%	40.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.4**	4.0%	20.0%	32.0%	24.0%	20.0%		25
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	60.0%	4.0%	25
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		8.0%	4.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.0%		44.0%	16.0%	36.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	76.0%		25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.6**			4.2%	29.2%	66.7%		24
Q28	I understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q29	I learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		8.0%	8.0%	52.0%	32.0%		25
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			16.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		4.2%	8.3%	45.8%	41.7%		24
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		24
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		4.2%	16.7%	25.0%	54.2%		24
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		24
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Laboratory

Class Number: 15621

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 18/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**	5.6%			27.8%	66.7%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		5.6%	16.7%	22.2%	55.6%		18
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q28	I understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q29	I learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			11.1%	38.9%	50.0%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Laboratory

Class Number: 15808

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 10/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**	10.0%		50.0%		30.0%	10.0%	10
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**		20.0%		30.0%	50.0%		10
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	10.0%		10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q28	I understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	I learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Lecture

Class Number: 15809

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 19/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q28	I understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q29	I learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing.	**5.0**					100.0%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			15.8%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Laboratory

Class Number: 16147

Section: DLAB

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 11/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**			18.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**		9.1%	54.5%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.9**	9.1%	18.2%		18.2%	54.5%		11
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.2**	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%		11
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			18.2%	45.5%	27.3%	9.1%	11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				27.3%	63.6%	9.1%	11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q28	I understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
Q29	I learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**	9.1%			45.5%	45.5%		11
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2112: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

Lecture

Class Number: 16148

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: DiZinno,Nicholas A.

Response Rate: 31/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	32.3%	51.6%		31
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		3.2%	9.7%	19.4%	67.7%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		6.5%		35.5%	58.1%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			3.2%	22.6%	74.2%		31

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**			3.2%	6.5%	90.3%		31
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			3.2%	19.4%	77.4%		31
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		3.2%		25.8%	71.0%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.2%	16.1%	19.4%	58.1%	3.2%	31
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				19.4%	77.4%	3.2%	31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.9%	87.1%		31

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned how to use a state of the art commercial CAD software.	**5.0**				3.3%	96.7%		30
Q28	I understood multiview drawings and the concepts of sectioning and isometric drawings.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		30
Q29	I learned about dimensioning and tolerancing, and standards and specifications in drawing.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		30
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			6.7%	23.3%	70.0%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**			3.3%	13.3%	83.3%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		30
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**			3.3%	13.3%	83.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15634
Section: A

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2004: Management of Information Technology and Systems

Primary Instructor: Hyman,Alan Samuel

Response Rate: 34/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.9%				94.1%	2.9%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	8.8%	8.8%	2.9%	38.2%	41.2%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	20.6%	20.6%	20.6%	11.8%	26.5%		34
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	8.8%	26.5%	14.7%	11.8%	38.2%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	8.8%	20.6%	14.7%	29.4%	26.5%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	2.9%	5.9%	17.6%	32.4%	38.2%	2.9%	34

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.8%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		34
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	5.9%	8.8%	11.8%	52.9%	20.6%		34
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.9**	23.5%	23.5%	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.9%	8.8%	5.9%	41.2%	38.2%	2.9%	34
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.0%	12.1%	12.1%	42.4%	24.2%	6.1%	33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	17.6%	11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	20.6%	2.9%	34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.1**	12.1%	18.2%	30.3%	24.2%	15.2%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.4**	6.1%	30.3%	9.1%	30.3%	24.2%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.0**	15.2%	27.3%	12.1%	24.2%	18.2%	3.0%	33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.4**	9.1%	15.2%	21.2%	33.3%	21.2%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.1**	17.6%	20.6%	11.8%	26.5%	20.6%	2.9%	34
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	2.9%	11.8%	47.1%	17.6%	17.6%	2.9%	34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3224: INTRO TO OPERATING SYSTM

Lecture

Class Number: 15526

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dolan-Gavitt,Brendan

Response Rate: 52/81

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		98.1%	1.9%	52

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		52
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.5%	19.2%	67.3%		52
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		3.8%	7.7%	23.1%	63.5%	1.9%	52

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		2.0%	7.8%	25.5%	62.7%	2.0%	51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.0%	2.0%	5.9%	33.3%	56.9%		51

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			2.0%	25.5%	72.5%		51
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		51
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		3.9%	11.8%	27.5%	54.9%	2.0%	51
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.9%	7.8%	19.6%	17.6%	45.1%	3.9%	51
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.0%	10.0%	8.0%	34.0%	46.0%		50
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		4.0%	6.0%	32.0%	56.0%	2.0%	50

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			2.0%	24.0%	74.0%		50
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		2.0%	24.0%	20.0%	54.0%		50

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3943: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE / SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture
Class Number: 16136
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dolan-Gavitt,Brendan

Response Rate: 20/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	45.0%	25.0%	20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE / SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture
Class Number: 21677
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dolan-Gavitt,Brendan

Response Rate: 20/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		10.0%	15.0%	5.0%	45.0%	25.0%	20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20207
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
AH 2114: History of Art as Techné

Primary Instructor: Tannenbaum,Sondra

Response Rate: 21/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	71.4%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			19.0%	19.0%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	76.2%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	76.2%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.4**			10.5%	42.1%	47.4%		19
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.3**			15.8%	36.8%	47.4%		19
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q30	This course helped you understand the impact of technology on society.	**4.2**			31.6%	21.1%	47.4%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
A28	I have enhanced my ability to use technology and graphics to present ideas and decisions	**4.2**		10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	52.6%		19
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.3**		5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	57.9%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6383: High-Speed Networks

Lecture

Class Number: 21667

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Xu,Yang

Response Rate: 5/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.2**		20.0%	40.0%	40.0%			5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.5**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	20.0%		40.0%		20.0%	20.0%	5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.8**			40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			60.0%		20.0%	20.0%	5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1114: INTRO TO PROGRAMMING & PROBLEM SOLVING

Lecture
Class Number: 15831
Section: EXLC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 25/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.0%	4.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			8.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			8.0%	52.0%	40.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.0%	16.0%	20.0%	24.0%	24.0%	4.0%	25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.2%	8.3%	50.0%	33.3%	4.2%	24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	4.0%		4.0%	36.0%	56.0%		25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with writing conditional statements and loops in Python	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q28	I am comfortable with breaking a large problem into pieces and implementing and calling functions in Python	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		25
Q29	I am comfortable with using lists and dictionaries in Python	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25
Q30	You were taught and encouraged good software development practices.	**4.4**			16.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			16.0%	44.0%	40.0%		25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		4.0%	4.0%	40.0%	52.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			8.0%	44.0%	48.0%		25
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	8.0%	4.0%	44.0%	8.0%	36.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1124: Object Oriented Programming

Lecture
Class Number: 15520
Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 30/75

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					93.3%	6.7%	30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	66.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.3%		23.3%	20.0%	53.3%		30
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	23.3%	63.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		30

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		6.7%	3.3%	43.3%	46.7%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		23.3%	6.7%	26.7%	43.3%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	23.3%	23.3%	6.7%	30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.3%	10.0%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		3.3%	16.7%	50.0%	30.0%		30

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	You were taught the fundamentals of object-oriented thinking, design and programming.	**4.5**			3.4%	44.8%	51.7%		29
Q28	You were taught the details and correct use of the C programming language.	**4.2**	6.9%		6.9%	37.9%	48.3%		29
Q29	Your ability to program has increased.	**4.5**				53.6%	46.4%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**			26.7%	36.7%	36.7%		30
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		6.7%	30.0%	43.3%	20.0%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**			31.0%	27.6%	41.4%		29
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**			24.1%	34.5%	41.4%		29
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	3.4%	3.4%	34.5%	34.5%	24.1%		29
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	3.4%		17.2%	44.8%	34.5%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture
Class Number: 21183
Section: DLEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 14/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	50.0%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.3**		7.7%		46.2%	46.2%		13
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			21.4%	28.6%	50.0%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 21184

Section: ELEC

Primary Instructor: Katz-Braunschweig,Daniel

Response Rate: 11/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%		9.1%	11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			36.4%	9.1%	54.5%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		10
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		10.0%	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%		10
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15148
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6731: Basel 3 & Banking Assets Management

Primary Instructor: Makarov,Victor

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	72.7%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	72.7%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	9.1%	9.1%		9.1%	72.7%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6843: Computer Networking

Lecture
Class Number: 15108
Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Portnoy,Rafail

Response Rate: 29/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	3.4%			6.9%	89.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	3.4%		6.9%	24.1%	65.5%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	3.4%			20.7%	75.9%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	3.4%	3.4%		20.7%	72.4%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**	3.4%			6.9%	89.7%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**	3.4%			13.8%	82.8%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	3.4%			37.9%	58.6%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.4%		6.9%	17.2%	72.4%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	3.4%			20.7%	72.4%	3.4%	29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**	3.4%			20.7%	75.9%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**4.7**	3.4%			20.7%	75.9%		29
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**4.6**	3.4%			24.1%	72.4%		29
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**4.5**	3.4%	3.4%		24.1%	69.0%		29

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**	3.4%			10.3%	86.2%		29
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**	3.4%			6.9%	89.7%		29
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**	3.4%		3.4%	10.3%	82.8%		29
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**	3.4%			17.2%	79.3%		29
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**	3.4%			13.8%	82.8%		29
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**	3.4%	3.4%		10.3%	82.8%		29
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**	3.4%	3.4%		10.3%	82.8%		29
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**	3.4%		37.9%	10.3%	48.3%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			3.4%	44.8%	51.7%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Laboratory

Class Number: 15538B

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ulerio, Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 24/26

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	4.2%	70.8%		24
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**		20.8%	4.2%	41.7%	33.3%		24
B1b. The Laboratory Instructor: Ronan,Anne D		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		4.2%	8.3%		87.5%		24
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	79.2%		24
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	83.3%		24
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	29.2%	54.2%		24
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	4.2%		8.3%	20.8%	66.7%		24
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		4.2%	12.5%	20.8%	62.5%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		12.5%	4.2%	33.3%	50.0%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	8.3%	8.3%	4.2%	33.3%	45.8%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	12.5%	33.3%	4.2%	33.3%	4.2%	24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	29.2%	37.5%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	8.3%	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	62.5%		24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.2**		12.5%	12.5%	20.8%	54.2%		24
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor	**4.2**		4.2%	12.5%	41.7%	41.7%		24
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%		24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.1**		4.2%	20.8%	37.5%	37.5%		24
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			20.8%	33.3%	45.8%		24
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**		4.2%	37.5%	16.7%	41.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Laboratory

Class Number: 15538C

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Ulerio, Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 25/26

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		4.0%	12.0%	36.0%	48.0%		25
B1b. The Laboratory Instructor: Ronan,Anne D		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**	4.0%			20.0%	76.0%		25
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	4.0%			24.0%	72.0%		25
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**	4.0%	4.0%		20.0%	72.0%		25
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	4.0%	4.0%		12.0%	80.0%		25
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			4.0%	24.0%	72.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**	4.0%			24.0%	72.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	28.0%	52.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.0%		24.0%	24.0%	44.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	4.3%		13.0%	34.8%	47.8%		23
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.2**	4.0%		20.0%	24.0%	52.0%		25
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**		4.0%	12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**	8.0%		16.0%	32.0%	44.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2343: Transportation Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15968

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ulerio,Jose Miguel

Response Rate: 23/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		4.3%	21.7%	26.1%	47.8%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			17.4%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			4.3%	52.2%	43.5%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	30.4%	60.9%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced by ability to apply mathematics, science and engineering techniques to solve transportation related problems in transportation planning and highway design.	**4.4**			8.7%	43.5%	47.8%		23
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic freeway segments capacity analysis.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the transportation planning process.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q30	I have enhanced by ability to perform basic engineering economic analysis.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q31	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues.	**4.3**			4.3%	56.5%	34.8%	4.3%	23
Q32	I learned to use Autodesk Civil 3D to perform parking layout design, as well as horizontal and vertical alignments	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	43.5%	43.5%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			4.3%	65.2%	30.4%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**			8.7%	47.8%	43.5%		23
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	30.4%		23
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**			13.0%	43.5%	39.1%	4.3%	23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			8.7%	39.1%	52.2%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 14975

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 14/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				92.9%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	42.9%	14.3%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	21.4%	14.3%	21.4%	28.6%	14.3%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.1**	14.3%	21.4%	21.4%	28.6%	14.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.2**	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.9**	21.4%	35.7%		21.4%	21.4%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.1**	28.6%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	28.6%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.1**	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.5**	21.4%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.2**	14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.5**	14.3%	14.3%		50.0%	21.4%		14

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.6**	14.3%	21.4%		21.4%	42.9%		14
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.1**	21.4%	7.1%	35.7%	14.3%	21.4%		14
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.4**	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%		14
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.1**	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		35.7%		14
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.6**	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	21.4%		14
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		14
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.9**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	50.0%		14
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**		7.1%	35.7%	21.4%	35.7%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	14.3%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%	21.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Lecture
Class Number: 15198
Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 26/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.8%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.7%	3.8%	30.8%	57.7%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		7.7%	11.5%	34.6%	46.2%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	7.7%		3.8%	46.2%	42.3%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	42.3%	30.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.8%		15.4%	42.3%	38.5%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			3.8%	34.6%	61.5%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	26.9%	42.3%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		3.8%	3.8%	46.2%	46.2%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			7.7%	50.0%	38.5%	3.8%	26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.8%		7.7%	42.3%	46.2%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	38.5%	50.0%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	46.2%	38.5%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	42.3%	42.3%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**		3.8%	15.4%	34.6%	46.2%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		3.8%	19.2%	38.5%	38.5%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15331
Section: MOT

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

VIG 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICI

Primary Instructor: Veeraiah,Vivek

Response Rate: 28/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	17.9%	67.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			14.3%	17.9%	67.9%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.6%		10.7%	85.7%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		10.7%	7.1%	35.7%	46.4%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	3.6%	3.6%		28.6%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.6%	10.7%	14.3%	71.4%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.7%	11.1%	7.4%	3.7%	74.1%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		7.1%	3.6%	28.6%	60.7%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	46.4%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	71.4%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	39.3%	46.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3122: STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15779

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ghandehari,Masoud

Response Rate: 19/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	27.8%				72.2%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	47.4%	26.3%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	21.1%	21.1%	10.5%	31.6%	15.8%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	21.1%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	15.8%	21.1%	15.8%	42.1%	5.3%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	15.8%		26.3%	47.4%	10.5%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**2.9**	31.6%	10.5%		47.4%	10.5%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.3**	47.4%	10.5%	10.5%	31.6%			19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.8**	42.1%		5.3%	42.1%	10.5%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.6**	36.8%	5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	5.3%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	26.3%		36.8%	26.3%	10.5%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.1**	26.3%	10.5%	5.3%	42.1%	15.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.8**	26.3%	10.5%	21.1%	36.8%	5.3%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.5**	31.6%	15.8%	21.1%	31.6%			19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.1**	15.8%	15.8%	15.8%	47.4%	5.3%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.1**	21.1%	10.5%	10.5%	52.6%	5.3%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20361
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 6053: MONITORING CITIES

Primary Instructor: Ghandehari,Masoud

Response Rate: 18/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.8%				81.3%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			16.7%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		5.6%	22.2%	16.7%	55.6%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	11.1%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Lecture

Class Number: 15561

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Robinson-Surry,Julia M

Response Rate: 61/98

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%				95.0%	1.7%	60

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.3%	11.5%	85.2%		61
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		1.6%	13.1%	18.0%	65.6%	1.6%	61
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			4.9%	29.5%	65.6%		61

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	1.6%	6.6%	6.6%	41.0%	44.3%		61
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			4.9%	29.5%	65.6%		61

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			3.3%	14.8%	82.0%		61
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		1.7%	3.3%	20.0%	75.0%		60
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	1.6%	8.2%	6.6%	44.3%	39.3%		61
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.3%	1.6%	9.8%	42.6%	42.6%		61
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		9.8%	9.8%	41.0%	39.3%		61
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.3%	3.3%	6.6%	42.6%	44.3%		61

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.7**		1.7%	1.7%	26.7%	70.0%		60
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.7**		1.7%	3.3%	23.3%	71.7%		60
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.1**	1.7%	13.3%	5.0%	36.7%	43.3%		60

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			4.9%	31.1%	63.9%		61
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	1.6%		23.0%	41.0%	34.4%		61

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		3.3%	5.0%	48.3%	43.3%		60
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**	1.7%	3.3%	5.0%	51.7%	38.3%		60
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	1.7%	3.3%	33.3%	31.7%	30.0%		60

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Lecture

Class Number: 15703

Section: DLEC

Primary Instructor: Robinson-Surry,Julia M

Response Rate: 100/137

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		97

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			1.0%	7.0%	92.0%		100
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	1.0%	1.0%	10.0%	12.0%	74.0%	2.0%	100
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	1.0%	3.0%	8.0%	22.0%	66.0%		100

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	1.0%	7.0%	12.0%	17.0%	63.0%		100
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.0%	9.0%	21.0%	67.0%		100

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		2.0%	5.0%	16.0%	77.0%		100
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	2.0%	6.0%	4.0%	14.0%	74.0%		100
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	3.0%	3.0%	2.0%	30.0%	61.0%	1.0%	100
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	1.0%	3.0%	18.0%	30.0%	46.0%	2.0%	100
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		7.0%	9.0%	32.0%	51.0%	1.0%	100
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	1.0%	2.0%	11.1%	30.3%	54.5%	1.0%	99

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.7**			2.2%	26.9%	71.0%		93
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.6**		1.1%	3.2%	25.8%	69.9%		93
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.1**	1.1%	11.8%	10.8%	31.2%	45.2%		93

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**		1.0%	5.0%	19.0%	74.0%	1.0%	100
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	1.0%	2.0%	16.0%	32.0%	48.0%	1.0%	100

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	1.1%	4.3%	5.4%	40.9%	47.3%	1.1%	93
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**	1.1%	3.2%	10.8%	38.7%	45.2%	1.1%	93
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	2.2%	11.8%	26.9%	26.9%	29.0%	3.2%	93

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2223: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY II

Lecture

Class Number: 15885

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Robinson-Surry,Julia M

Response Rate: 8/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6711: Quantitative Portfolio Management

Lecture

Class Number: 15131

Section: I1

Primary Instructor: Ndiaye,Papa Momar

Response Rate: 7/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					71.4%	28.6%	7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6013: Digital Communications

Lecture

Class Number: 21666

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai

Response Rate: 6/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**		16.7%		50.0%	33.3%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6023: Wireless Communications

Lecture

Class Number: 15225

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Lu,I-Tai

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.7%	7.7%		84.6%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	7.7%			23.1%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	7.7%				92.3%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		7.7%		7.7%	84.6%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.7%		23.1%	69.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15514
Section: D

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 1/36

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies			No				Yes		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15949
Section: E

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 1/32

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16203
Section: A3

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Primary Instructor: Medina,Luciano Manuel

Response Rate: 1/41

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	100.0%			1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

		Avg Of Results	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course						
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**1.0**	100.0%			1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**	100.0%			1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15781

Section: B3RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Hegde,Suryanarayan

Response Rate: 19/24

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	16.7%	44.4%		18
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	11.1%	22.2%	5.6%	16.7%	44.4%		18
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	5.6%	22.2%	5.6%	22.2%	44.4%		18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	5.6%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	55.6%		18

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**3.6**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15735

Section: A2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Hegde,Suryanarayan

Response Rate: 22/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		13.6%	4.5%	36.4%	45.5%		22
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**			22.7%	27.3%	50.0%		22
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		13.6%	13.6%	22.7%	45.5%	4.5%	22
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		9.1%	13.6%	36.4%	40.9%		22
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		13.6%	9.1%	36.4%	40.9%		22

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	31.8%	40.9%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6003: Engineering Economics

Lecture

Class Number: 15194

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Brooks,Gary

Response Rate: 20/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.5%				89.5%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**	15.0%	20.0%	10.0%	35.0%	20.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	20.0%	5.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	25.0%	35.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	10.0%	15.0%		45.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	30.0%	20.0%		25.0%	25.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**	10.0%	5.0%	10.0%	50.0%	25.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	15.0%	25.0%	20.0%	15.0%	25.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	20.0%	15.0%		35.0%	30.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	15.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	21.1%	26.3%		19
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.2**	10.5%	26.3%	10.5%	36.8%	15.8%		19
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.3**	10.5%	15.8%	15.8%	47.4%	10.5%		19
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.3**	10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	36.8%	15.8%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	15.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	15.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	45.0%	20.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20301
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 1143: Ideation & Prototyping

Primary Instructor: Propati,Domenick G

Response Rate: 18/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	77.8%	5.6%	18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	5.6%			11.1%	83.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		5.6%		38.9%	55.6%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			33.3%	16.7%	44.4%	5.6%	18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15987
Section: B

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter

Response Rate: 31/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**			3.2%	6.5%	90.3%		31
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			3.2%	25.8%	71.0%		31
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				9.7%	90.3%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.7**				25.8%	74.2%		31
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			6.5%	29.0%	64.5%		31
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			3.2%	16.1%	77.4%	3.2%	31
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.6%	74.2%	3.2%	31
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		3.2%	6.5%	35.5%	51.6%	3.2%	31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.9%	25.8%	58.1%	3.2%	31
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	6.5%	12.9%	12.9%	25.8%	38.7%	3.2%	31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		6.5%	9.7%	35.5%	45.2%	3.2%	31
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				16.1%	83.9%		31
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		6.7%	30.0%	26.7%	33.3%	3.3%	30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.4**		3.2%	3.2%	45.2%	45.2%	3.2%	31
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**			16.7%	46.7%	33.3%	3.3%	30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15989
Section: D

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Primary Instructor: Fried,Peter

Response Rate: 32/33

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**				3.1%	96.9%		32
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		9.4%		31.3%	59.4%		32
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.1%	96.9%		32
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**				3.1%	96.9%		32
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				6.5%	93.5%		31
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		32
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	21.9%	65.6%		32
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				9.4%	90.6%		32
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	3.1%	6.3%	12.5%	21.9%	56.3%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.1%	9.4%		28.1%	59.4%		32
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.1%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	59.4%		32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	35.5%	48.4%		31
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**				3.1%	96.9%		32
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	9.4%	3.1%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%		32
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**		3.2%	9.7%	22.6%	61.3%	3.2%	31
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**		3.2%	12.9%	29.0%	51.6%	3.2%	31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Lecture
Class Number: 15603
Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 8/10

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		7

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**5.0**					100.0%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Recitation

Class Number: 16165

Section: AREC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 9/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			88.9%		9

B1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	22.2%		66.7%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
R43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15928
Section: ALAB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 4844: TOPICS IN BIOLOGY

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 11/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.1%		27.3%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 4844: TOPICS IN BIOLOGY

Lecture

Class Number: 15929

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Lee,Tommy S

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				81.8%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Lecture

Class Number: 15570

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 65/99

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.1%				95.4%	1.5%	65

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.6%	7.7%	32.3%	53.8%	1.5%	65
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	4.6%	12.3%	44.6%	21.5%	12.3%	4.6%	65
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	6.2%	16.9%	13.8%	41.5%	20.0%	1.5%	65

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.9**	10.8%	32.3%	26.2%	16.9%	12.3%	1.5%	65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	3.1%	3.1%	9.2%	50.8%	30.8%	3.1%	65

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	1.5%	4.6%	6.2%	43.1%	43.1%	1.5%	65
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	1.5%	10.8%	15.4%	38.5%	32.3%	1.5%	65
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	4.6%	12.3%	26.2%	38.5%	16.9%	1.5%	65
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.1%	7.8%	17.2%	35.9%	34.4%	1.6%	64
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	3.1%	12.3%	16.9%	43.1%	23.1%	1.5%	65
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	4.6%	6.2%	27.7%	36.9%	23.1%	1.5%	65

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**	1.6%	4.8%	4.8%	41.9%	45.2%	1.6%	62
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**	1.6%	1.6%	4.8%	53.2%	37.1%	1.6%	62
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**3.6**	8.2%	14.8%	9.8%	39.3%	26.2%	1.6%	61

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	1.6%	7.8%	20.3%	46.9%	18.8%	4.7%	64
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		7.7%	29.2%	44.6%	13.8%	4.6%	65

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	1.6%	6.5%	19.4%	54.8%	16.1%	1.6%	62
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**	3.2%	8.1%	17.7%	51.6%	17.7%	1.6%	62
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.1**	8.1%	24.2%	27.4%	24.2%	14.5%	1.6%	62

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15585

Section: B4LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 16/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		12.5%	18.8%	50.0%	18.8%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.9**		6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	18.8%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	6.3%		25.0%	50.0%	18.8%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.6**		6.3%	43.8%	31.3%	18.8%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		18.8%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	6.3%		18.8%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	6.3%		25.0%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	6.3%		18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	6.3%		12.5%	50.0%	31.3%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.1**		6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%		16
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**		6.3%	25.0%	43.8%	25.0%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**			37.5%	43.8%	18.8%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**		6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	18.8%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**		6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	31.3%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		12.5%	12.5%	56.3%	18.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15587

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 16/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		6.3%	6.3%	62.5%	25.0%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**		18.8%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		18.8%	6.3%	43.8%	31.3%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	6.3%			37.5%	56.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**		18.8%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	31.3%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.3%	6.3%	56.3%	31.3%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			25.0%	43.8%	31.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			25.0%	62.5%	12.5%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			18.8%	56.3%	25.0%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			18.8%	50.0%	31.3%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	6.3%		18.8%	68.8%	6.3%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15766

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 16/18

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	6.3%	12.5%		56.3%	25.0%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**		18.8%	18.8%	56.3%	6.3%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.1**		25.0%	37.5%	37.5%			16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		6.3%	18.8%	50.0%	25.0%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		12.5%	6.3%	56.3%	25.0%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		6.3%	31.3%	50.0%	12.5%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.7**		12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			18.8%	68.8%	12.5%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**		6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	12.5%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**		12.5%	6.3%	56.3%	25.0%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**		25.0%	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14665
Section: A

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 5021: Information Sources for the Chemical Sciences

Primary Instructor: Pollack,Myron I.

Response Rate: 8/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6103: ANATOMY, PHYSIOLOGY, & BIOPHYSICS I

Lecture

Class Number: 14731

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Resta,Gene Robert

Response Rate: 12/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%				75.0%	8.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	16.7%	16.7%		41.7%	25.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**			16.7%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	8.3%	8.3%		50.0%	33.3%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**		41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	16.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		8.3%	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	8.3%	25.0%	8.3%	41.7%	16.7%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	8.3%	50.0%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	8.3%	12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.1**	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14722
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 8283: Risk Analysis

Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.

Response Rate: 26/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		7.7%		19.2%	73.1%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.8%		7.7%	88.5%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		3.8%		7.7%	88.5%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				12.0%	84.0%	4.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			3.8%	42.3%	50.0%	3.8%	26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		3.8%		30.8%	65.4%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15443
Section: INET

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 8283: Risk Analysis

Primary Instructor: Bates,Andrew J.

Response Rate: 6/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	16.7%				83.3%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%		50.0%	16.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	16.7%			50.0%	33.3%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	16.7%				83.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**	16.7%			16.7%	66.7%		6
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15146
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Primary Instructor: Alizadeh,Sassan

Response Rate: 9/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	22.2%				55.6%	22.2%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15184
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 6063: Creative Coding

Primary Instructor: Siwoff,Kevin Jacob

Response Rate: 13/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 6063: Creative Coding

Lecture

Class Number: 25558

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Siwoff,Kevin Jacob

Response Rate: 4/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					75.0%	25.0%	4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14682

Section: I5LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 12/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	16.7%	58.3%		12
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14683

Section: I1LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 4/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14685

Section: I3LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 15/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	40.0%	33.3%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Lecture
Class Number: 14686
Section: ILEC

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 65/72

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		65

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		1.5%	4.6%	44.6%	49.2%		65
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		1.5%	10.8%	40.0%	46.2%	1.5%	65
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		4.6%	10.8%	40.0%	44.6%		65

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		3.1%	16.9%	40.0%	40.0%		65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	1.5%	7.7%	9.2%	46.2%	33.8%	1.5%	65

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		3.1%	4.6%	30.8%	61.5%		65
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		3.1%	6.2%	36.9%	53.8%		65
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		6.2%	13.8%	38.5%	41.5%		65
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.3%	7.8%	35.9%	50.0%		64
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.1%	4.6%	10.8%	35.4%	46.2%		65
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		1.5%	9.2%	38.5%	50.8%		65

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**			15.4%	47.7%	36.9%		65
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		1.5%	16.9%	41.5%	40.0%		65

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 14687

Section: I4LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 11/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**		9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%	27.3%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			18.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	11
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	9.1%			27.3%	63.6%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Lecture
Class Number: 15389
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 5/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.6**		20.0%	40.0%		40.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**		20.0%	20.0%		60.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.6**	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.6**	20.0%	20.0%			60.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 15389

Section: INET

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 5/11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory
Class Number: 15390
Section: O1LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 2/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		2

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**		100.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**		100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**		100.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**		100.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**		100.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 15390

Section: O1LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 2/3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory
Class Number: 15391
Section: O2LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 1/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				1

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory

Class Number: 15391

Section: O2LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 1/3

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Laboratory
Class Number: 15392
Section: O3LB

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 2/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 15392

Section: O3LB

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5373: INTERNET ARCHITECTURE & PROTOCOLS

Primary Instructor: Panwar,Shivendra

Response Rate: 2/4

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

BE 6403: Digital Signal Processing I

Lecture

Class Number: 14648

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 45/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.4%			93.3%	2.2%	45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	6.7%	88.9%		45
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	13.3%	82.2%		45
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.6%	84.4%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	2.2%		2.2%	13.3%	82.2%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	2.2%		6.7%	6.7%	84.4%		45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	2.2%			15.6%	82.2%		45
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	8.9%	86.7%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%	13.3%	77.8%		45
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%	17.8%	73.3%		45
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	15.6%	77.8%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	2.2%		6.7%	2.2%	88.9%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			2.2%	6.7%	91.1%		45
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			2.2%	15.6%	82.2%		45

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3054: Signals and Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 15556

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 36/53

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	30.6%				63.9%	5.6%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		36
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.8%	2.8%	11.1%	77.8%	5.6%	36
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		36

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	2.8%	8.3%	2.8%	11.1%	75.0%		36
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				13.9%	86.1%		36

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		2.8%		16.7%	80.6%		36
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.8%		11.1%	86.1%		36
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		36
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%	8.3%	13.9%	55.6%	11.1%	36
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	75.0%	2.8%	36
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				13.9%	86.1%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			2.8%	8.3%	88.9%		36
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			2.8%	13.9%	83.3%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3054: Signals and Systems

Laboratory

Class Number: 15557

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 21/53

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	14.3%		9.5%	23.8%	52.4%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			4.8%	19.0%	76.2%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Lecture

Class Number: 14675

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 45/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.4%			93.3%	2.2%	45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	6.7%	88.9%		45
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	13.3%	82.2%		45
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.6%	84.4%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	2.2%		2.2%	13.3%	82.2%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	2.2%		6.7%	6.7%	84.4%		45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	2.2%			15.6%	82.2%		45
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	8.9%	86.7%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%	13.3%	77.8%		45
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%	17.8%	73.3%		45
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	15.6%	77.8%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	2.2%		6.7%	2.2%	88.9%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			2.2%	6.7%	91.1%		45
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			2.2%	15.6%	82.2%		45

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6113: Digital Signal Processing I

Lecture

Class Number: 15398

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Voltz,Peter J.

Response Rate: 23/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	4.3%			26.1%	69.6%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			4.3%	39.1%	56.5%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	4.3%		4.3%	13.0%	78.3%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	4.3%		4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**		4.3%		21.7%	73.9%		23
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.4**			21.7%	13.0%	60.9%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8303: Information Systems in Project Management

Lecture

Class Number: 14762

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ergan,Semiha

Response Rate: 26/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		7.7%		19.2%	73.1%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.7%	34.6%	57.7%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		7.7%		50.0%	42.3%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				42.3%	57.7%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.0%	4.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			11.5%	38.5%	50.0%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		3.8%	11.5%	46.2%	38.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		3.8%	15.4%	38.5%	42.3%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.5%	3.8%	42.3%	42.3%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.8%		42.3%	53.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			7.7%	42.3%	50.0%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		3.8%	15.4%	57.7%	23.1%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5673: Electronic Power Supplies

Lecture

Class Number: 15219

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 28/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6673: RESONANT POWER CONVERTERS

Lecture

Class Number: 21662

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Czarkowski,Dariusz

Response Rate: 4/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**		100.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14635
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9053: SPECIAL TOPICS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

Primary Instructor: Langel,Brian

Response Rate: 49/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.1%				97.9%		48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		2.0%		6.1%	89.8%	2.0%	49
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.0%	4.1%	8.2%	83.7%	2.0%	49
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	20.8%	72.9%		48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	2.0%	4.1%		18.4%	73.5%	2.0%	49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.1%	10.2%	6.1%	22.4%	55.1%		49

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	2.0%		4.1%	22.4%	71.4%		49
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		2.0%	4.1%	14.3%	79.6%		49
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		4.1%	2.0%	14.3%	79.6%		49
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		4.2%	6.3%	20.8%	64.6%	4.2%	48
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	8.2%	4.1%	4.1%	22.4%	61.2%		49
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.5%	85.1%	2.1%	47

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			4.1%	14.3%	79.6%	2.0%	49
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**	2.0%		2.0%	22.4%	73.5%		49

Office of Assessment and Institutional Research

13-February-2017

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15138
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6131: CLEARING AND SETTLEMENT AND OPERATIONAL RISK

Primary Instructor: Malhotra,Naresh

Response Rate: 4/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16178
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
EN 3104: Science Fiction Workshop

Primary Instructor: Schreiber,Samuel G

Response Rate: 19/29

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%	89.5%	5.3%	19

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	73.7%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		10.5%	21.1%	21.1%	47.4%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			26.3%	15.8%	57.9%		19
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		5.3%	36.8%	5.3%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	52.6%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	73.7%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

AE 4603: Compressible Flow

Project

Class Number: 15802

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender

Response Rate: 22/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			4.5%		95.5%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.5%	9.1%		27.3%	59.1%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand fundamentals of compressible flows, and distinct features of subsonic, transonic, supersonic and hypersonic flows and the role of density variations in fluid flow.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q28	I am able to simplify, formulate and solve compressible flow problems. Ability to approximate flow through combustors, tail pipes, and fuel lines. Ability to calculate flow properties across normal shocks.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		22
Q29	I am able to calculate flow properties across oblique shocks and expansion fans. Understanding the relations between flow Mach number, flow deflection angle and shock wave angle.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q30	I am able to calculate lift and drag over wedge and cone surfaces at supersonic Mach numbers.	**4.3**		4.5%	9.1%	40.9%	45.5%		22
Q31	I am able to design and analyze flow through propulsion nozzles and diffusers.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			18.2%	22.7%	59.1%		22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				31.8%	68.2%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15778

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender

Response Rate: 19/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		21.1%	10.5%	21.1%	47.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.5%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		5.3%		21.1%	73.7%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
Q28	I learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q29	I learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	47.4%		19
Q30	I learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	**4.5**		5.3%		36.8%	57.9%		19
Q31	I analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q32	I learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field.	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	42.1%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		10.5%	5.3%	26.3%	57.9%		19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				57.9%	42.1%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.2**		10.5%		47.4%	42.1%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		5.3%		47.4%	47.4%		19
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**		5.3%		47.4%	47.4%		19
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**		10.5%	5.3%	36.8%	47.4%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		10.5%		42.1%	47.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15778

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Sahin,Iskender

Response Rate: 19/37

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.4**			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6253: Linear Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 21196

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad

Response Rate: 74/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.7%				91.9%	5.4%	74

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	2.7%	5.4%		29.7%	62.2%		74
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	2.7%	10.8%	13.5%	29.7%	37.8%	5.4%	74
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	5.4%	5.4%	16.2%	35.1%	37.8%		74

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	5.4%	5.4%	8.1%	32.4%	48.6%		74
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.4%	5.4%	2.7%	32.4%	54.1%		74

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	32.4%	59.5%		74
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	2.7%	5.4%	2.7%	35.1%	54.1%		74
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	29.7%	54.1%		74
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	40.5%	45.9%		74
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	2.7%	13.5%	8.1%	29.7%	45.9%		74
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	24.3%	59.5%		74

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	2.7%		8.1%	43.2%	45.9%		74
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			8.1%	40.5%	51.4%		74

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6253: Linear Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 21197
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Khorrami,Farshad

Response Rate: 4/4

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		25.0%	50.0%	25.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.8**		25.0%	25.0%		50.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			75.0%			25.0%	4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7423: WATER & WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15368

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Om,Joon

Response Rate: 12/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	41.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		25.0%		33.3%	41.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	8.3%	16.7%		16.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			41.7%	16.7%	41.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	8.3%		8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			41.7%	25.0%	33.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15898
Section: B

General Studies
GS 104: STUDY SKILLS

Primary Instructor: Stark,Rachael

Response Rate: 3/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	33.3%				66.7%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Lecture

Class Number: 15107

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: O'Brien,Keith

Response Rate: 27/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				96.3%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	3.7%		11.1%	18.5%	66.7%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	7.4%	3.7%		33.3%	55.6%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	3.7%	7.4%		18.5%	70.4%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	7.4%	3.7%		11.1%	77.8%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	3.7%			33.3%	63.0%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	48.1%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%	3.7%	25.9%	59.3%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		11.1%		37.0%	51.9%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%	7.4%	25.9%	55.6%		27

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**	7.4%	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	66.7%		27
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	7.4%		7.4%	29.6%	55.6%		27
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**		11.1%		14.8%	74.1%		27
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**	3.7%	3.7%		22.2%	66.7%	3.7%	27
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	7.4%			18.5%	70.4%	3.7%	27
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**	3.7%			25.9%	66.7%	3.7%	27
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**	3.7%			33.3%	59.3%	3.7%	27
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	22.2%	48.1%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			7.4%	29.6%	63.0%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		3.7%	7.4%	44.4%	44.4%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4783: Applied Cryptography

Lecture

Class Number: 16055

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 22/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	31.8%	40.9%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	23.8%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	28.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	9.1%	4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	40.9%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	4.5%		9.1%	22.7%	63.6%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	13.6%		4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.1%	18.2%	4.5%	31.8%	36.4%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	36.4%	27.3%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	18.2%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	31.8%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6903: Applied Cryptography

Lecture

Class Number: 14770

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 9/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.1**	22.2%	33.3%			44.4%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**		22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.0**		22.2%		33.3%	44.4%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	66.7%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.7**		33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6903: Applied Cryptography

Lecture

Class Number: 15155

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Di Crescenzo,Giovanni

Response Rate: 22/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	31.8%	40.9%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	36.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	23.8%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	28.6%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	9.1%	4.5%	13.6%	31.8%	40.9%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	4.5%		9.1%	22.7%	63.6%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	13.6%		4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	9.1%	18.2%	4.5%	31.8%	36.4%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	9.1%	13.6%	13.6%	36.4%	27.3%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	9.1%	9.1%		36.4%	45.5%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	18.2%	13.6%	9.1%	27.3%	31.8%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	42.9%	28.6%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8673: Technology Strategy

Lecture

Class Number: 14710

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Richardson,Robert

Response Rate: 39/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			2.6%	25.6%	71.8%		39
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		39
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				43.6%	56.4%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.7%	25.6%	66.7%		39

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		7.7%	2.6%	25.6%	64.1%		39
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		12.8%	5.1%	38.5%	43.6%		39
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			2.6%	33.3%	64.1%		39
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.7%	2.6%	35.9%	53.8%		39
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		38
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.6%	2.6%	30.8%	64.1%		39

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		2.6%	2.6%	46.2%	48.7%		39
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		2.6%	7.7%	51.3%	38.5%		39
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		5.1%		46.2%	48.7%		39
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			5.1%	35.9%	59.0%		39
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			7.7%	51.3%	41.0%		39

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 7993: SUPPLY CHAIN ENGINEERING

Lecture

Class Number: 15196

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Walker,William T

Response Rate: 28/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	82.1%	3.6%	28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	82.1%	3.6%	28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				21.4%	75.0%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				46.4%	53.6%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			3.6%	28.6%	67.9%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			3.6%	21.4%	75.0%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.7%	85.7%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 9113: Selected Topics in IE

Lecture

Class Number: 21202

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Walker,William T

Response Rate: 9/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Lecture

Class Number: 15395

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chen,Xiao-Kang

Response Rate: 21/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				90.5%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				19.0%	76.2%	4.8%	21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			9.5%	4.8%	81.0%	4.8%	21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	4.8%		4.8%	33.3%	52.4%	4.8%	21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	4.8%	4.8%		28.6%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.5%	14.3%	71.4%	4.8%	21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	66.7%	4.8%	21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			5.0%	15.0%	75.0%	5.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.8%	4.8%	38.1%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	4.8%			47.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	61.9%	4.8%	21

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**		4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	23.8%	57.1%		21
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.6**			9.5%	23.8%	66.7%		21
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		21
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		21
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**			4.8%	28.6%	66.7%		21
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**			14.3%	19.0%	66.7%		21
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			19.0%	28.6%	47.6%	4.8%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			9.5%	19.0%	66.7%	4.8%	21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				47.6%	52.4%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3024: Management of Data Communications and Networking

Lecture
Class Number: 15639
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 29/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.9%	13.8%	79.3%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.3%	10.3%	79.3%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		3.6%	7.1%	14.3%	75.0%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	82.8%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	24.1%	62.1%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		3.4%		34.5%	62.1%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	3.4%		10.3%	34.5%	51.7%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.4%	10.3%	24.1%	62.1%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.4%	3.4%	6.9%	34.5%	51.7%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	64.3%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			20.7%	41.4%	37.9%		29
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**			17.2%	34.5%	48.3%		29
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**			24.1%	27.6%	48.3%		29
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**	3.4%		3.4%	34.5%	58.6%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**		3.4%	3.4%	17.2%	75.9%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	3.4%		10.3%	37.9%	48.3%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7953: Global Innovation

Lecture

Class Number: 14974

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 45/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.4%				95.6%		45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.2%	2.2%	22.2%	73.3%		45
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.9%	91.1%		45
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	2.2%	2.2%	6.7%	26.7%	62.2%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	20.0%	68.9%		45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		44
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		11.1%	2.2%	26.7%	60.0%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		2.2%	2.2%	33.3%	62.2%		45
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	22.2%	64.4%		45
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	2.2%	4.4%	4.4%	31.1%	57.8%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.4%		26.7%	68.9%		45

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		2.3%	9.3%	32.6%	55.8%		43
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		2.3%	7.0%	18.6%	72.1%		43
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		2.3%	4.7%	32.6%	60.5%		43
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			4.7%	25.6%	69.8%		43

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		45
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			6.8%	34.1%	59.1%		44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7953: Global Innovation

Lecture

Class Number: 21241

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 14/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	7.1%			7.1%	85.7%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					92.9%	7.1%	14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%		78.6%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%		78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	71.4%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	7.1%		14.3%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	7.1%			21.4%	71.4%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**	7.1%				92.9%		14
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.6**	7.1%			7.1%	85.7%		14
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**	7.1%				92.9%		14
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**	7.1%		7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	7.1%	7.1%		14.3%	71.4%		14
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	7.1%	7.1%		14.3%	71.4%		14
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	7.1%	7.1%		14.3%	71.4%		14
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**	7.1%		35.7%		50.0%	7.1%	14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15331
Section: MOT

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

VIG 8783: CLOUD COMPUTING: PRINCIPLES, ECONOMICS, TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICI

Primary Instructor: Driscoll,Michael W

Response Rate: 28/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	85.7%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	17.9%	67.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			14.3%	17.9%	67.9%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.6%		10.7%	85.7%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		10.7%	7.1%	35.7%	46.4%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	3.6%	3.6%		28.6%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.6%	10.7%	14.3%	71.4%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	3.7%	11.1%	7.4%	3.7%	74.1%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		7.1%	3.6%	28.6%	60.7%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	46.4%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			3.6%	25.0%	71.4%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	39.3%	46.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Lecture
Class Number: 21691
Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Tapiero,Charles S

Response Rate: 25/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.0%				72.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	8.0%	4.0%		28.0%	60.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	4.0%			32.0%	64.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	4.0%	4.0%		28.0%	64.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	4.0%		8.0%	44.0%	44.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.0%	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	68.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	28.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	4.0%		8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	4.0%		12.0%	40.0%	44.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	52.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	4.0%		12.0%	24.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	8.0%		16.0%	24.0%	48.0%	4.0%	25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 2023D-Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 49/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.5%				89.1%	4.3%	46

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	8.2%	8.2%	18.4%	26.5%	36.7%	2.0%	49
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	14.3%	14.3%	38.8%	18.4%	12.2%	2.0%	49
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.9**	14.3%	28.6%	20.4%	18.4%	16.3%	2.0%	49

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	10.2%	20.4%	40.8%	14.3%	12.2%	2.0%	49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	10.2%	4.1%	28.6%	30.6%	26.5%		49

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**	6.1%	12.2%	10.2%	36.7%	34.7%		49
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**	10.2%	16.3%	24.5%	24.5%	22.4%	2.0%	49
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	18.4%	4.1%	24.5%	26.5%	24.5%	2.0%	49
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	6.1%	6.1%	26.5%	30.6%	30.6%		49
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	12.2%	22.4%	12.2%	38.8%	14.3%		49
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	12.5%		37.5%	16.7%	33.3%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.8**	20.4%	20.4%	28.6%	20.4%	8.2%	2.0%	49
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**	6.1%	18.4%	38.8%	26.5%	10.2%		49

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 2033A-Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Ostrovsky,Vladimir

Response Rate: 39/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.9%				92.1%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.6%	2.6%	12.8%	28.2%	51.3%	2.6%	39
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	5.1%	15.4%	35.9%	17.9%	23.1%	2.6%	39
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	10.3%	12.8%	35.9%	23.1%	17.9%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.2**	10.3%	10.3%	41.0%	25.6%	12.8%		39
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		5.1%	20.5%	33.3%	41.0%		39

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		5.1%	5.1%	38.5%	51.3%		39
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	5.1%	5.1%	10.3%	41.0%	38.5%		39
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	2.6%	12.8%	23.1%	43.6%	17.9%		39
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.1%	2.6%	10.3%	41.0%	41.0%		39
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.6%	2.6%	7.7%	46.2%	41.0%		39
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	2.6%	7.9%	13.2%	50.0%	26.3%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	10.3%	7.7%	35.9%	30.8%	15.4%		39
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	5.1%	2.6%	33.3%	38.5%	20.5%		39

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Lecture

Class Number: 15310

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Rao,Bharadwaj

Response Rate: 8/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	12.5%		25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**			25.0%	62.5%	12.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			25.0%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.9**	12.5%		25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.9**	12.5%		25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		8
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.9**			50.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.6**	12.5%		37.5%	12.5%	37.5%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			75.0%		25.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4543: CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PROJECT

Lecture
Class Number: 16018
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Fickeria,Dominick Joseph

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have demonstrated proficiency in the basic construction management skills, including planning, estimating, scheduling and building information modeling.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q28	I was responsible for deliverables as part of a team.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q29	I understand the application of construction management principles and practices.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3503: Cost Estimating

Lecture

Class Number: 15541

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence

Response Rate: 27/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		3.7%			96.3%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		3.7%		44.4%	51.9%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		3.7%		40.7%	55.6%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	37.0%	48.1%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	3.8%		3.8%	34.6%	57.7%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		3.7%		25.9%	70.4%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	25.9%	66.7%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		7.4%	3.7%	51.9%	37.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.7%	11.1%	14.8%	37.0%	33.3%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.4%	22.2%	7.4%	37.0%	25.9%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.7%	3.7%	44.4%	48.1%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can describe the processes of preparing conceptual, preliminary and detailed estimates.	**4.2**		3.7%	7.4%	55.6%	33.3%		27
Q28	I can interpret drawings and specifications in order to quantify material and labor requirements.	**4.0**		7.4%	7.4%	59.3%	25.9%		27
Q29	I can prepare a project estimate, including all direct and indirect costs, overhead and profit.	**4.2**			7.4%	66.7%	25.9%		27
Q30	I am familiar with available computer-based estimating techniques and software.	**3.4**	11.1%	11.1%	25.9%	29.6%	22.2%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.7%	3.7%	44.4%	48.1%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		3.7%	11.1%	70.4%	14.8%		27

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**3.7**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	42.3%	26.9%		26
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.1**	3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	42.3%	38.5%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	7.4%		18.5%	44.4%	29.6%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4523: Structural Building Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 15623

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Chiarelli,Lawrence

Response Rate: 9/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**				44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				44.4%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		22.2%		33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand how structural systems resist gravity and lateral loads.	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q28	I understand the application of building codes and standards.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q29	I can identify the behavior of steel, concrete, masonry and wood structures.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q30	I can evaluate the construction of steel, concrete, masonry and wood structures.	**3.7**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	11.1%		33.3%	11.1%	44.4%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2504: Construction Modeling and Data Structures I

Lecture
Class Number: 15540
Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Rowshanrad,Ashkan

Response Rate: 16/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		6.3%		25.0%	68.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		18.8%		25.0%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**			62.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Lecture
Class Number: 15307
Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Galy,Sebastien

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%				94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.2**	10.0%	25.0%	25.0%	20.0%	20.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	10.0%	20.0%	5.0%	25.0%	35.0%	5.0%	20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	15.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	15.0%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	35.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%		25.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.2**	10.0%	15.0%	40.0%	15.0%	20.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.6**	21.1%	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	5.3%	19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	15.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	35.0%	10.0%	15.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	45.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	15.0%	10.0%	25.0%	30.0%	20.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.1**	5.0%	30.0%	25.0%	20.0%	15.0%	5.0%	20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.9**	5.0%	30.0%	35.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14750
Section: I1

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6111: Investment Banking and Brokerage

Primary Instructor: Chappe,Raphaele

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.3%	95.7%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	78.3%	4.3%	23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			4.3%	39.1%	56.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			13.0%	21.7%	65.2%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	82.6%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				17.4%	78.3%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				30.4%	65.2%	4.3%	23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15959
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 1002: Introduction to Science and Technology Studies

Primary Instructor: Benezra,Amber

Response Rate: 13/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	7.7%		15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16095
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
STS 2904: Special Topics in STS

Primary Instructor: Benezra,Amber

Response Rate: 18/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		5.6%		27.8%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.6%	16.7%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				47.1%	52.9%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15342
Section: A

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

DM 6053: Design Thinking for Creative Problem Solving / Ideation & Prototyping

Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure

Response Rate: 21/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.8%			90.5%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%	4.8%	81.0%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Lecture

Class Number: 14745

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure

Response Rate: 25/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.0%	4.0%	88.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**			32.0%	4.0%	52.0%	12.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			39.1%	8.7%	39.1%	13.0%	23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	4.0%		4.0%	28.0%	64.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.0%	8.0%	32.0%	52.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				32.0%	64.0%	4.0%	25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q31	I found the short format effective in terms of the learning experirnce.	**4.2**	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	64.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15385
Section: I

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9013: Design Thinking for Creative Problem Solving / Ideation & Prototyping

Primary Instructor: Fayard,Anne-Laure

Response Rate: 21/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.8%			90.5%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%	4.8%	81.0%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2304: Marketing

Lecture

Class Number: 15637

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kupferman,Howard A.

Response Rate: 21/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.6**	14.3%	9.5%	9.5%	33.3%	33.3%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	23.8%	9.5%	19.0%	38.1%	9.5%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.1**	19.0%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%	9.5%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.9**	23.8%	23.8%	9.5%	28.6%	14.3%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.3**	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	19.0%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**	14.3%		9.5%	52.4%	23.8%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**	19.0%	4.8%	23.8%	28.6%	23.8%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.6**	33.3%	9.5%	28.6%	19.0%	9.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	23.8%	9.5%	28.6%	28.6%	9.5%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	28.6%	9.5%	28.6%	23.8%	9.5%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**2.5**	33.3%	14.3%	28.6%	19.0%	4.8%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**2.9**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	38.1%	4.8%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.0**	19.0%	19.0%	9.5%	47.6%	4.8%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**2.8**	19.0%	23.8%	19.0%	33.3%	4.8%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**	19.0%	19.0%	14.3%	33.3%	14.3%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.6**	23.8%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	4.8%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Lecture

Class Number: 15558

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 40/82

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.0%				75.0%	10.0%	40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		40
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		2.5%	7.5%	27.5%	62.5%		40
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		5.0%	12.5%	52.5%	30.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**		15.0%	12.5%	47.5%	25.0%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		12.5%	22.5%	30.0%	35.0%		40

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		2.5%	12.5%	50.0%	35.0%		40
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**	2.5%	20.0%	10.0%	47.5%	20.0%		40
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	5.0%	7.5%	12.5%	52.5%	22.5%		40
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	2.5%	15.0%	12.5%	32.5%	37.5%		40
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.0%	22.5%	5.0%	37.5%	30.0%		40
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.5%	7.5%	5.0%	45.0%	40.0%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	2.5%	5.0%	17.5%	62.5%	12.5%		40
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	52.5%	22.5%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Recitation

Class Number: 15560

Section: REC

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 88/88

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.6%				76.7%	11.6%	86

B1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.5**		2.3%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%	2.3%	88

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		6.8%	15.9%	38.6%	36.4%	2.3%	88

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		4.5%	6.8%	47.7%	38.6%	2.3%	88
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	2.3%	15.9%	9.1%	45.5%	25.0%	2.3%	88
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.5%	4.5%	11.4%	45.5%	31.8%	2.3%	88
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		6.8%	18.2%	31.8%	40.9%	2.3%	88
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	4.5%	13.6%	11.4%	40.9%	27.3%	2.3%	88
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	2.3%	2.3%	6.8%	47.7%	38.6%	2.3%	88

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.1**		2.3%	18.2%	47.7%	29.5%	2.3%	88

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15865

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 5/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15866

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 3/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.3**	66.7%				33.3%		3
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**		33.3%		33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		33.3%			66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15867

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 9/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.4**		33.3%		44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			33.3%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15868

Section: C1LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 6/11

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.0**		16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	6
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	6
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		16.7%		66.7%	16.7%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**		16.7%	16.7%		66.7%		6
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15869

Section: C2LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 7/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 16189

Section: D1LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 2/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.0**		100.0%					2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 16190

Section: D2LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 3/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3114: Fundamentals of Electronics I

Laboratory

Class Number: 21169

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 9/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**			22.2%	44.4%	33.3%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			33.3%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			11.1%	77.8%	11.1%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**				77.8%	22.2%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6513: Fundamentals of Solid-State Electronic Devices

Lecture
Class Number: 15294
Section: I

Primary Instructor: SHAHRJERDI,DAVOOD

Response Rate: 15/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	66.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Lecture
Class Number: 16023
Section: C3

Primary Instructor: Prabhu,Vaishali T

Response Rate: 3/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Lecture

Class Number: 15590

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Kevin

Response Rate: 38/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.9%			92.1%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		2.6%		18.4%	78.9%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		2.6%	2.6%	15.8%	78.9%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		5.3%	13.2%	26.3%	55.3%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	2.6%	10.5%	5.3%	39.5%	42.1%		38

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				34.2%	65.8%		38
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	2.6%	7.9%		31.6%	57.9%		38
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		13.2%		26.3%	60.5%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.9%	5.3%	26.3%	60.5%		38
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	8.1%	8.1%	5.4%	40.5%	37.8%		37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	2.8%	27.8%	58.3%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		2.6%	2.6%	26.3%	68.4%		38
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		7.9%	13.2%	31.6%	47.4%		38

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**	2.7%		10.8%	40.5%	45.9%		37
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		8.1%	13.5%	29.7%	45.9%	2.7%	37
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**	2.7%	5.4%	21.6%	24.3%	43.2%	2.7%	37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 16005
Section: B3LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Kevin

Response Rate: 15/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		6.7%		13.3%	73.3%	6.7%	15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	80.0%	6.7%	15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		6.7%			93.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	13.3%	13.3%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	13.3%	53.3%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		14.3%		35.7%	50.0%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		7.1%	28.6%	21.4%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation
Class Number: 16166
Section: AREC

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Zhang,Kevin

Response Rate: 37/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.9%			91.2%	2.9%	34

B1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.7%	8.1%		32.4%	56.8%		37
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**	5.4%	2.7%	2.7%	24.3%	64.9%		37
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	5.4%		2.7%	24.3%	67.6%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.4%		29.7%	64.9%		37
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		2.7%	16.2%	27.0%	54.1%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	35.1%	56.8%		37
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		13.5%	8.1%	43.2%	35.1%		37
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	2.8%	8.3%	8.3%	30.6%	50.0%		36
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	8.3%	11.1%	11.1%	30.6%	38.9%		36
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.4%	10.8%	16.2%	35.1%	32.4%		37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	2.7%	13.5%	8.1%	27.0%	48.6%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.7**		2.7%	2.7%	18.9%	75.7%		37
R43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	2.7%	5.4%	10.8%	37.8%	43.2%		37

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		7.4%	18.5%	29.6%	44.4%		27
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		7.4%	11.1%	37.0%	44.4%		27
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		7.4%	25.9%	33.3%	33.3%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Lecture
Class Number: 15758
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Kutt,Lembit M

Response Rate: 24/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**		16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	25.0%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	8.3%	25.0%		16.7%	50.0%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	8.3%	16.7%		50.0%	25.0%		24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	8.3%		8.3%	66.7%	16.7%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**		33.3%	8.3%	41.7%	16.7%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		24
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.1**		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		24
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		24
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.3**		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**		8.3%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**		16.7%	25.0%	50.0%	8.3%		24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		24
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		24
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		24
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20372
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6133: Stability of Structures

Primary Instructor: Kutt,Lembit M

Response Rate: 17/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			88.2%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	23.5%	35.3%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		11.8%	5.9%	17.6%	64.7%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		17.6%	11.8%	11.8%	58.8%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	17.6%		5.9%	11.8%	64.7%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.9%	17.6%		23.5%	52.9%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	11.8%	29.4%		29.4%	29.4%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		17.6%		35.3%	47.1%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**		17.6%		29.4%	52.9%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	41.2%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15351
Section: I

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6913: Introduction to Robot Mechanics

Primary Instructor: Mummolo,Carlotta

Response Rate: 31/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		96.8%	3.2%	31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.2%			54.8%	41.9%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			3.2%	29.0%	67.7%		31
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		6.5%	6.5%	32.3%	54.8%		31

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		12.9%	3.2%	19.4%	64.5%		31
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		3.2%	6.5%	45.2%	45.2%		31

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		3.2%	3.2%	35.5%	58.1%		31
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	3.2%	6.5%		35.5%	54.8%		31
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	3.2%		12.9%	38.7%	45.2%		31
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.2%	19.4%	9.7%	35.5%	32.3%		31
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	3.2%		6.5%	51.6%	38.7%		31
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	35.5%	54.8%		31

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	3.2%	3.2%	6.5%	35.5%	51.6%		31
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	3.2%		19.4%	41.9%	35.5%		31

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15728

Section: B1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Debroy,Partha

Response Rate: 24/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15731

Section: AREC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1223: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT

Primary Instructor: Debroy,Partha

Response Rate: 23/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					95.7%	4.3%	23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**5.0**				4.3%	91.3%	4.3%	23
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				8.7%	87.0%	4.3%	23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.7%	87.0%	4.3%	23

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**5.0**				4.3%	91.3%	4.3%	23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14925
Section: I

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME 6173: HEAT TRANSFER FOR HVAC SYSTEMS

Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6183: DESIGN OF HVAC SYSTEMS

Lecture

Class Number: 14926

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vedavarz,Ali

Response Rate: 26/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.5%				84.6%	3.8%	26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.7%	3.8%	11.5%	76.9%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	84.6%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		3.8%	7.7%	30.8%	57.7%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		3.8%	3.8%	26.9%	65.4%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.7%	34.6%	57.7%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Lecture

Class Number: 15620

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Gupta,Nikhil

Response Rate: 23/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.5%				95.5%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	39.1%	30.4%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	8.7%	8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	21.7%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.5**	39.1%	21.7%	8.7%	13.0%	17.4%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		17.4%	17.4%	34.8%	30.4%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		21.7%	13.0%	26.1%	39.1%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	8.7%	17.4%	13.0%	34.8%	26.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		13.0%	4.3%	30.4%	52.2%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	8.7%	21.7%	13.0%	34.8%	21.7%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		4.3%	17.4%	39.1%	39.1%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q28	I learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture.	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23
Q29	I learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	43.5%	47.8%		23
Q30	I learned about alloy phase diagrams.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	43.5%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	47.8%	30.4%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	4.3%	4.3%	43.5%	39.1%	8.7%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		4.3%	13.0%	47.8%	34.8%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.9**		8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	30.4%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**		8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	30.4%		23
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		4.3%	17.4%	43.5%	34.8%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**		4.3%	13.0%	47.8%	34.8%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15957
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

MD 2314: Interactive Narrative

Primary Instructor: Howard,Christian

Response Rate: 13/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

Office of Assessment and Institutional Research

13-February-2017

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16042
Section: D

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Esposito,Joseph F

Response Rate: 5/45

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	40.0%			40.0%	20.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		60.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.6**	40.0%	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		20.0%	60.0%	20.0%			5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.8**	40.0%			60.0%			5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.5**	40.0%	40.0%				20.0%	5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14630
Section: A

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6753: Theory of Computation

Primary Instructor: Hellerstein,Lisa

Response Rate: 19/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		5.3%		5.3%	89.5%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		10.5%		21.1%	68.4%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		5.3%			89.5%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	84.2%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15213
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6883: Financial Computing

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 28/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.7%			92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					96.4%	3.6%	28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					96.4%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**	3.6%				92.9%	3.6%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**			3.6%	3.6%	92.9%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**	7.1%			3.6%	89.3%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**			3.6%	3.6%	92.9%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6883: Financial Computing

Lecture

Class Number: 15298

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 26/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.0%				96.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.5%	84.6%	3.8%	26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.8%	7.7%	88.5%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		3.8%		3.8%	92.3%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7831: TOPICS IN FINANCIAL AND RISK ENGINEERING I

Lecture
Class Number: 15435
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Tang,Song

Response Rate: 21/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	81.0%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

AE 4653: Aircraft Flight Mechanics

Lecture

Class Number: 16146

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pourhashem,Hamideh

Response Rate: 23/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	47.8%	43.5%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		4.3%	4.3%	43.5%	47.8%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		4.5%		18.2%	77.3%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				39.1%	60.9%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%		47.8%	39.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.5%		40.9%	54.5%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	52.2%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamental equations of motion for flying objects.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q28	I understand various flight conditions.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q29	I understand static stability for aircraft.	**4.5**			8.7%	34.8%	56.5%		23
Q30	I am able to calculate stability conditions for aircraft.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q31	I understand the various modes of motion of aircraft.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**		4.3%	21.7%	34.8%	39.1%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	47.8%		23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	4.3%		4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3162: Materials Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15971

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 13/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%		38.5%	46.2%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	7.7%	7.7%		46.2%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	7.7%			46.2%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		15.4%		38.5%	46.2%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		15.4%		30.8%	53.8%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.2**		7.7%		53.8%	38.5%		13
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14763
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6013: Theory of Structural Analysis and Design

Primary Instructor: Jin,Weihua

Response Rate: 18/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		11.1%		44.4%	44.4%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	11.1%	27.8%	5.6%	33.3%	22.2%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	44.4%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			16.7%	38.9%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15724

Section: A1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 23/24

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	8.7%	4.3%		26.1%	60.9%		23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**	4.3%		17.4%	34.8%	43.5%		23
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	8.7%		4.3%	52.2%	34.8%		23
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	8.7%		8.7%	43.5%	39.1%		23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	8.7%		8.7%	39.1%	43.5%		23

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.0**	8.7%		13.0%	34.8%	43.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15745

Section: C1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 25/31

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			4.0%	36.0%	60.0%		25
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**		4.0%	28.0%	4.0%	64.0%		25
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	64.0%		25
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	64.0%		25
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.3**	4.2%		16.7%	20.8%	58.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15787

Section: B1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 15/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			13.3%	20.0%	66.7%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**		6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%	6.7%	15
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**			20.0%	46.7%	33.3%		15
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		6.7%	26.7%	33.3%	33.3%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		6.7%	20.0%	53.3%	20.0%		15

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**3.6**		7.1%	50.0%	14.3%	28.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 15981

Section: G

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 5/7

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	20.0%		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15986
Section: F

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Nachumi,Benjamin E

Response Rate: 17/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**			17.6%	29.4%	52.9%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	56.3%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.4**	11.8%	11.8%	29.4%	17.6%	29.4%		17
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**		17.6%	29.4%	23.5%	29.4%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.1**	11.8%	29.4%	11.8%	29.4%	17.6%		17
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	5.9%	29.4%	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%		17
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**			17.6%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	35.3%	17.6%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	35.3%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	23.5%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	29.4%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	5.9%		29.4%	47.1%	17.6%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.2**	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	17.6%	17.6%		17
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	35.3%	11.8%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.7**			33.3%	60.0%	6.7%		15
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.7**			40.0%	53.3%	6.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16061
Section: ALEC

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Primary Instructor: Memon,Nasir

Response Rate: 43/99

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.9%			95.1%		41

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		2.3%	7.0%	41.9%	48.8%		43
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			25.6%	30.2%	41.9%	2.3%	43
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		11.6%	14.0%	30.2%	44.2%		43

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	2.3%	7.0%	11.6%	46.5%	32.6%		43
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	4.7%	7.0%	9.3%	30.2%	48.8%		43

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		4.7%	7.0%	34.9%	53.5%		43
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	4.7%	9.3%	14.0%	27.9%	44.2%		43
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.7%	4.7%	11.6%	41.9%	37.2%		43
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		2.3%	9.3%	46.5%	41.9%		43
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.7%	9.3%	14.0%	32.6%	37.2%	2.3%	43
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		2.3%	7.0%	48.8%	41.9%		43

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.1**	2.4%		23.8%	28.6%	42.9%	2.4%	42
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.7**	23.8%	14.3%	31.0%	11.9%	11.9%	7.1%	42
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.4**	28.6%	26.2%	28.6%	7.1%	9.5%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			16.3%	41.9%	41.9%		43
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		4.7%	20.9%	48.8%	25.6%		43

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 25316
Section: BLEC

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2314: Discrete Mathematics

Primary Instructor: Memon,Nasir

Response Rate: 20/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		5.0%		40.0%	55.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.0%	5.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	5.0%			35.0%	60.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.4**			15.8%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	10.5%	21.1%	10.5%	42.1%	15.8%		19
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.6**	26.3%	21.1%	31.6%	10.5%	10.5%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16191
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 2153: Intro to Game Development

Primary Instructor: Garcia,Diego

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		5.0%		10.0%	85.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16169
Section: A

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FIN 3233: DERIVATIVES AND THE OPTIONS MARKET

Primary Instructor: Larrymore,Norris L.

Response Rate: 6/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**			16.7%	83.3%			6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**		50.0%		16.7%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**		33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	16.7%		16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**		33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		33.3%		16.7%	50.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**			50.0%	16.7%	33.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**		16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 14698

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 21/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				90.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.8%		4.8%	90.5%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.8%		23.8%	71.4%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		4.8%		9.5%	85.7%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	71.4%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			4.8%	14.3%	81.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			14.3%	9.5%	76.2%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				19.0%	81.0%		21

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%		21
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			4.8%	38.1%	57.1%		21
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			14.3%	38.1%	47.6%		21
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			9.5%	28.6%	61.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				23.8%	76.2%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15440

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 8/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	12.5%			37.5%	50.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	12.5%			50.0%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21242
Section: MOT

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6103: MANAGEMENT SCIENCE

Primary Instructor: Mourdoukoutas,Pavlos

Response Rate: 25/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%				91.7%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				4.0%	92.0%	4.0%	25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			8.0%	8.0%	80.0%	4.0%	25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	76.0%	8.0%	25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				12.0%	84.0%	4.0%	25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				8.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.0%	80.0%	4.0%	25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				8.0%	88.0%	4.0%	25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				8.7%	91.3%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				13.0%	87.0%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21032
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

HI 3034: History of New York's Urban Infrastructure

Primary Instructor: Bloom,Nicholas D.

Response Rate: 24/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	13.0%				78.3%	8.7%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				4.2%	95.8%		24
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		8.3%	12.5%	29.2%	50.0%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		4.2%	4.2%	37.5%	54.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	16.7%	12.5%	16.7%	20.8%	33.3%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	45.8%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		12.5%		29.2%	58.3%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			47.8%	17.4%	30.4%	4.3%	23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%	4.2%	41.7%	45.8%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.2%		25.0%	70.8%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			8.3%	37.5%	54.2%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		8.3%	12.5%	33.3%	45.8%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20381
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 8713: Construction and the Law

Primary Instructor: Sciascia,Joel

Response Rate: 7/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		14.3%			85.7%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					85.7%	14.3%	7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture

Class Number: 15452

Section: INT2

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Juan C

Response Rate: 18/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.6%				94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.6%			27.8%	66.7%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	5.6%			27.8%	61.1%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	5.6%			27.8%	66.7%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	5.6%			22.2%	72.2%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	5.6%		11.1%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	33.3%	50.0%	5.6%	18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%			33.3%	55.6%	5.6%	18

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**	5.6%		5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%		18
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	5.6%	5.6%		16.7%	72.2%		18
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%		27.8%	61.1%		18
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	50.0%	5.6%	18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			5.6%	33.3%	55.6%	5.6%	18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				55.6%	38.9%	5.6%	18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3233: Game Development Studio I / Intro to Game Development

Lecture
Class Number: 15801
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yang,Robert

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			13.3%		86.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

DM 2153: Game Development Studio I / Intro to Game Development

Lecture
Class Number: 15684
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Yang,Robert

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			13.3%		86.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Lecture

Class Number: 14697

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 46/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		46

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.3%	71.7%		46
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		10.9%	4.3%	28.3%	56.5%		46
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		4.3%	6.5%	28.3%	60.9%		46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		6.5%	4.3%	26.1%	63.0%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		4.3%	6.5%	26.1%	60.9%	2.2%	46

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				17.4%	82.6%		46
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	2.2%	4.3%	2.2%	34.8%	56.5%		46
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	2.2%	6.5%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		46
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.3%	2.2%	26.1%	67.4%		46
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		4.3%	8.7%	23.9%	63.0%		46
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		2.2%	11.1%	31.1%	53.3%	2.2%	45

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		6.8%	11.4%	40.9%	40.9%		44
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		2.3%	13.6%	38.6%	45.5%		44
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**	2.3%	4.5%	6.8%	38.6%	47.7%		44
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		4.5%	11.4%	34.1%	50.0%		44

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			6.5%	32.6%	60.9%		46
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	2.2%	4.3%	15.2%	23.9%	54.3%		46

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6073: MARKETING

Lecture

Class Number: 15012

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Cincotta,James A

Response Rate: 51/57

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		50

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			3.9%	31.4%	64.7%		51
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		11.8%	15.7%	31.4%	41.2%		51
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	2.0%		3.9%	27.5%	66.7%		51

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		2.0%	3.9%	17.6%	76.5%		51
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.8%	19.6%	72.5%		51

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			3.9%	11.8%	82.4%	2.0%	51
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		9.8%	7.8%	35.3%	45.1%	2.0%	51
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		3.9%	5.9%	37.3%	52.9%		51
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		4.0%	2.0%	28.0%	66.0%		50
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.8%	2.0%	31.4%	58.8%		51
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.9%	7.8%	31.4%	54.9%		51

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		2.0%	8.2%	32.7%	57.1%		49
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**		4.2%	6.3%	54.2%	35.4%		48
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		2.1%	8.3%	45.8%	43.8%		48
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**		4.2%	4.2%	45.8%	45.8%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		2.0%	3.9%	31.4%	62.7%		51
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		7.8%	11.8%	35.3%	45.1%		51

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 16076

Section: D3RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 23/23

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.7%	21.7%	69.6%		23
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**			21.7%	34.8%	43.5%		23
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			17.4%	21.7%	60.9%		23
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			17.4%	21.7%	60.9%		23
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			17.4%	30.4%	52.2%		23

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.3**			8.7%	47.8%	43.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15941

Section: B2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 20/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**		5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		15.0%	5.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			15.0%	25.0%	55.0%	5.0%	20
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.5**			10.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15978
Section: C

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 26/30

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	69.2%		26
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		7.7%	3.8%	26.9%	61.5%		26
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			11.5%	30.8%	57.7%		26
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.6**	11.5%	3.8%	19.2%	46.2%	19.2%		26
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.7**	8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	24.0%	36.0%		25
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	24.0%	40.0%		25
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		3.8%	19.2%	38.5%	38.5%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		3.8%	15.4%	26.9%	53.8%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	3.8%	7.7%	26.9%	23.1%	38.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	53.8%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	26.9%	26.9%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	3.8%	7.7%	26.9%	11.5%	46.2%	3.8%	26
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.7**	11.5%	3.8%	19.2%	26.9%	34.6%	3.8%	26
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	50.0%	15.4%	3.8%	26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**	3.8%		15.4%	38.5%	42.3%		26
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**3.9**	3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	42.3%	30.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15980
Section: E

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Raspopin,Alexander

Response Rate: 27/29

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	70.4%		27
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				37.0%	63.0%		27
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		7.4%	3.7%	18.5%	70.4%		27
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.3**	18.5%	18.5%	7.4%	25.9%	29.6%		27
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	3.7%	37.0%	3.7%	25.9%	29.6%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.4**	7.4%	25.9%	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%		27
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**	7.4%	22.2%	7.4%	29.6%	33.3%		27
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		3.7%	3.7%	33.3%	59.3%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		11.1%	7.4%	22.2%	59.3%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	3.7%	14.8%	11.1%	29.6%	40.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	3.7%	7.4%	18.5%	22.2%	48.1%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	14.8%	11.1%	14.8%	33.3%	25.9%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	7.4%	14.8%	11.1%	37.0%	25.9%	3.7%	27
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.5**	11.1%	11.1%	18.5%	33.3%	25.9%		27
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	3.7%	14.8%	22.2%	33.3%	25.9%		27
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		15.4%	3.8%	30.8%	50.0%		26
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**		3.8%	19.2%	30.8%	46.2%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15370
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7753: ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT

Primary Instructor: Vasiliadis,Haralambos V.

Response Rate: 17/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%				94.1%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			17.6%	17.6%	64.7%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		11.8%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.9%		35.3%	58.8%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**		29.4%	5.9%	11.8%	52.9%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	17.6%	11.8%	29.4%	23.5%	17.6%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	11.8%	17.6%		29.4%	41.2%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	29.4%	35.3%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**		11.8%	5.9%	47.1%	35.3%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	47.1%	17.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5733: RF and Microwave Systems Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 14613

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping

Response Rate: 20/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.4%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		15.0%	5.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			25.0%	5.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	60.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		20.0%		15.0%	65.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		10.0%	5.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		5.0%	20.0%	30.0%	45.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	5.0%		15.0%	15.0%	65.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5753: Introduction to Plasma Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 21190

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kuo,Spencer Szu-Ping

Response Rate: 13/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		7.7%	7.7%		84.6%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	15.4%			7.7%	76.9%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2513: CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS & METHODS

Lecture
Class Number: 15770
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: DarConte,Frank

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**		5.0%		5.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand construction site safety best practices and identify proper deployment of constructions site safety equipment.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q28	I understand the historical relationships of construction project participants.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q29	I recognize the relationship among regulatory requirements, methods of construction and the selection of materials.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q30	I understand the interaction between the design development and construction processes.	**5.0**					100.0%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

BI 7533: Bioinformatics I: Sequence Analysis

Lecture

Class Number: 15128

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Brathwaite,Mgavi Elombe

Response Rate: 9/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%		44.4%	22.2%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	11.1%				77.8%	11.1%	9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.8**	33.3%	22.2%		22.2%	22.2%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**		11.1%	22.2%	66.7%			9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**		22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	11.1%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**		22.2%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%		9

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.6**	11.1%	11.1%		66.7%	11.1%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.8**	11.1%		11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.7**	11.1%		22.2%	44.4%	22.2%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**2.9**	11.1%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.6**			44.4%	55.6%			9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.9**		11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.2**			77.8%	22.2%			9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	11.1%		55.6%	22.2%	11.1%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21659
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2193: Intro to Web Development

Primary Instructor: Sigal,Jason M

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		13.3%		26.7%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	53.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	6.7%		6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15761
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 3193: Dynamic Web Applications

Primary Instructor: Sigal,Jason M

Response Rate: 12/15

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	8.3%	12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 4153: Experimental Game Narratives

Lecture

Class Number: 21028

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pizza,Toni

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	7.7%		23.1%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	7.7%		7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.7%		15.4%	30.8%	23.1%	23.1%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	7.7%			30.8%	61.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	7.7%			38.5%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		7.7%		15.4%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			15.4%	15.4%	69.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15300
Section: A

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6093: Biomedical Materials & Devices for Human Body Repair

Primary Instructor: Carter,John Neil

Response Rate: 16/23

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15576

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 18/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**				58.8%	41.2%		17
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			5.6%	38.9%	55.6%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.6%	5.6%	55.6%	33.3%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		5.6%		44.4%	50.0%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**		5.6%	27.8%	50.0%	16.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	55.6%	16.7%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			33.3%	44.4%	22.2%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	53.3%	13.3%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	26.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Lecture

Class Number: 15577

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 57/89

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.8%				98.2%		57

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.0%	86.0%		57
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		3.6%	16.1%	28.6%	48.2%	3.6%	56
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	1.8%	3.6%	12.7%	32.7%	49.1%		55

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	1.8%		1.8%	28.1%	68.4%		57
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				35.1%	64.9%		57

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		1.8%	5.3%	36.8%	56.1%		57
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		1.8%	7.0%	40.4%	50.9%		57
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		7.0%	12.3%	40.4%	40.4%		57
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.3%		10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		57
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.5%	15.8%	15.8%	24.6%	40.4%		57
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.5%	3.5%	14.0%	38.6%	38.6%	1.8%	57

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.5**		1.8%	3.5%	40.4%	54.4%		57
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**		1.8%	1.8%	40.4%	56.1%		57
Q30	We found the electronic homework assignments to be a useful tool in learning chemistry.	**4.1**	3.5%	7.0%	12.3%	35.1%	42.1%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.5%	7.0%	40.4%	49.1%		57
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	1.8%	3.5%	17.5%	52.6%	24.6%		57

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**	5.3%	1.8%	14.0%	38.6%	40.4%		57
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**	5.3%	3.5%	14.0%	31.6%	45.6%		57
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	5.3%	7.0%	28.1%	28.1%	31.6%		57

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15702

Section: D1LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 1/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.0**			100.0%				1
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**1.0**	100.0%						1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15705

Section: D3LB

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 19/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		10.5%		47.4%	42.1%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			5.3%		94.7%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	47.4%		19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	50.0%	27.8%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	5.3%			52.6%	42.1%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	5.3%		15.8%	47.4%	31.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		5.3%	36.8%	36.8%	21.1%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	42.1%	31.6%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.6%			44.4%	50.0%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**			15.8%	47.4%	36.8%		19
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	5.3%		21.1%	36.8%	36.8%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	5.3%	10.5%		57.9%	26.3%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%		47.4%	36.8%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**	10.5%	5.3%	31.6%	31.6%	21.1%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1101: Numerical Methods for Chemistry

Lecture

Class Number: 15693

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Aber,Janice E.

Response Rate: 48/56

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.8%	2.2%	45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		48
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		10.4%	6.3%	16.7%	66.7%		48
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	2.1%	6.3%	4.2%	16.7%	70.8%		48

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		12.5%	2.1%	16.7%	68.8%		48
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	2.1%	6.3%	2.1%	18.8%	70.8%		48

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		4.2%	6.3%	10.4%	79.2%		48
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**	2.1%	4.2%	2.1%	16.7%	75.0%		48
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	6.3%	4.2%	8.3%	18.8%	62.5%		48
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	10.4%	4.2%	16.7%	16.7%	52.1%		48
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	6.3%	4.2%	4.2%	27.1%	58.3%		48
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	8.3%	4.2%	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%		48

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		6.3%	10.4%	10.4%	72.9%		48
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	6.3%	2.1%	6.3%	29.2%	56.3%		48

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20240
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
STS 2454W: DIGITAL HUMANITIES

Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher

Response Rate: 12/13

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		12

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**				50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		8.3%	16.7%	25.0%	41.7%	8.3%	12
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	16.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	8.3%	12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%			12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**		8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%	12
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**			58.3%	41.7%			12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16096
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 3904: Special Topics in STS

Primary Instructor: Leslie,Christopher

Response Rate: 18/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	83.3%	5.6%	18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Lecture
Class Number: 14737
Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Kantrow,Alan M

Response Rate: 27/34

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		3.8%				92.3%	3.8%	26

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			3.7%	25.9%	70.4%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**			11.5%	50.0%	38.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			26.9%	30.8%	34.6%	7.7%	26
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	3.7%	3.7%		37.0%	55.6%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	37.0%	51.9%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**	3.7%			7.4%	88.9%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	3.8%			34.6%	61.5%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	3.7%		3.7%	40.7%	51.9%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	3.7%		3.7%	22.2%	70.4%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			15.4%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			3.8%	46.2%	50.0%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**			11.5%	42.3%	46.2%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**			11.5%	34.6%	53.8%		26

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			3.7%	33.3%	63.0%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		3.7%	22.2%	33.3%	40.7%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Project

Class Number: 14875

Section: MOT

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9503: MOT Capstone Project Course

Primary Instructor: Kantrow,Alan M

Response Rate: 23/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	21.7%	56.5%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		8.7%	17.4%	26.1%	47.8%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			4.3%	30.4%	65.2%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	47.8%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	8.7%		8.7%	8.7%	73.9%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	30.4%	34.8%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.7%	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	47.8%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.3%	8.7%		26.1%	60.9%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	30.4%	52.2%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.1**	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	47.8%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	30.4%	47.8%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	56.5%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	34.8%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15897
Section: A

General Studies
GS 104: STUDY SKILLS

Primary Instructor: Lewis,Aricia Veronica

Response Rate: 1/13

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

		Avg Of Results	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course						
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 25988
Section: D

General Studies
GS 104: STUDY SKILLS

Primary Instructor: Lewis,Aricia Veronica

Response Rate: 3/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.0**		33.3%	33.3%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		33.3%	33.3%		33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**		33.3%		33.3%		33.3%	3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15880
Section: A1LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 14/17

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		7.1%		7.1%	85.7%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	7.1%		14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	71.4%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	7.1%		7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	7.7%		30.8%	23.1%	38.5%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		7.1%	14.3%	21.4%	57.1%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 16008
Section: A1LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 4324: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology II

Primary Instructor: Paratore,Anthony W

Response Rate: 8/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2004: Introduction to Physiology

Laboratory

Class Number: 15604

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Grigoryev,Nikita

Response Rate: 9/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		22.2%			77.8%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		22.2%		22.2%	55.6%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	11.1%	11.1%		11.1%	66.7%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		22.2%		11.1%	66.7%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 7363: Network Design and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 21193

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 26/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**		3.8%		3.8%	92.3%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	84.6%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 7363: Network Design and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 21664

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 2/2

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9343: SEL TOP: TELECOM NETWORK

Lecture

Class Number: 25313

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 52/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		50

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		1.9%		17.3%	80.8%		52
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	1.9%		11.5%	21.2%	65.4%		52
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	1.9%		1.9%	21.2%	75.0%		52

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			5.8%	28.8%	65.4%		52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		2.0%	2.0%	27.5%	68.6%		51

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			1.9%	26.9%	71.2%		52
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			3.8%	25.0%	71.2%		52
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		52
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		1.9%	1.9%	21.2%	75.0%		52
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	1.9%	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	63.5%		52
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				17.3%	82.7%		52

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		52
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			3.9%	25.5%	70.6%		51

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9343: SEL TOP: TELECOM NETWORK

Lecture

Class Number: 25314

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Liu,Yong

Response Rate: 21/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		4.8%	14.3%	42.9%	38.1%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		9.5%	4.8%	47.6%	38.1%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	5.0%	15.0%		15.0%	65.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		10.0%	5.0%	50.0%	35.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					95.2%	4.8%	21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	66.7%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		4.8%	4.8%	33.3%	57.1%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	38.1%	14.3%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		9.5%		33.3%	57.1%		21

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.1**		4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	42.9%		21
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	23.8%	42.9%	4.8%	21
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**		9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	61.9%		21
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	28.6%	42.9%	4.8%	21
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	42.9%	38.1%		21
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	33.3%	42.9%		21
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.9**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	33.3%	38.1%		21
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			38.1%	19.0%	33.3%	9.5%	21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			9.5%	52.4%	38.1%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		4.8%		61.9%	33.3%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Lecture

Class Number: 15827

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 27/38

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		27

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.8%	14.8%	70.4%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		7.4%	3.7%	25.9%	63.0%		27
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		3.7%	11.1%	51.9%	33.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.1%	3.7%	40.7%	44.4%		27
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	3.7%	7.4%		51.9%	37.0%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		3.7%	7.4%	48.1%	40.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.7%	14.8%	18.5%	63.0%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			11.1%	40.7%	48.1%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	37.0%	51.9%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q28	I learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27
Q29	I learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties.	**4.6**				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q30	I learned about alloy phase diagrams.	**4.1**		3.7%	14.8%	44.4%	37.0%		27

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	55.6%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	3.7%	7.4%	7.4%	51.9%	29.6%		27

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**	3.7%		3.7%	33.3%	59.3%		27
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	51.9%	33.3%		27
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**	3.7%		3.7%	48.1%	44.4%		27
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**	3.7%		11.1%	33.3%	51.9%		27
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			7.4%	40.7%	51.9%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2813: Introduction to Materials Science

Lecture

Class Number: 16155

Section: C

Primary Instructor: Nourbakhsh,Said

Response Rate: 8/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					87.5%	12.5%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**			12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned about the atomic structure of matter, about atomic arrangements and crystal structures, and about crystal defects.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q28	I learned about mechanical testing and behavior of materials and fracture.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q29	I learned about the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q30	I learned about alloy phase diagrams.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**				87.5%	12.5%		8
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.8**		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**				87.5%	12.5%		8
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		8
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.0**			12.5%	75.0%	12.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20371
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8663: Advanced Foundation Design

Primary Instructor: Iskander,Magued G

Response Rate: 10/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		20.0%	10.0%		60.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			40.0%	10.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6391: Managing Knowledge-Based Enterprise

Lecture

Class Number: 15417

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Coleman,Vaughan

Response Rate: 9/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				87.5%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	11.1%		11.1%		77.8%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**	11.1%				88.9%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**	11.1%		11.1%		77.8%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.3**	11.1%		11.1%		77.8%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	11.1%			33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15191
Section: ALEC

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
BT 6083: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M

Response Rate: 9/10

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15192
Section: ALAB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 6083: Advanced Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Katsigeorgis,John M

Response Rate: 9/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 2023A-DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 65/75

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.9%				91.8%	3.3%	61

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	1.5%	1.5%	6.2%	13.8%	75.4%	1.5%	65
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	1.5%	3.1%	18.5%	18.5%	55.4%	3.1%	65
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	3.1%	6.2%	6.2%	20.0%	63.1%	1.5%	65

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	1.5%	6.2%	23.1%	29.2%	35.4%	4.6%	65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.1%	7.7%	6.2%	30.8%	49.2%	3.1%	65

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	1.5%		10.8%	27.7%	58.5%	1.5%	65
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	3.1%	3.1%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	1.5%	65
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	4.6%	3.1%	16.9%	20.0%	53.8%	1.5%	65
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.2%	3.1%	13.8%	30.8%	44.6%	1.5%	65
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	1.5%	13.8%	12.3%	29.2%	41.5%	1.5%	65
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.1%	3.1%	15.4%	33.8%	43.1%	1.5%	65

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		3.1%	10.8%	21.5%	63.1%	1.5%	65
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	1.5%	1.5%	26.2%	35.4%	33.8%	1.5%	65

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 2033B-DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 46/67

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.5%				85.7%	4.8%	42

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.2%	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	76.1%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.3%	8.7%	28.3%	15.2%	41.3%	2.2%	46
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	4.4%	15.6%	4.4%	20.0%	55.6%		45

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	10.9%	10.9%	10.9%	26.1%	41.3%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.5%	13.0%	8.7%	28.3%	43.5%		46

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		4.3%	10.9%	23.9%	60.9%		46
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	15.2%	58.7%		46
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	6.5%	8.7%	8.7%	13.0%	63.0%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	10.9%	30.4%	50.0%		46
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	8.7%	10.9%	10.9%	17.4%	52.2%		46
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	6.7%	11.1%	6.7%	15.6%	60.0%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	4.3%	17.4%	10.9%	8.7%	58.7%		46
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	2.2%	4.4%	26.7%	26.7%	40.0%		45

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14927
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 6673: QUANTUM MECHANICS I

Primary Instructor: DiBartolo,Salvatore J

Response Rate: 16/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.8%	6.3%	16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		6.3%		6.3%	81.3%	6.3%	16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				37.5%	56.3%	6.3%	16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	81.3%	6.3%	16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			6.3%	12.5%	75.0%	6.3%	16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				18.8%	75.0%	6.3%	16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			6.3%	6.3%	81.3%	6.3%	16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	6.3%	16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				25.0%	68.8%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				25.0%	68.8%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15750
Section: C

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina

Response Rate: 2/39

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15803
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Bronstein,Irina

Response Rate: 7/47

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.1**	14.3%		57.1%	14.3%	14.3%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.1**	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	16.7%	33.3%		33.3%	16.7%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	16.7%		16.7%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	14.3%		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.6**	28.6%		57.1%	14.3%			7
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.9**	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**			42.9%	42.9%	14.3%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1324: Integrated Calculus I for Engineers

Lecture

Class Number: 15515

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cereste,Ken

Response Rate: 5/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		20.0%	20.0%	60.0%			5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	20.0%		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**		40.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.2**	40.0%		60.0%				5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.2**	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14738
Section: J

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S

Response Rate: 26/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**				3.8%	96.2%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.8%		3.8%	92.3%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.5%	88.5%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			3.8%	3.8%	92.3%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15210
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6361: Corporate and Financial Strategy

Primary Instructor: Blecherman,Barry S

Response Rate: 5/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 24481
Section: C

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Primary Instructor: Thurer,Sarah M

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			26.7%	26.7%	46.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**		13.3%	6.7%	53.3%	26.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**		20.0%	26.7%	33.3%	20.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	6.7%		13.3%	66.7%	13.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			33.3%	13.3%	40.0%	13.3%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	33.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		20.0%	13.3%	20.0%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	26.7%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		13.3%	13.3%	60.0%	13.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15627
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3103: Fundamentals of Applied Nuclear Physics

Primary Instructor: Folan,Lorcan Michael

Response Rate: 11/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			27.3%	45.5%	27.3%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15378
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6251: NUMERICAL & SIMULATION TECHNIQUES IN FINANCE

Primary Instructor: Weinberger,Edward

Response Rate: 7/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			28.6%		71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 24459

Section: D2LB

Primary Instructor: Yu,Tang-Qing

Response Rate: 16/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			12.5%	18.8%	68.8%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.0**		25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	56.3%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	25.0%	50.0%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**			18.8%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	6.3%		25.0%	31.3%	37.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	31.3%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**3.9**		12.5%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%		16
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**			31.3%	18.8%	50.0%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	6.3%		18.8%	43.8%	31.3%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**		18.8%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.7**	6.3%		37.5%	31.3%	25.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 24461

Section: B5LB

Primary Instructor: Yu,Tang-Qing

Response Rate: 18/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				27.8%	72.2%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**	5.6%	11.1%		22.2%	61.1%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**	5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	38.9%		18
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	50.0%	33.3%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		11.1%	16.7%	33.3%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	50.0%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	33.3%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.2**		6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%		16
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**		12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.4**		6.3%		37.5%	56.3%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**		5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	61.1%		18
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			27.8%	33.3%	38.9%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			18.8%	37.5%	43.8%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			18.8%	37.5%	43.8%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**		12.5%	43.8%	25.0%	18.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 25299
Section: D6LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Yu,Tang-Qing

Response Rate: 19/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.3%		36.8%	57.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	63.2%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			15.8%	36.8%	47.4%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			26.3%	26.3%	47.4%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	38.9%	5.6%	18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	44.4%	5.6%	18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	38.9%	22.2%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 25301

Section: D7LB

Primary Instructor: Yu,Tang-Qing

Response Rate: 15/18

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	66.7%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**			20.0%	46.7%	33.3%		15
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	6.7%		26.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			20.0%	53.3%	20.0%	6.7%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	60.0%	26.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	13.3%		6.7%	53.3%	26.7%		15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			13.3%	73.3%	13.3%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.7**		18.2%	27.3%	18.2%	36.4%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.5**		9.1%	45.5%	27.3%	18.2%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4123: SENIOR DESIGN IN ELECTRICAL POWER & MACHINERY

Lecture
Class Number: 15596
Section: A

Primary Instructor: De Leon,Francisco

Response Rate: 8/9

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			37.5%	37.5%	25.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6633: Transients, Surges and Faults in Power Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 21192
Section: I

Primary Instructor: De Leon,Francisco

Response Rate: 15/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			20.0%	13.3%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.7%			40.0%	53.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		13.3%		13.3%	73.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			20.0%	6.7%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	73.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4033: Introduction to Urban Infrastructure Systems Management

Lecture
Class Number: 15751
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 14/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	15.4%				84.6%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	14.3%		7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.1**	14.3%	35.7%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%		42.9%	35.7%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	28.6%		21.4%	28.6%	21.4%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	28.6%	7.1%	14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the key issues involved in planning, management, operations and maintenance of urban infrastructure systems, including transportation, water supply, power, communications and information systems.	**4.0**	14.3%		7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q28	I understand the impact on the sustainable development of the urban environment from elements of engineering and technology, management, economics, finance, regulatory and public policy.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		14
Q29	Collect, integrate and share spatial data in urban infrastructure management using GIS.	**4.0**	14.3%			42.9%	42.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	14.3%		14.3%	35.7%	35.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**	14.3%			21.4%	64.3%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	14.3%			35.7%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 20369
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Juran,Ilan

Response Rate: 13/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				50.0%	25.0%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	23.1%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			38.5%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	7.7%	7.7%		53.8%	30.8%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	7.7%		7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		7.7%	30.8%	15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			15.4%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4153: STRUCTURAL DESIGN PROJECT

Lecture
Class Number: 15974
Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Whu,Alfonso

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		23.1%		30.8%	46.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		23.1%		23.1%	53.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	7.7%		15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		15.4%		53.8%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	30.8%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.3%		41.7%	50.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**		15.4%	7.7%	46.2%	30.8%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			30.8%	38.5%	30.8%		13

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**				63.6%	36.4%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		18.2%		54.5%	27.3%		11
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**			18.2%	54.5%	27.3%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15756

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeung

Response Rate: 14/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**		21.4%	7.1%	7.1%	64.3%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Apply environmental engineering principles – including material balance, basic reaction kinetics, and environmental chemistry – to solve environmental engineering problems.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q28	Understand and apply environmental regulations, and comprehend the risk and impact of pollution generated by human activity on human and ecosystem health.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q29	Understand surface and groundwater pollution, as well as air pollution, and apply engineering principles to analyze environmental problems.	**4.2**			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q30	Develop the ability to analyze major unit processes in drinking water treatment systems, and design basic unit operations.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q31	Recognize and identify the science and engineering principles behind wastewater treatment processes.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	50.0%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	50.0%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			21.4%	28.6%	50.0%		14
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15756
Section: BLAB

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeung

Response Rate: 14/16

	A1. Regarding The Laboratory	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.7**	42.9%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%		14
	B1. The Laboratory Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14
	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
	D. Course	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
	E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Apply environmental engineering principles – including material balance, basic reaction kinetics, and environmental chemistry – to solve environmental engineering problems.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q28	Understand and apply environmental regulations, and comprehend the risk and impact of pollution generated by human activity on human and ecosystem health.	**3.9**	7.1%		21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q29	Understand surface and groundwater pollution, as well as air pollution, and apply engineering principles to analyze environmental problems.	**4.0**			21.4%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q30	Develop the ability to analyze major unit processes in drinking water treatment systems, and design basic unit operations.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q31	Recognize and identify the science and engineering principles behind wastewater treatment processes.	**4.4**				64.3%	35.7%		14
	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		14
	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15757

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Ahn,Jeung

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.0%				94.1%	3.9%	51

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	5.9%	7.8%	3.9%	51.0%	31.4%		51
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	1.9%	7.7%	9.6%	32.7%	48.1%		52
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	2.0%	5.9%	9.8%	37.3%	43.1%	2.0%	51

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	3.8%	7.7%	7.7%	32.7%	48.1%		52
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.8%	5.8%	23.1%	67.3%		52

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	3.8%	5.8%	7.7%	17.3%	65.4%		52
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	3.9%	5.9%	7.8%	21.6%	60.8%		51
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	1.9%	11.5%	9.6%	50.0%	26.9%		52
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	1.9%	5.8%		30.8%	61.5%		52
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.8%	9.6%	9.6%	28.8%	48.1%		52
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		5.8%	9.6%	34.6%	50.0%		52

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	1.9%	9.6%	21.2%	40.4%	26.9%		52
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		5.8%	21.2%	48.1%	25.0%		52

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Lecture

Class Number: 15159

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Bhuiyan,Alauddin

Response Rate: 47/80

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.9%				91.1%		45

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	8.5%	10.6%	2.1%	53.2%	25.5%		47
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.5%	8.5%	40.4%	38.3%		47
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	6.4%	14.9%	12.8%	34.0%	29.8%	2.1%	47

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	4.3%	6.4%	10.6%	42.6%	36.2%		47
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	2.2%	8.9%	11.1%	28.9%	48.9%		45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	2.1%	10.6%	2.1%	31.9%	53.2%		47
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**	4.3%	21.3%	14.9%	36.2%	23.4%		47
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	4.3%	21.3%	12.8%	36.2%	25.5%		47
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	2.1%	4.3%	23.4%	29.8%	40.4%		47
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.4%	12.8%	6.4%	38.3%	36.2%		47
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	6.4%	6.4%	2.1%	48.9%	36.2%		47

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.5**	4.3%	12.8%	29.8%	38.3%	14.9%		47
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	2.1%	8.5%	19.1%	51.1%	14.9%	4.3%	47

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15982
Section: H

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Shakya,Naresh M

Response Rate: 30/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**		3.3%		13.3%	83.3%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**	6.7%	6.7%		13.3%	73.3%		30
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	3.4%		10.3%	34.5%	51.7%		29
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**	3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	16.7%	63.3%		30
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	3.4%	6.9%	13.8%	17.2%	58.6%		29
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		3.3%	10.0%	20.0%	66.7%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	3.3%		10.0%	20.0%	66.7%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	3.3%	10.0%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	10.0%	63.3%	3.3%	30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.3%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	56.7%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	10.7%		21.4%	17.9%	50.0%		28
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			16.7%	23.3%	60.0%		30
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	10.0%	3.3%	16.7%	33.3%	36.7%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**			11.5%	30.8%	57.7%		26
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15730

Section: B2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 20/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 20355

Section: BREC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1223: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 15/20

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**		13.3%	26.7%	13.3%	46.7%		15
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15743

Section: B3RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 12/13

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**	9.1%		18.2%		63.6%	9.1%	11
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 16074

Section: D2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Lal,Akhil

Response Rate: 10/12

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			20.0%		70.0%	10.0%	10
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15964
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 2334: INTRODUCTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

Primary Instructor: Dittrick,Diane Kender

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		18.2%		18.2%	63.6%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	9.1%	18.2%		54.5%	18.2%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			27.3%	27.3%	45.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Lecture

Class Number: 15142

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 15/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		6.7%		20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6291: APPLIED DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Lecture
Class Number: 15360
Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 6/6

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15359
Section: I2

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7821: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE I

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 6/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					83.3%	16.7%	6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20662
Section: I3

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7821: TOPICS IN RISK FINANCE I

Primary Instructor: Slivka,Ronald

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		9.1%			90.9%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4001: ECE Professional Development & Presentation

Lecture
Class Number: 15594
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina

Response Rate: 29/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%				92.9%	3.6%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	34.5%	44.8%	3.4%	29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		3.4%	24.1%	13.8%	51.7%	6.9%	29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	6.9%	10.3%	13.8%	24.1%	37.9%	6.9%	29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	3.4%		20.7%	34.5%	34.5%	6.9%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.8%	10.3%	72.4%	3.4%	29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		6.9%	6.9%	34.5%	48.3%	3.4%	29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	6.9%	6.9%	13.8%	31.0%	37.9%	3.4%	29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	17.2%	24.1%	3.4%	17.2%	34.5%	3.4%	29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.4%	3.4%	41.4%	13.8%	27.6%	10.3%	29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			13.8%	41.4%	41.4%	3.4%	29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	17.9%	10.7%	14.3%	21.4%	32.1%	3.6%	28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	34.5%	44.8%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	20.7%	3.4%	20.7%	17.2%	31.0%	6.9%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15691
Section: B

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4001: ECE Professional Development & Presentation

Primary Instructor: Krikorian-Ezik,Nina

Response Rate: 38/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.1%				90.6%	6.3%	32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			7.9%	18.4%	73.7%		38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	13.5%	73.0%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		38

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	18.4%	68.4%		38
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		38
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	5.3%	7.9%	5.3%	21.1%	60.5%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	5.3%	7.9%	10.5%	13.2%	63.2%		38
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.6%	13.2%	15.8%	68.4%		38
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	13.2%	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	60.5%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	71.1%	2.6%	38
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	10.5%	2.6%	13.2%	21.1%	52.6%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14716
Section: I

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6123: Human Resource Management

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 15/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6123: Human Resource Management

Lecture
Class Number: 15123
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Miller,Marc S

Response Rate: 5/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1133: Creative Coding

Lecture

Class Number: 15966

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dubois,Roger Luke

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	13.3%	46.7%	6.7%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%		46.7%	46.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			6.7%		93.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3411: Automatic Control Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15613

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 12/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		16.7%		41.7%	41.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		12
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	8.3%	8.3%		8.3%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	16.7%		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I applied techniques of mathematical modeling and analysis of dynamic systems to experimental electro-mechanical systems.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
Q28	I designed controllers using computer simulations and validated their control designs on experimental test beds.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q29	I wrote technical reports.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**	8.3%	8.3%		25.0%	58.3%		12
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**	8.3%		8.3%	8.3%	75.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4112: Senior Design I

Lecture

Class Number: 15616

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 40/45

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.4%				94.6%		37

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		40
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.5%		12.5%	85.0%		40
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		2.6%	5.1%	10.3%	82.1%		39

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			2.5%	25.0%	72.5%		40
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		40

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		2.5%		12.5%	85.0%		40
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**	2.5%	2.5%		12.5%	82.5%		40
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		5.0%		15.0%	80.0%		40
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.5%	15.0%	15.0%	62.5%		40
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.5%	2.5%		32.5%	62.5%		40
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	2.5%	2.5%		7.5%	87.5%		40

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to conceptualize, formulate, model, and carry out preliminary design a system.	**4.7**		5.0%		17.5%	77.5%		40
Q28	I learned to work in a team environment and develop responsibility role structure.	**4.6**	2.5%	2.5%		20.0%	75.0%		40
Q29	I learned the modern tools for project management and the product realization process.	**4.7**	2.5%	2.5%		15.0%	80.0%		40
Q30	I learned to generate presentations for discussion in an industry review format with participation.	**4.7**	2.5%	5.0%		10.0%	82.5%		40
Q31	I learned to apply numerical analysis to design a project.	**4.7**	2.5%	2.5%		15.0%	80.0%		40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.5%	15.0%	82.5%		40
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		40

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**		2.5%		20.0%	77.5%		40
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.7**	2.5%	2.5%		17.5%	77.5%		40
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.6**		5.0%		22.5%	72.5%		40
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4112: Senior Design I

Lecture

Class Number: 15931

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Borowiec,Joseph Anthony

Response Rate: 35/41

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					97.1%	2.9%	34

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			2.9%	8.6%	88.6%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.4%	88.6%		35
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**			2.9%	2.9%	94.3%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			2.9%	8.6%	88.6%		35

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.0%	77.1%		35
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.0%	77.1%		35
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			2.9%	31.4%	65.7%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			25.7%	11.4%	60.0%	2.9%	35
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		2.9%	2.9%	31.4%	62.9%		35
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.6%	79.4%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am able to conceptualize, formulate, model, and carry out preliminary design a system.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		35
Q28	I learned to work in a team environment and develop responsibility role structure.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		35
Q29	I learned the modern tools for project management and the product realization process.	**4.7**				25.7%	74.3%		35
Q30	I learned to generate presentations for discussion in an industry review format with participation.	**4.8**			2.9%	11.4%	85.7%		35
Q31	I learned to apply numerical analysis to design a project.	**4.8**			2.9%	14.3%	82.9%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				17.1%	82.9%		35
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				25.7%	74.3%		35

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**			2.9%	14.3%	82.9%		35
A36	I have enhanced my ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.7**			8.6%	11.4%	80.0%		35
A38	I have enhanced my ability to develop an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.8**			2.9%	17.1%	80.0%		35
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**			5.7%	11.4%	82.9%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 1223A-Mugglin,David

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1223: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 23/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					95.7%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				8.7%	87.0%	4.3%	23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				4.3%	91.3%	4.3%	23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				8.7%	87.0%	4.3%	23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			13.0%	8.7%	73.9%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		8.7%	21.7%	17.4%	47.8%	4.3%	23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	73.9%	4.3%	23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		4.3%	8.7%		82.6%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					95.7%	4.3%	23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			8.7%	13.0%	73.9%	4.3%	23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 1223B-Mugglin,David

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1223: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 4/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 2023C-Mugglin,David

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 49/61

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.1%				95.9%		49

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.1%	14.3%	81.6%		49
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			20.4%	8.2%	71.4%		49
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			8.2%	14.3%	77.6%		49

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		2.0%	20.4%	32.7%	44.9%		49
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	2.0%	2.0%	10.2%	28.6%	57.1%		49

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			8.2%	26.5%	65.3%		49
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			8.2%	28.6%	61.2%	2.0%	49
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	2.0%	4.1%	10.2%	20.4%	63.3%		49
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	2.0%	6.1%	14.3%	26.5%	51.0%		49
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	2.0%	10.2%	12.2%	30.6%	44.9%		49
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		6.1%	14.3%	18.4%	61.2%		49

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		2.0%	6.1%	22.4%	69.4%		49
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	2.0%	6.1%	14.3%	32.7%	44.9%		49

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15895
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH 2104: Analytical Mechanics

Primary Instructor: Mugglin,David

Response Rate: 9/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15517
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: Integrated Calculus II for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Khachatorian,Daniel

Response Rate: 3/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.3**	33.3%			33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15204
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 5403: Data Structures and Algorithms

Primary Instructor: Vaisman,Joseph

Response Rate: 18/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	72.2%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		5.6%		22.2%	72.2%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		16.7%	5.6%	33.3%	44.4%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	55.6%	5.6%	18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 24453
Section: C

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 1133: Creative Coding

Primary Instructor: Bennett,Katherine

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		6.3%		31.3%	62.5%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**		18.8%	6.3%	62.5%	12.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	37.5%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		18.8%	12.5%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	18.8%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			18.8%	68.8%	12.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15460
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 6063: Creative Coding

Primary Instructor: Bennett,Katherine

Response Rate: 9/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15509
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang

Response Rate: 5/38

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			40.0%	20.0%	40.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**5.0**					80.0%	20.0%	5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**	20.0%		40.0%		40.0%		5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15804
Section: C

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Zhao,Fang

Response Rate: 5/42

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		40.0%				60.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	20.0%			60.0%	20.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	20.0%			40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%			5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	40.0%			60.0%			5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.2**	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3533: CONSTRUCTION SITE LAYOUT & SURVEYING

Lecture
Class Number: 15935
Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Mina,Ehab Nabil

Response Rate: 15/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**			33.3%	40.0%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			40.0%	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			20.0%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Laboratory

Class Number: 15891

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/21

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**	5.3%	5.3%		15.8%	73.7%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			21.1%	31.6%	47.4%		19
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	73.7%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	57.9%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q28	I learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19
Q29	I learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
Q30	I learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.5**	5.3%		10.5%	10.5%	73.7%		19
Q31	I learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		5.3%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		19
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.4**			21.1%	21.1%	57.9%		19
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 15891

Section: ALAB

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Laboratory

Class Number: 15892

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				47.4%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			15.8%	15.8%	68.4%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	42.1%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions.	**4.5**			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		19
Q28	I learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q29	I learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q30	I learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**3.9**		15.8%	15.8%	26.3%	42.1%		19
Q31	I learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**3.9**		21.1%	10.5%	21.1%	47.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**			5.3%	42.1%	52.6%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	42.1%		19
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**			15.8%	36.8%	47.4%		19
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	47.4%	42.1%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 15892

Section: BLAB

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/19

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Laboratory

Class Number: 15893

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 23/24

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**		8.7%	21.7%	13.0%	56.5%		23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	43.5%	30.4%		23
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	65.2%		23
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**		4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	56.5%		23
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		13.0%	21.7%	17.4%	47.8%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**		8.7%	17.4%	26.1%	47.8%		23
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	4.3%		26.1%	30.4%	39.1%		23
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	26.1%	43.5%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	8.7%	4.3%	21.7%	34.8%	30.4%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	34.8%	30.4%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.7%	13.0%	30.4%	8.7%	39.1%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	13.0%	4.3%	17.4%	26.1%	34.8%	4.3%	23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	8.7%		13.0%	43.5%	34.8%		23
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions.	**4.0**	4.3%		26.1%	34.8%	34.8%		23
Q28	I learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.0**	4.3%		26.1%	30.4%	39.1%		23
Q29	I learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.2**		8.7%	13.0%	30.4%	47.8%		23
Q30	I learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	47.8%	17.4%		23
Q31	I learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**3.5**	4.3%	26.1%	13.0%	30.4%	26.1%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		4.3%	30.4%	13.0%	52.2%		23
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	4.3%		30.4%	30.4%	34.8%		23
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	47.8%	26.1%		23
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	4.3%		17.4%	43.5%	34.8%		23
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	47.8%	30.4%		23
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	34.8%	30.4%		23
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	47.8%	26.1%		23
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.7**	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	39.1%	26.1%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 15893

Section: CLAB

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 23/24

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.1**	4.3%		13.0%	47.8%	34.8%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Lecture
Class Number: 15894
Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 41/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.5%				92.5%	5.0%	40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.4%		2.4%	22.0%	73.2%		41
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		40
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	2.5%		7.5%	40.0%	50.0%		40

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	2.4%	7.3%	2.4%	26.8%	61.0%		41
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	2.4%	2.4%	19.5%	19.5%	56.1%		41

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	24.4%	68.3%		41
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	2.4%		9.8%	26.8%	61.0%		41
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	2.4%	4.9%	12.2%	34.1%	46.3%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	4.9%	2.4%	4.9%	17.1%	70.7%		41
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	2.4%	7.3%	31.7%	17.1%	41.5%		41
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	2.4%		17.1%	24.4%	56.1%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions.	**4.6**	2.5%		2.5%	27.5%	67.5%		40
Q28	I learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.5**	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	27.5%	65.0%		40
Q29	I learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.5**	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%	27.5%	62.5%		40
Q30	I learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.1**	2.5%	2.5%	22.5%	30.0%	40.0%	2.5%	40
Q31	I learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**3.8**	2.5%	17.5%	12.5%	30.0%	35.0%	2.5%	40

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**	2.4%		12.2%	19.5%	65.9%		41
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	2.4%		12.2%	46.3%	39.0%		41

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**	2.5%		5.0%	27.5%	65.0%		40
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**	2.5%		5.0%	35.0%	57.5%		40
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**	2.5%		5.0%	35.0%	57.5%		40
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.0**	2.5%	7.5%	15.0%	32.5%	40.0%	2.5%	40
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**	2.5%		10.0%	40.0%	47.5%		40
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.9**	2.6%	5.1%	20.5%	41.0%	30.8%		39
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.2**	2.6%	2.6%	7.7%	51.3%	35.9%		39

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Lecture
Class Number: 16017
Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 30/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		3.3%		30.0%	66.7%		30
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	26.7%	66.7%		30
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		3.3%	13.3%	30.0%	53.3%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	66.7%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		30

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		3.3%		23.3%	73.3%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.3%		43.3%	53.3%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	40.0%	50.0%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.3%	6.7%	16.7%	73.3%		30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.3%	16.7%	30.0%	50.0%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.4%	6.9%	20.7%	69.0%		29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions.	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30
Q28	I learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30
Q29	I learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.5**		3.3%	10.0%	23.3%	63.3%		30
Q30	I learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.3**		10.3%	6.9%	24.1%	58.6%		29
Q31	I learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**4.1**		3.3%	26.7%	23.3%	46.7%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.3%	13.3%	20.0%	63.3%		30
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		3.3%	6.7%	33.3%	56.7%		30
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	63.3%		30
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.3**		10.0%	6.7%	30.0%	53.3%		30
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.2**		3.3%	13.3%	43.3%	40.0%		30
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	23.3%	56.7%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	60.0%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Laboratory

Class Number: 16019

Section: DLAB

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/21

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	61.1%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of finite element analysis and the application of boundary conditions and symmetry conditions.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q28	I learned about setting up solution methods for beams and frames and the post-processing procedures to extract information such as stresses and strains.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q29	I learned modeling using Ansys.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q30	I learned about contemporary issues in the field of FEA.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q31	I learned about impact of FEA on medicine, design, and aerospace industry.	**4.5**		5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	68.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.5**			10.5%	31.6%	57.9%		19
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.7**			5.3%	15.8%	78.9%		19
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 16019

Section: DLAB

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4214: Finite Element Modeling, Design and Analysis

Primary Instructor: Luong,Dung Dinh

Response Rate: 19/21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15830

Section: A3RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 16/21

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		16

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15741

Section: B2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Mananga,Eugene S

Response Rate: 17/22

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		5.9%	17.6%	23.5%	52.9%		17
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.3**			5.9%	58.8%	35.3%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15739

Section: B1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir

Response Rate: 19/24

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	42.1%		19
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			15.8%	42.1%	42.1%		19
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			26.3%	15.8%	57.9%		19

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	52.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15783

Section: A1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Petricevic,Vladimir

Response Rate: 20/24

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.2**		5.0%	25.0%	15.0%	55.0%		20
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**		25.0%	5.0%	35.0%	35.0%		20
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**			30.0%	25.0%	45.0%		20
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.1**			26.3%	36.8%	36.8%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16087
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
EN 2414W: THE CITY AND LITERATURE

Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia

Response Rate: 17/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		5.9%	23.5%	17.6%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	11.8%		5.9%	29.4%	52.9%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			23.5%	17.6%	58.8%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	23.5%	41.2%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	23.5%	41.2%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	11.8%		5.9%	52.9%	29.4%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	11.8%		17.6%	29.4%	35.3%	5.9%	17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course has taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	**3.9**	11.8%		11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17
Q28	This course has taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**3.9**	11.8%		11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17
Q29	This course has taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	**3.8**	11.8%		17.6%	41.2%	29.4%		17
Q30	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**3.2**	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	29.4%		17
Q31	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.8**	11.8%		17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	11.8%		23.5%	35.3%	29.4%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.8**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%		17
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**3.9**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%		17
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	35.3%	5.9%	17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**	11.8%		17.6%	35.3%	35.3%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15995
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

EN 3194W: ETHICAL QUESTIONS IN LITERATURE

Primary Instructor: Marks,Sylvia

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		20.0%		13.3%	66.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	20.0%	13.3%		26.7%	40.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course taught you techniques for communicating your ideas more effectively in writing.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q28	This course taught you how to recognize and improve or correct weaknesses and errors in your writing.	**4.2**		6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	53.3%		15
Q29	This course taught you to be a more effective reader of texts.	**3.9**			33.3%	46.7%	20.0%		15
Q30	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**3.9**		6.7%	20.0%	53.3%	20.0%		15
Q31	This course helped you understand the ethical choices demanded of scientists.	**3.6**	6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	20.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	35.7%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**3.9**		6.7%	40.0%	6.7%	46.7%		15
A25	I have enhanced my ability to articulate ideas in a clear and concise fashion.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
A27	I have enhanced my ability to plan and deliver written presentation effectively	**3.8**		26.7%	13.3%	13.3%	46.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**		6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Lecture
Class Number: 15306
Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Papanicolaou,Andrew

Response Rate: 29/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		6.9%			93.1%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.4%		6.9%	89.7%		29
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.9%	93.1%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				17.2%	82.8%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	3.4%	6.9%		20.7%	69.0%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		29
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	3.4%		6.9%	17.2%	72.4%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	27.6%	51.7%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.7%	79.3%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				13.8%	86.2%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			6.9%	20.7%	72.4%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20659
Section: I5

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Primary Instructor: Papanicolaou,Andrew

Response Rate: 16/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		6.3%		12.5%	81.3%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	31.3%	50.0%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			6.3%	43.8%	50.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16186
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 1123: Visual Foundation Studio

Primary Instructor: Seegers,Jesse T

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.7**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	20.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**		20.0%		40.0%	40.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		6.7%	46.7%	33.3%	13.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.7%		40.0%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			13.3%	6.7%	80.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**			13.3%	66.7%	20.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			6.7%	53.3%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15037
Section: I

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6553: Telecommunications Management I

Primary Instructor: Bhattacharjee,Tushar

Response Rate: 7/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2213: FLUID MECHANICS AND HYDRAULICS

Laboratory

Class Number: 15759

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Watkins,Sally J.

Response Rate: 11/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**		18.2%		54.5%	27.3%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	9.1%		9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**			27.3%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		18.2%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the nomenclature and standard units associated with fluid mechanics as it relates to civil engineering and become adept in their use in problem solving.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q28	I learned how to quantify fluid pressure and forces on submerged surfaces under static conditions.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q29	I learned how to quantify flow rate, pressure and forces in flowing fluids by using conservation of mass, energy and momentum.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q30	I Use laboratory exercises to develop better understanding of fluid properties, and static and dynamic behavior of real fluids.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			27.3%	54.5%	18.2%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15453

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 27/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.8%	85.2%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.4%	37.0%	55.6%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			3.7%	37.0%	59.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		11.1%		18.5%	70.4%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				3.7%	96.3%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.7%	55.6%	3.7%	27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				37.0%	63.0%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			3.7%	40.7%	55.6%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				37.0%	59.3%	3.7%	27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				37.0%	59.3%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Lecture
Class Number: 24458
Section: MOT4

Primary Instructor: Bonilla,JeanCarlo

Response Rate: 32/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.9%	78.1%		32
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		6.3%	9.4%	18.8%	65.6%		32
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			3.1%	15.6%	81.3%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	3.1%	9.4%	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.1%		6.3%	90.6%		32
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	6.3%	9.4%	3.1%	18.8%	62.5%		32
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		3.1%	9.4%	25.0%	62.5%		32
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		3.1%		6.3%	90.6%		32

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**	3.1%			18.8%	78.1%		32
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	18.8%	75.0%		32
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**	3.1%			21.9%	75.0%		32
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**	3.1%		6.3%	18.8%	71.9%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			9.4%	18.8%	71.9%		32
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		3.1%	9.4%	18.8%	68.8%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 2204: Financial Accounting

Lecture
Class Number: 15636
Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 26/40

A. Procedures and Policies		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.8%	11.5%	84.6%		26
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	26.9%	57.7%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	3.8%	11.5%	7.7%	15.4%	61.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		26

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	3.8%	3.8%		23.1%	69.2%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	19.2%	65.4%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	7.7%	11.5%	11.5%	15.4%	53.8%		26
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		11.5%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%	3.8%	26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	15.4%	23.1%	3.8%	19.2%	38.5%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		3.8%	19.2%	26.9%	50.0%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.5**	15.4%	19.2%	7.7%	11.5%	46.2%		26
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	26.9%	46.2%		26
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**		11.5%	7.7%	42.3%	38.5%		26
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**		19.2%	26.9%	19.2%	30.8%	3.8%	26

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		3.8%	23.1%	34.6%	38.5%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 3204: Introduction to Finance

Lecture

Class Number: 15640

Section: A

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 15/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		13.3%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**			6.7%	66.7%	26.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**		6.7%	20.0%	53.3%	20.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**		13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	33.3%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**			26.7%	33.3%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			6.7%	53.3%	40.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			13.3%	66.7%	20.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 4214: Financial Strategy

Lecture

Class Number: 15646

Section: I

Primary Instructor: D'Emic,Michael T

Response Rate: 12/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		8.3%		8.3%	83.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6243: System Theory and Feedback Control

Lecture

Class Number: 21195

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Krishnamurthy,Prashanth

Response Rate: 23/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**			4.3%	4.3%	91.3%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		4.3%	13.0%	4.3%	78.3%		23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	78.3%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			8.7%	39.1%	52.2%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.3%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	69.6%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.4%	69.6%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 24465
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7723: SELECTED TOPICS IN ENVIRONMENTAL AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING II

Primary Instructor: Messaros,Roy C

Response Rate: 11/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the fundamental concepts of hydrology, hydrologic cycle, water balance/budget, and hydraulics as it relates to lakes.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	I understand how lakes and wetlands are different and their individual function for environmental sustainability.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q29	I became knowledgeable for water quality and ecosystem health and value.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding/skills for water quality evaluation and how to improve water quality in aquatic ecosystems.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20286
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.1%			92.9%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			14.3%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			42.9%	7.1%	42.9%	7.1%	14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			21.4%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15187
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 9963: MS Pre-Thesis in Digital Media: Research Methods

Primary Instructor: Karwas,Dana L

Response Rate: 5/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4513: Software Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15772

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 23/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		8.7%		30.4%	60.9%		23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**		4.3%	34.8%	13.0%	47.8%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	39.1%	30.4%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**		18.2%	13.6%	36.4%	31.8%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			4.3%	43.5%	52.2%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	8.7%	8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	30.4%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	18.2%	13.6%	18.2%	22.7%	27.3%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	30.4%	30.4%	4.3%	23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.3%	8.7%	17.4%	30.4%	34.8%	4.3%	23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	13.0%	4.3%	13.0%	43.5%	26.1%		23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable with describing the principles of modern software engineering, the software development life cycles, and project management	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	34.8%		23
Q28	I am comfortable writing software requirements and specifications	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	34.8%		23
Q29	I am comfortable in working in a team to develop a “real-world” solution to a problem	**4.1**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	39.1%	43.5%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	39.1%	39.1%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	13.0%	4.3%	30.4%	21.7%	26.1%	4.3%	23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A23	I have enhanced my ability to bring in outside experiences to provide solutions to problems	**3.9**	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	43.5%	30.4%		23
A29	I have enhanced my ability to understand how engineering solutions impact society	**3.9**	4.3%	8.7%	8.7%	52.2%	26.1%		23
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	47.8%	26.1%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4523: Design Project

Project

Class Number: 15527

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 22/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.5%			90.9%	4.5%	22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			9.1%	13.6%	77.3%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			27.3%	9.1%	59.1%	4.5%	22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		22

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable implementing a software solution for a team project and presenting the results.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
Q28	I am comfortable using software design techniques including Object-Oriented, Agile and life cycle models to function-based system in a team environment	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q29	I am comfortable with describing the principles of modern software engineering, the software development life cycles, and project management	**4.5**		5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				13.6%	86.4%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	63.6%		22

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
A12	I have enhanced my ability to design a digital system that meets the desired speed, space and cost constraints.	**4.5**		5.0%		40.0%	55.0%		20
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6063: Software Engineering I

Lecture

Class Number: 14619

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Strauss,Fred J.

Response Rate: 50/69

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.0%				92.0%		50

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	4.1%	6.1%	6.1%	24.5%	57.1%	2.0%	49
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		2.0%	18.0%	22.0%	58.0%		50
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	42.0%	38.0%		50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	4.0%	14.0%	8.0%	38.0%	36.0%		50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	2.0%	6.0%	6.0%	28.0%	58.0%		50

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	2.0%	14.0%	2.0%	26.0%	56.0%		50
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	4.0%	18.0%	6.0%	30.0%	42.0%		50
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	36.0%	30.0%	2.0%	50
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	8.2%	16.3%	10.2%	28.6%	36.7%		49
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	4.0%	10.0%	18.0%	34.0%	34.0%		50
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	4.0%	10.0%	4.0%	50.0%	32.0%		50

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable describing the management issues including software cost estimating and project management for a software system	**4.1**	2.2%	8.7%	4.3%	47.8%	34.8%	2.2%	46
Q28	I am comfortable with describing the principles of modern software engineering, the software development life cycles, and project management	**4.2**	4.3%	4.3%	2.2%	43.5%	43.5%	2.2%	46
Q29	I am comfortable with taking a project proposal and creating a set of business and system requirement, and performing a system analysis.	**4.3**	4.3%		4.3%	39.1%	50.0%	2.2%	46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	6.0%	8.0%	12.0%	32.0%	42.0%		50
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	40.0%	28.0%		50

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15574

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 20/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		5.0%	5.0%	55.0%	35.0%		20
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**		10.0%		15.0%	75.0%		20
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			10.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.0%	25.0%	5.0%	65.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**		5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**		5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			5.0%	55.0%	40.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			15.0%	20.0%	65.0%		20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			15.0%	45.0%	40.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15583

Section: C4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 20/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**	5.0%			30.0%	65.0%		20
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	55.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		25.0%	55.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	35.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		5.0%	5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	5.3%		5.3%	47.4%	42.1%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	5.3%		21.1%	26.3%	47.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15813

Section: D4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 16/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**		12.5%	6.3%	56.3%	25.0%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.2**		12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.3%	6.3%	37.5%	50.0%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			6.3%	37.5%	56.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			6.3%	50.0%	43.8%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**			18.8%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	81.3%	6.3%	16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			12.5%	50.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			18.8%	37.5%	43.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Laboratory
Class Number: 15695
Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 9/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**		11.1%		55.6%	33.3%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	11.1%		11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		11.1%		66.7%	22.2%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**	11.1%			44.4%	44.4%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**			22.2%	66.7%	11.1%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Laboratory
Class Number: 16102
Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Hagver,Rena

Response Rate: 9/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		22.2%			77.8%		9

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15881
Section: A2LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 13/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			15.4%	53.8%	30.8%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		7.7%		61.5%	30.8%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		7.7%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			30.8%	38.5%	30.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 2512: Biostatistics

Lecture

Class Number: 16194

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Li,Zairong

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		5.0%		15.0%	80.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	5.0%			30.0%	65.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		15.0%		10.0%	75.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		5.0%		20.0%	75.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15563

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Charnick,Suzanne G

Response Rate: 17/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%		35.3%	52.9%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.1**	5.9%	35.3%	11.8%	41.2%	5.9%		17
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.3**	12.5%		43.8%	31.3%	12.5%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.8**	31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	6.3%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.6**	17.6%	41.2%	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%		17
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		11.8%	35.3%	29.4%	23.5%		17
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		5.9%	11.8%	52.9%	29.4%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		11.8%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**		11.8%	35.3%	29.4%	23.5%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**			29.4%	47.1%	17.6%	5.9%	17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	17.6%	23.5%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	5.9%		41.2%	41.2%	11.8%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.1**		5.9%		70.6%	23.5%		17
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**			5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.1**	11.8%	11.8%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%		17
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**			41.2%	47.1%	11.8%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.6**		11.8%	29.4%	41.2%	17.6%		17
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**		5.9%	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%		17
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**2.8**	11.8%	35.3%	29.4%	5.9%	17.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15567

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Charnick,Suzanne G

Response Rate: 18/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		18
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	44.4%		18
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		5.6%	11.1%	50.0%	33.3%		18
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.7**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	58.8%	17.6%		17
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			5.6%	44.4%	50.0%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**			16.7%	55.6%	27.8%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		11.1%	11.1%	55.6%	22.2%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			11.1%	61.1%	27.8%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		11.1%	5.6%	55.6%	27.8%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		18
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		5.6%	22.2%	50.0%	22.2%		18
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		5.6%	5.6%	55.6%	33.3%		18
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**		5.6%	5.6%	61.1%	27.8%		18
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.8**		11.1%	16.7%	44.4%	22.2%	5.6%	18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15569

Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Charnick,Suzanne G

Response Rate: 20/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		20
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		10.0%	5.0%	50.0%	35.0%		20
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.5**	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%	35.0%	25.0%		20
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	25.0%	20.0%		20
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.8**	15.0%	30.0%	25.0%	20.0%	10.0%		20
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			15.0%	55.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		10.0%		35.0%	55.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		5.0%	15.0%	50.0%	30.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	55.0%	25.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		15.0%	10.0%	60.0%	15.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	30.0%	25.0%	5.0%	20
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		20
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**			10.0%	55.0%	35.0%		20
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.5**	5.0%		50.0%	35.0%	10.0%		20
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**			45.0%	45.0%	10.0%		20
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		5.0%	5.0%	55.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	35.0%	30.0%	5.0%	20
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.2**	15.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 20691

Section: C2LB

Primary Instructor: Charnick,Suzanne G

Response Rate: 12/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**			8.3%	50.0%	41.7%		12
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.9**			25.0%	58.3%	16.7%		12
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**			16.7%	66.7%	8.3%	8.3%	12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.0**		33.3%	25.0%	33.3%		8.3%	12
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			8.3%	75.0%	16.7%		12
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**		8.3%	8.3%	66.7%	16.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	66.7%	8.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.3%			58.3%	25.0%	8.3%	12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**			16.7%	58.3%	25.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		8.3%	25.0%	50.0%	16.7%		12
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.1**			8.3%	75.0%	16.7%		12
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.4**			16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**			25.0%	58.3%	16.7%		12
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**			25.0%	58.3%	16.7%		12
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.7**		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	8.3%		12
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**		8.3%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%		12
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.3**		25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6283: Web-Based Human Resource Management

Lecture

Class Number: 15126

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Reilly,John

Response Rate: 4/7

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies			*No*				*Yes*		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
E1. Online Course Module									
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.8**			50.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9163: Application Security

Lecture

Class Number: 15311

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Lum,Kelly A

Response Rate: 20/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			5.0%	35.0%	60.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		5.0%		5.0%	90.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		15.0%		45.0%	40.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		5.0%		30.0%	65.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.0%	5.0%		25.0%	65.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**		15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	60.0%		20
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		15.0%		25.0%	60.0%		20
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**	5.0%		5.0%	15.0%	75.0%		20
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**			45.0%		50.0%	5.0%	20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3223: Dynamics

Lecture

Class Number: 15612

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Park,Kee Moon

Response Rate: 35/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				25.7%	74.3%		35
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.7%	8.6%	17.1%	65.7%	2.9%	35
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		2.9%		31.4%	65.7%		35

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			2.9%	20.0%	77.1%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		8.6%		28.6%	62.9%		35

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				25.7%	74.3%		35
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.9%	77.1%		35
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	11.4%	25.7%	57.1%		35
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.4%	25.7%	60.0%		35
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			2.9%	31.4%	65.7%		35
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	22.9%	71.4%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles.	**4.8**		2.9%		14.7%	82.4%		34
Q28	I learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact, and modeling of single and systems of particles.	**4.7**		2.9%	2.9%	14.7%	79.4%		34
Q29	I learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies.	**4.7**			3.0%	21.2%	75.8%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		2.9%	2.9%	31.4%	62.9%		35
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		2.9%	2.9%	42.9%	51.4%		35

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**		2.9%		20.6%	76.5%		34
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	23.5%	70.6%		34
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**		2.9%	2.9%	23.5%	70.6%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4423: Special Topics in Electrical Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 24638

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Rangan,Sundeep

Response Rate: 10/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				80.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		10.0%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6313: INFORMATION VISUALIZATION

Lecture

Class Number: 15436

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico

Response Rate: 29/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.4%	3.4%	93.1%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			10.3%	13.8%	75.9%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**			3.4%	6.9%	89.7%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		3.4%	10.3%	27.6%	58.6%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			3.4%	20.7%	75.9%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			3.4%	13.8%	82.8%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			6.9%	3.4%	89.7%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			3.4%	20.7%	75.9%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 26004
Section: INET

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6313: INFORMATION VISUALIZATION

Primary Instructor: Bertini,Enrico

Response Rate: 18/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			94.4%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	72.2%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	5.6%	83.3%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		16.7%	5.6%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			11.1%	27.8%	61.1%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		18

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**			11.1%	16.7%	72.2%		18
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**		11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			5.6%	33.3%	61.1%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15513
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1024: Calculus I for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna

Response Rate: 4/44

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**			25.0%	75.0%			4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**			25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15795
Section: C

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1424: Integrated Calculus II for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Ulman,Hanna

Response Rate: 5/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		40.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.8**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%			5
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14691
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Primary Instructor: Ivan,Mirela M

Response Rate: 23/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.1%	73.9%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				34.8%	65.2%		23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	30.4%	60.9%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	73.9%	4.3%	23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			4.3%	26.1%	69.6%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			13.0%	34.8%	52.2%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			4.3%	26.1%	60.9%	8.7%	23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			13.0%	21.7%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			4.3%	21.7%	73.9%		23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			8.7%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				43.5%	56.5%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21692
Section: I4

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Primary Instructor: Ivan,Mirela M

Response Rate: 30/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.3%				96.7%		30

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		3.3%		20.0%	76.7%		30
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.3%		23.3%	73.3%		30
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	80.0%		30

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	16.7%	70.0%		30
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.3%	6.7%	6.7%	83.3%		30

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.3%		13.3%	83.3%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		6.7%	3.3%	13.3%	76.7%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**		3.3%		13.3%	83.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.3%		20.0%	76.7%		30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		3.3%		13.3%	83.3%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		3.3%		13.3%	83.3%		30

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**		3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	83.3%		30
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	73.3%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4613: Artificial Intelligence I

Lecture

Class Number: 16053

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Togelius,Julian

Response Rate: 63/92

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				91.9%	3.2%	62

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		1.6%	7.9%	33.3%	57.1%		63
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		9.5%	7.9%	36.5%	46.0%		63
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	6.3%	7.9%	7.9%	28.6%	47.6%	1.6%	63

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	16.1%	14.5%	4.8%	37.1%	27.4%		62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.3%	6.6%	24.6%	34.4%	27.9%	3.3%	61

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	1.6%	4.8%	7.9%	34.9%	50.8%		63
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	7.9%	9.5%	11.1%	28.6%	42.9%		63
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	3.2%	3.2%	11.1%	27.0%	55.6%		63
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	4.8%	7.9%	19.0%	28.6%	39.7%		63
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.3%	9.5%	7.9%	42.9%	33.3%		63
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.2%	6.3%	41.3%	49.2%		63

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**2.9**	25.6%		28.2%	10.3%	17.9%	17.9%	39
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**3.0**	25.6%		15.4%	23.1%	12.8%	23.1%	39
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**3.0**	25.6%		15.4%	23.1%	12.8%	23.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	3.2%	11.1%	6.3%	34.9%	42.9%	1.6%	63
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	3.2%	6.3%	9.5%	41.3%	38.1%	1.6%	63

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6613: Artificial Intelligence I

Lecture

Class Number: 14628

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Togelius,Julian

Response Rate: 63/92

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				91.9%	3.2%	62

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		1.6%	7.9%	33.3%	57.1%		63
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		9.5%	7.9%	36.5%	46.0%		63
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	6.3%	7.9%	7.9%	28.6%	47.6%	1.6%	63

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	16.1%	14.5%	4.8%	37.1%	27.4%		62
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.3%	6.6%	24.6%	34.4%	27.9%	3.3%	61

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	1.6%	4.8%	7.9%	34.9%	50.8%		63
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	7.9%	9.5%	11.1%	28.6%	42.9%		63
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	3.2%	3.2%	11.1%	27.0%	55.6%		63
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	4.8%	7.9%	19.0%	28.6%	39.7%		63
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.3%	9.5%	7.9%	42.9%	33.3%		63
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		3.2%	6.3%	41.3%	49.2%		63

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**2.9**	25.6%		28.2%	10.3%	17.9%	17.9%	39
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**3.0**	25.6%		15.4%	23.1%	12.8%	23.1%	39
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**3.0**	25.6%		15.4%	23.1%	12.8%	23.1%	39

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	3.2%	11.1%	6.3%	34.9%	42.9%	1.6%	63
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	3.2%	6.3%	9.5%	41.3%	38.1%	1.6%	63

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14692
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6103: Corporate Finance

Primary Instructor: Umlauf,Steven

Response Rate: 19/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			10.5%	5.3%	84.2%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15357
Section: I2

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Primary Instructor: Paul,Asha

Response Rate: 19/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	5.3%			5.3%	84.2%	5.3%	19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				21.1%	73.7%	5.3%	19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	73.7%	5.3%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	57.9%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	57.9%	10.5%	19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				31.6%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	52.6%	5.3%	19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	5.3%			21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.5%		36.8%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%		15.8%	68.4%	5.3%	19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		5.3%	10.5%	26.3%	57.9%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 24452
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 2133: 3D Modeling

Primary Instructor: Salazar-Caro,Gilberto Alfredo

Response Rate: 14/18

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**			28.6%	50.0%	21.4%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%	14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6923: Machine Learning

Lecture

Class Number: 25552

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman

Response Rate: 19/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				89.5%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	68.4%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	52.6%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		5.3%	26.3%	26.3%	42.1%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	21.1%				73.7%	5.3%	19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	10.5%	15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	47.4%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	10.5%	10.5%		10.5%	68.4%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	10.5%	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	57.9%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		10.5%	21.1%	21.1%	47.4%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	10.5%			26.3%	63.2%		19

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**	5.3%		5.3%	21.1%	68.4%		19
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**		5.3%		5.3%	89.5%		19
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**	5.3%			36.8%	57.9%		19
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**	15.8%		5.3%	26.3%	52.6%		19
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.9**	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	57.9%		19
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.1**	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	63.2%		19
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.4**	5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	15.8%	26.3%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	10.5%		21.1%	36.8%	31.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15477
Section: INT3

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman

Response Rate: 16/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				43.8%	6.3%	16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	25.0%	18.8%	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	18.8%	18.8%	6.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.1**	12.5%	31.3%	12.5%	25.0%	18.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.4**	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.4**	37.5%				56.3%	6.3%	16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.6**	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.7**	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	37.5%	18.8%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.9**	31.3%	12.5%	6.3%	31.3%	18.8%		16

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.1**	25.0%	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	25.0%		16
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.9**	12.5%			50.0%	31.3%	6.3%	16
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.4**	12.5%	25.0%		31.3%	31.3%		16
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.1**	18.8%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	25.0%		16
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	6.3%	25.0%		16
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	12.5%	31.3%	18.8%	18.8%	18.8%		16
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**3.4**	6.3%	25.0%	18.8%	25.0%	25.0%		16
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.7**		12.5%	31.3%	31.3%	25.0%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.9**	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.1**	25.0%	6.3%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7173: Enterprise Data Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 14704

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kannan,Raman

Response Rate: 23/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.3%				95.7%		23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**		13.0%	8.7%	39.1%	39.1%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		13.0%	4.3%	30.4%	47.8%	4.3%	23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	4.3%		17.4%	34.8%	39.1%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	8.7%	4.3%	17.4%	26.1%	43.5%		23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	17.4%	21.7%	34.8%	4.3%	23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**	17.4%	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	39.1%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	13.0%	34.8%	4.3%	26.1%	21.7%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	4.3%	8.7%	21.7%	26.1%	39.1%		23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	26.1%	34.8%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.3%	13.0%	13.0%	30.4%	39.1%		23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	21.7%	34.8%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.7**	4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	17.4%	39.1%		23
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	13.0%	39.1%	26.1%		23
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	4.3%	13.0%	34.8%	13.0%	34.8%		23
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.7**	4.3%	13.0%	17.4%	30.4%	30.4%	4.3%	23

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	21.7%	34.8%		23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	8.7%	13.0%	34.8%	26.1%	17.4%		23

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20288
Section: D

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Primary Instructor: Molina,Francisco Javier

Response Rate: 11/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.2%				72.7%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	9.1%		9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	9.1%	18.2%		27.3%	45.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	18.2%	18.2%		18.2%	45.5%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	9.1%		27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**		18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	9.1%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	9.1%			36.4%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			36.4%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	9.1%			18.2%	72.7%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.5**	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		9.1%	18.2%	36.4%	36.4%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15630
Section: A

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FIN 2103: Creating and Understanding Financial Statements

Primary Instructor: Cheng,Jierong

Response Rate: 21/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	14.3%				85.7%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	4.8%	28.6%		28.6%	38.1%		21
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	33.3%	23.8%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	9.5%	23.8%	14.3%	28.6%	23.8%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	9.5%	9.5%	19.0%	38.1%	23.8%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	23.8%	42.9%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**	9.5%	19.0%		38.1%	33.3%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	14.3%	33.3%	9.5%	23.8%	19.0%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	9.5%	23.8%	9.5%	28.6%	28.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.7**	28.6%	19.0%	14.3%	28.6%	9.5%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	9.5%	4.8%	38.1%	33.3%	14.3%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	38.1%	33.3%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	9.5%	23.8%	23.8%	19.0%	23.8%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	23.8%	19.0%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Lecture

Class Number: 15425

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ferrara,Philip

Response Rate: 2/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6401: Employee Engagement: Theory and Practice

Lecture
Class Number: 15418
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Ferrara,Philip

Response Rate: 10/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		10.0%			90.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		10.0%	10.0%		80.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	10.0%			40.0%	50.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.1**	11.1%		22.2%		66.7%		9
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.3**	12.5%		12.5%		75.0%		8
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.2**		11.1%	22.2%		66.7%		9
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**	12.5%				87.5%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	11.1%		11.1%	22.2%	55.6%		9
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**	11.1%			11.1%	77.8%		9
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.2**	11.1%		11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			44.4%	11.1%	44.4%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6153: FOUNDATIONS OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Lecture
Class Number: 15139
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 14/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.1%				92.9%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15151
Section: I2

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7251: ALGORITHMIC TRADING & HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCE

Primary Instructor: Freedman,Roy

Response Rate: 22/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				90.0%	5.0%	20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	9.1%	4.5%		22.7%	63.6%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	63.6%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	9.1%	4.5%		18.2%	68.2%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	9.1%	4.5%		27.3%	59.1%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	9.1%	9.1%		18.2%	63.6%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	18.2%	59.1%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	18.2%	59.1%		22
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	61.9%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	22.7%	54.5%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	59.1%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	59.1%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	9.1%	4.5%	4.5%	27.3%	54.5%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2204: DIGITAL LOGIC AND STATE MACHINE DESIGN

Lecture
Class Number: 15524
Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 46/74

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		2.2%			97.8%		46

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**		2.2%			97.8%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		2.2%	2.2%	13.0%	82.6%		46
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**	2.2%	2.2%		6.7%	88.9%		45
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**	2.2%	2.2%		15.2%	80.4%		46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	2.2%			23.9%	73.9%		46
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			4.3%	8.7%	87.0%		46
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.2%		8.7%	89.1%		46
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	2.2%	4.3%	2.2%	13.0%	78.3%		46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		17.8%	4.4%	15.6%	62.2%		45
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.2%	2.2%		30.4%	65.2%		46
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			4.3%	15.2%	80.4%		46
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	Understanding binary and hexadecimal number systems.	**4.9**				6.8%	93.2%		44
Q28	Ability to design and analyze combinational circuits by using switching algebra and Karnaugh maps.	**4.5**	2.3%		2.3%	34.1%	61.4%		44
Q29	Understanding sequential circuits and their analysis.	**4.4**	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	36.4%	54.5%		44
Q30	Ability to use the finite-state machine technique to design and analyze digital systems	**4.1**	4.5%	6.8%	4.5%	40.9%	43.2%		44
Q31	Ability to use programmable logic components and to analyze circuits with programmable components.	**4.0**	6.8%	4.5%	6.8%	43.2%	38.6%		44

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**	2.2%			4.3%	93.5%		46
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		2.2%	2.2%	39.1%	56.5%		46
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.4**		4.5%	6.8%	31.8%	56.8%		44
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.5**		6.8%	4.5%	25.0%	63.6%		44
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.5**	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	31.8%	61.4%		44
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	31.8%	59.1%		44
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**		9.1%	4.5%	29.5%	56.8%		44
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		6.8%	9.1%	31.8%	52.3%		44
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**		4.5%	6.8%	31.8%	56.8%		44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2214: COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE AND ORGANIZATION

Lecture
Class Number: 15525
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 61/100

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.7%				96.6%	1.7%	58

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.6%	93.4%		61
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.4%	77.0%	3.3%	61
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		1.6%		24.6%	73.8%		61

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		1.6%	4.9%	24.6%	67.2%	1.6%	61
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		1.6%	4.9%	26.2%	65.6%	1.6%	61

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			3.3%	23.0%	73.8%		61
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			3.3%	24.6%	70.5%	1.6%	61
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			8.2%	27.9%	63.9%		61
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.3%	13.1%	24.6%	57.4%	1.6%	61
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		1.6%	8.2%	32.8%	55.7%	1.6%	61
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.3%	3.3%	26.2%	67.2%		61

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to design and analyze computer architecture.	**4.4**		1.7%	3.4%	50.0%	43.1%	1.7%	58
Q28	Ability to design and analyze an unpipelined central processing unit.	**4.4**		1.7%	3.4%	50.0%	43.1%	1.7%	58
Q29	Understanding measuring computer performance.	**4.3**			8.6%	48.3%	41.4%	1.7%	58
Q30	Understanding pipelined central processing units.	**4.4**			7.0%	47.4%	43.9%	1.8%	57
Q31	Understanding a computer memory hierarchy.	**4.4**		1.8%	7.0%	42.1%	47.4%	1.8%	57

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			1.6%	18.0%	78.7%	1.6%	61
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			9.8%	31.1%	57.4%	1.6%	61

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A05	I have enhanced my ability to solve engineering problems.	**4.4**			8.6%	44.8%	44.8%	1.7%	58
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.3**		3.4%	12.1%	37.9%	43.1%	3.4%	58
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**		1.7%	12.1%	32.8%	51.7%	1.7%	58
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**	3.4%	3.4%	13.8%	31.0%	41.4%	6.9%	58
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			10.5%	42.1%	43.9%	3.5%	57
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		1.7%	10.3%	43.1%	43.1%	1.7%	58
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			13.8%	37.9%	44.8%	3.4%	58

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6143: Computer Architecture II

Lecture

Class Number: 25395

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Hadimioglu,Haldun

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3513: Construction Scheduling

Lecture

Class Number: 15542

Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Sarikardasoglu,Aysun

Response Rate: 15/31

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			6.7%	53.3%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**			46.7%	20.0%	26.7%	6.7%	15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can apply the Critical Path Method (CPM) to construction projects using precedence diagram networks.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q28	I can develop construction sequence logic for various types of project.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q29	I can apply resources to a schedule and level those resources.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q30	I can apply activity relationships to a schedule.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q31	I can function in a construction environment with regards to schedule related issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			6.7%	53.3%	40.0%		15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16101
Section: D

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Primary Instructor: Schechtman,Judd

Response Rate: 9/12

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		9

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					88.9%	11.1%	9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6133: Computer Architecture I

Lecture

Class Number: 14979

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Chung,Ping-Tsai

Response Rate: 15/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	60.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	13.3%	20.0%		13.3%	53.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		20.0%	20.0%	6.7%	53.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	73.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**	6.7%				86.7%	6.7%	15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**		26.7%	20.0%	13.3%	40.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	20.0%	6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	33.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**		40.0%		20.0%	40.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	53.3%	6.7%	15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%		15

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.5**	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		15
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**		13.3%		6.7%	80.0%		15
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.6**	6.7%	26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	40.0%		15
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	53.3%		15
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**		6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	13.3%	40.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14914
Section: I4

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.4%	92.6%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.9%	78.6%	3.6%	28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		3.6%		14.3%	78.6%	3.6%	28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	71.4%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6083: Quantitative Methods in Finance

Lecture
Class Number: 15305
Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**			3.6%	7.1%	89.3%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**		3.6%		7.1%	89.3%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.1%	89.3%	3.6%	28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**				3.6%	96.4%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.1%	3.6%	17.9%	71.4%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			3.6%	10.7%	85.7%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6233: OPTIONS PRICING & STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

Lecture
Class Number: 15420
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Tourin,Agnes

Response Rate: 20/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				95.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14654
Section: I

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

CBE 6153: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Primary Instructor: Kone,Hassane K.

Response Rate: 59/63

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.3%				90.9%		55

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		10.2%	1.7%	27.1%	61.0%		59
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		1.7%	5.1%	20.3%	72.9%		59
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	1.7%	3.4%	6.8%	25.4%	62.7%		59

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		1.7%	6.8%	23.7%	67.8%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.4%	8.5%	16.9%	69.5%	1.7%	59

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		3.4%	5.1%	22.0%	69.5%		59
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.4%	6.8%	27.1%	62.7%		59
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	5.1%	6.8%	6.8%	23.7%	57.6%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	1.7%	1.7%	5.1%	22.0%	69.5%		59
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.8%	5.1%	25.4%	61.0%	1.7%	59
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%	3.5%	19.3%	66.7%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			6.9%	32.8%	60.3%		58
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		5.2%	12.1%	31.0%	51.7%		58

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14677
Section: I

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6003: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Primary Instructor: Kone,Hassane K.

Response Rate: 59/63

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.3%				90.9%		55

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		10.2%	1.7%	27.1%	61.0%		59
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		1.7%	5.1%	20.3%	72.9%		59
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	1.7%	3.4%	6.8%	25.4%	62.7%		59

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		1.7%	6.8%	23.7%	67.8%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.4%	8.5%	16.9%	69.5%	1.7%	59

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		3.4%	5.1%	22.0%	69.5%		59
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.4%	6.8%	27.1%	62.7%		59
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	5.1%	6.8%	6.8%	23.7%	57.6%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	1.7%	1.7%	5.1%	22.0%	69.5%		59
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.8%	5.1%	25.4%	61.0%	1.7%	59
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%	3.5%	19.3%	66.7%		57

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			6.9%	32.8%	60.3%		58
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		5.2%	12.1%	31.0%	51.7%		58

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

BI 7543: Proteomics

Lecture

Class Number: 15362

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Seto,Jeremy

Response Rate: 3/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		3

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		66.7%			33.3%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		3

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 7963: Design and Simulation of Microelectromechanical Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 20672
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Porfiri,Maurizio

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					90.9%	9.1%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understood scaling principles across physical domains.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		10
Q28	I understood physical laws and principles of operation of sensors and actuators at the microscale.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q29	I formulated and solved fundamental problems in electrostatics, elasticity, fluid mechanics, and heat transfer for microelectromechanical systems.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q30	I gained proficiency in modeling static and dynamic responses of electrostatically-actuated devices.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q31	I acquired ability in computer modeling and design of sensors and actuators at the microscale.	**3.5**	10.0%		30.0%	50.0%	10.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 4004: Management Strategy in Technology Sectors

Lecture
Class Number: 15641
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cohen,Martin J

Response Rate: 6/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		6
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory

Class Number: 15983

Section: I

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Chowdhury,Saumitra

Response Rate: 26/26

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				19.2%	80.8%		26
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		3.8%		34.6%	61.5%		26
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		26
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**		7.7%	11.5%	46.2%	34.6%		26
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		3.8%	15.4%	50.0%	30.8%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.3**	11.5%	23.1%	3.8%	46.2%	15.4%		26
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	38.5%	30.8%		26
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		7.7%	3.8%	46.2%	42.3%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		7.7%		42.3%	50.0%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	11.5%	7.7%	7.7%	34.6%	38.5%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		3.8%	11.5%	42.3%	42.3%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	3.8%	19.2%	3.8%	38.5%	34.6%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	4.0%	12.0%	12.0%	52.0%	20.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.6**	3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	30.8%	23.1%		26
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	38.5%	15.4%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		24
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**		4.2%	8.3%	54.2%	33.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20302
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2183: Contemporary Techniques in Digital Photography and Imaging

Primary Instructor: Toolin,Jack Craig

Response Rate: 10/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		20.0%		10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		20.0%		30.0%	50.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	10.0%		30.0%	40.0%	20.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		10.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	10.0%		10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 3183: Photography and Words

Lecture

Class Number: 20303

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Toolin,Jack Craig

Response Rate: 11/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**			27.3%	9.1%	63.6%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				45.5%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15537

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 51/51

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.0%				90.0%	2.0%	50

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			2.0%	22.0%	76.0%		50
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		6.0%	18.0%	20.0%	54.0%	2.0%	50
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		10.0%	4.0%	30.0%	56.0%		50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		12.0%	4.0%	36.0%	48.0%		50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	8.0%	14.0%	12.0%	24.0%	42.0%		50

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	72.0%		50
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		12.0%	4.0%	24.0%	60.0%		50
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	10.0%	14.0%	10.0%	18.0%	48.0%		50
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.0%	4.0%	14.0%	32.0%	36.0%		50
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.0%	14.0%	2.0%	38.0%	40.0%		50
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.1%	10.2%	10.2%	18.4%	57.1%		49

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I now have a thorough understanding of the sub-disciplines of civil engineering and professional roles of civil engineers.	**4.8**			2.0%	15.7%	82.4%		51
Q28	I now have an understanding of the importance of professional ethics, and the many ways it impacts the profession, its practice, and society in general.	**4.8**			2.0%	19.6%	78.4%		51
Q29	I have gained an understanding of the different types of roles and employers that civil engineers may be engaged in the profession.	**4.7**			3.9%	21.6%	74.5%		51
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.0**	2.0%	7.8%	13.7%	37.3%	39.2%		51

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		2.0%	12.0%	32.0%	54.0%		50
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	2.0%	10.0%	14.0%	26.0%	48.0%		50

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.6**		3.9%	3.9%	19.6%	70.6%	2.0%	51
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.7**		2.0%	2.0%	21.6%	72.5%	2.0%	51
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**	4.0%	10.0%	12.0%	34.0%	40.0%		50
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**4.3**	3.9%	3.9%	7.8%	25.5%	56.9%	2.0%	51
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**	2.0%	2.0%	9.8%	17.6%	68.6%		51
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**	2.0%	7.8%	11.8%	25.5%	52.9%		51

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Laboratory

Class Number: 15769A

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 24/25

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	54.2%	4.2%	24
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.1**		16.7%	4.2%	29.2%	50.0%		24
B1b. The Laboratory Instructor: Ronan,Anne D		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.1**	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	8.3%	62.5%		24
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		12.5%	8.3%	16.7%	62.5%		24
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.2**		16.7%	8.3%	12.5%	62.5%		24
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		12.5%	12.5%	20.8%	54.2%		24
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		4.2%	8.3%	20.8%	66.7%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	16.7%	54.2%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	8.3%	37.5%	4.2%	24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	8.3%	12.5%	12.5%	16.7%	50.0%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	25.0%	54.2%		24
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		24
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.4**		8.3%	4.2%	25.0%	62.5%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor	**4.2**		4.2%	16.7%	33.3%	45.8%		24
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		8.3%	12.5%	33.3%	45.8%		24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.2**		8.3%	20.8%	16.7%	54.2%		24
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	29.2%	45.8%		24
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	29.2%	41.7%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 1002: Introduction to Civil Engineering

Laboratory

Class Number: 15769D

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 26/26

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**	3.8%	7.7%	15.4%	30.8%	42.3%		26
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		19.2%	11.5%	42.3%	26.9%		26
B1b. The Laboratory Instructor: Ronan,Anne D		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	88.5%		26
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**		3.8%		23.1%	73.1%		26
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		3.8%		34.6%	61.5%		26
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**		3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	69.2%		26
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		11.5%	7.7%	30.8%	50.0%		26
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			7.7%	34.6%	57.7%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		11.5%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	7.7%	23.1%	3.8%	38.5%	26.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	16.0%	8.0%	20.0%	24.0%	32.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	15.4%	3.8%	34.6%	38.5%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		7.7%	19.2%	26.9%	46.2%		26
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q30	I developed computational and communication skills.	**4.1**		3.8%	15.4%	46.2%	34.6%		26
Q31	I now have a general understanding of the fundamental concepts of GIS and AutoCAD.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		26
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor	**4.4**		3.8%	7.7%	34.6%	53.8%		26
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	26.9%	50.0%		26
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A32	I have enhanced my ability to understand the professional and ethical obligations of engineers/scientists	**4.2**	3.8%		19.2%	30.8%	46.2%		26
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**		3.8%	23.1%	30.8%	42.3%		26
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.8**	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	34.6%	34.6%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3243: WATER RESOURCE ENGINEERING

Lecture
Class Number: 15631
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 14/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	7.1%		7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			21.4%	21.4%	57.1%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand fundamental principles of surface and groundwater hydrology and can use standard techniques to solve problems.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q28	I can solve open channel flow problems related to steady uniform and gradually varied flow.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q29	I can analyze pipe networks and size centrifugal pumps and storage facilities, within the context of designing a water supply system	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q30	I understand urban drainage design issues, including awareness of new green infrastructure techniques for runoff reduction, and design a drainage system using the Rational method.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	61.5%	7.7%	13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7473: Modeling Fate and Transport of Surface Water Pollution

Lecture
Class Number: 20363
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Ronan,Anne D

Response Rate: 13/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		8.3%			91.7%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**	7.7%				92.3%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			7.7%		92.3%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7703: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Lecture
Class Number: 14705
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kuyan,Vyacheslav

Response Rate: 20/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.0%	5.0%	80.0%	5.0%	20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			5.0%	15.0%	80.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			15.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	60.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.0%	5.0%	90.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			10.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			5.0%	30.0%	65.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1133: Creative Coding

Lecture

Class Number: 23521

Section: D

Primary Instructor: Berkoy,Allison E.

Response Rate: 15/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%				64.3%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	13.3%			26.7%	60.0%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	6.7%	6.7%		20.0%	66.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	6.7%		13.3%	26.7%	53.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	13.3%			26.7%	60.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	13.3%			33.3%	53.3%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	13.3%		20.0%	13.3%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			20.0%	33.3%	46.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		6.7%	20.0%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		6.7%	26.7%	6.7%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			26.7%	20.0%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9223: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA / SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture
Class Number: 15016
Section: B

Primary Instructor: Abe,Kaho

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%				80.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

DM 9103: SPECIAL TOPICS IN DIGITAL MEDIA / SELECTED TOPICS IN CS

Lecture
Class Number: 21473
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Abe,Kaho

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	20.0%	80.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			20.0%		80.0%		20

D. Course		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			30.0%	10.0%	50.0%	10.0%	20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	60.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 7033: MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY

Lecture
Class Number: 21030
Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Soffian,Gerard

Response Rate: 14/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		7.1%			92.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15628
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 3503: Introduction to Radiation Physics and Dosimetry

Primary Instructor: Sheverev,Valery A

Response Rate: 6/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		6

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	16.7%			33.3%	50.0%		6
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%		6

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		6
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15945
Section: D

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey

Response Rate: 3/39

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16201
Section: C4

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Primary Instructor: Van Wagenen,Lindsey

Response Rate: 1/15

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6213: Facility Planning and Design

Lecture

Class Number: 14915

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Posner,Michael

Response Rate: 20/28

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	5.0%			25.0%	70.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			5.0%	20.0%	75.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**				5.0%	95.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			5.0%	10.0%	85.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			10.5%		89.5%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**	5.0%		5.0%	10.0%	80.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	55.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.0**	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.0**	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	45.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	15.0%	5.0%		35.0%	45.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20382
Section: LEC

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3363: Transportation Economics

Primary Instructor: Vu,Tra Thu

Response Rate: 16/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		12.5%		18.8%	68.8%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		6.3%		37.5%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	6.3%	12.5%		31.3%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	6.3%		56.3%	18.8%	18.8%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	6.3%			37.5%	56.3%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		12.5%		31.3%	56.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		6.3%	12.5%	43.8%	37.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 14736

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas

Response Rate: 34/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				87.9%	3.0%	33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			2.9%	44.1%	52.9%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	44.1%	2.9%	34
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			2.9%	44.1%	52.9%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	11.8%	8.8%	26.5%	29.4%	20.6%	2.9%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		6.1%	33.3%	18.2%	33.3%	9.1%	33

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	38.2%	52.9%		34
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		11.8%	14.7%	26.5%	47.1%		34
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		5.9%	17.6%	35.3%	41.2%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		2.9%	8.8%	35.3%	52.9%		34
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		8.8%	14.7%	35.3%	41.2%		34
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		23.5%	5.9%	32.4%	38.2%		34

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.9**		5.9%	20.6%	47.1%	26.5%		34
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.0**		5.9%	14.7%	52.9%	26.5%		34
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.9**		5.9%	17.6%	58.8%	17.6%		34
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**		2.9%	11.8%	61.8%	23.5%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**		2.9%	17.6%	47.1%	32.4%		34
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		5.9%	17.6%	50.0%	26.5%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9753: SELECTED TOPICS IN MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15456

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Mazzone,Thomas

Response Rate: 13/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**		15.4%	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			30.8%	38.5%	30.8%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		7.7%	7.7%	53.8%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	7.7%	7.7%		53.8%	30.8%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	38.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15046
Section: BKLN

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

TR 6333: TRANSPORTATION & TRAFFIC CONCEPTS, CHARACTERISTICS & STUDIES

Primary Instructor: Prassas,Elena S.

Response Rate: 13/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			15.4%	23.1%	61.5%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		16.7%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			23.1%	23.1%	53.8%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15952
Section: E2

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 2224: Data Analysis

Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam

Response Rate: 1/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16125
Section: B3

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 2224: Data Analysis

Primary Instructor: Constantine,Adam

Response Rate: 2/43

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5533: Physics of Nanoelectronics

Lecture

Class Number: 14672

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 10/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

PH 5493: Physics of Nanoelectronics

Lecture

Class Number: 14659

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Wolf,Edward

Response Rate: 10/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	40.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			10.0%	60.0%	30.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8653: MANAGING TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE & INNOVATION

Lecture
Class Number: 14746
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded

Response Rate: 38/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		7.9%			92.1%		38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.9%	92.1%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		2.6%	13.2%	10.5%	73.7%		38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	2.6%	5.3%	2.6%	13.2%	76.3%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	5.3%		7.9%	13.2%	73.7%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			21.1%	18.4%	60.5%		38

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			2.6%	23.7%	73.7%		38
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		2.6%		26.3%	71.1%		38
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		2.6%		39.5%	57.9%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			15.8%	28.9%	55.3%		38
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	2.6%		2.6%	34.2%	60.5%		38
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		2.6%		31.6%	65.8%		38

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	5.3%	26.3%	63.2%		38
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		2.6%	2.6%	36.8%	57.9%		38
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			7.9%	34.2%	57.9%		38
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**		2.6%	7.9%	10.5%	78.9%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		2.6%	2.6%	28.9%	65.8%		38
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		2.6%	5.3%	36.8%	55.3%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9703: PROJECT IN STRATEGY & INNOVATION MGMT

Project
Class Number: 15203
Section: BKLN

Primary Instructor: Nov,Oded

Response Rate: 5/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15190
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8333: Marketing for Construction Management and Engineering Services

Primary Instructor: Mintzer,Lewis J

Response Rate: 22/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		95.2%	4.8%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		4.5%		27.3%	68.2%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	68.2%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	78.9%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		4.5%		31.8%	63.6%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	68.2%	4.5%	22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		4.5%		22.7%	68.2%	4.5%	22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		4.5%		40.9%	50.0%	4.5%	22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		4.5%	18.2%	40.9%	31.8%	4.5%	22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			13.6%	50.0%	31.8%	4.5%	22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	36.4%	40.9%	13.6%	22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			9.1%	40.9%	50.0%		22

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			4.5%	27.3%	59.1%	9.1%	22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		4.5%		36.4%	45.5%	13.6%	22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20352
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 6403: Physical Concepts of Polymer Nanocomposites

Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.3%	7.7%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15896
Section: A5LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering
CM 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor

Response Rate: 8/8

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		25.0%		50.0%	25.0%		8
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.8**		25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		8
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**		25.0%		12.5%	62.5%		8
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%		8
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		8
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 2211: ORGANIC CHEMISTRY LABORATORY I

Laboratory
Class Number: 16103
Section: A3LB

Primary Instructor: Barinov,Victor

Response Rate: 9/9

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.4**	11.1%	33.3%			44.4%	11.1%	9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.8**		33.3%		11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.5**		33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				33.3%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	66.7%	22.2%	9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.4**	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			33.3%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**			44.4%		44.4%	11.1%	9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Lecture
Class Number: 14618
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Callahan,Eugene J

Response Rate: 50/64

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.0%				95.9%	2.0%	49

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	4.0%	6.0%	2.0%	48.0%	40.0%		50
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		6.0%	18.0%	12.0%	62.0%	2.0%	50
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		14.0%	10.0%	32.0%	42.0%	2.0%	50

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	2.0%	6.0%	4.0%	32.0%	56.0%		50
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	4.0%	6.0%	6.0%	20.0%	64.0%		50

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	2.0%	10.0%	2.0%	24.0%	62.0%		50
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	8.0%	14.0%	4.0%	36.0%	34.0%	4.0%	50
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	6.0%	10.0%	10.0%	34.0%	38.0%	2.0%	50
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.0%	8.0%	4.0%	42.0%	38.0%	2.0%	50
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	6.0%	10.0%	14.0%	30.0%	38.0%	2.0%	50
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	30.0%	48.0%	2.0%	50

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	2.0%	8.0%	10.0%	44.0%	34.0%	2.0%	50
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	4.0%	6.0%	10.0%	46.0%	32.0%	2.0%	50

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2263: Still and Moving Images

Lecture

Class Number: 16004

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Dyer,Maria G

Response Rate: 10/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			20.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		10.0%	10.0%		70.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		10.0%		30.0%	60.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 2263: Still and Moving Images

Lecture

Class Number: 21472

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Dyer,Maria G

Response Rate: 15/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		93.3%	6.7%	15

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**				46.7%	53.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			26.7%	13.3%	60.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			13.3%	13.3%	73.3%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**			6.7%	53.3%	40.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**			26.7%	40.0%	33.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15775
Section: A

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 954: PRECALCULUS B

Primary Instructor: Wielaard,James

Response Rate: 4/23

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.8**	75.0%			25.0%			4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.0**	50.0%	25.0%		25.0%			4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**1.3**	75.0%	25.0%					4

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	100.0%						4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.0**	50.0%	25.0%		25.0%			4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**1.5**	75.0%		25.0%				4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2113: Statics

Lecture

Class Number: 15539

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 14/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.1**	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.9**	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	14.3%	21.4%		35.7%	28.6%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		14.3%		42.9%	42.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	14.3%	21.4%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%		50.0%	35.7%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.3**			7.1%	57.1%	35.7%		14
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	57.1%	7.1%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 2113: Statics

Lecture

Class Number: 15790

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 15/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**		13.3%	40.0%	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.2**		26.7%	33.3%	33.3%	6.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**		26.7%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	40.0%	6.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		6.7%	26.7%	46.7%	20.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	26.7%	26.7%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		20.0%	6.7%	46.7%	26.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**	6.7%	60.0%		26.7%	6.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**		13.3%	13.3%	46.7%	26.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an understanding of the principles of mechanics and its application to solve engineering problems.	**4.0**		6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	26.7%		15
Q28	I developed the skills of analysis of equilibrium of rigid bodies subject to static and dynamic loads.	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q29	I developed an understanding of applications of vector mathematics.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	46.7%	33.3%		15
Q30	I can conceptualize physical objects in two and three dimensions.	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**		20.0%	26.7%	46.7%	6.7%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**			53.3%	46.7%			15

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**		6.7%	13.3%	53.3%	26.7%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3133: Structural Analysis

Lecture
Class Number: 15860
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Maloof,Roula A.

Response Rate: 25/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		4.2%			95.8%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.0%		8.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	16.0%	56.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	12.0%	20.0%	12.0%	24.0%	32.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	4.0%	24.0%		44.0%	28.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	12.0%	16.0%	8.0%	40.0%	24.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	4.0%		8.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	8.0%	8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	60.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	4.0%	12.0%	20.0%	20.0%	44.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	16.0%	60.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	28.0%	20.0%	12.0%	12.0%	28.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	45.8%		24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I received a clear and thorough presentation of the theory and application of structural analysis as it applies to trusses, beams and frames.	**3.8**		16.0%	12.0%	52.0%	20.0%		25
Q28	Your background in mechanics of materials was adequate in preparation for this course.	**4.3**			20.0%	32.0%	48.0%		25
Q29	You have a good understanding of the role structural analysis plays in design of structures.	**4.0**		4.0%	20.0%	44.0%	32.0%		25
Q30	Use of computer methods was instructive.	**2.9**	28.0%	12.0%	16.0%	32.0%	12.0%		25
Q31	A balance of simplified methods was introduced in addition to the more sophisticated analysis techniques.	**3.6**	4.0%	12.0%	28.0%	28.0%	28.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	12.0%	20.0%	20.0%	28.0%	20.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	4.0%	24.0%	28.0%	24.0%	20.0%		25

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		8.0%	12.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		12.0%	8.0%	40.0%	40.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8243: Construction Modeling Techniques

Lecture

Class Number: 14874

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Aslani,Pooyan

Response Rate: 27/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.7%				92.6%	3.7%	27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	3.7%	14.8%	7.4%	51.9%	22.2%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	11.5%	19.2%	7.7%	26.9%	30.8%	3.8%	26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	11.5%	11.5%	11.5%	42.3%	23.1%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**	18.5%	22.2%	14.8%	29.6%	14.8%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.4**	14.8%	18.5%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**	3.7%	18.5%	7.4%	44.4%	25.9%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**	7.4%	29.6%	3.7%	40.7%	18.5%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	11.5%	15.4%	19.2%	26.9%	26.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	11.1%	25.9%	7.4%	33.3%	22.2%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	11.1%	22.2%	14.8%	25.9%	25.9%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	7.4%	14.8%	7.4%	37.0%	33.3%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the concept of BIM and their associated database, using state-of-the-art design and management systems.	**3.5**	8.0%	24.0%		44.0%	24.0%		25
Q28	I can successfully develop a 3D model with all information in the model.	**4.0**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25
Q29	Using the Building Information Model I can successfully extract the design Documents.	**3.6**	8.0%	16.0%	8.0%	48.0%	20.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	11.1%	14.8%	22.2%	33.3%	18.5%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	3.7%	18.5%	14.8%	44.4%	18.5%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8253: Project Management for Construction

Lecture
Class Number: 15373
Section: B

Primary Instructor: Aslani,Pooyan

Response Rate: 26/27

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		8.0%			84.0%	8.0%	25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**		15.4%	3.8%	30.8%	50.0%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	26.9%		11.5%	34.6%	26.9%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	50.0%	12.5%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.2**	19.2%	19.2%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**		7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	23.1%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		11.5%	11.5%	30.8%	46.2%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**	11.5%	15.4%	15.4%	30.8%	26.9%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**		26.9%	11.5%	34.6%	26.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		3.8%	19.2%	42.3%	34.6%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	26.9%	3.8%	19.2%	23.1%	23.1%	3.8%	26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	7.7%	19.2%	7.7%	38.5%	26.9%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the legal tax business entities for various types of construction business models and strategies.	**2.9**	12.0%	20.0%	36.0%	28.0%	4.0%		25
Q28	I can perform basic estimating and cost controls of construction projects	**3.6**	4.0%	16.0%	12.0%	56.0%	12.0%		25
Q29	I understand contract administration, risk management and payment schedules	**3.5**	12.0%		20.0%	60.0%	8.0%		25
Q30	I developed project management concepts with the work breakdown structure (WBS) and Gantt Chart project scheduling.	**3.9**	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	60.0%	24.0%		25
Q31	I understand construction labor relations and employee safety protocols and procedures.	**3.0**	16.0%	12.0%	28.0%	40.0%	4.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.4**	11.5%	11.5%	23.1%	26.9%	23.1%	3.8%	26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	7.7%	19.2%	15.4%	42.3%	15.4%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 23523
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 7033: Media Law

Primary Instructor: Dykema,Erik

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		15.4%		23.1%	61.5%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	15.4%	7.7%		15.4%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**	15.4%	7.7%		30.8%	46.2%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			23.1%	38.5%	23.1%	15.4%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**		15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4812: Civil Engineering Design I: Site Planning and Design

Lecture
Class Number: 15975
Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 21/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**		9.5%	9.5%	19.0%	61.9%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**	19.0%	14.3%	19.0%	14.3%	33.3%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	19.0%	14.3%	14.3%	19.0%	33.3%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	14.3%	4.8%	23.8%	38.1%	19.0%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	9.5%	9.5%	23.8%	28.6%	23.8%	4.8%	21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		14.3%	4.8%	38.1%	42.9%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	14.3%	23.8%		33.3%	28.6%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	28.6%	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	33.3%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**	28.6%	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	28.6%	4.8%	21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	38.1%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%	30.0%	35.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.5**	14.3%	4.8%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.9**	28.6%	14.3%	19.0%	14.3%	23.8%		21

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	38.1%	33.3%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.4**	9.5%	19.0%	14.3%	33.3%	23.8%		21
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**3.7**	9.5%	4.8%	19.0%	38.1%	28.6%		21
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.3**			19.0%	28.6%	52.4%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	33.3%	33.3%	4.8%	21
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	33.3%	33.3%	4.8%	21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		4.8%	19.0%	42.9%	33.3%		21
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**3.8**	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%		21
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.6**	14.3%	9.5%	9.5%	33.3%	33.3%		21
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.5**	14.3%	4.8%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.6**	14.3%		23.8%	38.1%	23.8%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 4822: Civil Engineering Design II: Structural Design

Lecture
Class Number: 16173
Section: LCLB

Primary Instructor: Abou Moussa,Walid Amin

Response Rate: 11/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	9.1%		9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	54.5%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	27.3%	9.1%		9.1%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%		11

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6003: Financial Accounting

Lecture

Class Number: 14688

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 34/34

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.8%	88.2%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.9%	20.6%	73.5%		34
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**		2.9%		14.7%	82.4%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			2.9%	23.5%	70.6%	2.9%	34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.6%	73.5%	2.9%	34

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			2.9%	11.8%	85.3%		34
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		2.9%		14.7%	82.4%		34
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		2.9%	5.9%	32.4%	58.8%		34
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		2.9%		14.7%	82.4%		34
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	2.9%		5.9%	32.4%	58.8%		34
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	2.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%	2.9%	34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**		3.0%		21.2%	72.7%	3.0%	33
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		3.0%		36.4%	57.6%	3.0%	33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6003: Financial Accounting

Lecture

Class Number: 14732

Section: J

Primary Instructor: Marshall,Ingrid M

Response Rate: 33/38

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**			3.1%	6.3%	90.6%		32
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**	3.1%		3.1%	25.0%	68.8%		32
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			9.4%	21.9%	68.8%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	78.1%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		6.3%	3.1%	15.6%	75.0%		32

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		3.1%		9.4%	87.5%		32
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		3.1%		6.3%	90.6%		32
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		3.1%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%	3.1%	32
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		3.1%	9.4%	12.5%	75.0%		32
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			9.4%	34.4%	53.1%	3.1%	32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	15.6%	71.9%		32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			3.1%	25.0%	68.8%	3.1%	32
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			3.1%	25.0%	71.9%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16094
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

SEG 2194W: WRITING ABOUT NATURE & THE ENVIRONMENT

Primary Instructor: Cherry,Steven M

Response Rate: 12/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				16.7%	75.0%	8.3%	12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			8.3%	25.0%	66.7%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	8.3%		33.3%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to effectively communicate including being able to give oral presentations that increase knowledge, foster understanding, or encourage change.	**4.2**			8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	16.7%	12
Q28	I have enhanced by ability to read effectively, including being able to extract and to create meaning from the written texts.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12
Q29	I developed perspectives of the humanities and social sciences to bear within technical discourse.	**4.4**			8.3%	41.7%	50.0%		12
Q30	I have enhanced my ability to commuicate effectively (oral & writing).	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q31	The course taught me the absolute necessity of citing the sources of my research continuously throughout my document to avoid charges of plagiarism, of course, but also to inform the reader where to go for additional information on the specific topic bein	**4.6**				33.3%	58.3%	8.3%	12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

BI 7523: Biological Foundation for Bioinformatics

Lecture

Class Number: 15403

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Hughes,Benjamin

Response Rate: 2/3

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16124
Section: B2

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 2224: Data Analysis

Primary Instructor: Arakelian,Alex

Response Rate: 4/35

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**			50.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.5**	75.0%		25.0%				4
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5613: Introduction to Electric Power Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 14612

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 27/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**			44.4%	29.6%	25.9%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	55.6%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		18.5%	7.4%	37.0%	33.3%	3.7%	27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	7.7%	11.5%	3.8%	26.9%	50.0%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		3.7%	7.4%	25.9%	63.0%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	40.7%	48.1%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		3.7%	14.8%	37.0%	44.4%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	7.4%	14.8%	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		11.1%	3.7%	40.7%	44.4%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		3.8%	7.7%	53.8%	34.6%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		3.7%	3.7%	51.9%	40.7%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			22.2%	48.1%	29.6%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6603: Power Electronics

Lecture

Class Number: 21191

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 15/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			13.3%		86.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		6.7%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6683: Electric Drives

Lecture

Class Number: 21663

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zabar,Zivan

Response Rate: 5/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Lecture

Class Number: 15554

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 5/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**			40.0%		60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		20.0%		20.0%	60.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Laboratory

Class Number: 15861

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 3/4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.0**	66.7%			33.3%			3
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**			66.7%	33.3%			3
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.3**		66.7%	33.3%				3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.7**		33.3%	66.7%				3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**		33.3%		66.7%			3
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**1.3**	66.7%	33.3%					3
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.0**	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%				3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Laboratory

Class Number: 15863

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 1/4

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.0**				100.0%			1
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**1.0**	100.0%						1
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**			100.0%				1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2024: Fundamentals of Electric Circuits II

Recitation

Class Number: 21137

Section: REC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 5/9

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.4**		40.0%		40.0%	20.0%		5
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.8**	20.0%	40.0%		20.0%	20.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		40.0%	40.0%		20.0%		5
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**		20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**				80.0%	20.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**		20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**			40.0%	40.0%	20.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**		20.0%		60.0%	20.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**3.0**	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%		5
R43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 15598

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 19/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		88.2%	11.8%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.5%	5.3%	84.2%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				5.3%	94.7%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**			5.3%		94.7%		19
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		21.1%	15.8%		63.2%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**			5.3%	10.5%	84.2%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Laboratory

Class Number: 15872

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 2/2

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Laboratory

Class Number: 15874

Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 6/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**	16.7%	16.7%		16.7%	50.0%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			16.7%	16.7%	66.7%		6
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%		6
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Laboratory

Class Number: 15875

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 2/3

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**				100.0%			2
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**1.0**	100.0%						2
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 3824: Electric Energy Conversion Systems

Laboratory

Class Number: 21177

Section: C1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 6/6

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.2**		50.0%		33.3%	16.7%		6
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**2.8**	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%		6
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.4**		16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	6
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		6
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**		16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		6
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.2**		50.0%	16.7%		33.3%		6
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.2**				83.3%	16.7%		6
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		6

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Lecture
Class Number: 15599
Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 38/52

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		37

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.6%			10.5%	86.8%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	15.8%	13.2%	63.2%	2.6%	38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	21.1%	65.8%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	2.6%	15.8%	10.5%	28.9%	42.1%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	2.7%	2.7%	18.9%	21.6%	54.1%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	2.7%	2.7%		24.3%	70.3%		37
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	5.4%	2.7%	10.8%	32.4%	48.6%		37
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	2.7%	2.7%		32.4%	62.2%		37
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	2.7%	2.7%	27.0%	10.8%	51.4%	5.4%	37
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.7%	5.4%	8.1%	35.1%	48.6%		37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	2.7%			29.7%	67.6%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			8.1%	13.5%	78.4%		37
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			5.4%	27.0%	67.6%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Laboratory
Class Number: 15876
Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 7/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.4**			28.6%		71.4%		7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	14.3%			28.6%	57.1%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	14.3%		14.3%		71.4%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	57.1%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Laboratory

Class Number: 15877

Section: A2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 9/12

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.1**		22.2%	11.1%		66.7%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**		11.1%	33.3%		55.6%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	66.7%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			22.2%	11.1%	66.7%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Laboratory
Class Number: 15878
Section: B1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 13/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.7**		15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	15.4%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.7**		15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	23.1%		13

B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		13
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.8**	7.7%	23.1%		23.1%	46.2%		13
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			15.4%	38.5%	46.2%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**			7.7%	69.2%	23.1%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			23.1%	38.5%	38.5%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			7.7%	46.2%	46.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**				61.5%	38.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Laboratory

Class Number: 15879

Section: B2LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 7/14

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.0**		42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%		7
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.9**		42.9%	28.6%	28.6%			7
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.7**		14.3%		85.7%			7
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**				85.7%	14.3%		7
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			14.3%	57.1%	28.6%		7
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**			57.1%	42.9%			7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**			28.6%	71.4%			7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			28.6%	28.6%	42.9%		7
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.7**			28.6%	71.4%			7
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			14.3%	71.4%	14.3%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4144: Introduction to Embedded Systems Design

Laboratory

Class Number: 16158

Section: C1LB

Primary Instructor: Campisi,Matthew S.

Response Rate: 1/2

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**3.0**			100.0%				1
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 14693
Section: ALAB

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6811: FINANCIAL SOFTWARE LABORATORY

Primary Instructor: Yarmish,Jason Andrew

Response Rate: 7/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			14.3%		71.4%	14.3%	7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7853: Concepts and Implementation of Infrastructure Management Systems

Lecture
Class Number: 20369
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Yanev,Bojidar

Response Rate: 13/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				50.0%	25.0%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	76.9%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	7.7%	7.7%	38.5%	23.1%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**			38.5%	7.7%	46.2%	7.7%	13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	7.7%	7.7%		53.8%	30.8%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	7.7%		7.7%	61.5%	23.1%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		7.7%	30.8%	15.4%	30.8%	15.4%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			15.4%	46.2%	38.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the typical vulnerabilities in design, construction management, and the role of failures, critical, essential and unique structures.	**4.3**		9.1%		45.5%	45.5%		11
Q28	I understand the management of infrastructure assets: project and network level lifecycle cost analysis, expected useful life and the maintenance options of reconstruction or repair.	**4.4**			18.2%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q29	I can evaluate the performance monitoring and system-condition assessment as part of an infrastructure management system such as: structural condition, load rating, potential hazards, and serviceability.	**4.3**			9.1%	54.5%	36.4%		11
Q30	I learned how to use information technologies and apply this to remote sensing and database development for urban systems management such as: data acquisition, storage and evaluation, deterioration rates, needs assessment, forecasts, and economic assessme	**4.2**			18.2%	45.5%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			15.4%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6933: Advanced Mechatronics

Lecture

Class Number: 20665

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kapila,Vikram

Response Rate: 4/4

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		4
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I learned the functions, programming, and protocols for Arduino, Propeller, and Raspberry Pi.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q28	I learned and gain experience with programming in C, C++, and Python, and Linux.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q29	I gained proficiency in applications of various microcontrollers and single-board computers, including integration of multiple embedded controllers when necessary.	**4.3**		25.0%			75.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 7913: Robots for Disability

Lecture

Class Number: 20671

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kapila,Vikram

Response Rate: 7/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.6%				71.4%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**		28.6%		57.1%	14.3%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%		57.1%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned issues related to three disability domains (physical disabilities; cognitive disabilities; and senior living)	**4.3**		16.7%		16.7%	66.7%		6
Q28	I know current state of art robotic solutions for three disability domain	**3.7**	16.7%			66.7%	16.7%		6
Q29	I developed a robotic solution for a specific disability problem	**4.2**		16.7%		33.3%	50.0%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**	14.3%				85.7%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**		14.3%	14.3%	57.1%	14.3%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PL 2144: Ethics and Technology

Lecture

Class Number: 15996

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 29/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%				96.4%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			3.4%	31.0%	65.5%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		3.4%	20.7%	37.9%	34.5%	3.4%	29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		13.8%	17.2%	34.5%	34.5%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	3.4%	10.3%	17.2%	37.9%	27.6%	3.4%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		20.7%	10.3%	27.6%	37.9%	3.4%	29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	44.8%	44.8%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	3.4%	17.2%	10.3%	34.5%	34.5%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		17.2%	6.9%	24.1%	51.7%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**		3.4%	31.0%	48.3%	17.2%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	3.4%	13.8%	6.9%	37.9%	37.9%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		6.9%	24.1%	34.5%	34.5%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	3.4%	10.3%	10.3%	37.9%	37.9%		29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	3.4%	10.3%	20.7%	34.5%	31.0%		29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15997
Section: B

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
PL 2144: Ethics and Technology

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 32/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		32

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	3.2%		6.5%	45.2%	45.2%		31
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	6.3%	12.5%	28.1%	34.4%	18.8%		32
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	3.1%	12.5%	18.8%	40.6%	25.0%		32

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	3.1%	15.6%	21.9%	40.6%	18.8%		32
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	3.1%	3.1%	28.1%	31.3%	34.4%		32

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	3.2%	3.2%	12.9%	48.4%	32.3%		31
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	59.4%	18.8%		32
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	3.1%	15.6%	12.5%	34.4%	34.4%		32
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.1%	3.1%	18.8%	50.0%	25.0%		32
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	43.8%	21.9%	3.1%	32
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	9.4%	6.3%	25.0%	28.1%	28.1%	3.1%	32

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	3.1%		31.3%	46.9%	18.8%		32
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	3.1%	9.4%	28.1%	46.9%	12.5%		32

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16012
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2904: Special Topics in STS

Primary Instructor: Linden,Patrick I

Response Rate: 16/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			25.0%	25.0%	43.8%	6.3%	16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			25.0%	37.5%	37.5%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate the relationship between content of scientific and technological knowledge, and the social and intellectual context in which it is created.	**4.1**			13.3%	60.0%	26.7%		15
Q28	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how social processes frame scientific and technological enterprises, including theory construction, invention, and innovation.	**4.0**			20.0%	60.0%	20.0%		15
Q29	I have enhanced my ability to understand and demonstrate how science and technology shape society (in historical, philosophical, sociological, cultural and technical ways).	**4.3**			6.7%	53.3%	40.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			12.5%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			18.8%	43.8%	37.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15946
Section: D2

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Primary Instructor: Miller,Edward

Response Rate: 2/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 4393: Nuclear Power Plant Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 16152

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Speyer,Daniel M.

Response Rate: 17/23

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		17

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				23.5%	76.5%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	47.1%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.9%		35.3%	58.8%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**	11.8%	11.8%	17.6%	17.6%	41.2%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	47.1%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.9%	17.6%	11.8%	64.7%		17
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I learned about the nuclear fission mass defect and how to use it.	**4.7**			5.9%	17.6%	76.5%		17
Q28	I learned about similarities and limitations of Rankine and Carnot cycles.	**3.6**	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	5.9%	17
Q29	I learned using the water gas-liquid properties, first and Fourier's laws to develop values of steady LWR power operation scenarios.	**4.3**	5.9%		5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q30	I learned how to estimate the times to steam generator dry out and fuel melt for TMI and Fukushima scenarios.	**4.6**			5.9%	23.5%	64.7%	5.9%	17
Q31	I learned how to develop the resulting nuclear response for a variety of potential scenarios/accidents.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%	5.9%	17
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			17.6%	11.8%	70.6%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	41.2%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 8253: Project Management for Construction

Lecture

Class Number: 14739

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rozenberg,Salvador Julius

Response Rate: 27/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%				96.2%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		7.4%		14.8%	77.8%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	81.5%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		7.4%	3.7%	14.8%	74.1%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	74.1%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			3.7%	18.5%	77.8%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		3.7%		25.9%	70.4%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		7.4%	11.1%	14.8%	66.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		3.7%	3.7%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	3.7%	3.7%		25.9%	66.7%		27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understand the legal tax business entities for various types of construction business models and strategies.	**4.7**			4.2%	20.8%	75.0%		24
Q28	I can perform basic estimating and cost controls of construction projects	**4.6**			8.3%	20.8%	70.8%		24
Q29	I understand contract administration, risk management and payment schedules	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
Q30	I developed project management concepts with the work breakdown structure (WBS) and Gantt Chart project scheduling.	**4.6**		4.2%		25.0%	70.8%		24
Q31	I understand construction labor relations and employee safety protocols and procedures.	**4.6**			4.2%	33.3%	62.5%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			7.4%	22.2%	70.4%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	66.7%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6473: Introduction to VLSI System Design

Lecture

Class Number: 14673

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Rakheja,Shaloo

Response Rate: 75/90

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.8%				91.8%	1.4%	73

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	2.7%	4.0%	2.7%	14.7%	74.7%	1.3%	75
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	4.1%	8.1%	6.8%	12.2%	68.9%		74
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	6.7%	9.3%	10.7%	26.7%	46.7%		75

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	4.0%	2.7%	6.7%	24.0%	62.7%		75
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.4%	6.8%	5.4%	39.2%	43.2%		74

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	5.3%	4.0%	9.3%	22.7%	58.7%		75
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	8.0%	12.0%	6.7%	25.3%	48.0%		75
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	9.3%	12.0%	12.0%	25.3%	40.0%	1.3%	75
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	8.0%	6.7%	14.7%	22.7%	48.0%		75
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	30.7%	20.0%	6.7%	17.3%	25.3%		75
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	18.7%	10.7%	5.3%	20.0%	45.3%		75

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**	4.0%	8.0%	10.7%	30.7%	46.7%		75
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	8.0%	14.7%	20.0%	24.0%	33.3%		75

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 3153: Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Thermodynamics

Lecture
Class Number: 15532
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kim,Jin Ryoun

Response Rate: 42/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.6%				92.3%	5.1%	39

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		2.4%		28.6%	69.0%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		4.8%	28.6%	14.3%	52.4%		42
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		14.3%	9.5%	38.1%	38.1%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	2.4%		14.3%	33.3%	50.0%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	2.4%	11.9%	7.1%	26.2%	52.4%		42

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			9.5%	33.3%	57.1%		42
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	2.4%	11.9%	9.5%	31.0%	45.2%		42
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	2.4%	14.3%	11.9%	28.6%	42.9%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	4.8%	11.9%	26.2%	16.7%	40.5%		42
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	2.4%	14.3%	19.0%	23.8%	40.5%		42
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		2.4%	14.3%	35.7%	47.6%		42

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understand the basic principles of the first and second laws of thermodynamics.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		42
Q28	Learn to apply energy balances for systems with sensible or latent heat effects..	**4.4**		4.8%	4.8%	38.1%	52.4%		42
Q29	Learn how to calculate compressibility factors and residual thermodynamic properties from accurate equations of state and the theorem of corresponding states.	**4.3**		7.1%	2.4%	42.9%	47.6%		42
Q30	Learn to apply thermodynamic principles for flow processes involving turbines and compressors; including power and refrigeration cycles.	**4.6**			4.8%	33.3%	61.9%		42
Q31	Understand the calculation of thermodynamic properties of mixtures, including partial molar properties, fugacities, property changes of mixing and excess properties.	**4.2**		4.8%	14.3%	35.7%	45.2%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	2.4%	2.4%	19.0%	23.8%	52.4%		42
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	2.4%		28.6%	31.0%	38.1%		42

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			9.5%	40.5%	50.0%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6033: Design and Analysis of Algorithms I

Lecture
Class Number: 25396
Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Kahrobaei,Delaram

Response Rate: 56/80

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.8%				98.2%		55

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	3.6%	5.4%	7.1%	41.1%	42.9%		56
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.6%	8.9%	16.1%	28.6%	42.9%		56
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	1.8%	14.3%	12.5%	33.9%	37.5%		56

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	3.6%	8.9%	14.3%	33.9%	39.3%		56
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.4%	30.4%	62.5%	1.8%	56

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	1.8%	5.4%	5.4%	33.9%	53.6%		56
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	5.4%	5.4%	16.1%	46.4%	26.8%		56
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	3.6%	8.9%	14.3%	33.9%	39.3%		56
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		3.6%	5.4%	35.7%	55.4%		56
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.4%	7.1%	39.3%	46.4%	1.8%	56
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.4%	3.6%	8.9%	30.4%	50.0%	1.8%	56

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	1.8%	8.9%	17.9%	48.2%	23.2%		56
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		56

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2213: Statics

Lecture

Class Number: 15697

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem,Ghania

Response Rate: 8/12

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					75.0%	25.0%	8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned algebra of vectors.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q28	I learned about particle and rigid body equilibrium in two and three dimensions.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q29	I learned how to carry out equilibrium analysis of structures; trusses, frames, &beams.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q30	I learned about friction and the method of virtual work.	**4.3**			25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	8
Q31	I learned about the need for life-long learning.	**4.0**	12.5%		25.0%		62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			12.5%	62.5%	25.0%		8

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.3**			12.5%	50.0%	37.5%		8
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**3.9**			50.0%	12.5%	37.5%		8
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3223: Dynamics

Lecture

Class Number: 15822

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Benbelkacem,Ghania

Response Rate: 16/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	6.3%		12.5%	56.3%	25.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	6.3%	18.8%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		12.5%		43.8%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	18.8%	50.0%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**			12.5%	56.3%	31.3%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		12.5%	25.0%	31.3%	31.3%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		6.3%	25.0%	31.3%	37.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	12.5%	6.3%		56.3%	25.0%		16

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned kinematics of particles using rectilinear and curvilinear coordinates and dynamics of particles.	**4.0**		12.5%		62.5%	25.0%		16
Q28	I learned concepts such as: Newton's laws, work, energy, impulse, momentum, conservative force fields, and impact, and modeling of single and systems of particles.	**4.2**		12.5%		43.8%	43.8%		16
Q29	I learned kinematics of translation and rotation and planar motion of rigid bodies.	**4.0**		12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	31.3%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.5**	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	18.8%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%		16

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**	6.3%	6.3%		50.0%	37.5%		16
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.0**	6.3%	6.3%		56.3%	31.3%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.6**	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	37.5%	25.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 14708

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Bracamonte,Denise A

Response Rate: 20/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.0%				95.0%		20

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	5.0%	5.0%		20.0%	70.0%		20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**	5.0%			40.0%	55.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	5.0%	5.0%		20.0%	70.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	5.0%			15.0%	80.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	5.0%		5.0%	25.0%	65.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	25.0%	60.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	40.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	5.0%		10.0%	30.0%	55.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	45.0%	45.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	35.0%	55.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.1**	5.0%		15.0%	45.0%	35.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.9**	5.0%	5.0%	15.0%	45.0%	30.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	35.0%	50.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**	5.0%		5.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15579

Section: C5LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 11/13

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**			18.2%		81.8%		11
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.8**		9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	18.2%		11
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			18.2%	9.1%	72.7%		11
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			9.1%		90.9%		11
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.9**		9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	36.4%		11
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	9.1%		9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	9.1%		18.2%	27.3%	45.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	9.1%		27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		11
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.2**	9.1%		9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	9.1%		9.1%	63.6%	18.2%		11
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**		9.1%	27.3%	18.2%	45.5%		11
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			27.3%	9.1%	63.6%		11
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 15581

Section: C1LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 15/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				6.7%	93.3%		15
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**			6.7%	26.7%	66.7%		15
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				13.3%	86.7%		15
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	60.0%		15
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			20.0%	26.7%	53.3%		15
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	57.1%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**		6.7%	33.3%	20.0%	40.0%		15
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		13.3%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	6.7%		33.3%	26.7%	33.3%		15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.3**		13.3%		33.3%	53.3%		15
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.5**		6.7%		33.3%	60.0%		15
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	26.7%		15
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	60.0%	26.7%		15
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**	6.7%		13.3%	53.3%	26.7%		15
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.6**	6.7%		40.0%	33.3%	20.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 16062

Section: D5LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 19/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**		10.5%		36.8%	52.6%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**			10.5%	52.6%	36.8%		19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		5.3%	10.5%	47.4%	36.8%		19
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				52.6%	47.4%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	42.1%	36.8%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			10.5%	47.4%	42.1%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		18
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.4**				63.2%	36.8%		19
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.3**		5.3%		57.9%	36.8%		19
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**				42.1%	57.9%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			21.1%	52.6%	26.3%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**		5.3%	5.3%	63.2%	26.3%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**			5.3%	52.6%	42.1%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**		5.3%	31.6%	26.3%	31.6%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1004: General Chemistry for Engineers

Laboratory

Class Number: 20693

Section: C3LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 19/20

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			5.3%	5.3%	89.5%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.5%	15.8%	73.7%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				15.8%	84.2%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**			10.5%	36.8%	47.4%	5.3%	19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			10.5%	26.3%	63.2%		19
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		5.3%	21.1%	15.8%	57.9%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			15.8%	10.5%	63.2%	10.5%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	57.9%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	In this course we gained a basic background in general chemistry.	**4.7**			10.5%	10.5%	78.9%		19
Q28	In this course we obtained a basic understanding of atomic and molecular structure and their relationship to the properties of materials.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q29	In this course we obtained hands-on laboratory experience with experimental techniques, chemical principles and materials.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			5.3%	47.4%	47.4%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				47.4%	52.6%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	36.8%	5.3%	19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Laboratory

Class Number: 16024

Section: A4LB

Primary Instructor: Chigirinskaya,Lyubov

Response Rate: 13/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**		7.7%		7.7%	84.6%		13
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**			7.7%	30.8%	61.5%		13
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				7.7%	92.3%		13
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.8**	7.7%	23.1%		15.4%	53.8%		13
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	69.2%		13
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	38.5%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	38.5%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	7.7%		15.4%	30.8%	46.2%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		7.7%		30.8%	61.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		7.7%		38.5%	53.8%		13
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%		13
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**	7.7%		7.7%	15.4%	69.2%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.2**		7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	61.5%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 14701

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 33/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		31

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**	3.0%			24.2%	72.7%		33
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			6.1%	18.2%	75.8%		33
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	3.0%			33.3%	63.6%		33

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.1%	15.2%	78.8%		33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		6.1%	9.1%	30.3%	54.5%		33

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.6%	84.4%		32
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		3.1%	6.3%	12.5%	78.1%		32
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		3.0%	3.0%	36.4%	57.6%		33
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			3.0%	30.3%	66.7%		33
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.1%	15.2%	24.2%	51.5%	3.0%	33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		3.0%	3.0%	18.2%	75.8%		33

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**		6.1%	15.2%	33.3%	45.5%		33
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**			3.0%	36.4%	60.6%		33
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	33.3%	57.6%		33
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			6.1%	33.3%	60.6%		33

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		6.1%	3.0%	21.2%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		3.0%	15.2%	39.4%	42.4%		33

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6303: OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15328

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 35/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			2.9%	17.6%	79.4%		34
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			5.7%	8.6%	82.9%	2.9%	35
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		2.9%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%	2.9%	34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		2.9%	5.7%	17.1%	74.3%		35
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		5.7%	5.7%	14.3%	74.3%		35

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			8.6%	8.6%	82.9%		35
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		2.9%	5.7%	22.9%	68.6%		35
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		5.7%	2.9%	34.3%	54.3%	2.9%	35
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		2.9%	8.6%	5.7%	82.9%		35
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.4%	11.4%	31.4%	45.7%		35
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**		2.9%	8.6%	28.6%	60.0%		35

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**	2.9%		8.6%	25.7%	62.9%		35
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**			5.7%	45.7%	48.6%		35
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**		5.7%	14.3%	17.1%	62.9%		35
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**		2.9%	8.6%	22.9%	65.7%		35

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			11.4%	20.0%	68.6%		35
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			17.1%	37.1%	45.7%		35

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7953: Global Innovation

Lecture

Class Number: 14734

Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Helling,Thomas E.

Response Rate: 17/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	5.9%	70.6%		17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.9%		11.8%		82.4%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	5.9%		17.6%	11.8%	64.7%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	58.8%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	58.8%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	47.1%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	23.5%		5.9%	17.6%	52.9%		17

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.8**	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	47.1%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**	5.9%		11.8%	29.4%	52.9%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**	5.9%		17.6%	23.5%	52.9%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**	5.9%		11.8%	5.9%	76.5%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	52.9%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Lecture

Class Number: 15303

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Giura,Paul

Response Rate: 21/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.8%				95.2%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	23.8%	52.4%		21
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	28.6%	47.6%	4.8%	21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	23.8%	47.6%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	4.8%	19.0%	4.8%	28.6%	42.9%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	57.1%	4.8%	21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	4.8%				95.2%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	4.8%	14.3%		28.6%	52.4%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	10.0%	15.0%		30.0%	45.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		4.8%	19.0%	14.3%	57.1%	4.8%	21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		9.5%	14.3%	33.3%	42.9%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	9.5%	9.5%		23.8%	52.4%	4.8%	21

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%		21
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**	4.8%		9.5%	28.6%	57.1%		21
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**	9.5%			42.9%	42.9%	4.8%	21
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.0**	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	33.3%	42.9%		21
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.2**	4.8%		14.3%	33.3%	47.6%		21
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%	28.6%	47.6%		21
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	19.0%	42.9%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	19.0%	47.6%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	19.0%	52.4%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15609

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 25/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					95.7%	4.3%	23

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			4.3%	17.4%	73.9%	4.3%	23
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	4.3%		21.7%	21.7%	43.5%	8.7%	23
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	4.3%		21.7%	30.4%	39.1%	4.3%	23

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	8.7%		13.0%	43.5%	30.4%	4.3%	23
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	8.7%		8.7%	13.0%	65.2%	4.3%	23

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			8.7%	30.4%	52.2%	8.7%	23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		13.0%	4.3%	26.1%	52.2%	4.3%	23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	39.1%	30.4%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	9.1%		22.7%	36.4%	27.3%	4.5%	22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	43.5%	34.8%	4.3%	23
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	8.7%	8.7%	17.4%	26.1%	34.8%	4.3%	23

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understood the basic ethical issues facing mechanical engineers.	**4.5**		4.0%		36.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
Q28	I understood the impact mechanical engineers have in society.	**4.7**		4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	80.0%	4.0%	25
Q29	I understood the continuing evolution of the profession through history and into the future.	**4.6**				36.0%	60.0%	4.0%	25
Q30	I learned in broad terms the state of the art in the various mechanical engineering sub-disciplines.	**4.8**				24.0%	72.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			4.3%	26.1%	60.9%	8.7%	23
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	26.1%	43.5%	4.3%	23

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.4**	8.3%	20.8%	12.5%	33.3%	20.8%	4.2%	24
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.8**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	44.0%	28.0%	4.0%	25
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.3**		8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	32.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.4**	12.0%	12.0%	20.0%	28.0%	24.0%	4.0%	25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 1012: Introduction to Mechanical Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15793

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 33/40

A. Procedures and Policies									
				No			*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.			3.0%			93.9%	3.0%	33
B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.0%	12.1%	84.8%		33
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	3.0%	9.1%	12.1%	24.2%	42.4%	9.1%	33
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		6.1%	12.1%	18.2%	63.6%		33
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		6.1%	9.1%	39.4%	42.4%	3.0%	33
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		3.0%	3.0%	30.3%	63.6%		33
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			6.1%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.0%	9.1%	24.2%	63.6%		33
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	6.1%	6.1%	9.1%	27.3%	51.5%		33
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	3.0%		12.1%	12.1%	57.6%	15.2%	33
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		3.0%	9.1%	24.2%	63.6%		33
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	24.2%	69.7%		33
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I understood the basic ethical issues facing mechanical engineers.	**4.8**				24.0%	76.0%		25
Q28	I understood the impact mechanical engineers have in society.	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25
Q29	I understood the continuing evolution of the profession through history and into the future.	**4.7**				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q30	I learned in broad terms the state of the art in the various mechanical engineering sub-disciplines.	**4.7**				28.0%	72.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			6.1%	24.2%	69.7%		33
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			21.2%	24.2%	54.5%		33
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**	4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	20.0%	52.0%		25
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**		8.0%	24.0%	20.0%	48.0%		25
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.6**		4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	72.0%		25
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		4.0%	20.0%	16.0%	60.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6043: Thermal Engineering Fundamentals

Lecture
Class Number: 14970
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Vradis,George C.

Response Rate: 28/37

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.6%	96.4%		28

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				32.1%	67.9%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		7.1%	3.6%	14.3%	75.0%		28
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		11.1%		29.6%	59.3%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%	7.4%	18.5%	63.0%		27
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	64.3%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	67.9%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	3.6%	7.1%	3.6%	10.7%	75.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		14.8%	11.1%	18.5%	55.6%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%	7.1%	25.0%	50.0%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	3.6%		7.1%	28.6%	60.7%		28
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I am able to formulate and solve problems related to the thermodynamics of energy systems and components.	**4.5**				51.9%	48.1%		27
Q28	I am able to formulate and solve fundamental problems in fluid mechanics both in differential and control volume formulations.	**4.7**				25.9%	74.1%		27
Q29	I am able to formulate and solve fundamental problems in heat transfer both in differential and control volume formulations.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			7.1%	17.9%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		3.6%	10.7%	21.4%	64.3%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

STS 2314: IT'S ABOUT TIME

Lecture

Class Number: 16099

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 25/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%				87.5%	4.2%	24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		4.0%		12.0%	84.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**	8.0%		12.0%	12.0%	68.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		4.0%		24.0%	72.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	28.0%	52.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	8.0%		4.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**	12.0%	4.0%	8.0%	44.0%	32.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		4.0%	12.0%	20.0%	64.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	8.0%	12.0%	4.0%	28.0%	48.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.0%	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	60.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	4.0%	8.0%	28.0%	16.0%	44.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20249
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
URB 2224: Natural Environment of New York City

Primary Instructor: Phillips,Donald S

Response Rate: 16/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	6.3%				93.8%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			12.5%	6.3%	81.3%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	12.5%	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	50.0%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	62.5%	12.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	12.5%	12.5%		31.3%	43.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**		12.5%	31.3%	12.5%	43.8%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		12.5%		43.8%	43.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.3**	18.8%	18.8%		37.5%	25.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**			18.8%	50.0%	31.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	6.7%	6.7%		40.0%	46.7%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**		20.0%	13.3%	26.7%	40.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	46.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	This course used humanities or social science perspectives to examine issues tied to science or technology.	**4.2**		12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	56.3%		16
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**3.3**	18.8%		25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	6.3%	16
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**3.0**	25.0%		31.3%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	6.3%	6.3%	18.8%	43.8%	25.0%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%	18.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Lecture

Class Number: 14700

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Watson,Edward G

Response Rate: 10/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				10.0%	90.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		20.0%		10.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6093: ACCOUNTING & FINANCE

Lecture
Class Number: 15454
Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: Watson,Edward G

Response Rate: 20/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		94.7%	5.3%	19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.0%	60.0%	5.0%	20
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		20
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			5.0%	40.0%	50.0%	5.0%	20

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		20

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		5.0%		65.0%	30.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		5.0%		35.0%	60.0%		20
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			5.0%	25.0%	70.0%		20
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				35.0%	65.0%		20

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			5.0%	45.0%	50.0%		20
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		20
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		20
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.3**			10.0%	50.0%	40.0%		20

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		20
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4773: Penetration Testing and Vulnerability Analysis

Lecture
Class Number: 24490
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Antoniewicz,Brad

Response Rate: 4/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**		50.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**		100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**				4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**				4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**		100.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.0**			75.0%			25.0%	4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**				75.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6573: Penetration Testing and Vulnerability Analysis

Lecture
Class Number: 15110
Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Antoniewicz,Brad

Response Rate: 11/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		9.1%			90.9%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			36.4%		63.6%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	9.1%				90.9%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.3**			27.3%	18.2%	54.5%		11
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.4**			9.1%	45.5%	45.5%		11
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**		9.1%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%		11
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			45.5%	18.2%	36.4%		11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			9.1%	36.4%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6573: Penetration Testing and Vulnerability Analysis

Lecture

Class Number: 24487

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Antoniewicz,Brad

Response Rate: 4/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		33.3% 66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		33.3% 66.7%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**		100.0%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		25.0% 75.0%		4

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6123: Financial Risk Management and Asset Pricing

Lecture
Class Number: 15137
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Rodriguez,Daniel

Response Rate: 10/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				80.0%	10.0%	10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**		10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	60.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	10.0%		20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**	10.0%	30.0%		10.0%	50.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	10.0%		10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	10.0%			30.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	10.0%		30.0%		60.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	10.0%		20.0%	30.0%	40.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15933
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2823: INTRODUCTION TO GEOPHYSICS

Primary Instructor: Blauvelt,Robert

Response Rate: 8/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			87.5%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	12.5%			12.5%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	12.5%			25.0%	62.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	12.5%	12.5%			75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		25.0%		37.5%	37.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		12.5%	12.5%		75.0%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		12.5%		37.5%	50.0%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6303: Bio-optics

Lecture

Class Number: 14643

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Arnold,Stephen

Response Rate: 9/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**				55.6%	44.4%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	33.3%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3923: Information, Security and Privacy / COMPUTER SECURITY

Lecture
Class Number: 15519
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin

Response Rate: 66/95

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.1%				96.9%		65

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.1%	87.9%		66
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			15.2%	22.7%	60.6%	1.5%	66
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	25.8%	68.2%		66

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		13.6%	4.5%	33.3%	48.5%		66
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		1.5%	9.1%	42.4%	47.0%		66

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**	1.5%			25.8%	72.7%		66
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	1.5%	1.5%	3.0%	28.8%	65.2%		66
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	1.5%	7.6%	1.5%	24.2%	65.2%		66
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		3.0%	34.8%	13.6%	47.0%	1.5%	66
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.5%	12.1%	39.4%	43.9%		66
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	1.5%	4.5%	4.5%	33.3%	56.1%		66

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		1.5%	4.5%	22.7%	71.2%		66
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	1.5%	3.0%	10.6%	33.3%	51.5%		66

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4753: Application Security

Lecture

Class Number: 16056

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin

Response Rate: 19/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			26.3%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	42.1%	26.3%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		15.8%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	15.8%	26.3%	15.8%	15.8%	26.3%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	42.1%	26.3%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	15.8%	31.6%	5.3%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		15.8%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	21.1%			36.8%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	15.8%	10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	26.3%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14631
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6813: Information, Security and Privacy / COMPUTER SECURITY

Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin

Response Rate: 66/95

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.1%				96.9%		65

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.1%	87.9%		66
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			15.2%	22.7%	60.6%	1.5%	66
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		3.0%	3.0%	25.8%	68.2%		66

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		13.6%	4.5%	33.3%	48.5%		66
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		1.5%	9.1%	42.4%	47.0%		66

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**	1.5%			25.8%	72.7%		66
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	1.5%	1.5%	3.0%	28.8%	65.2%		66
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	1.5%	7.6%	1.5%	24.2%	65.2%		66
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		3.0%	34.8%	13.6%	47.0%	1.5%	66
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		4.5%	12.1%	39.4%	43.9%		66
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	1.5%	4.5%	4.5%	33.3%	56.1%		66

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		1.5%	4.5%	22.7%	71.2%		66
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	1.5%	3.0%	10.6%	33.3%	51.5%		66

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 9163: Application Security

Lecture

Class Number: 14962

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Cappos,Justin

Response Rate: 19/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.3%				94.7%		19

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**		15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%		19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			26.3%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	42.1%	26.3%		19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		15.8%	10.5%	21.1%	52.6%		19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		15.8%	5.3%	10.5%	68.4%		19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**		5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	15.8%	26.3%	15.8%	15.8%	26.3%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	42.1%	26.3%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	15.8%	5.3%	26.3%	15.8%	31.6%	5.3%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		15.8%	10.5%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	21.1%			36.8%	42.1%		19

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	31.6%	42.1%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	15.8%	10.5%	10.5%	36.8%	26.3%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3314: Design and Implementation of Programming Languages

Lecture
Class Number: 16133
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 4/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.3**			50.0%	25.0%		25.0%	4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%	50.0%			4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					75.0%	25.0%	4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**2.5**		75.0%		25.0%			4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**1.8**	25.0%	75.0%					4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%		50.0%			4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.8**		50.0%	25.0%	25.0%			4

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**2.3**	50.0%		25.0%	25.0%			4
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4
A36	I have learned how to function on multi-disciplinary teams	**1.8**	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%				4
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**2.0**	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%				4
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**1.5**	75.0%		25.0%				4
A40	I have developed an understanding of the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context	**2.3**	50.0%		25.0%	25.0%			4
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		4
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 4793: Computer Networking

Lecture

Class Number: 16054

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 86/146

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.4%				69.1%	2.5%	81

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	8.1%	12.8%	10.5%	33.7%	34.9%		86
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	7.1%	10.6%	15.3%	30.6%	36.5%		85
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	15.7%	26.5%	15.7%	22.9%	19.3%		83

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	12.8%	17.4%	15.1%	30.2%	24.4%		86
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	10.5%	9.3%	20.9%	33.7%	25.6%		86

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.2**	17.4%	15.1%	16.3%	33.7%	17.4%		86
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.2**	33.7%	36.0%	9.3%	15.1%	5.8%		86
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.2**	9.3%	22.1%	18.6%	34.9%	14.0%	1.2%	86
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.3%	14.0%	18.6%	30.2%	20.9%		86
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	11.6%	18.6%	27.9%	25.6%	16.3%		86
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	10.5%	12.8%	18.6%	40.7%	17.4%		86

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**3.9**	3.0%	7.5%	14.9%	50.7%	23.9%		67
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**3.7**	1.5%	14.9%	17.9%	44.8%	20.9%		67
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**3.3**	4.5%	22.4%	25.4%	35.8%	11.9%		67

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	8.2%	22.4%	21.2%	30.6%	17.6%		85
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.9**	12.8%	29.1%	20.9%	30.2%	7.0%		86

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6373: Programming Languages

Lecture

Class Number: 14771

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 17/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					94.1%	5.9%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**			5.9%	76.5%	17.6%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			11.8%	52.9%	35.3%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			11.8%	35.3%	52.9%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			5.9%	41.2%	52.9%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	6.3%		18.8%	31.3%	43.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.8**		11.8%	17.6%	52.9%	17.6%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**			11.8%	58.8%	29.4%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			11.8%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	41.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**			11.8%	58.8%	29.4%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**			17.6%	64.7%	17.6%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15113
Section: INT2

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 12/16

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	8.3%				83.3%	8.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			8.3%	16.7%	75.0%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		8.3%		50.0%	41.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	8.3%		16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**	8.3%				91.7%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	8.3%			33.3%	58.3%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		8.3%		16.7%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.3**	8.3%	8.3%		16.7%	66.7%		12
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.4**		8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%		12
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**		8.3%		33.3%	58.3%		12
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.3**		16.7%		25.0%	58.3%		12
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	8.3%			16.7%	75.0%		12
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**		8.3%		25.0%	66.7%		12
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.2**			25.0%	33.3%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	8.3%			33.3%	58.3%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**	8.3%			41.7%	50.0%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14992
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6843: Computer Networking

Primary Instructor: Reddington,Thomas B

Response Rate: 86/146

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	28.4%				69.1%	2.5%	81

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.7**	8.1%	12.8%	10.5%	33.7%	34.9%		86
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	7.1%	10.6%	15.3%	30.6%	36.5%		85
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**	15.7%	26.5%	15.7%	22.9%	19.3%		83

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.4**	12.8%	17.4%	15.1%	30.2%	24.4%		86
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	10.5%	9.3%	20.9%	33.7%	25.6%		86

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.2**	17.4%	15.1%	16.3%	33.7%	17.4%		86
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.2**	33.7%	36.0%	9.3%	15.1%	5.8%		86
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.2**	9.3%	22.1%	18.6%	34.9%	14.0%	1.2%	86
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	16.3%	14.0%	18.6%	30.2%	20.9%		86
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	11.6%	18.6%	27.9%	25.6%	16.3%		86
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	10.5%	12.8%	18.6%	40.7%	17.4%		86

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I am comfortable using network tools such as Wireshark to measure and monitor network performance	**3.9**	3.0%	7.5%	14.9%	50.7%	23.9%		67
Q28	I am comfortable with describing Internet protocol structure including the application layer, transport layer, network layer and link layers.	**3.7**	1.5%	14.9%	17.9%	44.8%	20.9%		67
Q29	I am comfortable with the configuration and operation of the network layer including forwarding, router architecture, the IP protocol and routing protocols including OSPF and BGP.	**3.3**	4.5%	22.4%	25.4%	35.8%	11.9%		67

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	8.2%	22.4%	21.2%	30.6%	17.6%		85
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.9**	12.8%	29.1%	20.9%	30.2%	7.0%		86

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BE 6463: Statistics for Biomedical Engineers

Lecture

Class Number: 15308

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 11/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	27.3%				54.5%	18.2%	11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	9.1%			9.1%	72.7%	9.1%	11
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		9.1%		27.3%	54.5%	9.1%	11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		9.1%		18.2%	54.5%	18.2%	11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			9.1%	27.3%	54.5%	9.1%	11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**		9.1%		45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**		18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			27.3%	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 7043: Statistical Thermodynamics and Kinetics

Lecture

Class Number: 14667

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Teraoka,Iwao

Response Rate: 22/24

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	38.1%				47.6%	14.3%	21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.5%	9.1%	22.7%	63.6%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.4**	13.6%	13.6%	27.3%	13.6%	31.8%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.9**	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	13.6%	22.7%		22

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.6**	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	40.9%	27.3%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.1**	13.6%	27.3%	13.6%	22.7%	22.7%		22

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	47.6%	28.6%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**	15.0%	5.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%		20
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	14.3%	14.3%	23.8%	19.0%	28.6%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.5**	23.8%	4.8%	9.5%	23.8%	38.1%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.6**	19.0%	38.1%	14.3%	19.0%	9.5%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	9.5%	9.5%	14.3%	38.1%	28.6%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	4.8%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%	14.3%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**	9.5%	33.3%	23.8%	19.0%	14.3%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 1013A-Rothman,Tony

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 58/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.4%				91.1%	3.6%	56

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		8.6%	5.2%	24.1%	62.1%		58
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	6.9%	3.4%	20.7%	20.7%	48.3%		58
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	5.2%	5.2%	10.3%	24.1%	55.2%		58

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	8.6%	15.5%	8.6%	22.4%	44.8%		58
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	8.8%	10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	54.4%		57

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		6.9%	3.4%	32.8%	56.9%		58
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	3.4%	12.1%	5.2%	31.0%	48.3%		58
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	5.3%	10.5%	8.8%	31.6%	43.9%		57
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	5.2%	3.4%	12.1%	34.5%	43.1%	1.7%	58
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**	3.4%	12.1%	8.6%	29.3%	46.6%		58
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	5.2%	6.9%	6.9%	31.0%	50.0%		58

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**	3.4%	10.3%	15.5%	24.1%	46.6%		58
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**	3.4%	6.9%	17.2%	41.4%	31.0%		58

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Lecture

Class Number: 2023B-Rothman,Tony

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 48/59

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				91.7%	4.2%	48

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	2.1%	2.1%	14.9%	27.7%	53.2%		47
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.8**	4.3%	6.4%	27.7%	29.8%	31.9%		47
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**	8.5%	21.3%	10.6%	34.0%	25.5%		47

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	8.5%	12.8%	23.4%	29.8%	25.5%		47
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.3%	4.3%	19.6%	37.0%	34.8%		46

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	2.1%	4.3%	12.8%	38.3%	42.6%		47
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	4.3%	14.9%	10.6%	31.9%	38.3%		47
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	6.4%	19.1%	14.9%	29.8%	29.8%		47
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	8.7%	4.3%	15.2%	34.8%	37.0%		46
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	8.7%	19.6%	17.4%	26.1%	28.3%		46
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.8**	10.6%	2.1%	23.4%	25.5%	38.3%		47

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**	8.5%	6.4%	23.4%	27.7%	29.8%	4.3%	47
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	6.4%	4.3%	27.7%	31.9%	25.5%	4.3%	47

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15733

Section: A1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 22/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.5%	4.5%	22.7%	68.2%		22
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.0**		4.5%	27.3%	31.8%	36.4%		22
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	59.1%		22
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		4.5%	13.6%	22.7%	59.1%		22
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		4.5%	9.1%	27.3%	59.1%		22

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.3**			18.2%	36.4%	45.5%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 16072

Section: D1RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Rothman,Tony

Response Rate: 16/20

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		14
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**		7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	28.6%		14
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**		7.1%	14.3%	57.1%	21.4%		14
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**		14.3%	7.1%	57.1%	21.4%		14
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.6**		14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%		14

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.1**			14.3%	64.3%	21.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Laboratory

Class Number: 25532

Section: A5LB

Primary Instructor: Busschaert,Nathalie

Response Rate: 17/18

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				17.6%	82.4%		17
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**	5.9%		5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				29.4%	70.6%		17
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		17
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			23.5%	17.6%	58.8%		17
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	52.9%	5.9%	17
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.8%	5.9%	23.5%	58.8%		17
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			5.9%	47.1%	47.1%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			5.9%	35.3%	58.8%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		5.9%		47.1%	47.1%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**		5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	35.3%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	47.1%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**				35.3%	64.7%		17
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			5.9%	52.9%	41.2%		17
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**		6.3%		56.3%	31.3%	6.3%	16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**				43.8%	50.0%	6.3%	16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**			12.5%	56.3%	25.0%	6.3%	16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1133: Engineering Problem Solving and Programming

Lecture
Class Number: 15624
Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 117/139

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.2%				91.9%	0.9%	111

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	22.4%	69.8%		116
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.6**	6.0%	12.0%	27.4%	23.1%	29.9%	1.7%	117
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**	4.3%	17.1%	18.8%	27.4%	31.6%	0.9%	117

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	7.8%	12.9%	12.1%	36.2%	30.2%	0.9%	116
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**	3.4%	9.5%	11.2%	35.3%	40.5%		116

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	1.7%	5.1%	10.3%	30.8%	52.1%		117
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	1.7%	5.2%	8.6%	38.8%	45.7%		116
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	1.7%	6.0%	10.3%	33.3%	48.7%		117
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	10.3%	13.8%	33.6%	20.7%	19.8%	1.7%	116
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	12.0%	17.1%	7.7%	39.3%	23.1%	0.9%	117
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	3.4%	5.2%	10.3%	37.9%	42.2%	0.9%	116

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Ability to use MAT LAB to solve Engineering problems.	**3.9**	4.5%	8.1%	9.9%	45.0%	31.5%	0.9%	111
Q28	Proficiency in using 1D and 2D numeric and logical arrays.	**4.1**	1.8%	9.0%	8.1%	43.2%	36.9%	0.9%	111
Q29	Proficiency in using branching mechanisms in programming.	**4.0**	1.8%	11.7%	8.1%	38.7%	38.7%	0.9%	111
Q30	Proficiency in using looping mechanisms in programming.	**4.1**	2.7%	6.3%	9.9%	40.5%	39.6%	0.9%	111
Q31	Using functions in top-down approach to problem solving.	**3.7**	5.4%	11.7%	14.4%	40.5%	27.0%	0.9%	111

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.9**	3.4%	4.3%	22.2%	35.9%	32.5%	1.7%	117
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	4.3%	12.8%	14.5%	37.6%	29.9%	0.9%	117

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.8**	4.6%	9.2%	18.5%	24.6%	30.8%	12.3%	65
A18	I have enhanced my ability to use modern software tools.	**4.0**	2.7%	10.0%	7.3%	43.6%	34.5%	1.8%	110
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**3.8**	1.9%	13.9%	13.0%	39.8%	29.6%	1.9%	108
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.9**	2.8%	11.0%	11.9%	42.2%	30.3%	1.8%	109
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	2.7%	8.2%	11.8%	45.5%	30.0%	1.8%	110
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**3.9**	5.5%	7.3%	10.9%	45.5%	29.1%	1.8%	110

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6673: Neural Network Computing

Lecture

Class Number: 15209

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Leung,Kok-Ming

Response Rate: 34/50

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	9.1%				90.9%		33

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			2.9%	20.6%	76.5%		34
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%	2.9%	34
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		8.8%	5.9%	23.5%	61.8%		34

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		5.9%	8.8%	14.7%	70.6%		34
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		2.9%	11.8%	26.5%	55.9%	2.9%	34

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			5.9%	26.5%	67.6%		34
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.0%	6.1%	27.3%	60.6%	3.0%	33
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	2.9%	2.9%	11.8%	23.5%	58.8%		34
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	5.9%	2.9%	2.9%	23.5%	64.7%		34
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.9%	5.9%	8.8%	32.4%	47.1%	2.9%	34
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		5.9%	5.9%	26.5%	61.8%		34

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			11.8%	23.5%	64.7%		34
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		2.9%	17.6%	23.5%	55.9%		34

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15943
Section: C

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2034: Linear Algebra and Differential Equations

Primary Instructor: O'Neil,Michael

Response Rate: 1/33

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**		100.0%		1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**		100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**		100.0%		1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		100.0%		1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**		100.0%		1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

Office of Assessment and Institutional Research

13-February-2017

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15597
Section: LEC

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4313: Computer Engineering Design Project I

Primary Instructor: Karri,Ramesh

Response Rate: 15/22

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		69.2%	30.8%	13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			13.3%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			6.7%	46.7%	46.7%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		6.7%	6.7%	53.3%	33.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	40.0%	6.7%	15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**		6.7%	20.0%	40.0%	33.3%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**		6.7%	13.3%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				57.1%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**				60.0%	40.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		6.7%		53.3%	40.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**			13.3%	40.0%	46.7%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			13.3%	46.7%	40.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 4313: Computer Engineering Design Project I

Laboratory

Class Number: 16164

Section: LAB

Primary Instructor: Karri,Ramesh

Response Rate: 14/22

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**2.9**	14.3%	21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	14.3%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.3**	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	7.1%	28.6%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**3.8**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	21.4%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	28.6%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.6**	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	21.4%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.1**	28.6%	14.3%		28.6%	28.6%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	7.1%	21.4%		28.6%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**	28.6%	7.1%		35.7%	28.6%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**		21.4%	35.7%	14.3%	28.6%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		7.1%	7.1%	42.9%	42.9%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.4**	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**		7.1%	28.6%	57.1%	7.1%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6463: ADVANCED HARDWARE DESIGN

Lecture

Class Number: 14760

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Karri,Ramesh

Response Rate: 88/109

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%				96.6%		88

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.1**	3.4%	8.0%	9.1%	33.0%	45.5%	1.1%	88
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	3.4%	4.5%	26.1%	28.4%	37.5%		88
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	10.2%	8.0%	11.4%	33.0%	37.5%		88

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	5.7%	18.4%	8.0%	33.3%	34.5%		87
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.8%	3.4%	5.7%	39.8%	44.3%		88

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.1**	2.3%	9.1%	6.8%	37.5%	44.3%		88
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	11.4%	18.2%	15.9%	28.4%	26.1%		88
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	3.5%	12.9%	15.3%	32.9%	34.1%	1.2%	85
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.6**	9.1%	19.3%	12.5%	22.7%	35.2%	1.1%	88
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	3.4%	18.2%	11.4%	40.9%	26.1%		88
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**	4.6%	5.7%	8.0%	39.1%	42.5%		87

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**	3.4%	9.1%	27.3%	31.8%	28.4%		88
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	3.4%	11.4%	20.5%	37.5%	27.3%		88

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 16127
Section: R

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1132: Numerical Methods for Calculus

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri,Nicholas E

Response Rate: 1/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 2114: Calculus III: Multi-Dimensional Calculus

Lecture
Class Number: 16123
Section: E2

Primary Instructor: Spizzirri,Nicholas E

Response Rate: 1/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Lecture

Class Number: 14752

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Eisenhardt,Roger D.

Response Rate: 28/37

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**		3.6%		7.1%	89.3%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		3.6%		21.4%	75.0%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		3.6%		32.1%	64.3%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				21.4%	75.0%	3.6%	28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		3.6%		25.0%	71.4%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**		3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	67.9%		28
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		28
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			7.1%	17.9%	75.0%		28
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			3.6%	17.9%	78.6%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		3.6%	7.1%	17.9%	71.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Lecture

Class Number: 15116

Section: I3

Primary Instructor: Eisenhardt,Roger D.

Response Rate: 27/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				7.4%	92.6%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				29.6%	70.4%		27

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.5%	81.5%		27

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		7.4%	3.7%	22.2%	66.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.4%		33.3%	59.3%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			11.5%	30.8%	57.7%		26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**			4.0%	48.0%	48.0%		25
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		4.0%		32.0%	64.0%		25
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				32.0%	68.0%		25
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			12.0%	20.0%	68.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			3.7%	11.1%	85.2%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		27

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BT 7013: Special Topics in Biotechnology

Lecture

Class Number: 15338

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Cardozo,Timothy J

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				38.9%	61.1%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		5.6%		16.7%	77.8%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15150
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7251: ALGORITHMIC TRADING & HIGH-FREQUENCY FINANCE

Primary Instructor: Schmidt,Anatoly

Response Rate: 12/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				8.3%	75.0%	16.7%	12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				8.3%	75.0%	16.7%	12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			16.7%	8.3%	75.0%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		8.3%	16.7%		75.0%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		8.3%	16.7%		75.0%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	66.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	58.3%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	66.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15805
Section: D

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1124: Calculus II for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Gurtas,Yusuf

Response Rate: 2/36

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	50.0%				50.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.5**	50.0%			50.0%			2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.0**		100.0%					2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.5**	50.0%	50.0%					2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.0**			100.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.5**		50.0%	50.0%				2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14971
Section: I

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6703: LINEAR CONTROL THEORY AND DESIGN I

Primary Instructor: Craig,Kevin Cameron

Response Rate: 10/37

		No					Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies									
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		10

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**	20.0%				80.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	20.0%			10.0%	70.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	10.0%		10.0%		80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	10.0%			10.0%	80.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	10.0%			20.0%	70.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		10.0%		10.0%	80.0%		10

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		20.0%			80.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15352
Section: I

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 6923: Simulation Tools and Software for Mechatronics and Robotics

Primary Instructor: Craig,Kevin Cameron

Response Rate: 5/29

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		5
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course						
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		60.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**		80.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**		80.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		60.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**		100.0%		5

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15823

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang

Response Rate: 42/60

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		40

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.4%		4.8%	35.7%	57.1%		42
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	19.0%	47.6%		42
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**		14.3%	19.0%	23.8%	42.9%		42

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		14.3%	9.5%	28.6%	47.6%		42
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.9%	4.8%	26.2%	57.1%		42

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**	2.4%		2.4%	23.8%	71.4%		42
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	2.4%	2.4%	11.9%	31.0%	52.4%		42
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	26.2%	45.2%		42
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**			11.9%	19.0%	69.0%		42
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.5%	11.9%	23.8%	54.8%		42
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		9.8%	12.2%	24.4%	53.7%		41

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the basic principles of thermodynamics, first and second law, mass conservation, and energy equations.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		42
Q28	I learned the properties of pure substances, ideal gas law, phase diagrams, closed and open systems.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		42
Q29	I learned the principles of entropy, reversible and irreversible processes, heat engines and refrigerators and isentropic efficiency	**4.7**			2.4%	28.6%	69.0%		42
Q30	I learned the concepts of thermodynamic efficiency and coefficient of performance and their impact on energy policy.	**4.6**			2.4%	31.0%	66.7%		42
Q31	I analyzed and designed gas power cycles.	**4.5**		2.4%	9.5%	28.6%	59.5%		42
Q32	I learned about the need for continuous learning to remain professionally relevant in a fast changing field.	**4.4**		2.4%	19.0%	19.0%	59.5%		42

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**		4.8%	31.0%	16.7%	47.6%		42
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		11.9%	23.8%	11.9%	52.4%		42

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**		2.4%	2.4%	35.7%	59.5%		42
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.5**		4.8%	7.1%	21.4%	66.7%		42
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**		4.8%	4.8%	31.0%	59.5%		42
A41	I have developed a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning	**4.3**		4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	52.4%		42
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**		9.5%	11.9%	26.2%	52.4%		42
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**		7.1%	2.4%	28.6%	61.9%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 3333: THERMODYNAMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15823

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Chen,Weiqiang

Response Rate: 42/60

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.5**		7.1%	4.8%	23.8%	64.3%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

BE 6453: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Lecture

Class Number: 14650

Section: A1

Primary Instructor: Erkip,Elza

Response Rate: 65/70

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		63

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.9%	83.1%		65
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.2%	23.1%	70.8%		65
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		1.6%	1.6%	29.7%	65.6%	1.6%	64

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	3.1%	6.2%	7.7%	29.2%	53.8%		65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	1.6%	1.6%	17.2%	26.6%	51.6%	1.6%	64

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		3.1%	1.6%	25.0%	70.3%		64
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		1.6%	4.7%	32.8%	60.9%		64
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		1.6%	9.4%	32.8%	56.3%		64
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.6%	10.8%	6.2%	24.6%	53.8%		65
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	23.1%	18.5%	10.8%	23.1%	24.6%		65
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	1.6%		10.9%	31.3%	54.7%	1.6%	64

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			9.4%	32.8%	57.8%		64
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	1.6%	1.6%	18.8%	35.9%	42.2%		64

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Lecture
Class Number: 14676
Section: A1

Primary Instructor: Erkip,Elza

Response Rate: 65/70

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		63

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.9%	83.1%		65
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			6.2%	23.1%	70.8%		65
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		1.6%	1.6%	29.7%	65.6%	1.6%	64
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	3.1%	6.2%	7.7%	29.2%	53.8%		65
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	1.6%	1.6%	17.2%	26.6%	51.6%	1.6%	64
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		3.1%	1.6%	25.0%	70.3%		64
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		1.6%	4.7%	32.8%	60.9%		64
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		1.6%	9.4%	32.8%	56.3%		64
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.6%	10.8%	6.2%	24.6%	53.8%		65
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.1**	23.1%	18.5%	10.8%	23.1%	24.6%		65
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	1.6%		10.9%	31.3%	54.7%	1.6%	64

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			9.4%	32.8%	57.8%		64
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	1.6%	1.6%	18.8%	35.9%	42.2%		64

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6303: Probability and Stochastic Processes

Lecture

Class Number: 15048

Section: A2

Primary Instructor: Erkip,Elza

Response Rate: 69/72

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.4%				92.6%		68

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.9%		4.4%	25.0%	67.6%		68
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	2.9%	1.4%	4.3%	23.2%	68.1%		69
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	2.9%	7.2%	5.8%	30.4%	53.6%		69

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	5.8%	8.7%	7.2%	36.2%	42.0%		69
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	1.4%	7.2%	15.9%	33.3%	42.0%		69

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	2.9%	2.9%	1.4%	31.9%	60.9%		69
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	1.4%	5.8%	4.3%	30.4%	58.0%		69
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	2.9%	4.3%	4.3%	33.3%	55.1%		69
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	1.4%	7.2%	13.0%	37.7%	40.6%		69
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.3**	18.8%	18.8%	5.8%	30.4%	26.1%		69
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.4%	2.9%	4.4%	33.8%	52.9%	1.5%	68

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	4.3%	1.4%	7.2%	37.7%	49.3%		69
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**	4.3%	2.9%	17.4%	37.7%	37.7%		69

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15752
Section: LEC

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen

Response Rate: 26/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			30.8%	7.7%	61.5%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**		7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	65.4%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		19.2%	3.8%	26.9%	50.0%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**		3.8%	3.8%	11.5%	80.8%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	69.2%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**			19.2%	38.5%	42.3%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		3.8%		23.1%	73.1%		26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		3.8%	11.5%	30.8%	53.8%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		3.8%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%	3.8%	26

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.5**			3.8%	38.5%	57.7%		26
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.6**				42.3%	57.7%		26
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.5**			3.8%	42.3%	53.8%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		3.8%	11.5%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			23.1%	42.3%	34.6%		26

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**			3.8%	38.5%	57.7%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**		3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	69.2%		26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**			3.8%	38.5%	57.7%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	34.6%	34.6%		26
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.0**	7.7%	3.8%	11.5%	34.6%	42.3%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**		3.8%	7.7%	46.2%	42.3%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Laboratory

Class Number: 15753

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen

Response Rate: 45/45

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**			6.7%	6.7%	86.7%		45
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.2**	20.0%	20.0%		40.0%	20.0%		45
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		45
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		45
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		45
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		45
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		45
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		45
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		45
D. Instruction		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		6.7%		26.7%	66.7%		45
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.8**				20.9%	79.1%		43
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.8**				20.9%	79.1%		43
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.7**				27.9%	72.1%		43
G1. Course Difficulty		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		45
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		45
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				53.3%	46.7%		45
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		42
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		42
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		42
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		42
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		42
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		42

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15754
Section: BLAB

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering
CE 3153: Geotechnical Engineering

Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen

Response Rate: 21/21

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		21
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		21
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		21
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		21
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		21
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		21
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I can understand the basic principles of soil mechanics and foundation Engineering.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q28	I have developed the knowledge of relationships and classifications of soils.	**4.8**				15.0%	85.0%		20
Q29	I have developed the knowledge of permeability, effective stress, seepage and consolidation of soil.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		21
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		21
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**	14.3%		14.3%		71.4%		21
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.3**	14.3%			14.3%	71.4%		21
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14910
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 7673: Environmental Impact Assessment

Primary Instructor: Hossein,Mohsen

Response Rate: 28/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		27

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.1%	32.1%	60.7%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				17.9%	82.1%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		3.6%		28.6%	67.9%		28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	82.1%	3.6%	28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				10.7%	89.3%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		10.7%	3.6%	25.0%	60.7%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		3.6%	10.7%	35.7%	50.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	17.9%	64.3%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**			17.9%	28.6%	53.6%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		28

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				53.6%	46.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 5403: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 14616

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Coskun,Baris

Response Rate: 2/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**3.0**		50.0%		50.0%			2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**3.5**		50.0%			50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.5**			50.0%	50.0%			2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**	50.0%				50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 15522

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 28/41

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					92.9%	7.1%	28

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**		3.6%		10.7%	82.1%	3.6%	28
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		3.6%	10.7%	10.7%	71.4%	3.6%	28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	32.1%	53.6%	3.6%	28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**		14.3%	10.7%	28.6%	39.3%	7.1%	28
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	7.1%	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			3.6%	35.7%	57.1%	3.6%	28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**		14.3%	3.6%	28.6%	50.0%	3.6%	28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	3.6%	14.3%	3.6%	32.1%	42.9%	3.6%	28
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**		14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	32.1%	3.6%	28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	7.1%	14.3%	10.7%	17.9%	46.4%	3.6%	28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	3.7%		11.1%	25.9%	55.6%	3.7%	27

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.4**			10.7%	39.3%	46.4%	3.6%	28
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.4**		7.1%	3.6%	32.1%	53.6%	3.6%	28
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.5**		3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	60.7%	3.6%	28
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.3**		3.7%	3.7%	44.4%	44.4%	3.7%	27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**	3.6%		3.6%	32.1%	57.1%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		3.6%	21.4%	25.0%	46.4%	3.6%	28

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**3.7**	7.1%	3.6%	32.1%	17.9%	35.7%	3.6%	28
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**3.9**	3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	28.6%	35.7%	3.6%	28
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.0**	3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	25.0%	39.3%	3.6%	28
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.0**	3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	25.0%	42.9%	3.6%	28
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**	3.6%	3.6%	21.4%	28.6%	39.3%	3.6%	28
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**3.9**	3.6%	10.7%	17.9%	21.4%	42.9%	3.6%	28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 15523

Section: BLEC

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 38/48

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		97.4%	2.6%	38

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**		5.3%		10.5%	84.2%		38
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**		2.6%	5.3%	10.5%	81.6%		38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	2.6%	2.6%		21.1%	73.7%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		7.9%	2.6%	36.8%	52.6%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		5.3%	2.6%	34.2%	57.9%		38

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		10.5%		15.8%	73.7%		38
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	5.3%	15.8%		21.1%	57.9%		38
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	63.2%		38
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	2.6%	15.8%	26.3%	5.3%	47.4%	2.6%	38
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**	2.6%	10.5%	5.3%	28.9%	52.6%		38
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**		2.6%		23.7%	73.7%		38

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.2**		8.3%	2.8%	47.2%	41.7%		36
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.5**		2.8%	2.8%	33.3%	61.1%		36
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.5**		5.6%	2.8%	30.6%	61.1%		36
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.4**		5.6%	2.8%	33.3%	58.3%		36

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		5.3%	5.3%	13.2%	76.3%		38
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		2.6%	7.9%	28.9%	60.5%		38

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**		5.6%	19.4%	25.0%	50.0%		36
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.3**		8.3%	5.6%	38.9%	47.2%		36
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.1**		11.1%	5.6%	44.4%	38.9%		36
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		2.8%	13.9%	38.9%	44.4%		36
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		2.9%	2.9%	42.9%	51.4%		35
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		36

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 2134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 16132

Section: CLEC

Primary Instructor: Sellie,Linda M

Response Rate: 29/42

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%				96.6%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				3.4%	96.6%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			3.4%	17.2%	79.3%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				10.3%	89.7%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**	3.4%		3.4%	24.1%	62.1%	6.9%	29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			6.9%	41.4%	51.7%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			6.9%	3.4%	89.7%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			6.9%	20.7%	72.4%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		3.4%		17.2%	79.3%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	20.7%	41.4%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		6.9%	6.9%	27.6%	55.2%	3.4%	29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.9%	89.7%	3.4%	29

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Understanding of rudiments of asymptotic analysis of algorithms.	**4.6**			6.9%	27.6%	58.6%	6.9%	29
Q28	Understanding of applications of fundamental data structures, including ability to design and implement programs that use these data structures.	**4.5**		3.4%		34.5%	58.6%	3.4%	29
Q29	Familiarity with implementations of fundamental data structures.	**4.6**				34.5%	62.1%	3.4%	29
Q30	Understanding of several algorithms for searching (sequential search, binary search, binary search trees) and sorting (insertion sort, merge sort, and quicksort),	**4.6**			3.4%	31.0%	62.1%	3.4%	29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			6.9%	10.3%	79.3%	3.4%	29
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			3.4%	31.0%	62.1%	3.4%	29

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**	3.4%		13.8%	37.9%	41.4%	3.4%	29
A09	I have enhanced my ability to design a component or system to meet realistic constraints.	**4.4**		3.4%	6.9%	34.5%	51.7%	3.4%	29
A20	I have enhanced my ability to acquire and process information from multiple sources	**4.3**	3.4%		6.9%	37.9%	48.3%	3.4%	29
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.4**			6.9%	44.8%	44.8%	3.4%	29
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.4**		3.4%	3.4%	37.9%	51.7%	3.4%	29
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.6**			3.4%	31.0%	62.1%	3.4%	29

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 3314: Biochemistry I

Lecture

Class Number: 15593

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.

Response Rate: 54/93

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					98.1%	1.9%	53

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%	3.7%	24.1%	64.8%	1.9%	54
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			5.6%	37.0%	55.6%	1.9%	54
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**		3.8%	11.3%	39.6%	43.4%	1.9%	53

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		3.7%	18.5%	29.6%	48.1%		54
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			5.6%	37.0%	57.4%		54

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			7.4%	38.9%	53.7%		54
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	1.9%	11.3%	9.4%	28.3%	49.1%		53
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	5.7%	7.5%	11.3%	32.1%	43.4%		53
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	5.7%	13.2%	13.2%	30.2%	37.7%		53
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.7%	5.7%	43.4%	45.3%		53
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	5.9%	7.8%	9.8%	33.3%	43.1%		51

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**		3.7%	20.4%	27.8%	48.1%		54
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**	7.4%	3.7%	27.8%	24.1%	37.0%		54

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**3.8**	9.4%	5.7%	13.2%	34.0%	35.8%	1.9%	53

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 5040: Chemical Laboratory Safety

Lecture

Class Number: 14666

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Martucci,Charles P.

Response Rate: 56/58

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.9%				94.2%	3.8%	52

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	83.9%	1.8%	56
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			12.5%	7.1%	78.6%	1.8%	56
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			1.8%	17.9%	78.6%	1.8%	56

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			3.6%	14.3%	80.4%	1.8%	56
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			5.4%	5.4%	87.5%	1.8%	56

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				12.5%	85.7%	1.8%	56
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			5.4%	8.9%	83.9%	1.8%	56
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		1.8%	12.7%	14.5%	69.1%	1.8%	55
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**			1.8%	8.9%	87.5%	1.8%	56
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**		1.8%	3.6%	12.5%	80.4%	1.8%	56
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	1.8%	3.6%	1.8%	14.3%	75.0%	3.6%	56

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			3.6%	19.6%	75.0%	1.8%	56
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			7.1%	23.2%	67.9%	1.8%	56

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.4**			18.2%	20.5%	59.1%	2.3%	44
A22	I have enhanced my ability to continuously seek to acquire new knowledge	**4.4**	2.3%		18.2%	13.6%	63.6%	2.3%	44

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20362
Section: I

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 6193: Wind and Earthquake Engineering

Primary Instructor: Lou,Jong J

Response Rate: 7/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		28.6%			71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		28.6%		28.6%	42.9%		7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.0**		14.3%		57.1%	28.6%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**		14.3%	14.3%		71.4%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Project

Class Number: 15686

Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 4003: Senior Project in Digital Media

Primary Instructor: Sicchio,Kate M

Response Rate: 7/8

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**			14.3%	14.3%	71.4%		7

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		14.3%			85.7%		7

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			14.3%		85.7%		7
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**		14.3%		14.3%	71.4%		7
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**			57.1%	28.6%		14.3%	7
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed conceptual thinking skills to generate ideas and content in order to solve problems or create opportunities.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		6

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			28.6%	14.3%	57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6023: Economic Foundations in Finance

Lecture
Class Number: 14689
Section: I

Primary Instructor: Nyambuu,Unurjargal

Response Rate: 10/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		10

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		10.0%		20.0%	70.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Lecture
Class Number: 15591
Section: B

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 22/46

	A. Procedures and Policies	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		22

	B. The Lecture Instructor	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		22
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			13.6%	22.7%	63.6%		22
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22

	C. Graded Assignments and Exams	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**		4.5%	9.1%	45.5%	40.9%		22
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%		22

	D. Course	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		9.1%	4.5%	13.6%	72.7%		22
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**	4.5%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	54.5%		22
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			9.1%	22.7%	68.2%		22
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			4.5%	45.5%	50.0%		22
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		9.1%		50.0%	40.9%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**			9.1%	31.8%	59.1%		22

	H. Overall Evaluations	*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				22.7%	77.3%		22
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			22.7%	31.8%	45.5%		22

	P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:	*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		4.5%	4.5%	45.5%	45.5%		22
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.9**		4.5%	36.4%	22.7%	36.4%		22
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.1**		4.5%	22.7%	31.8%	40.9%		22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation
Class Number: 16167
Section: BREC

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 41/46

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	10.0%				85.0%	5.0%	40

B1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**			4.9%	36.6%	58.5%		41
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.3**	2.4%		19.5%	24.4%	53.7%		41
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		2.4%	4.9%	24.4%	68.3%		41

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	4.9%			24.4%	70.7%		41
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.9%	9.8%	2.4%	29.3%	53.7%		41

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	2.4%	4.9%	2.4%	26.8%	63.4%		41
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	7.3%	12.2%	4.9%	26.8%	48.8%		41
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	4.9%		12.2%	17.1%	65.9%		41
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	4.9%	4.9%	12.2%	26.8%	51.2%		41
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	2.4%	19.5%	4.9%	29.3%	43.9%		41
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	2.4%	2.4%	9.8%	24.4%	61.0%		41

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.6**		2.4%		34.1%	63.4%		41
R43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		19.5%	9.8%	36.6%	34.1%		41

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.2**	2.5%	2.5%	15.0%	37.5%	42.5%		40
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**3.8**	7.5%	5.0%	22.5%	27.5%	37.5%		40
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	2.5%	5.0%	22.5%	45.0%	25.0%		40

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3114: Genetics

Lecture

Class Number: 15606

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 17/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	5.9%				94.1%		17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		5.9%		23.5%	70.6%		17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		17.6%		17.6%	64.7%		17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		11.8%		17.6%	70.6%		17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		5.9%		41.2%	52.9%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		11.8%		23.5%	64.7%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		5.9%		29.4%	64.7%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**	5.9%			23.5%	70.6%		17

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**	5.9%		5.9%	47.1%	41.2%		17
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**	5.9%		5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.2**	5.9%		17.6%	17.6%	58.8%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 3114: Genetics

Laboratory

Class Number: 15607

Section: A1LB

Primary Instructor: Seidenstein,Alexandra Heather

Response Rate: 9/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		11.1%		11.1%	77.8%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.7**		11.1%			88.9%		9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	11.1%			22.2%	66.7%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		11.1%		22.2%	66.7%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	44.4%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A01	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of mathematics and science.	**4.2**			11.1%	55.6%	33.3%		9
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.6**			11.1%	22.2%	66.7%		9
A21	I have enhanced my ability to learn independently.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4163: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design I

Lecture
Class Number: 15536
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N

Response Rate: 14/21

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**				64.3%	35.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	understand the AIChE code of ethics.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q28	learn to present a timed design tutorial	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q29	learn to develop a process flow sheet	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
Q30	learn to identify, formulate, and present material & energy balances to solve design problems	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**				71.4%	28.6%		14

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15887
Section: B

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CBE 4163: Chemical and Biomolecular Process Design I

Primary Instructor: Ziegler,Edward N

Response Rate: 12/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		12
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				41.7%	58.3%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.1**			25.0%	41.7%	33.3%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**			33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		12
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.1**	8.3%			58.3%	33.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**		8.3%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		12

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	understand the AIChE code of ethics.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		12
Q28	learn to present a timed design tutorial	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q29	learn to develop a process flow sheet	**4.3**			8.3%	58.3%	33.3%		12
Q30	learn to identify, formulate, and present material & energy balances to solve design problems	**4.0**		8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	41.7%		12

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**			16.7%	41.7%	41.7%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**			41.7%	41.7%	16.7%		12

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A35	I have enhanced my ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs	**4.0**		8.3%	8.3%	58.3%	25.0%		12
A38	I have developed an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility	**4.4**				58.3%	41.7%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 1134: Data Structures and Algorithms

Lecture

Class Number: 24491

Section: LEC

Primary Instructor: Iacono,John

Response Rate: 25/49

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.0%				88.0%		25

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	68.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**		8.0%		44.0%	48.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		8.0%	4.0%	48.0%	40.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		4.0%	4.0%	24.0%	68.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	60.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			4.0%	20.0%	76.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		16.0%		24.0%	60.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.7**	4.0%	24.0%	8.0%	24.0%	40.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		4.0%		28.0%	68.0%		25

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			4.0%	28.0%	68.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		4.0%	8.0%	52.0%	36.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15988
Section: C

Dept. of Applied Physics
PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga

Response Rate: 27/33

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**	3.7%	3.7%		22.2%	70.4%		27
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.3**	3.7%		7.4%	37.0%	51.9%		27
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	3.7%	7.4%		14.8%	74.1%		27
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.5**	3.7%	3.7%		25.9%	66.7%		27
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**	3.8%		3.8%	34.6%	57.7%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.0**	7.4%	11.1%	3.7%	33.3%	44.4%		27
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%	3.7%	14.8%	29.6%	40.7%		27
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	3.7%		7.4%	18.5%	70.4%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**	7.4%	7.4%		25.9%	59.3%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	7.4%	11.1%	18.5%	22.2%	40.7%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	3.7%	11.1%	18.5%	22.2%	44.4%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.4**	3.7%	25.9%	18.5%	29.6%	22.2%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.4**	11.1%	14.8%	14.8%	37.0%	22.2%		27
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.3**		7.4%	7.4%	29.6%	55.6%		27
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	7.4%	18.5%	18.5%	37.0%	18.5%		27
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.3**			11.5%	42.3%	46.2%		26
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**		3.8%	11.5%	57.7%	26.9%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 20357
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2131: General Physics Laboratory II

Primary Instructor: Dulub,Olga

Response Rate: 25/30

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.5**			4.0%	36.0%	56.0%	4.0%	25
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	60.0%		25
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**				56.0%	44.0%		25
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.2**		8.0%	8.0%	36.0%	44.0%	4.0%	25
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		4.0%	12.0%	28.0%	56.0%		25
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**3.7**		28.0%	4.0%	40.0%	28.0%		25
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	4.0%	20.0%	4.0%	40.0%	32.0%		25
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			12.0%	32.0%	56.0%		25
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		4.0%		52.0%	44.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.8**	8.0%	4.0%	16.0%	40.0%	32.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		12.0%	4.0%	52.0%	32.0%		25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.9**	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%	52.0%	28.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.6**	8.0%	8.0%	16.0%	48.0%	20.0%		25
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.1**		4.0%	20.0%	36.0%	40.0%		25
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.7**	4.0%	8.0%	16.0%	60.0%	12.0%		25
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%		24
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.2**		4.2%	8.3%	54.2%	33.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14969
Section: I1

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7801: TOPICS IN FINANCE AND FINANCIAL MARKETS I

Primary Instructor: Matthei,Warren D.

Response Rate: 12/12

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		91.7%	8.3%	12

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		12
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			8.3%		91.7%		12
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			16.7%		83.3%		12
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			8.3%	8.3%	83.3%		12
D. Course		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		12
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Very Poor**	**Poor**	**Adequate**	**Good**	**Excellent**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		12
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		12

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15511
Section: C

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 914: Precalculus for Engineers

Primary Instructor: Feklistova,Mariya

Response Rate: 8/53

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies			*No*				*Yes*		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		8
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		12.5%			87.5%		8
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	8
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.5**	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%		12.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.0**	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		8
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.4**	25.0%	12.5%		25.0%	37.5%		8
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.1**	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%		12.5%		8
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14623
Section: I

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 7033: Linear Algebra I

Primary Instructor: Lutwak,Erwin

Response Rate: 3/13

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies			*No*				*Yes*		
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.						100.0%		3
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			33.3%		66.7%		3
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.7**	33.3%				66.7%		3
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		3
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		3
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.7**			66.7%		33.3%		3
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**4.0**			33.3%	33.3%	33.3%		3
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**3.7**			33.3%	66.7%			3
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		3

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6113: Career Management

Lecture

Class Number: 14715

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G

Response Rate: 8/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		7

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**				62.5%	37.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Project

Class Number: 14769

Section: A

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 9343: Research Project in Organizational Behavior & Human Capital Systems

Primary Instructor: Kaufman,Harold G

Response Rate: 5/5

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		5

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**			20.0%	20.0%	60.0%		5

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		5

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 3933: NETWORK SECURITY

Lecture

Class Number: 16182

Section: A

Primary Instructor: McCoy,Damon Liwanu

Response Rate: 37/91

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	5.4%			8.1%	86.5%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.4%		5.4%	13.5%	75.7%		37
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	5.4%			18.9%	75.7%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	5.4%			13.5%	81.1%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	5.4%			5.4%	89.2%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	2.7%	2.7%		5.4%	89.2%		37
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	10.8%	75.7%		37
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	5.4%	2.7%		16.2%	75.7%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	8.1%	2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	62.2%	2.7%	37
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		8.1%	2.7%	27.0%	62.2%		37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	5.4%	2.7%	2.7%	8.1%	81.1%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.7%	13.5%	83.8%		37
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**		2.7%		18.9%	78.4%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15340
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6823: Network Security

Primary Instructor: McCoy,Damon Liwanu

Response Rate: 37/91

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		35

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**	5.4%			8.1%	86.5%		37
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.4%		5.4%	13.5%	75.7%		37
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**	5.4%			18.9%	75.7%		37

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**	5.4%			13.5%	81.1%		37
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**	5.4%			5.4%	89.2%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**	2.7%	2.7%		5.4%	89.2%		37
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	2.7%	8.1%	2.7%	10.8%	75.7%		37
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**	5.4%	2.7%		16.2%	75.7%		37
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	8.1%	2.7%	8.1%	16.2%	62.2%	2.7%	37
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		8.1%	2.7%	27.0%	62.2%		37
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**	5.4%	2.7%	2.7%	8.1%	81.1%		37

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			2.7%	13.5%	83.8%		37
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**		2.7%		18.9%	78.4%		37

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8203: PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 15111

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Parikh,Mihir A

Response Rate: 11/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**		9.1%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		9.1%		9.1%	81.8%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**	9.1%		9.1%	45.5%	36.4%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			18.2%		81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**			18.2%	18.2%	63.6%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**		9.1%		36.4%	54.5%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**			18.2%		81.8%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.1**	9.1%		18.2%	18.2%	54.5%		11

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**	9.1%		9.1%		81.8%		11
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.7**			9.1%	9.1%	81.8%		11
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**		9.1%		18.2%	72.7%		11
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**3.9**			45.5%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	72.7%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	54.5%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15450
Section: A

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

CBE 6153: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Primary Instructor: Rogers,Joel Carle W

Response Rate: 16/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	12.5%				81.3%	6.3%	16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	50.0%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**		25.0%	6.3%	31.3%	37.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.3%	12.5%		25.0%	56.3%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	6.3%	25.0%		12.5%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.3%	6.3%		25.0%	62.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	6.3%		25.0%	25.0%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15449
Section: A

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering
ME 6003: APPLIED MATHEMATICS IN ENGINEERING

Primary Instructor: Rogers,Joel Carle W

Response Rate: 16/26

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		12.5%			81.3%	6.3%	16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		6.3%		18.8%	75.0%		16
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**		12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	50.0%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**		25.0%	6.3%	31.3%	37.5%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	6.3%	12.5%		25.0%	56.3%		16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.9**	6.3%	25.0%		12.5%	56.3%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**	6.3%	6.3%		25.0%	62.5%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	25.0%	37.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	6.3%		25.0%	25.0%	43.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	12.5%	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	31.3%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 23523
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
DM 7033: Media Law

Primary Instructor: Rosenberg,Beth L

Response Rate: 13/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		12

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				38.5%	53.8%	7.7%	13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		15.4%		23.1%	61.5%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**	15.4%	7.7%		15.4%	61.5%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	15.4%	7.7%	15.4%	38.5%	23.1%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.0**	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	16.7%		12

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.8**	15.4%	7.7%		30.8%	46.2%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				30.8%	69.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			23.1%	38.5%	23.1%	15.4%	13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.8**	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	7.7%		7.7%	30.8%	53.8%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.7**		15.4%	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.6**	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 4404: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Lecture
Class Number: 15647
Section: A

Primary Instructor: Bensedrine,Jabril

Response Rate: 28/43

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				80.8%	11.5%	26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.9**	3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	21.4%	42.9%		28
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	3.6%	3.6%	35.7%	17.9%	39.3%		28
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	10.7%	25.0%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%		28

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.2**	14.8%	22.2%	18.5%	18.5%	25.9%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.5**	3.6%	21.4%	21.4%	25.0%	28.6%		28

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.9**	7.1%		14.3%	53.6%	25.0%		28
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.0**	17.9%	25.0%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%		28
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.4**	14.3%	14.3%	17.9%	28.6%	25.0%		28
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.7**	3.6%	14.3%	25.0%	21.4%	35.7%		28
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	7.1%	10.7%	17.9%	42.9%	21.4%		28
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**	10.7%	14.3%	17.9%	28.6%	28.6%		28

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**3.4**	7.4%	11.1%	29.6%	33.3%	18.5%		27
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**3.5**	7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	48.1%	11.1%		27
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**3.6**	7.4%	3.7%	25.9%	44.4%	18.5%		27
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**3.6**	7.4%		33.3%	48.1%	11.1%		27

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	7.1%	3.6%	35.7%	25.0%	28.6%		28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.3**	10.7%	10.7%	39.3%	17.9%	21.4%		28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 7703: ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Lecture
Class Number: 21243
Section: MOT

Primary Instructor: Bensedrine,Jabril

Response Rate: 10/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		66.7%	33.3%	9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			10.0%		90.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**			10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**			20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.4**		30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			11.1%	44.4%	33.3%	11.1%	9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**				60.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**			20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				20.0%	70.0%	10.0%	10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.4**				50.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.9**				10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				40.0%	50.0%	10.0%	10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**				70.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6013: ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

Lecture

Class Number: 14751

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 25/32

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				8.0%	92.0%		25
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				12.0%	88.0%		25
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		25

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	76.0%		25
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		4.0%		20.0%	76.0%		25

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				8.3%	91.7%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				16.0%	84.0%		25
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	8.0%		8.0%	12.0%	72.0%		25
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**			4.0%	16.0%	76.0%	4.0%	25
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	12.0%		4.0%	4.0%	80.0%		25
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**	8.0%			16.0%	72.0%	4.0%	25

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.5**	4.2%		8.3%	12.5%	75.0%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**		4.2%	4.2%	29.2%	62.5%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	12.5%	75.0%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**		4.2%		12.5%	83.3%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			4.0%	12.0%	84.0%		25
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	76.0%		25

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6223: Staffing Systems in Organizations

Lecture

Class Number: 15124

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Mishken,Mark A

Response Rate: 8/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	12.5%				87.5%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		12.5%		25.0%	62.5%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		14.3%		28.6%	57.1%		7
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		7

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.8**			12.5%		87.5%		8
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.6**			12.5%	12.5%	75.0%		8
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				42.9%	57.1%		7
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**			42.9%		57.1%		7

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 20222
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

PS 2664: INTELLIGENCE: REAL & ARTIFICIAL

Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P

Response Rate: 26/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.8%	96.2%		26

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		26
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			11.5%	19.2%	69.2%		26
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		7.7%	3.8%	26.9%	61.5%		26
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		3.8%		30.8%	65.4%		26
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		11.5%	3.8%	26.9%	57.7%		26
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			3.8%	19.2%	76.9%		26
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			42.3%	11.5%	38.5%	7.7%	26
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.7%	3.8%	30.8%	57.7%		26
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			3.8%	30.8%	65.4%		26

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			3.8%	15.4%	80.8%		26
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**		3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	69.2%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15958
Section: A

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society
PS 3694: HUMOR AND MODERN MEDIA

Primary Instructor: Lewis,James P

Response Rate: 29/30

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	3.4%				96.6%		29

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			6.9%	41.4%	51.7%		29
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.4**			13.8%	27.6%	58.6%		29
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**		6.9%	17.2%	31.0%	44.8%		29

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**		10.3%	6.9%	48.3%	34.5%		29
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**		10.3%	3.4%	37.9%	48.3%		29

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			6.9%	44.8%	48.3%		29
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	3.4%	13.8%	6.9%	44.8%	31.0%		29
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		6.9%	3.4%	58.6%	31.0%		29
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	34.5%	48.3%		29
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.2**		6.9%	10.3%	37.9%	44.8%		29
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.1**			20.7%	51.7%	27.6%		29

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	53.6%	3.6%	28
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		10.7%	21.4%	32.1%	32.1%	3.6%	28

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6163: Job and Workplace Design

Lecture

Class Number: 15425

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Lee,Mark

Response Rate: 2/10

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15950
Section: B

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 2224: Data Analysis

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 4/40

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	25.0%				75.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**2.3**	50.0%	25.0%			25.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**2.0**	75.0%				25.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.0**	25.0%				75.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.8**	50.0%			25.0%	25.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.5**	25.0%		25.0%		50.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.8**	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**1.0**	75.0%					25.0%	4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**2.5**	50.0%		25.0%		25.0%		4

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**1.7**	50.0%		25.0%			25.0%	4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**	25.0%		25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.0**	100.0%						4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.3**	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.0**	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15951
Section: E

Dept. of Mathematics
MA 2224: Data Analysis

Primary Instructor: Qian,Jinghua

Response Rate: 4/43

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		25.0%			75.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			25.0%		75.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**	25.0%			25.0%	50.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**		25.0%		25.0%	50.0%		4

		Avg Of Results	No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.3**		50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.5**	25.0%			50.0%	25.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**2.8**	25.0%		50.0%	25.0%			4
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.7**	25.0%	50.0%				25.0%	4
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**1.3**	75.0%	25.0%					4
H. Overall Evaluations		**Avg Of Results**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Moderately Disagree**	**No Opinion**	**Moderately Agree**	**Strongly Agree**	**Decline To Answer**	**# Resp**
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Lecture

Class Number: 15685

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Kato,HIdeki

Response Rate: 13/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	7.7%				92.3%		13

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		13
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**			7.7%	7.7%	84.6%		13
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	7.7%	7.7%		30.8%	53.8%		13

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		7.7%			92.3%		13
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.0**		15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	46.2%		13
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.8**	7.7%		30.8%	23.1%	38.5%		13
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	69.2%		13
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		7.7%	23.1%	7.7%	61.5%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			7.7%	23.1%	69.2%		13
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**		7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	53.8%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

DM 1113: Audio Foundation Studio

Lecture

Class Number: 16185

Section: B

Primary Instructor: Kato,HIdeki

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.7**			5.6%	22.2%	72.2%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**			5.6%	16.7%	77.8%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**		5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**	5.6%		11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**	11.1%		27.8%		55.6%	5.6%	18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**			22.2%	22.2%	55.6%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	22.2%	66.7%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**		5.6%		33.3%	61.1%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		5.6%	5.6%	38.9%	50.0%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6063: Work Design and Measurement

Lecture

Class Number: 15337

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Soukup,David

Response Rate: 10/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			10.0%	10.0%	80.0%		10

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		10
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**			10.0%	20.0%	70.0%		10

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		10
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			10.0%	30.0%	60.0%		10
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**				30.0%	70.0%		10
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		10

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.5**			20.0%	10.0%	70.0%		10
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			10.0%	40.0%	50.0%		10

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6823: Factory Simulation

Lecture

Class Number: 15229

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Soukup,David

Response Rate: 11/14

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		11

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**		100.0%		11
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		27.3%	72.7%		11
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**		36.4%	63.6%		11
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	9.1%	27.3%	63.6%		11
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**		18.2%	81.8%		11
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**		18.2%	81.8%		11

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**				54.5%	45.5%		11
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			9.1%	18.2%	72.7%		11

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.8**				18.2%	81.8%		11
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.9**				9.1%	90.9%		11
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.7**				27.3%	72.7%		11
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.3**			27.3%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	11

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		11
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**				36.4%	63.6%		11

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6193: Production Planning and Control

Lecture

Class Number: 14777

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Gadzic,Andrew

Response Rate: 18/23

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		5.6%	11.1%	11.1%	72.2%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		5.6%	5.6%		88.9%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.3**	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	66.7%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.6%	11.1%			83.3%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**			11.1%	5.6%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	72.2%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	77.8%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.6**			11.8%	17.6%	70.6%		17
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.6**		5.9%		17.6%	76.5%		17
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.6**		5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	82.4%		17
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.7**		5.9%	5.9%		88.2%		17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**		11.1%		5.6%	83.3%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**		11.1%		16.7%	72.2%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 8643: NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

Lecture

Class Number: 14709

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Paguaga,James

Response Rate: 24/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		24

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**		8.7%		13.0%	78.3%		23
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**		4.2%	12.5%	12.5%	70.8%		24
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**	4.2%	8.3%		8.3%	79.2%		24

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		4.2%		16.7%	79.2%		24
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**	4.2%	8.3%		16.7%	70.8%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	8.3%	12.5%		29.2%	50.0%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.2**	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	21.7%	56.5%	4.3%	23
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		8.7%		26.1%	60.9%	4.3%	23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**	4.2%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%	4.2%	24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.3**	4.2%	8.3%		20.8%	62.5%	4.2%	24

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	62.5%		24
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.3**		8.3%	8.3%	29.2%	54.2%		24
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.4**	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	20.8%	66.7%		24
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.4**	8.3%			25.0%	66.7%		24

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		8.3%	4.2%	25.0%	62.5%		24
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.2**	4.2%	12.5%		25.0%	58.3%		24

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

MG 6083: ECONOMICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15447

Section: MOT2

Primary Instructor: VanDerwerken,Angela Marie

Response Rate: 15/19

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**			6.7%	20.0%	73.3%		15
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		15

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**			20.0%	40.0%	40.0%		15
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**				40.0%	60.0%		15
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		13.3%		20.0%	66.7%		15

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.3**		6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	53.3%		15
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		15
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.5**			13.3%	26.7%	60.0%		15
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.5**			6.7%	33.3%	60.0%		15

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.7**			6.7%	13.3%	80.0%		15
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**			13.3%	33.3%	53.3%		15

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

CM 1014: GENERAL CHEMISTRY I

Lecture

Class Number: 15765

Section: ALEC

Primary Instructor: Garetz,Bruce A

Response Rate: 59/94

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	1.8%				98.2%		57

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**				1.7%	98.3%		59
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**			23.7%	20.3%	55.9%		59
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**		3.4%	1.7%	13.6%	81.4%		59

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**		1.7%	8.5%	35.6%	54.2%		59
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**		3.4%		25.4%	71.2%		59

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				15.3%	84.7%		59
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**			5.1%	11.9%	83.1%		59
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	1.7%	1.7%	3.4%	25.4%	67.8%		59
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**	1.7%	10.2%	10.2%	23.7%	54.2%		59
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	1.7%	3.4%	5.1%	25.4%	64.4%		59
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		1.7%	8.5%	22.0%	67.8%		59

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**			1.7%	10.2%	88.1%		59
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			6.8%	37.3%	55.9%		59

P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**			3.5%	35.1%	59.6%	1.8%	57
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.3**		5.3%	7.0%	36.8%	49.1%	1.8%	57
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**3.9**	3.5%	1.8%	26.3%	36.8%	29.8%	1.8%	57

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture

Class Number: 1013B-Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 45/74

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.4%				88.6%		44

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.4%	2.2%	4.4%	22.2%	66.7%		45
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.1**	4.5%	4.5%	15.9%	25.0%	47.7%	2.3%	44
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.9**	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	25.0%	47.7%		44

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.3**	17.8%	13.3%	22.2%	17.8%	28.9%		45
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	6.7%	8.9%	15.6%	20.0%	46.7%	2.2%	45

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.3**	2.2%	4.4%	8.9%	28.9%	55.6%		45
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**	8.9%	6.7%	6.7%	31.1%	46.7%		45
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.7**	11.1%	6.7%	17.8%	26.7%	37.8%		45
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**	6.8%	4.5%	18.2%	20.5%	47.7%	2.3%	44
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	8.9%	15.6%	15.6%	24.4%	35.6%		45
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.9**	8.9%		15.6%	42.2%	33.3%		45

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.8**	6.7%	4.4%	20.0%	35.6%	33.3%		45
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.5**	2.2%	13.3%	31.1%	35.6%	17.8%		45

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15902
Section: A

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 4603: Special Topics in Physics

Primary Instructor: Tsifrinovich,Vladimir

Response Rate: 4/7

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		4

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		4
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		4

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2811: Materials Science Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15619

Section: ALAB

Primary Instructor: Behera,Rakesh Kumar

Response Rate: 9/10

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.0**	11.1%	11.1%		22.2%	55.6%		9
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.6**		11.1%			77.8%	11.1%	9
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**			11.1%	33.3%	55.6%		9
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of Optical and scanning electron microscopy of materials.	**4.9**				11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	9
Q28	I learned how to measure hardness and tensile strength.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q29	I learned how to determine the crystal structure of materials.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			22.2%		77.8%		9
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.7**			11.1%	11.1%	77.8%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2811: Materials Science Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 15771

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Behera,Rakesh Kumar

Response Rate: 16/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	56.3%		16
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.8**				18.8%	81.3%		16
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**	6.3%			25.0%	68.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**	6.3%			18.8%	75.0%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	6.3%	6.3%		18.8%	68.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		6.3%	18.8%	25.0%	50.0%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			6.3%	31.3%	62.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		15
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of Optical and scanning electron microscopy of materials.	**4.7**				31.3%	68.8%		16
Q28	I learned how to measure hardness and tensile strength.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q29	I learned how to determine the crystal structure of materials.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		16
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		16
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**		6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	75.0%		16
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.4**		6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%		16
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			6.3%	18.8%	75.0%		16
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.6**			6.3%	25.0%	68.8%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2811: Materials Science Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 16153

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Behera,Rakesh Kumar

Response Rate: 19/19

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.2**		15.8%		31.6%	52.6%		19
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**			5.3%	21.1%	73.7%		19
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.9**				10.5%	89.5%		19
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				21.1%	78.9%		19
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.5**			5.3%	36.8%	57.9%		19
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			5.3%	26.3%	68.4%		19
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**				47.4%	52.6%		19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.9**		15.8%	21.1%	15.8%	47.4%		19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				26.3%	73.7%		19
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of Optical and scanning electron microscopy of materials.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
Q28	I learned how to measure hardness and tensile strength.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
Q29	I learned how to determine the crystal structure of materials.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	68.4%		19
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.6**				36.8%	63.2%		19
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.6**			5.3%	31.6%	63.2%		19
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.7**				31.6%	68.4%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 2811: Materials Science Laboratory

Laboratory

Class Number: 16154

Section: DLAB

Primary Instructor: Behera,Rakesh Kumar

Response Rate: 30/32

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.8**				16.7%	83.3%		30
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.9**	13.3%	6.7%	3.3%	33.3%	43.3%		30
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**		3.4%		13.8%	82.8%		29
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**			6.7%	16.7%	76.7%		30
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.7**				26.7%	73.3%		30
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		3.3%		16.7%	80.0%		30
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				20.0%	80.0%		30
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**		3.3%		30.0%	66.7%		30
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.5**		6.7%		30.0%	63.3%		30
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**			20.0%	16.7%	56.7%	6.7%	30
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**		6.7%	3.3%	26.7%	63.3%		30
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**		6.7%	3.3%	30.0%	60.0%		30
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I learned the principles of Optical and scanning electron microscopy of materials.	**4.4**	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	60.0%		30
Q28	I learned how to measure hardness and tensile strength.	**4.8**			3.3%	16.7%	80.0%		30
Q29	I learned how to determine the crystal structure of materials.	**4.7**			3.3%	20.0%	76.7%		30
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.7**		3.3%		20.0%	76.7%		30
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			6.7%	40.0%	53.3%		30
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.7**			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.7**			3.3%	26.7%	70.0%		30
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.5**		3.3%	10.0%	23.3%	63.3%		30
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.7**			3.3%	20.0%	76.7%		30
A48	I have enhanced my ability to apply principles of engineering, basic science, and mathematics (including multivariate calculus and differential equations) to model, analyze, design, and realize physical systems, components or processes	**4.7**			3.3%	20.0%	76.7%		30

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering

ME 7863: SPECIAL TOPICS

Lecture

Class Number: 15404

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Behera,Rakesh Kumar

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			5.6%	11.1%	83.3%		18
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**			5.6%		94.4%		18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.8**		5.6%			94.4%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**		5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	83.3%		18
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I differentiated different mechanical behaviors of materials and justify the most important behavior for a specific application.	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		18
Q28	I classified elastic vs plastic deformation and their implication for materials design.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q29	I identified types of defects and dislocations in materials.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q30	I appraised various strengthening mechanisms in crystalline materials used to prevent failure.	**4.9**				5.6%	94.4%		18
Q31	I interpreted failures associated with continuous (creep) vs. cyclic loading (fatigue).	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			5.6%	5.6%	88.9%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			11.1%	5.6%	83.3%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Technology Management & Innovation

IE 6113: Quality Control and Improvement

Lecture

Class Number: 25875

Section: I2

Primary Instructor: Mirzaei,Mohsen

Response Rate: 14/20

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**			7.1%		92.9%		14

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I developed an interest in the subject.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q28	I now have a thorough understanding of the various topics discussed and their role in the profession.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q29	I have developed an understanding of the concepts relevant to my current or intended position and career.	**4.7**			7.7%	15.4%	76.9%		13
Q30	I developed an understanding of the importance of being interactive/participative in solving contemporary managerial issues.	**4.6**			15.4%	7.7%	76.9%		13

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 5213: Introduction to Systems Engineering

Lecture

Class Number: 15397

Section: INET

Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan

Response Rate: 17/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		11.8%			82.4%	5.9%	17

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.3**	5.9%		23.5%		64.7%	5.9%	17
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.5**	5.9%		11.8%		76.5%	5.9%	17
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	11.8%		11.8%	17.6%	52.9%	5.9%	17

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**	11.8%		17.6%	11.8%	52.9%	5.9%	17
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.4**	5.9%		11.8%	11.8%	70.6%		17

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					94.1%	5.9%	17
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	5.9%		11.8%	17.6%	64.7%		17
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**	5.9%			29.4%	64.7%		17
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			17.6%	17.6%	64.7%		17
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**	5.9%		17.6%	23.5%	52.9%		17

E1. Online Course Module		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L20	The Instructor was effective in communicating or explaining subject matter online.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%		17
L21	The lecture Instructor encouraged and participated in discussions with students.	**4.0**	11.8%		23.5%	5.9%	58.8%		17
L22	The Instructor responded to students in a timely manner and/or had virtual office hours.	**4.4**	5.9%		17.6%		76.5%		17
L23	The amount of online communications with the instructor was effective.	**4.2**	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%		17
L24	The online course materials and online assignments were well-organized, easy to follow and were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
L25	The online assignments (home, discussions, quizzes) were effective learning tools.	**4.5**	5.9%		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%		17
L26	The effort required to complete assignments is appropriate for the course.	**4.4**	5.9%		5.9%	23.5%	64.7%		17
L27	NYU ITS Technical Helpdesk was responsive and helpful.	**4.1**		5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	52.9%	5.9%	17

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.3**	5.9%		5.9%	35.3%	52.9%		17
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	41.2%	41.2%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 9233: SEL TOP: CTRL ENGRNG

Lecture

Class Number: 21194

Section: I

Primary Instructor: Zhu,Quanyan

Response Rate: 8/11

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		8

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		8
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		12.5%		12.5%	75.0%		8
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		8
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	62.5%		8
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**				37.5%	62.5%		8
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**			12.5%	25.0%	62.5%		8

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EE 2233: Introduction to Probability

Lecture

Class Number: 16015

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna

Response Rate: 38/62

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%				91.7%	5.6%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	15.8%	71.1%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	2.6%	7.9%	28.9%	21.1%	39.5%		38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	7.9%	21.1%	13.2%	21.1%	36.8%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	7.9%	18.4%	18.4%	23.7%	31.6%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	2.7%	13.5%	24.3%	24.3%	35.1%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	2.6%	2.6%	21.1%	18.4%	52.6%	2.6%	38
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**	5.3%	21.1%	21.1%	23.7%	26.3%	2.6%	38
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	10.5%	18.4%	13.2%	21.1%	36.8%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	15.8%	34.2%	13.2%	18.4%	18.4%		38
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.8%	26.3%	2.6%	28.9%	26.3%		38
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	5.3%	18.4%	13.2%	26.3%	36.8%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	7.9%	13.2%	18.4%	34.2%	26.3%		38
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	2.6%	21.1%	28.9%	28.9%	18.4%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

MA 2233: Introduction to Probability

Lecture

Class Number: 16016

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Pillai,Unnikrishna

Response Rate: 38/62

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	2.8%				91.7%	5.6%	36

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**	2.6%	2.6%	7.9%	15.8%	71.1%		38
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.9**	2.6%	7.9%	28.9%	21.1%	39.5%		38
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.6**	7.9%	21.1%	13.2%	21.1%	36.8%		38

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	7.9%	18.4%	18.4%	23.7%	31.6%		38
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.8**	2.7%	13.5%	24.3%	24.3%	35.1%		37

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**	2.6%	2.6%	21.1%	18.4%	52.6%	2.6%	38
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.5**	5.3%	21.1%	21.1%	23.7%	26.3%	2.6%	38
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.6**	10.5%	18.4%	13.2%	21.1%	36.8%		38
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**2.9**	15.8%	34.2%	13.2%	18.4%	18.4%		38
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.2**	15.8%	26.3%	2.6%	28.9%	26.3%		38
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.7**	5.3%	18.4%	13.2%	26.3%	36.8%		38

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.6**	7.9%	13.2%	18.4%	34.2%	26.3%		38
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.4**	2.6%	21.1%	28.9%	28.9%	18.4%		38

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15756

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Silverman,Andrea

Response Rate: 14/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.7**			7.1%	14.3%	78.6%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	57.1%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.1**		21.4%	7.1%	7.1%	64.3%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.1**		14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.6**			7.1%	28.6%	64.3%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	7.1%			28.6%	64.3%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Apply environmental engineering principles – including material balance, basic reaction kinetics, and environmental chemistry – to solve environmental engineering problems.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q28	Understand and apply environmental regulations, and comprehend the risk and impact of pollution generated by human activity on human and ecosystem health.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q29	Understand surface and groundwater pollution, as well as air pollution, and apply engineering principles to analyze environmental problems.	**4.2**			21.4%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q30	Develop the ability to analyze major unit processes in drinking water treatment systems, and design basic unit operations.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q31	Recognize and identify the science and engineering principles behind wastewater treatment processes.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**3.9**	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	50.0%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.1**		7.1%	21.4%	21.4%	50.0%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.2**		7.1%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.4**			7.1%	50.0%	42.9%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			21.4%	28.6%	50.0%		14
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.4**			14.3%	35.7%	50.0%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15756

Section: BLAB

Primary Instructor: Silverman,Andrea

Response Rate: 14/16

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**2.7**	42.9%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	21.4%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	50.0%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.4**		7.1%		42.9%	50.0%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.4**			7.1%	42.9%	50.0%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.6**	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.4**	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	28.6%	28.6%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	7.1%		14.3%	35.7%	42.9%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	42.9%		14
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	Apply environmental engineering principles – including material balance, basic reaction kinetics, and environmental chemistry – to solve environmental engineering problems.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q28	Understand and apply environmental regulations, and comprehend the risk and impact of pollution generated by human activity on human and ecosystem health.	**3.9**	7.1%		21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
Q29	Understand surface and groundwater pollution, as well as air pollution, and apply engineering principles to analyze environmental problems.	**4.0**			21.4%	57.1%	21.4%		14
Q30	Develop the ability to analyze major unit processes in drinking water treatment systems, and design basic unit operations.	**4.1**		7.1%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%		14
Q31	Recognize and identify the science and engineering principles behind wastewater treatment processes.	**4.4**				64.3%	35.7%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**			14.3%	28.6%	57.1%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.9**		14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.1**			21.4%	42.9%	35.7%		14
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.1**			21.4%	50.0%	28.6%		14
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.0**		7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%		14
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.2**			14.3%	50.0%	35.7%		14
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.3**			14.3%	42.9%	42.9%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Civil & Urban Engineering

CE 3223: Environmental Engineering I

Laboratory

Class Number: 15757

Section: CLAB

Primary Instructor: Silverman,Andrea

Response Rate: 14/15

		No				Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies								
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	4.2%				95.8%		24

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			3.7%	14.8%	81.5%		27
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				15.4%	84.6%		26
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		27
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			3.7%	25.9%	70.4%		27
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		27
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**			14.8%	18.5%	66.7%		27
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			7.4%	29.6%	63.0%		27
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**		7.4%	7.4%	29.6%	55.6%		27
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	37.0%	44.4%		27
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**				40.7%	59.3%		27
E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:									
Q27	Apply environmental engineering principles – including material balance, basic reaction kinetics, and environmental chemistry – to solve environmental engineering problems.	**4.4**		3.8%		46.2%	50.0%		26
Q28	Understand and apply environmental regulations, and comprehend the risk and impact of pollution generated by human activity on human and ecosystem health.	**4.5**				46.2%	53.8%		26
Q29	Understand surface and groundwater pollution, as well as air pollution, and apply engineering principles to analyze environmental problems.	**4.5**			3.8%	42.3%	53.8%		26
Q30	Develop the ability to analyze major unit processes in drinking water treatment systems, and design basic unit operations.	**4.5**			7.7%	38.5%	53.8%		26
Q31	Recognize and identify the science and engineering principles behind wastewater treatment processes.	**4.7**				34.6%	65.4%		26

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			3.7%	29.6%	66.7%		27
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.5**				51.9%	48.1%		27
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:									
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		26
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.4**		3.8%		50.0%	46.2%		26
A37	I have enhanced my ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems	**4.5**				53.8%	46.2%		26
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.3**			19.2%	34.6%	46.2%		26
A42	I have enhanced my knowledge of contemporary issues	**4.6**				38.5%	61.5%		26
A43	I have enhanced my ability to use techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice	**4.5**			3.8%	46.2%	50.0%		26

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15882
Section: A3LB

Dept. of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering

BMS 1004: Introduction to Cell and Molecular Biology

Primary Instructor: Lam,Ronald

Response Rate: 14/15

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.6**	7.1%			14.3%	78.6%		14
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**5.0**					100.0%		14
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.5**		7.1%		28.6%	64.3%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**3.6**	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	28.6%	35.7%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A33	I have enhanced my ability to apply knowledge of math, science and engineering	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
A34	I have enhanced my ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as analyze and interpret data	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13
A39	I have learned how to communicate effectively	**4.8**				23.1%	76.9%		13

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15035
Section: I2

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6411: Fixed Income Securities and Interest Rate Derivatives

Primary Instructor: Mandel,Shlomo

Response Rate: 9/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				88.9%		9

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.8**			11.1%		88.9%		9

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		9

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		9
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				11.1%	88.9%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15788
Section: F

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1154: Calculus II with Pre-calculus

Primary Instructor: Manocha,Harvansh

Response Rate: 2/13

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		2

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q21	The course was well organized.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		2
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**4.0**			50.0%		50.0%		2
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**3.0**			100.0%				2
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**2.0**	50.0%		50.0%				2

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		2
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**5.0**					100.0%		2

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 21198
Section: G

Dept. of Technology, Culture & Society

URB 3834: SPECIAL TOPICS IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Primary Instructor: Sweet,William W

Response Rate: 19/25

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		5.6%			88.9%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				5.3%	89.5%	5.3%	19
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**			15.8%	10.5%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**		5.3%		26.3%	63.2%	5.3%	19

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		5.3%		21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**		5.3%		15.8%	73.7%	5.3%	19

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				31.6%	63.2%	5.3%	19
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**		5.3%		31.6%	57.9%	5.3%	19
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.4**	5.3%	5.3%		15.8%	68.4%	5.3%	19
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.3**			10.5%	42.1%	42.1%	5.3%	19
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	47.4%	5.3%	19
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.6**		5.3%		21.1%	68.4%	5.3%	19

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I have enhanced my ability to understand Urban History and Policy.	**4.5**				50.0%	50.0%		18
Q28	This course helped to improve my written communication skills.	**4.2**		5.6%	11.1%	38.9%	44.4%		18
Q29	This course helped to improve my oral communication skills.	**4.2**		5.6%	11.1%	44.4%	38.9%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.6**			10.5%	21.1%	68.4%		19
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**		5.3%	10.5%	31.6%	52.6%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14629
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6643: Computer Vision and Scene Analysis

Primary Instructor: Ratha,Nalini Kanta

Response Rate: 73/73

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	18.3%				78.9%	2.8%	71

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**3.4**	13.7%	13.7%	13.7%	35.6%	23.3%		73
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**3.5**	13.7%	13.7%	13.7%	30.1%	28.8%		73
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.3**	15.1%	13.7%	15.1%	37.0%	19.2%		73

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.3**	13.7%	20.5%	12.3%	30.1%	23.3%		73
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**3.7**	9.6%	4.1%	17.8%	42.5%	24.7%	1.4%	73

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**3.2**	13.7%	19.2%	17.8%	31.5%	17.8%		73
Q21	The course was well organized.	**2.6**	23.3%	27.4%	17.8%	27.4%	4.1%		73
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**3.3**	13.7%	16.4%	19.2%	27.4%	23.3%		73
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.1**	15.1%	13.7%	32.9%	24.7%	13.7%		73
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.9**	16.4%	20.5%	26.0%	30.1%	6.8%		73
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**3.3**	15.1%	12.3%	23.3%	26.0%	23.3%		73

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**3.2**	15.1%	13.7%	23.3%	34.2%	13.7%		73
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.1**	12.3%	20.5%	23.3%	32.9%	11.0%		73

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering

EL 6063: Information Theory

Lecture

Class Number: 15047

Section: A

Primary Instructor: Jalali,Shirin

Response Rate: 14/15

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		92.9%	7.1%	14

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				7.1%	92.9%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				14.3%	85.7%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.6**		7.1%		14.3%	78.6%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**			7.1%	7.1%	85.7%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.4**		7.1%		35.7%	57.1%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**5.0**					100.0%		14

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**				21.4%	78.6%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.6**			7.1%	21.4%	71.4%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15143
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6331: FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT AND OPTIMIZATION

Primary Instructor: Totouom Tangho,Daniel H

Response Rate: 14/14

		No	Yes	Decline To Answer	# Resp
A. Procedures and Policies					
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		71.4%	28.6%	14

		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
B. The Lecture Instructor									
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.6**		7.1%		21.4%	71.4%		14
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
C. Graded Assignments and Exams									
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			21.4%	14.3%	64.3%		14
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.6**			21.4%		78.6%		14
D. Course									
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		7.1%			92.9%		14
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.3**	7.1%	7.1%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**				35.7%	64.3%		14
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**			7.1%	35.7%	57.1%		14
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.7**				28.6%	71.4%		14

		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
H. Overall Evaluations									
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.4**		14.3%		21.4%	64.3%		14
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.4**		7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%		14

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15214
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 7121: Statistical Arbitrage

Primary Instructor: Totouom Tangho,Daniel H

Response Rate: 18/18

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.	11.1%				83.3%	5.6%	18

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		5.6%		27.8%	61.1%	5.6%	18
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.3**		11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	55.6%	5.6%	18
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.2**	5.6%	5.6%		33.3%	50.0%	5.6%	18

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.8**		27.8%	5.6%	22.2%	38.9%	5.6%	18
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.2**			22.2%	33.3%	38.9%	5.6%	18

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.2**		11.1%	5.6%	38.9%	44.4%		18
Q21	The course was well organized.	**3.7**	5.6%	16.7%	5.6%	44.4%	27.8%		18
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**			5.6%	27.8%	66.7%		18
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.0**		11.1%	16.7%	33.3%	38.9%		18
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**		11.1%	16.7%	27.8%	44.4%		18
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.4**	5.6%		5.6%	27.8%	61.1%		18

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.1**		5.6%	22.2%	27.8%	44.4%		18
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.3**			22.2%	27.8%	50.0%		18

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14690
Section: I

Dept. of Finance & Risk Engineering

FRE 6041: Extreme Risk Analytics

Primary Instructor: Taleb,Nassim N

Response Rate: 16/17

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		16

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q10	The Lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.9**				6.3%	87.5%	6.3%	16

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q23	Textbook/Lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				6.3%	93.8%		16

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 14620
Section: A

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6083: Principles of Database Systems

Primary Instructor: Suel,Torsten

Response Rate: 46/71

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*	*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.		100.0%		44

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**	2.2%			6.5%	91.3%		46
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**4.8**	2.2%			6.5%	89.1%	2.2%	46
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.7**	2.2%			19.6%	76.1%	2.2%	46

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.2**	8.7%	4.3%	6.5%	15.2%	63.0%	2.2%	46
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.3**	6.5%	4.3%	6.5%	19.6%	63.0%		46

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**	2.2%		4.3%	10.9%	82.6%		46
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	17.4%	76.1%		46
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%		19.6%	73.9%	2.2%	46
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.6**	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	13.0%	73.9%	2.2%	46
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**	2.2%	6.5%	10.9%	21.7%	56.5%	2.2%	46
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.8**	2.2%			15.2%	80.4%	2.2%	46

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**4.8**			4.3%	13.0%	80.4%	2.2%	46
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.7**			2.2%	28.3%	65.2%	4.3%	46

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15341
Section: I

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering

CS 6913: Web Search Engines

Primary Instructor: Suel,Torsten

Response Rate: 21/29

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		21

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**5.0**					100.0%		20
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**5.0**				4.8%	95.2%		21

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.7**			9.5%	9.5%	81.0%		21

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.8**		4.8%		9.5%	85.7%		21
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.5**	4.8%		4.8%	19.0%	71.4%		21
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.7**		4.8%		14.3%	81.0%		21
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.5**	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	81.0%		21
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.9**				9.5%	90.5%		21

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**5.0**					100.0%		21
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.8**			4.8%	9.5%	85.7%		21

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Lecture
Class Number: 15776
Section: D

Dept. of Mathematics

MA 1054: Calculus I with Pre-calculus

Primary Instructor: Gbedemah,Amakoe G.E.D.

Response Rate: 1/35

A. Procedures and Policies		*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q02	Grading policy was stated in detail at the beginning of the course.					100.0%		1

B. The Lecture Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q06	The Lecture Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q10	The lecture Instructor was helpful and answered questions outside of class.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q11	The Lecture Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**1.0**	100.0%						1

C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q15	The Lecture Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q19	My work in this course was evaluated fairly.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*No*				*Yes*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**5.0**					100.0%		1
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.0**				100.0%			1
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

E. Course Objectives - As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q27	I find the Reviews, Study Groups, and Workshops offered by Math as helpful resources.	**3.0**			100.0%				1
Q28	I often used my professors office hours.	**1.0**	100.0%						1
Q29	I need more HW problems.	**4.0**				100.0%			1

H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q41	Overall evaluation of the instructor.	**2.0**		100.0%					1
Q43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**2.0**		100.0%					1

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15726

Section: A2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 1013: MECHANICS

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 20/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.2**	5.6%			55.6%	38.9%		18
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.7**	5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	27.8%		18
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.8**	11.1%	11.1%	5.6%	27.8%	44.4%		18
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	11.1%	22.2%	50.0%		18
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%		18

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**3.8**	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	29.4%	35.3%		17

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15737

Section: A3RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 21/25

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**		5.3%	5.3%	36.8%	52.6%		19
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.7**		5.3%	42.1%	26.3%	26.3%		19
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**3.7**		21.1%	21.1%	26.3%	31.6%		19
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**3.5**	10.5%	21.1%	10.5%	26.3%	31.6%		19
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	31.6%	47.4%		19

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**3.7**		10.5%	21.1%	52.6%	15.8%		19

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15747

Section: C2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2023: ELECTRICITY, MAGNETISM, & FLUIDS

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 24/30

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.4**	4.5%		4.5%	31.8%	54.5%	4.5%	22
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**	4.5%		36.4%	18.2%	36.4%	4.5%	22
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.1**	4.5%		13.6%	36.4%	40.9%	4.5%	22
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.1**	4.5%		9.1%	45.5%	36.4%	4.5%	22
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**3.9**	4.5%		27.3%	31.8%	31.8%	4.5%	22

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.0**	4.5%		22.7%	27.3%	40.9%	4.5%	22

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 15785

Section: A2RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 19/21

R1. The Recitation Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**			6.3%	12.5%	81.3%		16
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**4.1**			37.5%	6.3%	50.0%	6.3%	16
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.3**			12.5%	43.8%	43.8%		16
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.4**			18.8%	25.0%	56.3%		16
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.1**		12.5%	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%		16

R2. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.4**			12.5%	37.5%	50.0%		16

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Recitation

Class Number: 16078

Section: B3RC

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2033: WAVES, OPTICS, & THERMODYNAMICS

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 11/17

R1. The Recitation Instructor		Avg Of Results	Strongly Disagree	Moderately Disagree	No Opinion	Moderately Agree	Strongly Agree	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R06	The Recitation Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		9
R10	The Recitation Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions outside of class hours.	**3.9**			44.4%	22.2%	33.3%		9
R11	The Recitation Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.6**				44.4%	55.6%		9
R15	The Recitation Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	**4.8**				22.2%	77.8%		9
R19	My working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		11.1%		33.3%	55.6%		9

R2. Overall Evaluations		Avg Of Results	Very Poor	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Decline To Answer	# Resp
R41	Overall evaluation of the Recitation Instructor.	**4.3**				66.7%	33.3%		9

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15977
Section: B

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 23/26

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.6**		4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%		23
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.7**		4.3%		17.4%	78.3%		23
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	30.4%	60.9%		23
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.4**		8.7%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%		23
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.4**		4.3%	8.7%	30.4%	56.5%		23
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.3**		4.3%	13.0%	34.8%	47.8%		23
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.3**		4.3%	13.0%	26.1%	56.5%		23
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.5**		4.3%	4.3%	26.1%	65.2%		23
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.4**		8.7%	4.3%	21.7%	65.2%		23
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**		4.3%	17.4%	21.7%	56.5%		23
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.2**		8.7%	21.7%	13.0%	56.5%		23
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.3**		9.1%	4.5%	31.8%	54.5%		22
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.0**		8.7%	26.1%	17.4%	47.8%		23
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.4**		4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	52.2%		23
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**4.0**		13.0%	17.4%	26.1%	43.5%		23
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.2**		5.0%	15.0%	35.0%	45.0%		20
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.1**		5.0%	20.0%	40.0%	35.0%		20

NYU TANDON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

Fall 2016 Course Evaluation - Results

Laboratory
Class Number: 15979
Section: D

Dept. of Applied Physics

PH 2121: General Physics Laboratory I

Primary Instructor: Shopova,Siyka

Response Rate: 24/28

A1. Regarding The Laboratory		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L32	Laboratory rules and procedures, including safety, were explained in detail at the beginning of the laboratory program	**4.9**				12.5%	87.5%		24
L33	Laboratory equipment is in good condition and is operable.	**4.5**		4.2%		41.7%	54.2%		24
B1. The Laboratory Instructor		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L06	The Laboratory Instructor demonstrated mastery of course material.	**4.8**				20.8%	79.2%		24
L10	The Laboratory Instructor was helpful and willing to answer questions.	**4.7**				33.3%	66.7%		24
L11	The Laboratory Instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	**4.8**				25.0%	75.0%		24
C. Graded Assignments and Exams		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L15	The Laboratory Instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., reports, quizzes, etc.).	**4.0**		12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%		24
L19	My Working skills and knowledge in this course were evaluated fairly.	**4.2**	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%		24
D. Course		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
Q01	The course objectives were clearly stated.	**4.7**				29.2%	70.8%		24
Q21	The course was well organized.	**4.7**		4.2%		16.7%	79.2%		24
Q22	The course was intellectually stimulating.	**4.3**	8.3%		8.3%	25.0%	58.3%		24
Q23	Textbook/lab manual/material provides quality support for course curriculum.	**4.4**	4.2%		4.2%	29.2%	58.3%	4.2%	24
Q37	The difficulty of the course was appropriate or as I expected.	**4.1**	4.2%	12.5%	4.2%	29.2%	50.0%		24
Q40	Value was added to my education.	**4.2**		12.5%	4.2%	33.3%	50.0%		24
H. Overall Evaluations		*Avg Of Results*	*Very Poor*	*Poor*	*Adequate*	*Good*	*Excellent*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
L41	Overall evaluation of the Laboratory Instructor.	**4.5**			8.3%	33.3%	58.3%		24
L43	Overall evaluation of the course.	**3.8**		12.5%	20.8%	37.5%	29.2%		24
P. ABET- As a result of taking this course:		*Avg Of Results*	*Strongly Disagree*	*Moderately Disagree*	*No Opinion*	*Moderately Agree*	*Strongly Agree*	*Decline To Answer*	*# Resp*
A06	I have enhanced my ability to analyze and interpret data appropriately.	**4.5**				47.6%	52.4%		21
A17	I have enhanced my ability to conduct experiments to validate hypotheses and theories.	**4.3**		4.8%		52.4%	42.9%		21