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ABSTRACT

Istanbul’s smart card fare collection system generates large amounts of operational data from the BRT-Bus Rapid Transit line. In addition to ridership, it captures system-wide transaction data and provides comprehensive data records on usage. Processing and analysis of these data open new opportunities in transportation and travel behavior research. This paper presents a qualitative analysis of smart card (Istanbulkart) activity for the BRT-Bus Rapid Transit and investigates its potential for understanding complexities of the system and characterizing travel behavior. In this paper, an assessment of spatial and temporal travel behavior of commuters including mode choice, travel, and waiting times, is performed. As a result of this qualitative analysis an evaluation of the automated fare collection system with some recommendations for improving the planning and management of the BRT-Bus Rapid Transit line are also provided.
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I INTRODUCTION

Automated Fare Collection (AFC) - Smart card (Istanbulkart) Technology

Since their invention in the 1969 with the improvement of smart card technology, automated fare collection systems have become the most common collection method used by public transit authorities (1).

Istanbul first used the Akbil (ancient anonymous ticket) for fare collection in 1994, and then, with the improvement of contactless transport systems, which respond to the requirements of operators and end-users alike, the smart card, appropriately named the Istanbulkart, was put into service in Istanbul’s public transportation system in 2004. The operators of Istanbulkart technology reduced the system’s fraud and maintenance costs by replacing the inefficient paper-based fare collection system, and the data collection and reporting capacity has been improved. Today, the Istanbulkart can be used for payment for all modes of public transport.

Istanbulkart is similar in look and size to a credit card, and each has a unique serial number. A card can be assigned to a specific individual or it can be anonymous, and each unique card ID represents one single person. This enables analysis of individual itineraries and opens new ways for understanding people’s travel behavior on short as well as long term scales.

In Istanbul, the fare charge for each customer is based on travel distance, transport mode, and certain demographic attributes, such as prioritized rates for elderly people, children, students, senior citizens, government employees, etc. Currently, for example, 37 different media types and 69 different fare types are provided by the Istanbulkart (Table 1).

Fares are collected by an automated reader (Validator) next to the driver or at a turnstile before one boards a transport vehicle. The Validator is a smart device that does validity checks, collects fares in accordance with specified tariffs, and records the result of all transactions. Data collected from the stations are transferred from the stations’ data transfer computers to the automated fare collection server located in the data center by means of an established external network.

TABLE 1 Istanbul Public Transportation System Ticket Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ticket Types</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akbil</td>
<td>Ancient anonymous ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>birGec</td>
<td>1 Journey Ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ikiGec</td>
<td>2 Journeys Ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>besGec</td>
<td>5 Journeys Ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>onGec</td>
<td>10 Journeys Ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Anonymous Ticket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounted</td>
<td>Reduced Fare Tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Free Entry Tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Function Cards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages of fare collection methods for the BRT line are Istanbulkart 95%, Limited Usage Card 3%, and Akbil 2%. (2) The BRT-Bus Rapid Transit pricing system depends on the trip...
When a traveler first uses his or her card, the full price is charged; then, at the
destination station, he or she uses the card again, either at a refund machine or on another transit
vehicle. There are refund machines at the exits from BRT- Bus stations. These machines
recognize the cards of travelers who have used only a portion of the line and credits them with
refunds up to 46% of the full fare. First and last usage dates (by mining more than 6,000,000
different passenger data per day) were recorded to obtain mean travel time and origin-destination
station data. Hence, the trip time of each traveler can be calculated from information taken from
the refund machines (Figure 1). The graphics are obtained for approximately 46% of all travelers
because only some travelers receive refunds to their Istanbulkarts (3). Thus, besides of the
information on boarding time and location, the data collected from Istanbulkart cards contain
detailed records of alighting times and destination location for Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) stations.

Typically, the date and time of the transaction, status of payment (transfer, acceptance, or
refusal), card ID, fare type (student, adult, senior), route ID, and other related data are stored in
the Validator and the central server (4). Because these data allow for more detailed assessment of
travel behavior and mobility patterns they are invaluable for transit planning, long term planning,
and daily management of the transportation system. Massive amount of data are collected and
stored, complicating the process of analyzing it.

In brief, by using a smart card system, transportation authorities have access to:
1. personal travel data of millions of people,
2. information about each card and/or traveler,
3. continuous trip data including refund information,
4. identity of user and frequency of use.

FIGURE 1 Flow chart to determine BRT- Destination station
Despite the accumulation of so much information, it is difficult to improve the Istanbukart’s usability and accessibility for the following reasons:

1. Its prevalent purpose is not to monitor performance of the transportation system; hence, additional passenger trip information such as destinations and delays cannot be directly retrieved.
2. Each passive data collection method has its disadvantages, and processing usually requires additional knowledge. Interoperating and mining heterogeneous datasets would enhance both the depth and reliability of transportation studies.
3. The amount of data obtained is increasing tremendously (approximately 6 million distinct Istanbulkart data every day) and traditional data processing methods might not be equal to the task.

Such data barriers make the development of a large-scale transportation performance monitoring system cumbersome and slow (5).

**Istanbul BRT – Bus Rapid Transit**

The Bus Rapid Transit system (BRT) of Istanbul began service in 2007. Istanbul-BRT is a bus-based mass transit system, and aims to combine the capacity and speed of light rail with the flexibility, lower cost and simplicity of a bus system. BRT buses operate their journey within a fully dedicated right of way to avoid traffic congestion. In addition, it has an alignment in the centre of the road to avoid typical curb-side delays, and stations with off-board fare collection to reduce boarding and alighting delay related to paying the driver.

The line connects the Asian and European sides of Istanbul. IT is the only intercontinental BRT system in the world. Thanks to this connection, the duration of the 52 kilometer, 44 stations journey from Beylikduzu on the European side to Sogutlucesme on the Asian side has been reduced to 98 minutes (Figure 2).

The salient features of BRT-Bus Rapid Transit are

1. fast transportation (30 seconds service frequency and average speed of 34.8km/h);
2. appropriate for metropolitan area with high population;
3. environmentally friendly;
4. comfortable alternative transportation.
The yearly and monthly increase of ridership on the BRT-Bus Rapid Transit is illustrated in Figure 3. The increase is due mainly to improvement of the transfer points and the addition of new lines and stations.

FIGURE 3  Number of BRT Passengers per month.
2. LITERATURE REVIEW

There are several BRT applications similar to Istanbul BRT line in other cities of the world, such as Guangzhou, China, Bogotá, Colombia, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Lima, and Peru. (7) There is an increasing number of studies and thus published articles, and papers on smart card data analysis of BRT systems. A comprehensive survey on this topic is offered by CALSTART (2005) reflects what the transit operators are saying regarding the effect of BRT vehicles on ridership. Specific issues addressed in these studies include 1) whether the vehicles are branded and/or styled differently than the communities’ regular buses, 2) whether the BRT vehicles themselves were responsible for changes in ridership levels and 3) the effect of the vehicles on community acceptance of the BRT system. The survey results indicate that ridership levels increased after BRT system implementation, and, in some cases, up to one third of the new riders came were new to transit and an additional third were riding more often. (8) Moreover previous work with focus on Case Studies in Bus Rapid Transit identifies the potential range of bus rapid transit (BRT) applications, and provides planning and implementation guidelines for BRT was released in January 2004 (9). Chu et al. (2010) presented a methodology for characterization of trips based on socio-demographic characteristics, multiday travel patterns and association of travel with specific locations. (10)

Main motivation of this paper is the analysis of smart card (Istankart) data generated by the BRT-Bus Rapid Transit in Istanbul and the investigation of its potential for understanding complexities of the system and characterizing travel behavior. An assessment of spatial and temporal travel behavior, including mode choice, travel, and waiting times based on smart card is another goal of this paper.

3. PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF BRT-BUS RAPID TRANSIT DATA

In this paper, we adopt the definitions used by Istanbul Metropolitan Municipality Department of Transportation, and define a journey as “one-way travel from one activity to another”. Each journey consists of one or more consecutive journey stages or trips on the BRT line or a different transportation mode. To deal with the problems of analyzing this big data, we perform visualization and analysis of transportation performance measures by using Rstudio and Microsoft Excel to highlight connections among heterogeneous transportation data sets, including Istanbulkart data (11). Functions in Rstudio provide a data-rich visualization platform to monitor transit network performance for purposes of planning and operations.

Single trips of each customer were aggregated to journeys according to fare rules and stations (2014). For further processing of the data, we used MySQL open-source database in combination with MS Excel.csv file format and Rstudio software to extract passenger origin information from the Istanbulkart data. All BRT stations are geocoded using information provided by Department of Transportation. The original aggregated one-day data set contains ca. 800,000 journey records and ca. 460,000 unique card IDs. In the process of preparation and reviewing of these data, about 4,000 journeys (1.1%) were removed from the data set because of errors, illogical journey routes, and missing values.

A variety of methods can be used to characterize and analyze public transport usage on BRT lines. Description in spatial and temporal dimensions are often used by transportation planning
authorities as indicators of performance and quality of service. The objective of this section is not to provide comprehensive statistical description of the BRT line system but to show how Istanbulkart data can be specifically used as indicators of region- and culture-specific travel behavior and external factors that influence people’s travel decisions and waiting times.

In the analysis of times, the time at which people decide to start their travel can provide valuable data. Figure 4 shows arrivals and departures. Red lines show direction to the Asian side, blue lines show direction to the European side.

![Figure 4: Arrivals (gray)/ departures (dark gray) /Asian (red) and European (blue) side per stations (2013).](image)

In the European side, Zincirlikuyu (Station number 37) is the main transit station that traveler ends its journey or continues towards to the Asian side or European side. When we look at the direction data of this station we observe peak values from Istanbulkart data in 8:00 and 18:00 as travelers are traveling from / to the European and Asian sides. In the night, travelers are mostly passing towards to the European side. There is a sharp increase between 17:00 and 20:00 followed with a decrease after 20:00 as shown in Figure 5.
Figure 6 shows the temporal distribution of boarding times at the first stop or station of the journey for all BRT line journeys during 24 hours. The sharp peaks in the morning and evening hours are noteworthy. The evening peak shows a high number of journey starts concentrated within a 25-45 minutes period. The fact that many people start their journeys almost synchronously during the morning as well as the evening peak hours suggests that there is not much flexibility in the travel time choice for commuting, probably as a result of commonly inflexible working hours in Istanbul. Additionally, it is worth noting that the small peak around 1:00 p.m. in the afternoon might be the result of lunch time travel, part-time workers going to or leaving work, and class hours in educational institutions.

The representation of trip distribution highlights the main advantages of analysis based on AFC data as opposed to the commonly used self-report surveys. High temporal resolution and reliability of records allow aggregation on a minute by minute basis and thus the detection of sharp peaks, which are clearly visible in Figure 6 and similar to the Figure 5 continental transit values.
Another important temporal measure, which has implications on travel behavior and route choice, is waiting time, i.e., the amount of time between a passenger’s arrival at a station or stop and the boarding of a vehicle. No information on the waiting time of bus passengers can be obtained from Istanbulkart data, since the smart card readers, on which passengers tap their Istanbulkarts as they board a bus, are located inside the bus. However, Istanbulkarts are tapped when a passenger enters and leaves a BRT station, not on board. This means that waiting time is a part of total recorded travel time and can be extracted from Istanbulkart data for BRT.

In this paper we use the term “waiting time” to describe the amount of time a passenger spends inside a station, which includes time spent walking in the station and actual waiting time. In order to extract this time for each station, all BRT trips from any one station to other stations on the same BRT line are considered. Hence only direct, uninterrupted trips without interchanges are used for the calculation of waiting times. Travel times of passengers traveling between each pair of stations in each direction are extracted. It is assumed that the fastest passenger arrived at the platform just in time and did not have to wait for the bus at all. That passenger’s waiting time is considered to be zero and is used as a benchmark. By subtracting this benchmark time from the travel times of all other customers travelling between the same two stations, waiting times of these passengers are obtained (Figure 7).
Dependent on the focus of the analysis of waiting times at BRT stations, information on significant service frequency changes during the day as well as potentially overcrowded buses can be gained. However, it is important to note that because of the method for defining and identifying waiting time, the absolute time values do not precisely indicate the service frequency at a particular station. Large hub stations with several access points tend to have larger variations and higher averages of waiting times, which reflects different lengths of access routes from different entry points. In Figure 7 the average waiting times per station for Istanbul’s West-East BRT line are shown. As expected, large hub stations with long underground access routes such as Saadetdere, BRT Station 9, show longer waiting times. Notably the longest waiting time is found for Uzuncayir, BRT station 42. This exceptionally high value in comparison with other stations can be explained by high passenger volumes at the first station on the Asian side. Next to the common waiting time distribution around 7 minutes, a second peak of waiting times ranging from 8 to 9 minutes is clearly visible from the Figure. This peak results from passengers who take BRT in the opposite direction towards the last stop at Zincirlikuyu and then stay on board to secure a seat during the morning peak hour. This observation leads to an interesting conclusion: the value of having a seat is exceptionally high and for some people it is worth about 6 minutes of additional travel time. This finding is also an indication of high passenger volumes and long BRT journeys. In the case of journeys from the Zincirlikuyu station, the average distance to the destination station for passengers taking the detour over the Edirnekapi Station is 7 stations longer compared to passengers taking the direct service.
Analysis of activities for BRT travelling

People usually travel from one place to another because they want to conduct activities, such as work, education, leisure, or social intercourse, that cannot be performed in the desired way at their current location. Hence, in order to understand people’s travel behavior in terms of mobility patterns and traffic volumes, it is necessary to look at their daily activities and locations. In the absence of comprehensive data sources such as census data, one of the main challenges in modeling travel behavior results from a lack of verified data of high spatial resolution on people’s home and particularly work locations. However, such information is important not only for transport planning and implementation of agent-based transport models, but is also invaluable in the areas of urban development and land-use planning. Travel patterns observed in smart card data from public transport can provide important information on people’s primary activity locations and can help to verify and refine existing models and assumptions.

To describe the activities of a particular person, the recorded daily trip chain of that person must be consistent. Consistency in the context of AFC smart card data means that the person who arrived at an activity location by public transport has to leave at the end of the activity by public transport; otherwise the duration of the activity cannot be extracted. The assumption of consistency based only on AFC data is hard to verify since the use of any means of transport other than public transport, e.g., walking, cannot be detected. However, obvious cases of inconsistency can be detected by analyzing the distances between the alighting location of the last journey and the boarding location of the following journey (Figures 9a and 9b).
FIGURE 9a Total Transit BRT Trips

FIGURE 9b BRT most common boarding stations.
The analysis of distances between Istanbulkart journeys is shown in the form of a cumulative relative frequency graph in Figure 10. Only persons with more than one journey recorded in the one-day Istanbulkart record were evaluated. The graph shows that 90.2% of journeys following a previous journey start less than 2 km away from the previous alighting location. This indicates that the majority of public transport users do not switch to other transport modes between public transport journeys.

A slightly different picture is obtained by looking at the distances between the first boarding and the last alighting station of the day; if they are nearby they are likely to be in the vicinity of passengers’ home locations. As shown in the cumulative relative frequency graph of these distances for all persons in the Istanbulkart data, including those with one journey (Figure 10), only 73% return to a station within a radius of 1 km from their first departure station of the day. This observation is largely due to the fact that 27% of BRT journeys are one-way journeys. To better understand mode choice and identify transportation modes used in combination with public transport in Istanbul, in the following section we refer to previous annual records of the Istanbul Metropolitan Municipality Land Transportation Authority (IETT) (2010-2014).

**FIGURE 10** Cumulative and relative frequency graph of distances between journey stages
Looking at the distances between starting point and final destination of the day, we observe that more than 92% of all persons return to their starting location at the end of the day. Analysis of the alternative modes of transport used by BRT users reveals that a total of 64.7% of reported journeys are conducted completely on public buses, 7% are on ships, and 29.3% are on rail. We cannot detect other transit modes such as taxi, private car, cycle, foot, or some unknown mode in instances when a new journey starts more than 2 km away from a previous alighting location or more than 2 hours later. Almost all BRT journeys are made as the first or last journey of the day, which indicates the high usage of private buses for travel to and from work or academic locations (Figures 9 and 10).

Another important indicator of activity type is the regularity and frequency of trips to and from a particular activity area. In order to be able to conduct such analysis, a longer term Istanbulkart record of at least several consecutive days is obtained. This enables the analysis of multi-day travel behavior and identification of principal activity spaces. The regularity of activities performed there would give a strong indication of type. Additionally, analysis of activities can be based on demographic factors and differentiation of work activities for adults and educational activities for students (13). In this way, the accuracy and reliability of estimated home and work locations and their densities can be much improved.

4. CONCLUSION

Improving the data-mining process while reducing the time needed for data processing has become an important problem for transportation decision makers who now have access to unprecedented amounts of operational data. In this paper, Istanbul’s automated fare collection system and pricing policies, operational sources of big data, have been introduced, and approaches for the use of public transport smart card fare payment data for characterizing and analyzing travel behavior have been presented. Even though examination of this data source alone is not enough to measure the full potential of Istanbulkart data for planning purposes, the processed data used in this study reveal the potential for solving short and long term problems the users of the system. In this study, we used the Rstudio to analyze this large amount of usage data. Some of the immediate research questions that can lead to the improvement of BRT-Bus Rapid Transit line planning and operations that we were able to identify as a result of the current study can be summarized as follows:

1. Deployment of efficient data mining techniques to analyze large data sets for the purpose of discovering patterns of persistent problems;
2. Development of fast and easy to implement visualization tools for the analysis of this type of big data;
3. Use of the Istanbulkart data to devise data-driven algorithms to generate products for operational and planning purposes including time-dependent OD tables and travel time estimates.

In this paper, the link between public transport usage and specific activities as a reason for travel was also established. Methods for identifying primary activity locations were described. This is a first step towards an activity location model based on the public journeys record extracted from Istanbul BRT-line data. The disaggregated, multi-day data record, which is becoming accessible for research, will allow expansion and implementation of methods presented in this work.
Furthermore, models of work locations based on Istanbulkart data can be compared with existing models used by transportation planners. Multi-day data open the door for further analysis of travel patterns and behavior, for the identification of demand profiles for bus routes, stations, and interchanges; and for development of a public transport route-choice model. Such future research possibilities add additional value to Istanbulkart data records and contribute to the development of methods for processing and analyzing smart card data records for the purpose of transportation planning.

In the second stage of this study, an integrated data fusion procedure that models the travel patterns and regularities of transit riders along the BRT-Bus Rapid Transit line will be developed. This procedure will incorporate transit riders' trip chains based on their temporal and spatial characteristics and effectively capture their historical travel patterns. We will examine big data in the rate of streaming data; and “veracity,” the relative certainty of data. Then, through examination of travel patterns and transfer data, rider-level destinations can be estimated from multi-day observations and “agent-based micro-simulations”. In these simulations, travelers and vehicles are modeled through agents that interact with the public transport system according to their individual goals.

In the future, we will also use numerical simulation models to test pricing strategies. We believe that an improved version of our visualization and analysis methods and use of agent-based micro-simulations can improve the design of demand management systems, including origin-destination based schemes, in the realm of public transport.
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